LOCAL COHOMOLOGY OF IDEALS AND THE R_n CONDITION OF SERRE

Tony J. Puthenpurakal

ABSTRACT. Let R be a regular ring of dimension d containing a field K of characteristic zero. If E is an R-module let $\operatorname{Ass}^i E = \{Q \in \operatorname{Ass} E \mid \operatorname{height} Q = i\}$. Let P be a prime ideal in R of height g. We show that if R/P satisfies Serre's condition R_i then $\operatorname{Ass}^{g+i+1} H_P^{g+1}(R)$ is a finite set. As an application of our techniques we prove that if P is a prime ideal in R such that $(R/P)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is regular for any non-maximal prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ then $H_P^i(R)$ has finitely many associate primes for all i.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper R is a commutative Noetherian ring. If M is an R-module and if I is an ideal in R, we denote by $H_I^i(M)$ the ith local cohomology module of M with respect to I.

The following conjecture is due to Lyubeznik [10];

1.1. Conjecture: If R is a regular ring, then each local cohomology module $H_I^i(R)$ has finitely many associated prime ideals.

There are many cases where this conjecture is true: by work of Huneke and Sharp [5], for regular rings R of prime characteristic; by work of Lyubeznik, for regular local and affine rings of characteristic zero [8], for unramified regular local rings of mixed characteristic [11]. It is also true for smooth $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras by work of Bhatt et.al [1].

In [10] Lyubeznik especially asked whether 1.1 is valid for a regular ring R containing a field of characteristic zero. It is easy to give examples where existing techniques, to show finiteness of associate primes of local cohomology modules, fail.

For the rest of the paper assume that R is regular and contains a field of characteristic zero. For simplicity we assume that $\dim R = d$ is finite. By Grothendieck vanishing theorem $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for all $i > d$, see [2, 6.1.2]. In general for a Noetherian ring T of dimension d the set $\operatorname{Ass}_R(H_I^d(T))$ is finite, see [3, 3.11] (also see [12, 2.3]). If T is a regular ring of dimension d and I is an ideal in T then using the Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum theorem, cf. [6, 14.1] it is easy to prove that

$$\operatorname{Ass}_R(H_I^d(T)) = \{P \mid P \in \operatorname{Min} T/I \text{ and } \operatorname{height} P = d\}.$$

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13D45; Secondary 13C13 .

Key words and phrases. local cohomology, regular rings, R_n condition.

In [14], we proved that $\operatorname{Ass}_R(H_I^{d-1}(R))$ is a finite set if R is an excellent ring. We also proved that to prove Lyubeznik's conjecture for excellent regular rings containing a field of characteristic zero it is sufficient to prove $\operatorname{Ass} H_I^{g+1}(R)$ is a finite for all ideals I of height g. Practically nothing is known for rings which are not excellent. We also note that in examples of singular rings S with ideals I such that $\operatorname{Ass} H_I^i(S)$ is an infinite set the ring S/I is regular, see [15], [7] and [16]. If T is a regular ring and I is an ideal such that T/I is a regular ring then it is not difficult to show that $\operatorname{Ass} H_I^i(T)$ is a finite set for all i. In this paper we prove

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a regular ring of dimension d, containing a field of characteristic zero. Let P be a prime ideal of height g in R such that $(R/P)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is regular for any non-maximal prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$. Then $H_P^i(R)$ has finitely many associate primes for all i.*

It is not difficult to prove the above result when R is excellent. The case when R is not excellent requires new ideas.

If E is an R-module let $\operatorname{Ass}^i E = \{Q \in \operatorname{Ass} E \mid \operatorname{height} Q = i\}$. We show

Theorem 1.3. *Let R be a regular ring of dimension d, containing a field of characteristic zero. Let P be a prime ideal of height g in R such that R/P satisfies Serre's condition R_i. Then $\operatorname{Ass}^{g+i+1} H_P^{g+1}(R)$ is a finite set.*

Here is an overview of the contents of this paper. In section two we consider the flat extension $R \to R[[X]]_X$. This helps when R contains a countable field K. Note $T = R[[X]]_X$ contains the field $K[[X]]_X$ which is uncountable. We show that to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 it suffices to assume that R contains an uncountable field. In section three we describe a construction which is important to us. Finally in section four we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

2. Passage to a ring containing an uncountable field

In our arguments we need to assume that R contains an uncountable field. When this is not the case we consider the flat extension $R \to R[[X]]_X$. Set $S = R[[X]]$ and $T = S_X = R[[X]]_X$, i.e., the ring obtained by inverting X.

Remark 2.1. (i) If R contains a countable field K then note $K[[X]]$ is a subring of S and so $K[[X]]_X$ is a subring of T. The field $K[[X]]_X$ is uncountable. Thus T contains an uncountable field.
   (ii) If R is regular (Cohen-Macaulay) then so is S, see [4, 2.2.13] and [4, 2.1.9]. Therefore T is also regular (Cohen-Macaulay).

The following properties were proved in our paper [14, section 3].

Proposition 2.2. *(with hypotheses as above)*

(1) T *is a faithfully flat R-algebra.*
(2) *If P is a prime ideal in R then PT is a prime ideal in T and $PT \cap R = P$. Conversely if P is a prime ideal in T then there is a prime ideal Q of R with $P = QT$. Furthermore* height $P = $ height Q.
(3) $\dim R = \dim T$.
(4) *Let M be a R-module. The mapping defined by*

$$\psi \colon \operatorname{Ass}_R(M) \to \operatorname{Ass}_T(M \otimes_R T)$$
$$\mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}T$$

   is a bijection.
(5) ψ *maps* $\operatorname{Ass}_R^i(M)$ *bijectively to* $\operatorname{Ass}_T^i(M \otimes_R T)$.

We will need the following

Proposition 2.3. *(with hypotheses as above). Also assume R is Cohen-Macaulay. Let P be a prime in R such that R/P satisfies R_i. Then T/PT satisfies R_i.*

Proof. We note that T is also Cohen-Macaulay. So both R, T are universally catenary. Let height $P = g$. Let Q be a prime ideal in T containing PT with height $Q = g + i$. Let $Q = \mathfrak{q}T$. Then height $\mathfrak{q} = g + i$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ contains P. So $(R/P)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is regular. The extension $(R/P)_{\mathfrak{q}} \to (T/PT)_Q$ is flat with field as a fiber. By [13, 23.7] it follows that $(T/PT)_Q$ is regular. $\qquad \square$

2.4. In our proof we will need to deal with rings R containing an uncountable field such that R has countable number of maximal ideals. We recall a construction from [14] which enables us to construct such rings.

Construction: Let T be a Noetherian ring containing an uncountable field K. Let $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a countable family of prime ideals in T with $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $S = T \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{p}_n$. Then S is a multiplicatively closed set. Set $R = S^{-1}T$. Then by [14, 4.4] we have

$$\text{m-Spec}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p}_n R\}_{n \geq 1}.$$

3. A Construction

In this section we describe a construction that we use to prove our results.

3.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring containing an uncountable field K. Assume m-Spec$(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}_n \mid n \geq 1\}$ is a countably infinite set and that height $\mathfrak{m}_n = d$ for all n.

Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathfrak{m} \mid \mathcal{F} \text{ is an infinite subset of } \text{ m-Spec}(R)\}.$$

As R is Noetherian $\mathcal{C}$ has maximal elements. Let Q be a maximal element in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $Q = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathfrak{m}$ for some infinite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of m-Spec(R). We note

that as Q is a maximal element in $\mathcal{C}$ we have $Q = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{m}$ for any infinite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{F}$.

Consider the multiplicatively closed set

$$S = R \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathfrak{m}.$$

Set $T = S^{-1}R$.

The following result from [14, 4.4] gives information about primes in T.

Proposition 3.2. *(with hypotheses as in 3.1). We have*

(1) *If $\mathfrak{p}T$ is a prime in T, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime in R, then $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ for some $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{F}$.*

(2) *If $\mathfrak{m}_i, \mathfrak{m}_j \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\mathfrak{m}_i T \nsubseteq \mathfrak{m}_j T$ if $\mathfrak{m}_i \neq \mathfrak{m}_j$..*

(3) *$\mathfrak{m}T$ for $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{F}$ are distinct maximal ideals of T.*

(4) *m-Spec$(T) = \{\mathfrak{m}T\}_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{F}}$.*

We will also need the following intersection result from [14, 4.5].

Proposition 3.3. *(with hypotheses as in 3.1). Let Λ be any subset of $\mathcal{F}$ (possibly infinite). Set*

$$U = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{m} \quad and \quad V = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{m}T.$$

Then $UT = V$.

3.4. The following result describes the properties of T that we need.

Theorem 3.5. *(with hypotheses as in 3.1). We have*

(1) *$QT = \operatorname{rad} T$.*

(2) *Let Λ be any infinite subset of $\mathcal{F}$. Then*

$$QT = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{m}T.$$

(3) *QT is a prime ideal of T.*

(4) *height $\mathfrak{m}T =$ height $\mathfrak{m} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{F}$.*

(5) *If $f \notin QT$ then f belongs to utmost finitely many maximal ideals of T.*

Proof. (1) This follows from 3.2 and 3.3.

(2) We note that by maximality of Q in $\mathcal{C}$ we have $Q = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{m}$. The result now follows from 3.3.

(3) Note QT is a radical ideal. So $QT = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \cdots \cap P_s$ for some primes P_i with $s \geq 1$ and that $P_i \nsubseteq P_j$ if $i \neq j$. Suppose if possible $s \geq 2$. Let $\mathfrak{m}T$ be a maximal ideal of T. Then note that $\mathfrak{m}T \supseteq P_i$ for some i. Let

$$\Gamma_i = \{\mathfrak{m}T \mid \mathfrak{m}T \supseteq P_i\}.$$

Then

$$\text{m-Spec}(T) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \Gamma_i.$$

It follows that Γ_i is infinite for some i. Without any loss of generality we assume $i = 1$. Then note that $P_1 \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}T \in \Gamma_1} \mathfrak{m}T = QT$. It follows that $P_2 \supseteq P_1$, contradicting the choice of P_2. Thus QT is a prime ideal in T.

(4)This is trivial.

(5) Suppose if possible there exists an infinite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of m-Spec(T) such that $f \in \mathfrak{m}T$ for every $\mathfrak{m}T \in \mathcal{G}$. It follows that $f \in \cap_{\mathfrak{m}T \in \mathcal{G}}\mathfrak{m}T$. But by construction the latter module is QT. This is a contradiction.   □

3.6. If $f \notin QT$ we describe a process of making f a unit. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the finite set consisting of ALL the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$ of T such that $f \in \mathfrak{m}$. Set

$$W = T \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{m-Spec}(T) \setminus \mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{m} \quad \text{and} \quad L = W^{-1}T.$$

We note that f is a unit in L. Also if $\mathfrak{m} \in$ m-Spec$(T) \setminus \mathcal{G}$ then $\mathfrak{m}L$ is a maximal ideal in L. Furthermore $QL = \text{rad}\,L$.

4. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

In this section we give proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We first give

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By our results in section two we may assume that R contains an uncountable field of characteristic zero. We note that $\text{Ass}\,H_P^{g+1}(R)$ is a countable set. Suppose $\text{Ass}^{g+i+1} H_P^{g+1}(R)$ is an infinite set. Let

$$\text{Ass}^{g+i+1} H_P^{g+1}(R) = \{P_n \mid n \geq 1\}.$$

Consider $W = R \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1} P_n$ and set $S = W^{-1}R$. Next we do the construction as described in section three. Let T be the ring obtained with $Q = \text{rad}\,T$. We note that $\dim T = g + i + 1$. We also have height $Q \leq g + i$. As R/P satisfies R_i it follows that R_Q/PR_Q is a regular ring. It follows that $P_Q = (u_1, \ldots, u_g)$. So there exists $f \notin Q$ such that $P_f = (u_1, \ldots, u_g)$. By process of making f a unit, see 3.6, we get a further localization L of T such that PL is generated by g elements. So $H_{PL}^{g+1}(L) = 0$ and all maximal ideals of L are associate primes of $H_{PL}^{g+1}(L)$. This is a contradiction. Thus $\text{Ass}^{g+i+1} H_P^{g+1}(R)$ is a finite set.   □

Next we give

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By our results in section two we may assume that R contains an uncountable field of characteristic zero. We note that $\text{Ass}\,H_P^j(R)$ is a countable set for all j. Suppose $\text{Ass}\,H_P^i(R)$ is infinite for some i. Set

$M = H^i_P(R)$. We may assume that there exists r such that M contains infinitely many associate primes P of height r and there exists only finitely many associate prime of M with height $< r$. Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be infinitely many associate primes of M of height r. We note that necessarily $r > g =$ height P. Consider $W = R \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1} P_n$ and set $S = W^{-1}R$. Next we do the construction as described in section three. Let T be the ring obtained with $Q = \operatorname{rad} T$. We note that $\dim T = r$ and height $Q < r$. By our assumption $(R/P)_Q$ is a regular local ring. It follows that $P_Q = (u_1, \ldots, u_g)$. So there exists $f \notin Q$ such that $P_f = (u_1, \ldots, u_g)$. By process of making f a unit, see 3.6, we get a further localization L of T such that PL is generated by g elements. So $H^r_{PL}(L) = 0$ and all maximal ideals of L are associate primes of $H^r_{PL}(L)$. This is a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{Ass} H^i_P(R)$ is a finite set. $\qquad\square$

Acknowledgements

References

[1] B. Bhatt, M. Blickle, G. Lyubeznik, A. K. Singh and W. Zhang, *Local cohomology modules of a smooth $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra have finitely many associated primes*, Inventiones Mathematicae, 197 (2014), 509–519.

[2] M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 60, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[3] M. P. Brodmann, C. Rotthaus, and R. Y. Sharp, *On annihilators and associated primes of local cohomology modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 153 (2000), 197–227.

[4] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, vol. 39, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[5] C. Huneke and R. Sharp, *Bass Numbers of Local Cohomology Modules*, AMS Transactions 339 (1993), 765–779.

[6] S. B. Iyengar; G. J. Leuschke; A. Leykin; C. Miller; E. Miller; A. K. Singh and U. Walther, Twenty-four hours of local cohomology. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 87. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

[7] M. Katzman, *An example of an infinite set of associated primes of a local cohomology module*, J. Algebra252(2002), no.1, 161–166.

[8] G. Lyubeznik, *Finiteness Properties of Local Cohomology Modules (an Application of D-modules to Commutative Algebra)*, Inv. Math. 113 (1993), 41–55.

[9] ———, *F-modules: applications to local cohomology and D-modules in characteristic $p > 0$*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 491 (1997), 65–130.

[10] ———, *A partial survey of local cohomology*, Local cohomology and its applications (Guanajuato, 1999), 121–154, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 226, Dekker, New York, 2002.

[11] ———, *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for regular local rings of mixed characteristic: the unramified case*, Comm. Algebra 28 (2000), no. 12, 5867–5882.

[12] T. Marley, *The associated primes of local cohomology modules over rings of small dimension*, Manuscripta Math. 104 (2001), no. 4, 519–525.

[13] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[14] T. J. Puthenpurakal, *Associated primes of local cohomology modules over regular rings*, Pacific J. Math. 282 (2016), no. 1, 233–255.

[15] A. K. Singh, *p-torsion elements in local cohomology modules*, Math. Res. Lett.7(2000), no.2-3, 165–176.

[16] A. K. Singh and I. Swanson, *Associated primes of local cohomology modules and of Frobenius powers*, Int. Math. Res. Not.(2004), no. 33, 1703–1733.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400 076
e-mail address: tputhen@gmail.com