

## NOTE ON THE COHOMOLOGICAL INVARIANT OF PFISTER FORMS

M. TEZUKA AND N. YAGITA

ABSTRACT. The cohomological invariant ring of the  $n$ -Pfister forms is isomorphic to the invariant ring under a  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -action in that of an elementary abelian 2-group of rank  $n$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $k$  with  $ch(k) \neq 2$ . The cohomological invariant ring  $Inv^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \bigoplus_i Inv^i(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  of  $G$  is the ring generated by natural functors  $H^1(K; G) \rightarrow H^i(K; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  for the category of finitely generated fields  $K$  over  $k$ . (For details, see the excellent book [Ga-Me-Se]). Moreover, we can define the cohomological invariant ring  $Inv^*(Pfister_n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  of  $n$ -Pfister forms, although the corresponding group  $G$  does not exist for  $n \geq 4$ . This ring has been computed by Serre using elementary but very elegant arguments in Theorem 18.1 in [Ga-Me-Se].

In this note, we show that this ring for  $n$ -Pfister forms can be identified with the invariant ring under a  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -action in that of an elementary abelian 2-group of rank  $n$ , namely,

$$Inv^*(Pfister_n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong Inv^*((\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)^{GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)}.$$

To show this, we use some machinery from motivic cohomology and Dickson algebras, which can be applied for other groups  $G$ .

The authors would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the paper.

### 2. MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY AND COHOMOLOGICAL INVARIANT

We recall the motivic cohomology  $H^{*,*'}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \bigoplus_{p,q} H^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  for a smooth scheme  $X$  over  $k$  with  $ch(k) \neq 2$ . By the Milnor conjecture (which has been solved by Voevodsky), we know  $H^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H_{et}^p(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  for  $p \leq q$ . Consider  $\tau \in H^{0,1}(Spec(k); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$  as a nonzero element. It is known that  $H^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$  for  $(p - q) > dim(X)$ . Hence we obtain

$$H^{*,*'}(Spec(k); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H_{et}^*(Spec(k); \mathbb{Z}/2)[\tau].$$

Let us write  $H^{*,*'} = H^{*,*'}(Spec(k); \mathbb{Z}/2)$  and  $H^* = H_{et}^*(Spec(k); \mathbb{Z}/2)$  so that  $H^{*,*'} \cong H^*[\tau]$ .

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11E72; Secondary 12G05.

*Key words and phrases.* Pfister forms, cohomological invariant, Dickson invariant.

Let  $BG$  be the classifying space of  $G$  ([To], [Vo2]). Let  $H^p(X; H_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^q)$  be the sheaf cohomology where  $H_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^q$  is the Zariski sheaf induced from the presheaf  $H_{et}^q(V; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  for each open subset  $V$  of  $X$ . Then Totaro proved that

$$Inv^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^0(BG; H_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^*)$$

in a letter to Serre [Ga-Me-Se]. The Milnor conjecture implies the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture (see [Vo2,3]). This fact implies the following long exact sequence of motivic and sheaf cohomology theories (Lemma 3.1 in [Or-Vi-Vo], [Vo3])

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow H^{p,q-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) &\xrightarrow{\times \tau} H^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ &\rightarrow H^{p-q}(X; H_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^q) \rightarrow H^{p+1,q-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\times \tau} \dots \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

**Theorem 2.1.** *There is an additive isomorphism*

$$Inv^p(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^{p,p}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)/(\tau) \oplus Ker(\tau)|H^{p+1,p-1}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

As an application, we first consider the case where  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . The  $mod(2)$  motivic cohomology is computed in [Vo1,2].

$$H^{*,*'}(B\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^{*,*'}[y] \otimes \Delta(x) = H^{*,*'}[y]\{1, x\}$$

with  $\beta(x) = y$ , hence  $deg(y) = (2, 1)$  and  $deg(x) = (1, 1)$ . Here Voevodsky shows ([Vo1,2]) as follows:

$$x^2 = \tau y + \rho x \quad \text{with } \rho = (-1) \in H^1 = k^*/(k^*)^2.$$

Next, we consider their product  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ . The cohomology  $H^{*,*'}(B\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  has the Kunneth formula (by Voevodsky [Vo2]). Hence the motivic cohomology is given by

$$H^{*,*'}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^{*,*'}[y_1, \dots, y_n] \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $\beta(x_i) = y_i$  and  $x_i^2 = \tau y_i + \rho x_i$ . Hence from Theorem 2.1, we obtain (as stated 16.4 in [Ga-Me-Se])

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be an elementary abelian 2-group of rank  $n$ . Then  $Inv^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^* \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $x_i^2 = \rho x_i$ .*

## 3. DICKSON INVARIANTS

Recall that the mod 2 (topological) cohomology

$$H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, \dots, x_n] \quad |x_i| = 1.$$

It is well known that the invariant ring under the  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -action is the Dickson algebra

$$H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)^{GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[d_{n,0}, \dots, d_{n,n-1}]$$

where each generator (Dickson element)  $d_{n,i}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} w_t(x) &= \prod_{\epsilon_i=0 \text{ or } 1} (t + \epsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n) \\ &= t^{2^n} + d_{n,n-1} t^{2^{n-1}} + d_{n,n-2} t^{2^{n-2}} + \dots + d_{n,0} t. \end{aligned}$$

**Examples.** Let  $w_i$  be the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric function for  $x_j$  in  $H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Then,

$$\begin{cases} d_{2,1} = x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 = w_1^2 + w_2 \\ d_{2,0} = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 = w_1 w_2. \end{cases}$$

To recognize the Dickson elements in the cohomological invariant ring, let us consider it in the following ring

$$U = \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho] \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad x_i^2 = \rho x_i.$$

For example, in  $U$ , we see  $d_{2,0} = \rho x_1 x_2 + x_1 \rho x_2 = 0$ , and

$$d_{2,1} = \rho x_1 + x_1 x_2 + \rho x_2 = \rho w_1 + w_2.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *In  $U$ , we have  $d_{n,i} = 0$  for  $i < n - 1$  and*

$$d_{n,n-1} = \sum_{i \geq 1}^n w_i \rho^{2^{n-1}-i} = (\rho + x_1) \dots (\rho + x_n) \rho^{2^{n-1}-n} + \rho^{2^{n-1}}.$$

*Proof.* Decompose that

$$w_t(x) = \prod (t + \epsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \times \prod (t + x_n + \epsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{n-1} x_{n-1}).$$

By induction on  $n$ , we assume that this element is

$$(t^{2^{n-1}} + d_{n-1,n-2} t^{2^{n-2}}) ((t + x_n)^{2^{n-1}} + d_{n-1,n-2} (t + x_n)^{2^{n-2}}).$$

By setting  $d_{n-1,n-2} = d$ ,  $t^{2^{n-2}} = T$  and  $x_n^{2^{n-2}} = X$ , the above formula is written as

$$\begin{aligned} &(T^2 + dT)(T^2 + X^2 + dT + dX) \\ &= T^4 + (d^2 + dX + X^2)T^2 + (d^2 X + dX^2)T. \end{aligned}$$

Here note  $X^2 = \rho^m X = \rho^\ell x_n$ ,  $d^2 = \rho^m d$  (since  $(\rho + x)^2 = \rho(\rho + x)$ ). So we obtain  $(d^2 X + dX^2) = 0$ .

Let  $a_i = (\rho + x_1)\dots(\rho + x_i)$  so that  $a_{n-1} = d + \rho^k$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n,n-1} &= d^2 + dX + X^2 = \rho^m d + \rho^{m-1} dx_n + \rho^\ell x_n \\ &= \rho^s (a_{n-1} + \rho^k) + \rho^{s-1} (a_{n-1} + \rho^k) x_n + \rho^\ell x_n \\ &= \rho^{s-1} a_{n-1} (\rho + x_n) + \rho^r = \rho^{s-1} a_n + \rho^r \end{aligned}$$

for some  $m, \ell, k, s, r \geq 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let us write*

$$e_n = \rho^{-2^{n-1}+n} d_{n,n-1} = \sum_{i \geq 1}^n w_i \rho^{n-i} = (\rho + x_1)\dots(\rho + x_n) + \rho^n.$$

Then we have the ring isomorphism

$$\text{Inv}^*((\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)^{GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)} \cong H^*\{1, e_n\}, \quad e_n^2 = \rho^n e_n.$$

*Proof.* By  $\text{Ideal}(\rho)$ , we consider the associated graded algebra

$$\text{gr}(H^* \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \cong \text{gr}(H^*) \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (x_i^2 = 0).$$

Note  $e_n = w_n$  in the above graded algebra. We can see

$$\Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\{1, w_n\}$$

from the following arguments.

The invariant ring of  $\mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  under the  $n$ -th Symmetric group is multiplicatively generated by  $w_s$  for  $s \leq n$ . Here  $x_i^2 = 0$  in  $\text{gr}(U)$ , and hence its invariant ring is additively generated by  $w_s$  for  $s \leq n$ .

Suppose  $s < n$  and write

$$w_s = x_1 \left( \sum_{1 \neq i_k} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{s-1}} \right) + \left( \sum_{1 \neq i_k} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_s} \right).$$

Consider the action  $x_{12} : x_1 \mapsto x_1 + x_2$  but  $x_{12} : x_i \mapsto x_i$  for  $i > 1$ . Then

$$(x_{12} - 1)w_s = x_2 \left( \sum_{1 \neq i_k} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{s-1}} \right) = x_2 x_3 \dots x_{s+1} + \dots \neq 0 \quad \text{in } \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

All elements in  $H^*$  and  $e_n$  are invariants in  $H^* \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = H^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2[\rho]} U$ . Thus we have the corollary.  $\square$

Let  $n = 2$  and  $G = SO_3$ , or  $n = 3$  and  $G = G_2$  the exceptional group. Then  $G$  has only one conjugacy class  $A_n$  of maximal elementary abelian 2-groups of rank  $n$ . The Weyl group  $W_G(A_n)$  is isomorphic to  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Hence we have the restriction map

$$\text{Inv}^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow \text{Inv}^*(A_n; \mathbb{Z}/2)^{W_G(A_n)} \cong H^*\{1, e_n\}.$$

The result in [Ga-Me-Se] shows that this map is an isomorphism. This fact holds true for  $n \geq 4$  as stated in the next section, although the corresponding group  $G$  does not exist.

## 4. PFISTER FORMS

The most important quadratic forms are Pfister forms. Given  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (k^*/(k^*)^2)^{\times n}$ , the  $n$ -th Pfister form  $P_a$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} P_a &= \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, -a_1 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle 1, -a_n \rangle \\ &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n} \langle (-a_{i_1}) \dots (-a_{i_s}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Given a quadratic form  $q_a = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , the total Stiefel-Whitney class is given by

$$w_t(q_a) = \Pi(t + x_i) \in H^*[t] \quad \text{with } x_i = (a_i) \in H^1.$$

Hence we obtain

$$w_t(P_a) = \Pi_{\epsilon_i=0 \text{ or } 1}(t + \epsilon_1(\rho + x_1) + \dots + \epsilon_n(\rho + x_n))$$

identifying  $x_i = (a_i)$ . Thus the following proposition follows the preceding lemma. (Substitute  $x_i + \rho$  for  $x_i$  on the right-hand side of the equation in Lemma 3.1.)

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $x_i = (a_i) \in k^*/(k^*)^2$  and  $w_n = x_1 \dots x_n$ . Then*

$$w_t(P_a) = t^{2^n} + (w_n + \rho^n) \rho^{2^{n-1} - n} t^{2^{n-1}}.$$

Next, we consider the map from  $(k^*/(k^*)^2)^{\times n}$  to the set  $Pfist_n$  of  $n$ -th Pfister forms defined by

$$p : a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto P_{-a} = \langle\langle -a_1, \dots, -a_n \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, a_1 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle 1, a_n \rangle.$$

This map induces the map of cohomological invariant rings

$$p^* : Inv^*(Pfister_n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow Inv^*((k^*/(k^*)^2)^{\times n}; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Here the last invariant ring is isomorphic to

$$Inv^*((\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^* \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

On  $H^* \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we can define the usual  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -action. This action is also written as follows. Consider the Bruhat decomposition

$$GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2) = \coprod_{w \in S_n} BwB$$

where  $B$  is the Borel group generated by upper triangular matrices, and  $S_n$  is the  $n$ -th symmetric group generated by transition matrices. The group  $B$  is generated by  $x_{ij} = 1 + e_{ij}$ ; the elementary matrix with  $(i, j)$  entries 1 with the following relations

$$x_{ij}^2 = 1, \quad [x_{ij}, x_{kl}] = \begin{cases} x_{il} & \text{if } j = k; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define  $w(x_i) = x_{w(i)}$  for  $w \in S_n$  and

$$x_{ij}(x_i) = x_i + x_j, \quad x_{ij}(x_k) = x_k \quad \text{for } i \neq k.$$

Then the  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -action is decided on  $Inv^*((\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *The above map  $p^*$  induces the isomorphism of rings*

$$Inv^*(Pfister_n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^* \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)} \cong H^*\{1, e_n\}.$$

*Proof.* On  $(k^*/(k^*)^2)^{\times n}$ , we can define the  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -action by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij}(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i a_j, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n), \\ w(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= (a_{w(1)}, \dots, a_{w(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

This induces the action on  $Inv^*((\mathbb{Z}/2)^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  by  $w(x_i) = x_{w(i)}$  and

$$x_{ij}(x_i) = x_i + x_j, \quad x_{ij}(x_k) = x_k \quad \text{for } i \neq k.$$

Define a  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)$  action on  $Pfister_n$  by setting  $x_{ij}p(a) = p(x_{ij}(a))$ . Then this action is invariant, indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{12}\langle\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle\rangle &= px_{12}(-a_1, -a_2) = p(a_1 a_2, -a_2) \\ &= \langle\langle -a_1 a_2, a_2 \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, a_1 a_2, -a_2, -a_1 a_2^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, a_1 a_2, -a_2, -a_1 \rangle = \langle\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the map

$$q^* : Inv^*(Pfister_n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^* \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)}.$$

The following map

$$P_{-a} \mapsto x'_1 \dots x'_n + \rho^n \in H^* \quad \text{with } x'_i = x_i + \rho = (-a_i)$$

represents a cohomological invariant for  $Pfister_n$ , which restricts to  $e$  on that for  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^n$ . (In fact, the Pfister form  $P_a$  is defined from each symbol  $x_1 \dots x_n \in H^*$  which is also reconstructed from the Pfister form uniquely.) Hence the above map  $q^*$  is an epimorphism.

For each finitely generated field  $K$  over  $k$ , the map  $p : (K^*/(K^*)^2)^n \rightarrow Pfister_n|_K$  is obviously an epimorphism. Hence the induced map  $p^* : Inv^*(Pfister_n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow Inv^*((k^*/(k^*)^2)^{\times n}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is defined by

$$p^*(x) : (K^*/(K^*)^2)^n \rightarrow Pfister_n \xrightarrow{x} H^n(K; \mathbb{Z}/2),$$

which is always a monomorphism. □

If we consider the map

$$q : a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto P_a = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle,$$

then the map  $q^*$  also induces the isomorphism

$$Inv^*(Pfister_n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^* \otimes \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/2)_{II}} \cong H^*\{1, w_n\}$$

where  $GL(\mathbb{Z}/2)_{II}$  is the unusual action defined by  $x_{ij}(x_i) = \rho + x_i + x_j$ .

## REFERENCES

- [Ga-Me-Se] S.Garibaldi, A.Merkurjev, and J.P.Serre. Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology. *University lect. series vol(28) AMS* (2003).
- [Or-Vi-Vo] D.Orlov, A.Vishik, and V.Voevodsky. An exact sequence for  $K_*^M/2$ -theory with applications to quadric forms. *Ann. of Math.* **165** (2007) 1-13.
- [To] B. Totaro. The Chow ring of classifying spaces. *Proc.of Symposia in Pure Math. "Algebraic K-theory" (1997:University of Washington,Seattle)* **67** (1999), 248-281.
- [Vo1] V. Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  coefficient. *Publ. Math. IHES* **98** (2003), 59-104.
- [Vo2] V.Voevodsky. Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology. *Publ.Math. IHES* **98** (2003),1-57.
- [Vo3] V.Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}/l$ -coefficients. *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 401-438.

MICHISHIGE TEZUKA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE,  
RYUKYU UNIVERSITY,  
OKINAWA, JAPAN  
*e-mail address:* tez@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp

NOBUAKI YAGITA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
FACULTY OF EDUCATION,  
IBARAKI UNIVERSITY,  
MITO, IBARAKI, JAPAN  
*e-mail address:* yagita@mx.ibaraki.ac.jp

(Received February 1, 2011)