

# On modules of linear type\*

Kosuke Fukumuro, Hirofumi Kume and Koji Nishida<sup>†‡</sup>

Graduate School of Science, Chiba University

## 1 Introduction

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring. For an  $R$ -module  $N$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{S}(N)$  the symmetric algebra of  $N$ . Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers such that  $1 \leq m \leq n$ . We denote by  $\text{Mat}(m, n; R)$  the set of  $m \times n$  matrices with entries in  $R$ . Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}(m, n; R)$ . We set

$$M = \text{Coker}(R^m \xrightarrow{A} R^n).$$

Let  $S = \mathcal{S}(R^n)$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the standard free basis of  $R^n$ . Then we have

$$S = R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n],$$

which is a polynomial ring. For any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we set

$$f_i = a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \dots + a_{in}x_n \in S.$$

As is well known, we have  $\mathcal{S}(M) = S/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)S$ . In [1], after proving that  $\text{grade}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)S = m$  if and only if  $\text{grade } I_i(A) \geq m - i + 1$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , Avramov gave a condition for  $I_m(A)$  to be an ideal of linear type in the case where  $n = m + 1$  (See [3] for elementary proofs for those facts). Let us notice that if  $n = m + 1$ , the cokernel of the homomorphism  $R^m \rightarrow R^n$  defined by  $A$  is isomorphic to  $I_m(A)$  by the theorem of Hilbert-Burch. The purpose of this report is to generalize Avramov's result. Without assuming  $n = m + 1$ , we will give a condition for the  $R$ -torsion part of  $\mathcal{S}(M)$ , which is denoted by  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))$ , to be vanished. The main theorem can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\text{grade } I_i(A) \geq m - i + 2$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .
- (2)  $M$  has rank  $n - m$ ,  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M)) = 0$  and  $\text{grade}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)S = m$ .

---

\*This report is an announcement of our result and the detailed version will be submitted to somewhere.

<sup>†</sup>The last author is supported partially by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23540042.

<sup>‡</sup>E-mail: nishida@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp

In order to explain the meaning of the condition (2) of the theorem above, let us recall the definitions of the rank and the Rees algebra of a module. Let  $r$  be a non-negative integer and  $Q$  be the total quotient ring of  $R$ . We say that an  $R$ -module  $N$  has rank  $r$  if  $Q \otimes_R N \cong Q^r$ , which is equivalent to saying that  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}^r$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R$  (cf. [2, 1.4.3]). If an  $R$ -module  $N$  has rank  $r$  and torsion free, there exist a finitely generated free  $R$ -module  $F$  and an embedding  $\sigma : N \hookrightarrow F$  (cf. [2, 1.4.18]). Then we see that the kernel of  $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) : \mathcal{S}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(F)$  coincides with  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(N))$  (cf. [7, p.613]), and so  $\text{Im } \mathcal{S}(\sigma) \cong \mathcal{S}(N)/\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(N))$  as  $R$ -algebras. This means that, up to isomorphisms of  $R$ -algebras,  $\text{Im } \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$  is independent of the choice of  $F$  and  $\sigma$ . So, the Rees algebra of  $N$  is defined to be  $\mathcal{S}(N)/\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(N))$ , which is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(N)$ . We say that  $N$  is a module of linear type if  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(N)) = 0$ , that is  $\mathcal{S}(N) \cong \mathcal{R}(N)$  as  $R$ -algebras. Therefore, if the condition (2) of 1.1 is satisfied, we have  $\mathcal{R}(M) \cong S/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)S$  and the Koszul complex of  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  gives a  $S$ -free resolution of  $\mathcal{R}(M)$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we summarize preliminary results we need to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $N$  be a finitely generated torsion-free  $R$ -module having a rank. Then  $\text{T}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \text{T}_R(N)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ .*

Theorem 1.1 will be proved by induction on  $m$ . The next result plays a key role in the argument of induction.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $m \geq 2$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ . We assume  $\text{I}_1(A) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Then there exists  $B = (b_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}(m-1, n-1; R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  satisfying the following conditions.*

- (a)  $\text{I}_i(B) = \text{I}_{i+1}(A)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (b) Setting  $S' = R_{\mathfrak{p}}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$  and  $g_i = b_{i1}x_1 + \dots + b_{i,n-1}x_{n-1} \in S'$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , we have
$$\text{grade}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m)S_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1 + \text{grade}(g_1, \dots, g_{m-1})S'$$
- (c) Setting  $N = \text{Coker}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m-1} \xrightarrow{tB} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-1})$ , we have  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong N$  as  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules.

Let us denote the Koszul complex of  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  with respect to  $S$  by

$$0 \longrightarrow C_m \xrightarrow{d_m} C_{m-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  be the  $R$ -free basis of  $C_1$  such that  $d_1(u_i) = f_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then

$$d_r(u_{i_1} \wedge u_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_r}) = \sum_{p=1}^r (-1)^{p-1} f_{i_p} u_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{u_{i_p}} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_r}$$

if  $1 \leq r \leq m$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq m$ . We regard  $S$  as a graded ring by setting  $\deg x_j = 1$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Moreover, we regard  $C_{\bullet}$  as a graded complex by setting

$\deg u_i = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then, taking the homogeneous component of  $C_\bullet$  of degree  $m$ , we get a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow [C_m]_m \xrightarrow{[d_m]_m} [C_{m-1}]_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow [C_1]_m \xrightarrow{[d_1]_m} [C_0]_m \longrightarrow 0$$

of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules, where  $[d_r]_m$  denotes the restriction of  $d_r$  to  $[C_r]_m$  for  $r = 1, \dots, m$ . Let us notice that  $[C_m]_m$  is rank 1 and is generated by  $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_m$ . Furthermore, as an  $R$ -free basis of  $[C_{m-1}]_m$ , we can take

$$\{x_j \check{u}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

where  $\check{u}_i = u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{u}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge u_m$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Because

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_m(u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_m) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i-1} f_i \check{u}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) \check{u}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} a_{ij} \cdot x_j \check{u}_i, \end{aligned}$$

we get the following result.

**Lemma 2.3**  $I_1([d_m]_m) = I_1(A)$ .

The following fact can be regarded as the core of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.4** (cf. [6, Proposition]) *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\text{grade } I_m(A) \geq 2$ .
- (2)  $M$  is torsion-free and has rank  $n - m$ .

When this is the case,  $\text{pd}_R M \leq 1$  and  $M$  can be embedded into a finitely generated free  $R$ -module.

### 3 Proof of the main theorem

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).*

As  $\text{grade } I_m(A) \geq 2$  by (1), it follows that  $M$  is torsion-free and has rank  $n - m$  by 2.3. Moreover, we get  $\text{grade}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)S = m$  by [1, Proposition 1]. Let us prove  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M)) = 0$  by induction on  $m$ .

First, we consider the case where  $m = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}(M) = S/f_1S$ , where  $f_1 = a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n$ . Suppose  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M)) \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $P \in \text{Ass}_S \text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))$ . Because  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))$  is an  $S$ -submodule of  $S/f_1S$  and  $\text{grade } f_1S = 1$ , we have  $\text{depth } S_P =$

1. We set  $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap R$ . Then  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ , and so  $I_1(A) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  as  $\text{grade } I_1(A) \geq 2$ . Hence, replacing the columns of  $A$  if necessary, we may assume  $a_{11} \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{S}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathcal{S}(M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{R_{\mathfrak{p}}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]}{(x_1 + (a_{12}/a_{11})x_2 + \dots + (a_{1n}/a_{11})x_n)} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}[x_2, \dots, x_n].$$

which means  $\text{T}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{S}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = 0$ , and so  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  by 2.1. Therefore it follows that  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))_P = 0$ , which contradicts to  $P \in \text{Ass}_S \text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))$ . Thus we see  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M)) = 0$  in the case where  $m = 1$ .

Next, we assume  $m \geq 2$  and the required implication is true for matrices having  $m - 1$  rows. Suppose  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M)) \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $P \in \text{Ass}_R \text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))$ . Because  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))$  is an  $S$ -submodule of  $S/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)S$  and  $\text{grade}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)S = m$ , we have  $\text{depth } S_P = m$ . We set  $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap R$ . Then  $\text{grade } \mathfrak{p} \leq m$ , and so  $I_{I_1(A)}(\mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  as  $\text{grade } 1A \geq m + 1$ . Hence, there exists  $B = (b_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}(m - 1, n - 1; R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  satisfying the conditions (a), (b) and (c) of 2.2. By (a), for any  $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ , we have

$$\text{grade } I_i(B) = \text{grade } I_{i+1}(A)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \text{grade } I_{i+1}(A) \geq m - (i + 1) + 2 = (m - 1) - i + 2.$$

Therefore, setting

$$N = \text{Coker}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m-1} \xrightarrow{tB} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-1}),$$

we get  $\text{T}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{S}(N)) = 0$  by the hypothesis of induction. Because  $\mathcal{S}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \mathcal{S}(N)$  by (c), we have  $\text{T}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{S}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = 0$ , and so  $\text{T}_R(M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  by 2.1. This means  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))_P = 0$ , which contradicts to  $P \in \text{Ass}_S \text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))$ . Thus we see  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M)) = 0$ .

*Proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).*

Let us consider the Koszul complex

$$0 \longrightarrow C_m \xrightarrow{d_m} C_{m-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

described in Section 2. This is graded and acyclic as  $\text{grade}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)S = m$ . Moreover, we have

$$\text{Coker } d_1 = S/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)S = \mathcal{S}(M).$$

The condition  $\text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M)) = 0$  implies that  $M$  is torsion-free over  $R$ , and so by [2, 1.4.18] there exist a finitely generated free  $R$ -module  $F$  and an embedding  $\sigma : M \hookrightarrow F$ . Then the induced homomorphism  $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) : \mathcal{S}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(F)$  is injective since  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{S}(\sigma) = \text{T}_R(\mathcal{S}(M)) = 0$ . Thus we get a graded acyclic complex

$$0 \longrightarrow C_m \xrightarrow{d_m} C_{m-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(F) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking its homogeneous component of degree  $m$ , we get an acyclic complex

$$0 \longrightarrow [C_m]_m \xrightarrow{[d_m]_m} [C_{m-1}]_m \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow [C_1]_m \xrightarrow{[d_1]_m} [C_0]_m \longrightarrow [\mathcal{S}(F)]_m \longrightarrow 0,$$

of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules. Let us notice that  $\text{rank}_R [C_m]_m = 1$  and  $I_1([d_m]_m) = I_1(A)$  by 2.3. Hence we get  $\text{grade } I_1(A) \geq m + 1$  by [2, 1.4.13].

In the rest of this proof, we show that the condition (1) holds by induction on  $m$ . If  $m = 1$ , it is certainly true by the observation stated above. So, let us consider the case where  $m \geq 2$ . We suppose that  $\text{grade } I_j(A) \leq m - j + 1$  for some  $j$  with  $2 \leq j \leq m$ . Then there exists  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  such that  $I_j(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq m - j + 1$ . As  $\text{grade } I_1(A) \geq m + 1$ , we have  $I_1(A) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , and so there exists  $B = (b_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}(m-1, n-1; R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  satisfying the conditions (a), (b) and (c) of 2.2. We set

$$N = \text{Coker}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m-1} \xrightarrow{tB} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-1}).$$

Then by (c) we have  $N \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules, so  $N$  has rank  $n - m$  and  $\mathcal{S}(N) \cong \mathcal{S}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  as  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebras. Because  $\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{S}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \text{Tr}_R(\mathcal{S}(M))_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  by 2.1, it follows that  $\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{S}(N)) = 0$ . Moreover, setting  $S' = R_{\mathfrak{p}}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$  and  $g_i = b_{i1}x_1 + \dots + b_{i,n-1}x_{n-1} \in S'$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , we get

$$\text{grade}(g_1, \dots, g_{m-1})S' = \text{grade}(f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m)S_{\mathfrak{p}} - 1 = m - 1$$

by (b). Therefore the hypothesis of induction implies

$$\text{grade } I_{j-1}(A) \geq (m-1) - (j-1) + 2 = m - j + 2.$$

Then, as  $I_{j-1}(B) = I_j(A)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by (a), we get  $\text{grade } I_j(A)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq m - j + 2$ , which contradicts to  $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq m - j + 1$ . Thus we see  $\text{grade } I_i(A) \geq m - i + 2$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and the proof is complete.

## 4 Example

In this section, we give examples of matrices satisfying the condition (1) of Theorem 1.1.

**Example 4.1** *Let  $m$  and  $d$  be positive integers such that  $m < d$ . Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be elements of  $R$  generating an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. We take a family  $\{\alpha_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$  of positive integers, and set*

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{i+j-1}^{\alpha_{ij}} & \text{if } i+j \leq n+1 \\ x_{i+j-n-1}^{\alpha_{ij}} & \text{if } i+j > n+1 \end{cases}$$

and  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}(m, n; R)$ . Then we have  $\text{grade } I_i(A) \geq m - i + 2$  for  $1 \leq \forall i \leq m$ .

If  $\alpha_{ij} = 1$  for any  $i$  and  $j$ , the matrix  $A$  stated above looks like

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & & x_m & \cdots & x_n \\ x_2 & & & & x_m & & x_n & x_1 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ & x_m & & x_n & x_1 & & & x_{m-2} \\ x_m & \cdots & x_n & x_1 & \cdots & x_{m-2} & x_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, we can take any power at each entries.

## References

- [1] L. Avramov, *Complete intersections and symmetric algebras*, J. Algebra, **73** (1981), 248–263.
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **39**, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [3] K. Fukumuro, *On the symmetric and Rees algebras of certain determinantal ideals*, to appear in Tokyo J. Math., arXiv:1306.0993.
- [4] K. Fukumuro, T. Inagawa and K. Nishida, *On a transform of an acyclic complex of length 3*, J. Algebra, **384** (2013), 84–109.
- [5] T. Inagawa, *\*-transforms of acyclic complexes*, Preprint (2013), arXiv:1307.1500.
- [6] D. Katz, *Torsion-free modules and syzygies*, Mathematica Pannonica, **5/1** (1994), 7–13.
- [7] A. Simis, B. Ulrich and W. Vasconcelos, *Rees algebras of modules*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **87** (2003), 610–646