

NONCRITICAL BELYI MAPS

SHINICHI MOCHIZUKI

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we present a slightly *strengthened version* of a well-known *theorem of Belyi* on the existence of “*Belyi maps*”. Roughly speaking, this strengthened version asserts that there exist Belyi maps which are *unramified at* [cf. Theorem 2.5] — *or even near* [cf. Corollary 3.2] — *a prescribed finite set of points*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Write \mathbb{C} for the *complex number field*; $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ for the subfield of *algebraic numbers*. Let X be a *smooth, proper, connected algebraic curve* over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. If F is a field, then we shall denote by \mathbb{P}_F^1 the *projective line* over F .

Definition 1. We shall refer to a dominant morphism [of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -schemes]

$$\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$$

as a *Belyi map* if ϕ is unramified over the open subscheme $U_P \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$ given by the complement of the points “0”, “1”, and “ ∞ ” of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$; in this case, we shall refer to $U_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi^{-1}(U_P) \subseteq X$ as a *Belyi open* of X .

In [1], it is shown that X always admits *at least one Belyi open*. From this point of view, the *main result* (Theorem 2.5) of the present paper has as an immediate formal consequence (pointed out to the author by A. Tamagawa) the following interesting [and representative] result:

Corollary 1.1 (Belyi Opens as a Zariski Base). *If $V_X \subseteq X$ is any open subscheme of X containing a closed point $x \in X$, then there exists a Belyi open $U_X \subseteq V_X \subseteq X$ such that $x \in U_X$. In particular, the Belyi opens of X form a base for the Zariski topology of X .*

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank *A. Tamagawa* for helpful discussions during November 1999 concerning the proof of Theorem 2.5 given here.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

We begin with some elementary lemmas:

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14H25; Secondary 14H30.
Key words and phrases. Belyi map, Zariski base.

Lemma 2.1 (Separating Properties of Belyi Maps). *Let $C \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $C \geq 2$; let*

$$S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$$

be a finite set of rational points such that:

- (i) $0, 1, \infty \in S$;
- (ii) *there exists an $r \in S$ such that $0 < r < 1$;*
- (iii) *every $\alpha \in S$ such that $\alpha \neq 0, r, 1, \infty$ satisfies $\alpha > 1$.*

Suppose that $\beta \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus S$ satisfies the following condition:

- (iv) $\beta/\alpha \geq C$, for all $\alpha \in S \setminus \{0, \infty\}$.

Write $r = m/(m+n)$, where $m, n \geq 1$ are integers. Then the function

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^m \cdot (x-1)^n$$

satisfies the following properties:

- (a) $f(\{0, r, 1, \infty\}) \subseteq \{0, f(r), \infty\}$;
- (b) $f'(x) = 0$ (where $x \in \mathbb{C}$) implies $x \in \{0, r, 1, \infty\} \subseteq S$;
- (c) $f(\beta) \notin f(S)$;
- (d) $(f(\beta) + f_0)/(f(\alpha) + f_0) \geq C$ for all $\alpha \in S \setminus \{\infty\}$ such that $f(\alpha) + f_0 \neq 0$.

Here, we write $f_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\min_{\alpha} \{f(\alpha)\}$, where α ranges over the elements of $S \setminus \{\infty\}$.

Proof. Property (a) is immediate from the definitions. Property (b) follows immediately from the fact that:

$$f'(x) = x^{m-1} \cdot (x-1)^{n-1} \cdot \{(m+n)x - m\}$$

This computation also implies that for real $x > 1$, we have $f'(x) > 0$, hence that $f(x)$ is *monotone increasing*, for real $x > 1$. In particular, since, by condition (iv), $\beta \geq C \cdot \alpha \geq 2 \cdot \alpha > \alpha$, for all $\alpha \in S \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, we conclude that $f(\beta) > f(\alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in S \setminus \{\infty\}$ such that $\alpha > 1$.

Next, observe that since $1 \in S \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, condition (iv) implies that $\beta \geq C \geq 2$, so $f(\beta) > 1$. Since $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for $x \in [0, 1]$, we thus conclude that $f(\beta) \notin f(S)$, i.e., that property (c) is satisfied.

Next, let us observe the following property:

- (e) If $\alpha \in S \setminus \{\infty\}$ satisfies $\alpha > 1$, then $(\beta - 1)/(\alpha - 1) \geq \beta/\alpha \geq 1$;
 $f(\beta)/f(\alpha) \geq (\beta/\alpha)^2 \geq \beta/\alpha$.

[Indeed, as observed above, $\beta \geq \alpha$; thus, $f(\beta)/f(\alpha) = (\beta/\alpha)^m \cdot \{(\beta-1)/(\alpha-1)\}^n \geq (\beta/\alpha)^{m+n} \geq (\beta/\alpha)^2 \geq \beta/\alpha$.] Now we proceed to verify property (d) as follows:

Suppose that n is *even*. Then $f(\alpha) \geq 0$, for all $\alpha \in S \setminus \{\infty\}$, so $f(0) = 0$ implies that $f_0 = 0$. Thus, if $(S \setminus \{\infty\}) \ni \alpha > 1$, then, by condition (iv) and property (e), we have: $f(\beta)/f(\alpha) \geq \beta/\alpha \geq C$, as desired. Since $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, to complete the proof of property (d) for n even, it suffices to observe that $0 < f(r) \leq 1$, so $f(\beta)/f(r) \geq f(\beta) = \beta^m \cdot (\beta - 1)^n \geq \beta \geq C$ [since $\beta \geq C \geq 2$, as observed above].

Now suppose that n is *odd*. Then $f(x) \leq 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$, so [since $f'(x) = 0$ for $x \in (0, 1) \iff x = r$] we conclude that:

$$f_0 = |f(r)| = \{m/(m+n)\}^m \cdot \{n/(m+n)\}^n$$

Note, moreover, that this expression for f_0 implies that $0 < f_0 \leq \frac{1}{4}$. [Indeed, this is immediate in the following three cases: $m, n \geq 2$; $m = n = 1$; one of m, n is $= 1$ and the other is ≥ 3 . When one of m, n is $= 1$ and the other is $= 2$, it follows from the fact that $(\frac{1}{3}) \cdot (\frac{2}{3})^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$.] Then if $\alpha > 1$, then *either* $f(\alpha) \geq f_0$, in which case

$$(f(\beta) + f_0)/(f(\alpha) + f_0) \geq f(\beta)/\{2 \cdot f(\alpha)\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\beta/\alpha)^2 \geq (\beta/\alpha) \geq C$$

[by property (e)] *or* $f(\alpha) \leq f_0$, in which case

$$(f(\beta) + f_0)/(f(\alpha) + f_0) \geq f(\beta)/\{2 \cdot f_0\} \geq 2 \cdot f(\beta) = 2\beta^m(\beta - 1)^n \geq \beta \geq C$$

[since $0 < f_0 \leq \frac{1}{4}$, $\beta \geq C \geq 2$]. On the other hand, if $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$, then

$$(f(\beta) + f_0)/(f(\alpha) + f_0) = (f(\beta) + f_0)/f_0 \geq f(\beta) \geq \beta^m \cdot (\beta - 1)^n \geq \beta \geq C$$

[since $\beta \geq C \geq 2$, as observed above]. This completes the proof of property (d). \square

Lemma 2.2 (Belyi Maps Noncritical at Prescribed Rational Points). *Let*

$$S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$$

be a finite set of rational points such that:

- (i) $0, \infty \in S$;
- (ii) $\alpha \in S \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ *implies* $\alpha > 0$.

Suppose that $\beta \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus S$ *satisfies the following condition:*

- (iii) $\beta/\alpha \geq 2$, *for all* $\alpha \in S \setminus \{0, \infty\}$.

Then there exists a nonconstant polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ *which defines a morphism*

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$$

such that: (a) $\phi(S) \subseteq \{0, 1, \infty\}$; (b) $\phi(\beta) \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$; (c) ϕ *is unramified over* $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

Proof. Indeed, we induct on the *cardinality* $|S|$ of S and apply Lemma 2.1 [with, say, $C = 2$] to the set $\lambda \cdot S \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$, for some appropriate *positive rational number* λ . Then, so long as $|S| \geq 4$, the polynomial “ $f(x) + f_0$ ” of Lemma 2.1 determines a morphism $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$, unramified away from the image of S , that maps β, S to some β', S' that satisfy conditions (i), (ii), (iii) of the present Lemma 2.2, but for which the cardinalities of S', S satisfy $|S'| < |S|$. Thus, by applying the induction hypothesis and composing the resulting morphisms $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$, we conclude the existence of an “ f ”, “ ϕ ” as in the statement of the present Lemma 2.2. \square

Lemma 2.3 (Separation of Collections of Points). *Let*

$$S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$$

be a finite set of complex points. Then for any real $C > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus S$, there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the rational function

$$f(x) = 1/(x - \lambda)$$

satisfies $f(\beta) \neq 0, \infty$; $f(\alpha) \neq \infty$; and $|f(\beta)| \geq C \cdot |f(\alpha)|$, for all $\alpha \in S$. Moreover, if $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, then one may take $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Indeed, it suffices to take λ such $|\lambda - \beta|$ is *sufficiently small*. \square

Lemma 2.4 (Reduction to the Rational Case). *Write $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ for the subset of algebraic numbers. Let*

$$S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$$

be a finite set of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -rational points. Suppose that $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus S$. Then there exists a nonconstant rational function $f(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ which defines a morphism

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$$

such that, for some $S_{\phi} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, we have: (a) $\phi(S) \subseteq S_{\phi}$; (b) $\phi(\beta) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus S_{\phi}$; (c) ϕ is unramified over $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1 \setminus S_{\phi}$. Moreover, if S, β are defined over a number field F , then ϕ may be taken to be defined over F .

Proof. First of all, we observe that by applying the automorphism $x \mapsto x - \beta$, we may assume that $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, under the hypothesis that $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, we shall construct a $f(x)$ satisfying the required conditions such that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}(x)$. Also, we may replace S by the union of all $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugates of S and assume, without loss of generality, that S is $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -stable.

If F is a *finite extension* of \mathbb{Q} , then let us refer to the number $[F : \mathbb{Q}] - 1$ as the *reduced degree* of F . Write

$$m(S)$$

for the *maximum of the reduced degrees* of the fields of definition of the various points contained in S and

$$d(S)$$

for the *sum of those reduced degrees* of the fields of definition of the various points contained in S which are *equal to* $m(S)$. Thus, $d(S) = 0$ if and only if $m(S) = 0$ if and only if $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$.

Now we perform a “*nested induction*” on $m(S)$, $d(S)$: That is to say, we induct on $m(S)$, and, for each fixed value of $m(S)$, we induct on $d(S)$. If $m(S), d(S) \neq 0$, then let $\alpha_0 \in S \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ be such that $d_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_0) : \mathbb{Q}]$ is equal to $m(S) + 1$. Then by applying an automorphism (with rational coefficients!) as in Lemma 2.3 and then multiplying by some positive rational number, we may assume that $|\alpha| \leq 1$, for all $\alpha \in S \setminus \{\infty\}$, while $|\beta| \geq C$, for some *sufficiently large* C , where “sufficiently large” is relative to d_0 . Let $f_0(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be the *monic minimal polynomial* for α_0 over \mathbb{Q} . Then one verifies immediately that all of the coefficients of $f_0(x)$ have absolute value $\leq d_0^{d_0}$. In particular, it follows that the value of f_0 at every $\alpha \in S \setminus \{\infty\}$, as well as at every element of the set S_0 of roots of the derivative $f'_0(x)$ has absolute value bounded by some *fixed expression in* d_0 . Thus, for a suitable choice of C , it follows that $f_0(\beta) \notin S' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_0(S) \cup f_0(S_0)$. Moreover, since $f_0(\alpha_0) = 0$; $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha') : \mathbb{Q}] < d_0$ for every $\alpha' \in f_0(S_0)$ [since $f_0(x), f'_0(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$; $f'_0(x)$ has degree $\leq d_0 - 1$], it follows that S' is $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -stable and satisfies the property that *either*

$$m(S') < m(S)$$

or

$$m(S') = m(S); \quad d(S') < d(S)$$

— thus *completing the induction step*. In particular, replacing S by S' , β by $f_0(\beta)$, applying the induction hypothesis, and composing the resulting morphisms yields a morphism ϕ as in the statement of Lemma 2.4. \square

Theorem 2.5 (Belyi Maps Noncritical at Prescribed Points). *Let X be a smooth, proper, connected curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and*

$$S, T \subseteq X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$$

finite sets of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -rational points such that $S \cap T = \emptyset$. Then there exists a morphism

$$\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$$

such that: (a) ϕ is unramified over $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$; (b) $\phi(S) \subseteq \{0, 1, \infty\}$; (c) we have $\phi(T) \cap \{0, 1, \infty\} = \emptyset$. Moreover, if X , S , and T are defined over a number field F , then ϕ may be taken to be defined over F .

Proof. Since $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is *infinite*, we may always adjoin to T *extra points* of $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ that do not lie in S ; in particular, we may *assume*, without loss of generality, that T has *cardinality* $\geq 2g_X + 1$, where g_X is the genus of X . Write

$$D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{t \in T} [t]$$

for the *effective divisor* on X obtained by taking the formal sum of the points in T , each with *multiplicity one*; denote the associated *line bundle* $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ by \mathcal{L} and the *canonical bundle* of X by ω_X . Also, we shall write $s_0 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ for the section [uniquely determined up to a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ -multiple] whose zero divisor is D . Thus, the degree $\deg(\mathcal{L})$ of \mathcal{L} is $\geq 2g_X + 1 \geq 1$. In particular, if $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, then

$$\deg(\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}(x)) \leq (2g_X - 2) - (2g_X + 1) + 1 = -2$$

so $\Gamma(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}(x)) = 0$. Since, by Serre duality, $\Gamma(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}(x))$ is dual to $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}(-x))$, we thus conclude that $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}(-x)) = 0$. Now if we consider the *long exact cohomology sequence* associated to the exact sequence of coherent sheaves on X

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(-x) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes k(x) \rightarrow 0$$

[where $k(x)$ is the residue field of X at x] we obtain an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes k(x) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{L}(-x)) \rightarrow \dots$$

— i.e., we have a *surjection* $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes k(x)$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is *infinite*, it thus follows that there exists an $s_1 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ such that $s_1(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in T$. Thus, the *linear series* determined by the sections s_0, s_1 of \mathcal{L} has *no basepoints*, hence determines a *finite morphism*

$$\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$$

such that the pull-back by ψ of the unique [up to isomorphism] line bundle of degree 1 on $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{L} ; ψ maps every $t \in T$ to the point “0” of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$. Moreover, since every point of the support of D has *multiplicity one* in D , ψ is *unramified* over the point “0” of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$; since no point of S lies in the support of D , this point “0” of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$ does not lie in the set $\psi(S)$.

Thus, in summary, by *replacing* X by $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$, T by the point “0” of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$, and S by the union of $\psi(S)$ and the points of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$ over which ψ *ramifies*, we conclude that we may reduce to the case $X = \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$, $T = \{\beta\}$, for some $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \setminus \{\infty\}$. Next, by applying Lemma 2.4, one reduces to the case $X = \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$, $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, $T = \{\beta\}$, for some $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \setminus \{\infty\}$. Finally, by applying an automorphism as in Lemma 2.3 [for, say, $C = 4$], followed by a suitable automorphism of

the form $x \mapsto \nu \cdot x + \mu$, where $\nu \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$, gives rise to a situation in which the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2 are valid. Thus, Theorem 2.5 follows from Lemma 2.2. \square

3. SOME GENERALIZATIONS

Corollary 3.1 (Belyi Maps Noncritical at Arbitrary Sets of Prescribed Cardinality). *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer; X a smooth, proper, connected curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and*

$$S \subseteq X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$$

a finite set of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -rational points. Then there exists a finite collection of morphisms

$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$$

such that: (a) ϕ_i is unramified over $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$; (b) $\phi_i(S) \subseteq \{0, 1, \infty\}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$; (c) for any subset $T \subseteq X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of cardinality n for which $S \cap T = \emptyset$, there exists an $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $\phi_i(T) \cap \{0, 1, \infty\} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Note that we may think of T as a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued point of the n -fold product $Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (X \setminus S)^n$ of $(X \setminus S)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then observe that for any $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$ such that: (a) ϕ is unramified over $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$; (b) $\phi(S) \subseteq \{0, 1, \infty\}$, the subset

$$U_\phi \subseteq Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$$

of $y \in Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ for which $\phi(y) \cap \{0, 1, \infty\} = \emptyset$ [where, by abuse of notation, we write $\phi(y)$ for the subset of $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ which is the image under ϕ of the subset of $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ determined by y] is nonempty and *open* [in the Zariski topology]. Moreover, by Theorem 2.5, the U_ϕ cover $Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ [i.e., as ϕ varies over those morphisms satisfying the conditions (a), (b)]. Since Y is *quasi-compact*, we thus conclude that there exist *finitely many* ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_N such that $Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is covered by $U_{\phi_1}, \dots, U_{\phi_N}$, as desired. \square

In the following, we shall refer to as a *locally compact field* any completion of a number field at an archimedean or nonarchimedean place.

Corollary 3.2 (Belyi Maps Noncritical Near Arbitrary Points of Prescribed Degree). *Let $c, d \geq 1$ be integers; X a smooth, proper, connected curve over a number field $F \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$; V a finite set of **valuations** (archimedean or nonarchimedean) of F . If $v \in V$, then we denote by F_v the completion of F at v . Then there exists a finite collection of **morphisms***

$$\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1$$

and, for each $v \in V$, a **locally compact field** L_v and a **compact set**

$$H_v \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})(L_v) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(L_v)$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $F \subseteq F_v \subseteq L_v$ [i.e., L_v is a topological field extension of F_v];
- (ii) L_v contains all \mathbb{Q} -conjugates of all extensions of F of degree $\leq d$;
- (iii) every ϕ_i (where $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$) is defined over every L_v (where $v \in V$);
- (iv) ϕ_i is unramified over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$;
- (v) for any subset $T \subseteq X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of cardinality $\leq c$ consisting of points $x \in T$ whose field of definition is of degree $\leq d$ over F , there exists an $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $\phi_i(x^\sigma) \in H_v$, for all $x \in T$, $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$, $v \in V$.

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 3.1, write $Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X^n$ for the n -fold product X over F , where we set $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c \cdot d$. Thus, for any $T \subseteq X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ as in the statement of Corollary 3.2, (v), the *conjugates over F* of the various $x \in T$ [in any order, with possible repetition] form a point $\in Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Let L_v be a *locally compact field* containing F_v , as well as all \mathbb{Q} -conjugates of all extensions of F of degree $\leq d$. Then observe that for any $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$ which is defined over all of the L_v [as v ranges over the elements of V] and unramified over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, the subset

$$U_\phi \subseteq Y(L_V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{v \in V} Y(L_v)$$

of $y \in Y(L_V)$ for which $\phi(y) \cap \{0, 1, \infty\} = \emptyset$ [by abuse of notation, as in the proof of Corollary 3.1] is nonempty and *open* relative to the product topology of the *Zariski topologies on the $Y(L_v)$* , hence *a fortiori*, relative to the product topology of the topologies on the $Y(L_v)$ determined by the L_v . Moreover, by arguing as in the proof of Corollary 3.1 using Theorem 2.5 and the *Zariski topology*, we may assume that the L_v are *sufficiently large* that [in fact, finitely many] such U_ϕ cover $Y(L_V)$. Now since each U_ϕ is *locally compact* and contains a *countable dense subset*, it follows that each U_ϕ admits an *exhaustive chain of open subsets*

$$V_{\phi,1} \subseteq V_{\phi,2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq U_\phi$$

[i.e., $\bigcup_j V_{\phi,j} = U_\phi$] such that the closure $\overline{V}_{\phi,j}$ in U_ϕ of each $V_{\phi,j}$ is *compact*. On the other hand, since Y is *proper*, it follows that $Y(L_V)$ is *compact*. We thus conclude that there exist *finitely many* ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_N such that $Y(L_V)$ is *covered* by $V_{\phi_1,j_1}; \dots; V_{\phi_N,j_N}$, where [by abuse of notation, as in the proof of

Corollary 3.1, we write]

$$\phi_i(V_{\phi_i, j_i}) \subseteq \phi_i(\overline{V}_{\phi_i, j_i}) \subseteq \phi_i(U_{\phi_i}) \subseteq \prod_{v \in V} (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})(L_v)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Thus, we may take H_v to be the *image* in the factor $(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})(L_v)$ of the *union* of the compact subsets $\phi_i(\overline{V}_{\phi_i, j_i})$ of the product $\prod_{v \in V} (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})(L_v)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. V. BELYI, *On Galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43:2** (1979), pp. 269–276; English transl. in Math. USSR-Izv. **14** (1980), pp. 247–256.

SHINICHI MOCHIZUKI
RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
KYOTO UNIVERSITY
KYOTO, 606-8502 JAPAN
e-mail address: motizuki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

(Received May 21, 2004)