

## QUANTUM SYLVESTER-FRANKE THEOREM

KAZUYA AOKAGE, SUMITAKA TABATA AND HIRO-FUMI YAMADA

ABSTRACT. A quantum version of classical Sylvester-Franke theorem is presented. After reviewing some representation theory of the quantum group  $GL_q(n, \mathbb{C})$ , the commutation relations of the matrix elements are verified. Once quantum determinant of the representation matrix is defined, the theorem follows naturally.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

It is a fundamental fact of invariants of the general linear group that a one-dimensional rational representation of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  is of the form  $(\det)^k$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Given an irreducible (polynomial) representation  $\rho_\lambda$  of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  corresponding to a partition  $\lambda$  with  $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ , the determinant of the representation matrix  $\rho_\lambda(g)$  ( $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ ) gives a one-dimensional representation. By counting the degree of the polynomials, one has  $\det \rho_\lambda(g) = (\det g)^{\frac{|\lambda|}{n} \dim \rho_\lambda}$ . This result is called the Sylvester-Franke theorem (cf. [1] and [4]).

One may expect that there exists a  $q$ -analogue of this theorem in the framework of quantum groups. In this note we prove the quantum Sylvester-Franke theorem in the simplest case  $\lambda = (1^{n-1})$  for the quantum  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . The point is that the representation matrix of  $\lambda = (1^{n-1})$  is a quantum matrix in the sense that the entries satisfy the same commutation relations as those of quantum  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . The general commutation relations of the quantum minor determinants are fully described by Goodearl in [2]. We use a portion of his results to prove our quantum determinant formula.

In this paper,  $[n]$  denotes the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

### 2. CLASSICAL CASE

Let  $A_n = \mathbb{C}[x_{ij} | 1 \leq i, j \leq n]$  be the polynomial algebra of  $n^2$  variables  $x_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ). This is regarded as the coordinate ring of the matrix space  $M_n = \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , namely,  $x_{ij}$  is the coordinate function  $x_{ij}(a) = a_{ij}$  for  $a = (a_{ij})_{i,j} \in M_n$ . Let  $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j}$  denote the matrix of these coordinate functions.

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G42; Secondary 81R50.

*Key words and phrases.* Quantum general linear group, Sylvester-Franke theorem.

Since  $M_n$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra,  $A_n$  has a coalgebra structure with coproduct  $\Delta$  and counit  $\varepsilon$  defined as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta(x_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj}, \quad \varepsilon(x_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

Let

$$(2.2) \quad \det = \det X := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} x_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)n} \in A_n.$$

Appending the inverse  $\det^{-1}$  to  $A_n$ , one has the coordinate ring  $\mathcal{A}_n$  of  $G_n = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{A}_n := \mathbb{C}[x_{ij}, \det^{-1} | 1 \leq i, j \leq n] = A_n[\det^{-1}]$$

with

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta(\det^{\pm 1}) = \det^{\pm 1} \otimes \det^{\pm 1}, \quad \varepsilon(\det^{\pm 1}) = 1.$$

Moreover  $\mathcal{A}_n$  has a Hopf algebra structure with antipode  $S$  defined by

$$(2.5) \quad S(x_{ij}) = \tilde{x}_{ji} \cdot \det^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n),$$

where  $\tilde{x}_{ji} = (-1)^{i-j} \xi_i^{\hat{j}}$  is the  $(j, i)$ -cofactor. Here  $\xi_i^{\hat{j}}$  is the minor determinant of a submatrix of  $X$  consisting of rows  $\hat{j} = [n] \setminus \{j\}$  and columns  $\hat{i} = [n] \setminus \{i\}$ .

For an irreducible polynomial representation  $(\rho, V)$  of  $G_n$ , the alternating tensor representation  $Alt^d(\rho) : G_n \rightarrow GL(Alt^d(V))$  is also irreducible. It is well-known that an irreducible polynomial representation of  $G_n$  appears as a constituent of the tensor product of some alternating tensor representation, and irreducible polynomial representations are in one-to-one correspondence with Young diagrams of length less than or equal to  $n$ . We denote the representation by  $\lambda$  if it corresponds to the Young diagram  $\lambda$ . As explained in Introduction we know the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1** (Sylvester-Franke theorem).

$$(2.6) \quad \det \lambda(g) = (\det g)^{\frac{|\lambda|}{n} \dim \lambda} \quad (g \in G_n)$$

The original version of Sylvester-Franke theorem is for the case  $\lambda = (1^d)$  ( $1 \leq d \leq n$ ). The representation matrix  $\Xi_d$  consists of minor determinants  $\xi_J^I$  of rows  $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_d\}$  and columns  $J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_d\}$ :

**Theorem 2.2** (Original Sylvester-Franke theorem).

$$(2.7) \quad \det \Xi_d = (\det X)^{\binom{n-1}{d-1}}.$$

Let  $(\varphi, V)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional rational representation of  $G_n$ . Taking a basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^d$  for  $V$ , one has a matrix representation  $(\varphi_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$  defined by

$$(2.8) \quad \varphi(g)(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{ij}(g)v_i \quad (1 \leq j \leq m).$$

This gives  $V$  an  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -comodule structure as follows. There exists a comodule map  $\omega: V \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$  defined by

$$(2.9) \quad \omega(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \otimes \varphi_{ij} \quad (1 \leq j \leq m).$$

Conversely, a finite dimensional  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -comodule  $V$  affords a rational representation of  $G_n$ .

### 3. QUANTUM CASE

Let  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  be generic, *i.e.*, not a root of unity. A  $q$ -analogue  $A_n(q)$  of the algebra  $A_n$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by  $x_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ) subject to the fundamental relations:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & x_{ij}x_{i\ell} = qx_{i\ell}x_{ij} && (j < \ell), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & x_{ij}x_{kj} = qx_{kj}x_{ij} && (i < k), \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & x_{i\ell}x_{kj} = x_{kj}x_{i\ell} && (i < k, j < \ell), \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & x_{ij}x_{k\ell} - x_{k\ell}x_{ij} = (q - q^{-1})x_{i\ell}x_{kj} && (i < k, j < \ell). \end{aligned}$$

We set  $X(q) = (x_{ij})_{i,j}$ .

We regard  $A_n(q)$  as the coordinate ring of the "quantum space"  $M_n(q) = Mat_q(n, \mathbb{C})$ . The algebra structure of  $M_n(q)$  reflects to the coalgebra structure of  $A_n(q)$ :

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta(x_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj}, \quad \varepsilon(x_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

The quantum determinant is defined as

$$(3.3) \quad \det_q = \det_q X(q) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} x_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)n} \in A_n(q).$$

The following result due to Reshetikhin-Takhtajan-Faddeev [5] is very important for our purpose:

**Lemma 3.1** ([5], see also [3]). *The quantum determinant  $\det_q$  belongs to the center  $Z A_n(q)$  of  $A_n(q)$ . Furthermore  $Z A_n(q)$  is the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[\det_q]$ .*

The coordinate ring  $\mathcal{A}_n(q)$  of the "quantum group"  $G_n(q) = GL_q(n, \mathbb{C})$  is defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{A}_n(q) := A_n(q) [\det_q^{-1}]$$

with

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta(\det_q^{\pm 1}) = \det_q^{\pm 1} \otimes \det_q^{\pm 1}, \quad \varepsilon(\det_q^{\pm 1}) = 1.$$

A detailed account of the structure of  $\mathcal{A}_n(q)$  and the finite dimensional comodules over it is found in [3]. An important family of elements in  $\mathcal{A}_n(q)$  is quantum minor determinants: for  $d$ -sets  $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d\}$ ,  $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_d\}$  in  $[n]$ , we define

$$(3.6) \quad \xi_J^I(q) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} x_{i_{\sigma(1)}j_1} \cdots x_{i_{\sigma(d)}j_d} \in A_n(q).$$

The bialgebra  $\mathcal{A}_n(q)$  has a Hopf algebra structure with the antipode

$$(3.7) \quad S(x_{ij}) = \tilde{x}_{ji} \cdot \det_q^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n),$$

where  $\tilde{x}_{ji} = (-q)^{i-j} \hat{\xi}_{\hat{i}}^{\hat{j}}(q)$  is the  $(j, i)$ -cofactor of the matrix  $X(q)$ . If we put  $\tilde{X}(q) := (\tilde{x}_{ji})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , then we have

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{X}(q) X(q) = \det_q \cdot I_n = X(q) \tilde{X}(q).$$

A finite dimensional rational representation of  $G_n(q)$  is, by definition, an  $\mathcal{A}_n(q)$ -comodule. The alternating tensor representation is realized as follows. Let  $E$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by  $n$  letters  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  subject to the relations:

$$(3.9) \quad y_j y_i = -q y_i y_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \quad \text{and} \quad y_i^2 = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

It is a graded algebra  $E = \bigoplus_{d=0}^n E_d$ , where  $E_d$  is the space of all homogeneous elements of degree  $d$ . The space  $E_d$  is an irreducible  $A_n(q)$ -comodule through the algebra homomorphism

$$(3.10) \quad \omega_E(y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes x_{ij}.$$

For  $J = \{j_1 < \dots < j_d\} \subseteq [n]$ , put  $y_J = y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_d}$ . It is verified that

$$(3.11) \quad \omega_E(y_J) = \sum_{|I|=d} y_I \otimes \xi_J^I.$$

Namely the representation matrix for  $E_d$  is

$$(3.12) \quad \Xi_d(q) = (\xi_J^I(q))_{\substack{I, J \subseteq [n] \\ |I|=|J|=d}}.$$

We will show the quantum version of Theorem 2.2 for the case  $d = n - 1$ . To this end we first show that the quantum determinant of the representation matrix  $\Xi_{n-1}(q)$  makes sense, that is, the following commutation relations hold:

**Proposition 3.2.**

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(I)} \quad & \xi_{ij}\xi_{il} = q\xi_{il}\xi_{ij} && (j < l) \\ \text{(II)} \quad & \xi_{ij}\xi_{kj} = q\xi_{kj}\xi_{ij} && (i < k) \\ \text{(III)} \quad & \xi_{il}\xi_{kj} = \xi_{kj}\xi_{il} && (i < k, j < l) \\ \text{(IV)} \quad & \xi_{ij}\xi_{kl} - \xi_{kl}\xi_{ij} = (q - q^{-1})\xi_{il}\xi_{kj} && (i < k, j < l) \end{aligned}$$

Here, we put  $\xi_{ij} = \xi_{n-j+1}^{\widehat{n-i+1}}(q)$ . Note that  $\Xi_{n-1}(q) = (\xi_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ .

We verify the above commutation relations by using the results of Goodearl [2]. First recall some notations. For  $r \in [n]$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_r$  denotes the set of  $r$ -subsets of  $[n]$ .

**Definition of  $I \leq J$ .** We define the following partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{N}_r$ . For  $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_r\}, J = \{j_1 < \dots < j_r\} \in \mathcal{N}_r$ , we denote by  $I \leq J$  if and only if  $i_\ell \leq j_\ell$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ . Furthermore, if  $I \neq J$ , then we write  $I < J$ . On the other hand, we use the notation  $\prec$  for the lexicographic order on  $\mathcal{N}_r$ . Note that, for  $i, j \in [n]$ , following relation hold:

$$(3.14) \quad i > j \Leftrightarrow \widehat{i} \prec \widehat{j} \Leftrightarrow \widehat{i} < \widehat{j}$$

**Definition of  $\xi_q(I; J)$ .** For  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the  $-q$ -analogue of  $d$  by

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} [d]_{-q} &:= \frac{(-q)^d - (-q)^{-d}}{(-q) - (-q)^{-1}} \\ &= (-q)^{1-d} \left( 1 + q^2 + q^4 + \dots + q^{2d-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, for  $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_r\} \in \mathcal{N}_r, J \in \mathcal{N}_r$  with  $I \geq J$ , we set  $d_\ell := |[1, i_\ell] \cap J| - \ell + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ , and

$$(3.16) \quad \xi_q(I; J) := \prod_{\ell=1}^r [d_\ell]_{-q}$$

with the convention that  $\xi_q(\emptyset; \emptyset) = 1$ .

**Definitions of  $\{< X \parallel Y\}, \{> X \parallel Y\}, \mathcal{L}(U, X, Y)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}^\natural(V, X, Y)$ .** For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{N}_r$ , we define the set  $\{< X \parallel Y\}$  and  $\{> X \parallel Y\}$  as follows:

$$(3.17) \quad \{< X \parallel Y\} := \{U \subseteq X \cup Y \mid X \cap Y \subseteq U, |X| = |U|, U < X\},$$

$$(3.18) \quad \{> X \parallel Y\} := \{V \subseteq X \cup Y \mid X \cap Y \subseteq V, |X| = |V|, V > X\}.$$

Moreover, for  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}_r$ , the integers  $\mathcal{L}(U, X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^\natural(V, X, Y)$  are defined by

(3.19)

$$\mathcal{L}(U, X, Y) := \ell\left(\left((U \setminus U^\natural) \cup (Y \setminus X); X \setminus U\right) - \ell\left(\left((U \setminus U^\natural) \cup (Y \setminus X); U \setminus X\right)\right),$$

(3.20)

$$\mathcal{L}^\natural(V, X, Y) := \ell\left(\left((V^\natural \setminus V) \cup (X \setminus Y); V \setminus X\right) - \ell\left(\left((V^\natural \setminus V) \cup (X \setminus Y); X \setminus V\right)\right),$$

where

- $W^\natural := (X \cap Y) \sqcup ((X \cup Y) \setminus W)$  for  $W \in \mathcal{N}_r$  with  $X \cap Y \subseteq W \subseteq X \cup Y$ ,
- $\ell(S; T) := \#\{(s, t) \in S \times T \mid s > t\}$  for  $S, T \in \mathcal{N}_r$ .

Note that  $W^\natural = X^\natural = Y$  (*resp.*  $W^\natural = Y^\natural = X$ ) if  $W = X$  (*resp.*  $W = Y$ ).

We are ready to state the theorem of Goodearl which we need to verify Proposition 3.2.

**Theorem 3.3** ([2], Corollary 6.8.). *For  $I, J, K, L \in \mathcal{N}_r$ , we have*

(3.21)

$$q^{|I \cap K|} \xi_J^I \xi_L^K + q^{|I \cap K|} \sum_{P \in \{> J \parallel L\}} \tilde{\mu}_P \xi_P^I \xi_{P^\natural}^K = q^{|J \cap L|} \xi_L^K \xi_J^I + q^{|J \cap L|} \sum_{Q \in \{< I \parallel K\}} \tilde{\lambda}_Q \xi_L^{Q^\natural} \xi_J^Q,$$

where

$$(3.22) \quad \tilde{\mu}_P := (-q + q^{-1})^{|P \setminus J|} (-q)^{-\mathcal{L}^\natural(P, J, L)} \xi_q(P \setminus J; J \setminus P),$$

$$(3.23) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_Q := (-q + q^{-1})^{|I \setminus Q|} (-q)^{-\mathcal{L}(Q, I, K)} \xi_q(I \setminus Q; Q \setminus I)$$

for  $P \in \{> J \parallel L\}$ ,  $Q \in \{< I \parallel K\}$ .

In the following proof of Proposition 3.2, we put  $i^* = n - i + 1$  for  $i \in [n]$ .

*Proof of (I) of Proposition 3.2.* Let  $i, j$ , and  $\ell \in [n]$  satisfy  $j < \ell$  and suppose  $I = K = \widehat{i^*}$ ,  $J = \widehat{j^*}$ , and  $L = \widehat{\ell^*}$  in Theorem 3.3.

The set  $\{< I \parallel K\} = \{< I \parallel I\}$  is empty. In fact, if an element  $Q \in \{< I \parallel I\}$  exists, then  $I \cap I \subseteq Q \subseteq I \cup I$  and  $|I| = |Q|$  holds by the definition of  $\{< I \parallel I\}$  and  $Q$  is equal to  $I$ . This contradicts to  $Q < I$ . Therefore, the summation of the right hand side of Theorem 3.3 is empty.

Suppose  $P \in \{> J \parallel L\}$ . Then  $J \cap L \subseteq P \subseteq J \cup L = [n]$  and  $|P| = |J| = n - 1$  hold by the definition of  $\{> J \parallel L\}$ , and we see that  $J \cap L = [n] \setminus \{j^*, \ell^*\}$  since  $j^* > \ell^*$ . Thus, since  $P$  is the  $n - 1$ -subset of  $[n]$  containing  $n - 2$  elements of  $[n]$  other than  $j^*$  and  $\ell^*$ , we see that  $P = J$  or  $P = L$ . However, we must

have  $P > J$  since  $P \in \{> J \parallel L\}$ . Furthermore, we have  $J = \widehat{j^*} < \widehat{\ell^*} = L$  by (3.14). Hence, we see that  $P = L$  and  $\{> J \parallel L\} = \{L\}$ . Moreover,

$$(3.24) \quad \tilde{\mu}_P = \tilde{\mu}_L = (-q + q^{-1})^1 (-q)^0 \cdot 1 = q^{-1} - q.$$

By the above discussion, we see that

$$(3.25) \quad q^{n-2} \xi_J^I \xi_L^I = q^{n-1} \xi_L^I \xi_J^I,$$

that is,

$$(3.26) \quad \xi_{ij} \xi_{il} = q \xi_{il} \xi_{ij}$$

by Theorem 3.3. □

*Proof of (IV) of Proposition 3.2.* Let  $i, j, k$ , and  $\ell \in [n]$  satisfy  $i < k, j < \ell$ , and suppose  $I = \widehat{i^*}, J = \widehat{j^*}, K = \widehat{k^*}$ , and  $L = \widehat{\ell^*}$  in Theorem 3.3.

Then the left hand side of Theorem 3.3 is equal to

$$(3.27) \quad q^{n-2} \xi_J^I \xi_L^K + q^{n-2} \sum_{P \in \{> J \parallel L\}} \tilde{\mu}_P \xi_P^I \xi_{P^\natural}^K.$$

However, we see that the set  $\{> J \parallel L\}$  is the singleton  $\{L\}$  for the same reason of the third paragraph of the above proof of (I). Since

$$(3.28) \quad \tilde{\mu}_P = \tilde{\mu}_L = (-q + q^{-1})^1 (-q)^0 \cdot 1 = q^{-1} - q,$$

(3.27) is equal to

$$(3.29) \quad q^{n-2} \xi_J^I \xi_L^K + q^{n-2} (q^{-1} - q) \xi_L^I \xi_J^K.$$

Furthermore, the right hand side of Theorem 3.3 is equal to

$$(3.30) \quad q^{n-2} \xi_L^K \xi_J^I + q^{n-2} \sum_{Q \in \{< I \parallel K\}} \tilde{\lambda}_Q \xi_L^{Q^\natural} \xi_J^Q.$$

Nevertheless, we see that the set  $\{< I \parallel K\}$  is empty for the same reason of the second paragraph of the above proof of (I). Thus, (3.30) is equal to

$$(3.31) \quad q^{n-2} \xi_L^K \xi_J^I.$$

Hence, we see that

$$(3.32) \quad q^{n-2} \xi_J^I \xi_L^K + q^{n-2} (q^{-1} - q) \xi_L^I \xi_J^K = q^{n-2} \xi_L^K \xi_J^I,$$

that is,

$$(3.33) \quad \xi_{ij} \xi_{kl} - \xi_{kl} \xi_{ij} = (q - q^{-1}) \xi_{il} \xi_{kj}.$$

□

We omit the proof of the others of Proposition 3.2 because they are similar to the above. In the following we write  $X$  and  $\Xi_{n-1}$  in the place of  $X(q)$  and  $\Xi_{n-1}(q)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.**

$$(3.34) \quad \det_q \Xi_{n-1} = (\det_q X)^{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B_n(q)$  be the subalgebra of  $A_n(q)$  generated by  $\{\xi_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ . Then  $D_q := \det_q \Xi_{n-1}$  belongs to the center  $ZB_n(q)$  of  $B_n(q)$ . We have

$$(3.35) \quad X \tilde{X} \begin{pmatrix} D_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_q \end{pmatrix} = X \begin{pmatrix} D_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_q \end{pmatrix} \tilde{X}.$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{aligned} X \tilde{X} \begin{pmatrix} D_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_q \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \det_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \det_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_q \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} D_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \det_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \det_q \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} D_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_q \end{pmatrix} X \tilde{X}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above two equations, we obtain

$$(3.37) \quad X \begin{pmatrix} D_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_q & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_q \end{pmatrix} X,$$

that is,  $D_q \in ZA_n(q)$ . Therefore, we see that

$$(3.38) \quad D_q = \alpha (\det_q X)^k$$

with some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Comparing the degree and the "leading term" of both sides, we see that  $\alpha = 1, k = n - 1$ .  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The third author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 17K05180.



## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Flanders. A note on the Sylvester-Franke theorem. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 60(8):543–545, 1953.
- [2] K. R. Goodearl. Commutation relations for arbitrary quantum minors. *Pacific J. Math.*, 228(1):63–102, 2006.
- [3] M. Noumi, H. Yamada, and K. Mimachi. Finite dimensional representations of the quantum group  $GL_q(n; \mathbb{C})$  and the zonal spherical functions on  $U_q(n-1) \backslash U_q(n)$ . *Japan. J. Math.*, 19(1):31–80, 1993.
- [4] G. B. Price. Some identities in the theory of determinants. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 54(2):75–90, 1947.
- [5] N.Yu. Reshetikhin, L.A. Takhtajan, and L.D. Faddeev. Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras. *Algebra i Analiz*, 1(1):178–206, 1989.

KAZUYA AOKAGE  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
 ARIAKE COLLEGE  
 FUKUOKA 836-8585, JAPAN  
*e-mail address:* aokage@ariake-nct.ac.jp

SUMITAKA TABATA  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY  
 KUMAMOTO 860-8555, JAPAN  
*e-mail address:* 217d9001@st.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

HIRO-FUMI YAMADA  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY  
 KUMAMOTO 860-8555, JAPAN  
*e-mail address:* hfyamada@kumamoto-u.ac.jp

(Received July 27, 2020)

(Accepted August 12, 2021)

---

Added in proof. After completion of the manuscript, the following paper drew our attention: B. Parshall and J. P. Wang, Quantum linear groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 89 (1991), no. 439. Lemma 4.2.3 and Corollary 5.2.2 of Parshall-Wang can be used to prove quantum Sylvester-Franke theorem of the present paper.