

ACTIONS ON SPACES OF POLYNOMIALS

KOHHEI YAMAGUCHI

§1. Introduction

For  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , we denote by  $Q_{(n)}^d(\mathbb{K})$  the space consisting of all  $n$ -tuples  $(p_1(z), \dots, p_n(z)) \in \mathbb{K}[z]^n$  of  $\mathbb{K}$ -coefficients monic polynomials of degree  $d$  such that  $p_1(z), \dots, p_n(z)$  have no common *real* roots (but may have common *complex* roots). It is important and valuable to study its topology from the point of view of singularity theory and algebraic topology ([3], [7]). For example, R. Cohen, J.D.S Jones and G. Segal considered the topology of  $Q_{(n)}^d(\mathbb{C})$  for their study of Floer homotopy types in section 4 of [2]. Recently A. Kozłowski and the author investigated the homotopy types of  $Q_{(n)}^d(\mathbb{K})$  in [5].

In this paper, we shall only consider the case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $Q_{(n)}^d$  be the space consisting of all  $n$ -tuples  $(p_1(z), \dots, p_n(z)) \in \mathbb{R}[z]^n$  of real coefficients polynomials which satisfy the following 3 conditions

- (i)  $p_n(z)$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $d$ .
- (ii)  $p_1(z), \dots, p_n(z)$  has no common *real* roots.
- (iii)  $\max\{\deg(p_j) : 1 \leq j \leq n - 1\} < d$ .

Since the map

$$Q_{(n)}^d(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow Q_{(n)}^d$$

$$(p_1(z), \dots, p_{n-1}(z), p_n(z)) \longrightarrow (p_n(z) - p_1(z), \dots, p_n(z) - p_{n-1}(z), p_n(z))$$

gives a homeomorphism  $Q_{(n)}^d(\mathbb{R}) \cong Q_{(n)}^d$  and it is convenient to consider  $Q_{(n)}^d$  instead from the point of view of group actions, we shall only consider the space  $Q_{(n)}^d$ . For an integer  $d$ , let  $[d]_2$  be 0 or 1 according as  $d$  is even or odd. Let us consider the map

$$j_{(n)}^d : Q_{(n)}^d \rightarrow \Omega_{[d]_2} \mathbb{R} P^{n-1} \simeq \Omega S^{n-1}$$

given by

$$j_{(n)}^d(p_1, \dots, p_n)(t) = \begin{cases} [p_1(t) : p_2(t) : \dots : p_n(t)] & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{R} \\ [0 : 0 : \dots : 0 : 0 : 1] & \text{if } t = \infty \end{cases}$$

for  $t \in S^1 = \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$ ,  $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in Q_{(n)}^d(\mathbb{K})$ .

Then note the following result.

**Theorem 1.1** ([5],[8]). *If  $n \geq 3$ , the map  $j_{(n)}^d : Q_{(n)}^d \rightarrow \Omega S^{n-1}$  is a homotopy equivalence up to dimension  $D_n(d) = (n - 2)(d + 1) - 1$ , where a*

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 55P15, 55P35, 55S15.

Key words and phrases. homotopy groups, action, polynomial.

map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a homotopy equivalence up to dimension  $N$  if the induced homomorphism  $f_* : \pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y)$  is bijective when  $k < N$  and surjective when  $k = N$ .  $\square$

Since  $D_n(d) \rightarrow \infty$  (when  $d \rightarrow \infty$ ),  $Q_{(n)}^d$  can be regarded as a finite dimensional model of infinite dimensional space  $\Omega S^{n-1}$ . In fact, more precisely we have proved:

**Theorem 1.2** ([5],[8]). (i). If  $n \geq 4$ , there is a homotopy equivalence  $Q_{(n)}^d \simeq J_d(\Omega S^{n-1})$ .

(ii). If  $n = 3$  and  $d = 2m + 1$ , there is a homotopy equivalence  $Q_{(3)}^{2m+1} \simeq S^1 \times J_m(\Omega S^3)$ .

(iii). If  $n = 3$  and  $d = 2m$ , there is a homotopy equivalence  $\Sigma Q_{(3)}^{2m} \simeq \Sigma J_{2m}(\Omega S^2)$ , where  $\Sigma$  denotes the reduced suspension.

Here we denote by  $J_m(\Omega S^{k+1})$  the  $m$ -th stage James filtration of the loop space  $\Omega S^{k+1}$ ,

$$J_m(\Omega S^{k+1}) = S^k \cup e^{2k} \cup \dots \cup e^{(m-1)k} \cup e^{mk} \subset S^k \cup e^{2k} \cup e^{3k} \cup \dots = \Omega S^{k+1} \quad \square$$

The author would like to study group actions on the space  $Q_{(n)}^d$  and the homotopy type of its orbit space for  $n = 1 + 2^l$  ( $l = 1, 2, 3$ ), for then there is a homotopy equivalence  $\Omega S^{n-1} \simeq S^{n-2} \times \Omega S^{2n-3}$  ([1]). For the case  $l = 1$  (i.e. the case  $n = 3$ ) we already obtained the following result:

**Theorem 1.3** ([8]). The multiplication of  $\mathbb{C}$  induces a  $SO_2$  action on  $Q_{(3)}^d$  such that there exists a homotopy equivalence  $Q_{(3)}^{2m+1}/SO_2 \simeq J_m(\Omega S^3)$ .  $\square$

So the author hopes to study the remaining case  $n = 1 + 2^l$  (with  $l = 2, 3$ ), since this problem would be related to the multiplication of quaternion field  $\mathbb{H}$  if  $l = 2$  and that of Cayley division ring  $\mathbb{C}$  if  $l = 3$ . However, because the distributive law does not hold on  $\mathbb{C}$ , the case  $l = 3$  seems difficult. So in this paper, we shall only study the only case  $l = 2$ , i.e. the case  $n = 5$ .

Let us consider the  $SU_2$  action on  $Q_{(5)}^d$  given by

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Q_{(5)}^d \times SU_2 & \longrightarrow & Q_{(5)}^d \\ ((p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5), A) & \longrightarrow & (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, p_5) \end{array}$$

where for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in SU_2$  (with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ ), the real coefficients polynomials  $q_k(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) are given by the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1(z) + i \cdot q_2(z) \\ q_3(z) + i \cdot q_4(z) \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} p_1(z) + i \cdot p_2(z) \\ p_3(z) + i \cdot p_4(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1(z) + i \cdot p_2(z) \\ p_3(z) + i \cdot p_4(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $d$  is odd, then there is a fibration sequence

$$(1.5) \quad S^3 = SU_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} Q_{(5)}^d \xrightarrow{q} Q_{(5)}^d/SU_2$$

The main results of this paper are as follows:

**Theorem 1.4.** *If  $d = 2m + 1$ , then the fibration (1.5) is trivial. Hence there is a homeomorphism  $Q_{(5)}^{2m+1} \cong SU_2 \times Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}/SU_2$ .*

**Theorem 1.5.** *There is a homotopy equivalence  $Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}/SU_2 \simeq J_m(\Omega S^7)$ .*

The idea of the proof is to define the splitting of the fibration sequence (1.5) using the multiplication of  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** *The author is indebted to M. A. Guest and A. Kozłowski for numerous helpful conversations concerning configuration spaces. The author was partially supported by a grant from the Ministry of Education of Japan.*

§2. Proofs of theorems A and B

Let  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} \oplus i \cdot \mathbb{R} \oplus j \cdot \mathbb{R} \oplus k \cdot \mathbb{R}$  be the quaternion field. From now on we identify

$$SU_2 \cong S^3 = \{x_1 + i \cdot x_2 + j \cdot x_3 + k \cdot x_4 \in \mathbb{H} : x_l \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{m=1}^4 x_m^2 = 1\}$$

First, we prove theorem A.

*Proof of theorem A.*

Remark that any element  $w \in S^3$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \cos \theta_1 \cdot e^{i\theta_2} + j \cdot \sin \theta_1 \cdot e^{i\theta_3} \\ &= \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 + i \cdot \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 + j \cdot \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_3 - k \cdot \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_3 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can choose the inclusion map  $\gamma : S^3 \rightarrow Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}$  as

$$\gamma(w) = (r_1(z), r_2(z), r_3(z), r_4(z), z(z^2 + 1)^m)$$

for  $w = \cos \theta_1 \cdot e^{i\theta_2} + j \cdot \sin \theta_1 \cdot e^{i\theta_3} \in S^3$ , where polynomials  $r_s(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$  ( $s = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) are given by

$$r_s(z) = \begin{cases} z + \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 & (s = 1) \\ z + \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 & (s = 2) \\ z + \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_3 & (s = 3) \\ z - \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_3 & (s = 4) \end{cases}$$

Let  $(p_1(z), p_2(z), p_3(z), p_4(z), p_5(z)) \in Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}$  be any element. The monic polynomial  $p_5(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$  can be written as

$$p_5(z) = (z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_s)g(z)$$

where  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_s$  and  $g(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $d - s$  such that  $g(z) = 0$  has no real roots.

We put

$$R_1(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5) = Q(\alpha_1)^{\epsilon(1)} Q(\alpha_2)^{\epsilon(2)} \cdots Q(\alpha_s)^{\epsilon(s)}$$

where  $\epsilon(t) = (-1)^{t-1}$  and  $Q(\alpha) = p_1(\alpha) + i \cdot p_2(\alpha) + j \cdot p_3(\alpha) + k \cdot p_4(\alpha) \in \mathbb{H}$ .

Since  $p_1(z) = \cdots = p_5(z) = 0$  has no common real roots,  $Q(\alpha_t) \neq 0$  for any  $1 \leq t \leq s$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha_t = \alpha_{t+1}$ ,  $Q(\alpha_t)^{\epsilon(t)} Q(\alpha_{t+1})^{\epsilon(t+1)} = 1$ . Hence the map

$$R_1 : Q_{(5)}^{2m+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^* = \mathbb{H} - \{0\}$$

is continuous. Define the map  $R : Q_{(5)}^{2m+1} \rightarrow S^3$  by

$$R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5) = \frac{R_1(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5)}{|R_1(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5)|}$$

An easy computation shows that  $R \circ \gamma = \text{id} : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ . Hence the fibration  $S^3 = SU_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} Q_{(5)}^{2m+1} \xrightarrow{q} Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}/SU_2$  is a trivial fibration. This complete the proof.  $\square$

**Remark.** *The above proof does not work if  $d$  is an even integer. In fact, if  $d$  is an even integer, a monic polynomial  $p_5(z)$  of degree  $d$  does not necessarily have real roots. So  $R_1$  is not well-defined in general.*

Next, we probe theorem B.

*Proof of theorem B.*

It follows from theorem 1.2 that there is a homotopy equivalence  $Q_{(5)}^{2m+1} \simeq J_{2m+1}(\Omega S^4)$ . Since there is a homotopy equivalence  $\Omega S^4 \simeq S^3 \times \Omega S^7$ , there is a homotopy equivalence  $J_{2m+1}(\Omega S^4) \simeq S^3 \times J_m(\Omega S^7)$ . Hence  $Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}$  and  $S^3 \times J_m(\Omega S^7)$  are homotopy equivalent. Then from theorem A, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$f : Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}/S^3 \times S^3 \xrightarrow{\simeq} J_m(\Omega S^7) \times S^3$$

Let  $\phi : Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}/S^3 \rightarrow J_m(\Omega S^7)$  be the composite of maps

$$Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}/S^3 \xrightarrow{i_1} Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}/S^3 \times S^3 \xrightarrow{\simeq} J_m(\Omega S^7) \times S^3 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} J_m(\Omega S^7)$$

where  $i_1$  and  $\pi_1$  denote the injection and projection to the first factor respectively. It is easy to see that  $\phi_* : H_*(Q_{(5)}^{2m+1}/S^3, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_*(J_m(\Omega S^7), \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism. Because both spaces are simply connected,  $\phi$  is a homotopy equivalence.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.F. Adams: On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one, *Ann. Math.* **72** (1960), 20–104
- [2] R.L. Cohen, J.D.S. Jones, and G.B. Segal: Floer's infinite dimensional Morse theory and homotopy theory, *The Floer Memorial Volume* (H. Hofer, C.H. Taubes, A. Weinstein, and E. Zehnder, eds.), *Progress in Math.* 133, Birkhäuser, 1995 pp.297–325

- [3] M.A. Guest, A. Kozłowski, and K. Yamaguchi: Spaces of polynomials with roots of bounded multiplicity, *Fundamenta Math.* **116** (1999), 93-117
- [4] I.M. James: Reduced product spaces, *Ann. Math.* **62** (1953), 170-197
- [5] A. Kozłowski and K. Yamaguchi: Topology of complements of discriminants and resultants, to appear, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*
- [6] G.B. Segal: The topology of spaces of rational functions, *Acta Math.* **143** (1979), 39-72
- [7] V.A. Vassiliev: *Complements of Discriminants of Smooth Maps: Topology and Applications*, vol. 98, *Translations of Math. Monographs*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1992 (revised edition 1994)
- [8] K. Yamaguchi: *Complements of resultants and homotopy types*, to appear, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*

KOHHEI YAMAGUCHI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRO-COMMUNICATIONS  
1-5-1, CHOFUGAOKA, CHOFU, TOKYO 182-8585 JAPAN  
*e-mail address:* kohhei@prime.e-one.uec.ac.jp

*(Received June 10, 1999)*

*(Revised October 8, 1999)*