

MULTIPLICATIVE ELEMENTS IN MORAVA K-THEORY OF $B\mathbb{Z}/p$

K. KORDZAYA

We denote the p -adic Morava K-theory by $\widehat{K(n)^*}(\)$ so that the ring of coefficients is $\widehat{K(n)^*}_* = \mathbb{Z}_p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$. Let R be a flat extension of \mathbb{Z}_p . We consider the ring

$$R[v_n, v_n^{-1}, t, t^{-1}]/(v_n - t^{p^n - 1}) = R[t, t^{-1}],$$

where t is a variable of degree 2. This ring is flat over $\mathbb{Z}_p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$ and we define a complex oriented cohomology theory

$$\overline{K(n)^*}_R(\) = \widehat{K(n)^*}(\) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]} R[v_n, v_n^{-1}, t, t^{-1}]/(v_n - t^{p^n - 1}).$$

Let x be an orientation class of $\overline{K(n)^*}_R(\)$ with $\deg x = 2$. Then tx is a degree zero class. As an abuse of the notation we shall write x instead of tx . A formal group law associated with $\overline{K(n)^*}_R(\)$ is defined over the periodic graded ring $R[t, t^{-1}]$ of period 2, but we may regard it as a non-graded formal group law $F(x, y)$ defined over R .

It is known that

$$\overline{K(n)^0}_R(B\mathbb{Z}/p) = R[[x]]/([p]x).$$

Here $[p]x = x +_F x +_F \dots +_F x$ (p times) is the p -series of the formal group law $F(x, y)$. Since $F(x, y)$ is obtained by the ring extension of the formal group law of Morava K-theory we have that it is a Lubin-Tate formal group law. Thus we can choose such an orientation x , that

$$[p]x = px - x^{p^n}.$$

It is clear that $\overline{K(n)^0}_R(B\mathbb{Z}/p)$ is a Hopf algebra over R . We denote it by A_n ,

$$A_n = \overline{K(n)^0}_R(B\mathbb{Z}/p) = R[[x]]/([p]x).$$

Since A_n is a rank p^n free R -module we can think of its algebraic dual $\Omega_R(n)$,

$$\Omega_R(n) = \text{hom}_{R\text{-module}}(A_n, R).$$

In this paper using the calculation of an algebraic group of the Hopf

algebra $\Omega_R(n)$, for certain ramified extension R of \mathbb{Z}_p , we get that the group of homotopy classes of ring spectra maps

$$\mathbf{BZ}/p_+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R$$

is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$. Here \mathbf{BZ}/p_+ is the suspension spectrum of $B\mathbb{Z}/p_+$ and $\overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R$ is the ring spectrum of cohomology theory $\overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R^*(\)$. Group operation among such classes f and g is defined by the following composition

$$\mathbf{BZ}/p_+ \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{BZ}/p_+ \wedge \mathbf{BZ}/p_+ \xrightarrow{f \wedge g} \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R \wedge \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R \xrightarrow{\mu} \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R.$$

I would like to thank professor G.Nishida for help and valuable advice on this work.

Let f be a spectra map of degree 0

$$f : \mathbf{BZ}/p_+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R.$$

We can consider f as an element of cohomology ring

$$f = f(x) \in \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R^0(B\mathbb{Z}/p) = A_n$$

and thus we can consider f as a homomorphism

$$f : \Omega_R(n) \longrightarrow R.$$

Lemma 1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- a) $f \in \text{hom}_{R\text{-algebra}}(\Omega_R(n), R)$;
- b) f is a ring spectra map $\mathbf{BZ}/p_+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R$;
- c) $f(F(x, y)) = f(x)f(y)$.

Proof. The condition a) means that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_R(n) \otimes_R \Omega_R(n) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_R(n) \\ \downarrow f \otimes f & & \downarrow f \\ R \otimes_R R & \xlongequal{\quad} & R. \end{array}$$

Considering the dual diagram we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n \otimes_R A_n & \xleftarrow{\Psi} & A_n \\ \uparrow f^* \otimes f^* & & \uparrow f^* \\ R \otimes_R R & \xlongequal{\quad} & R, \end{array}$$

where $f^*(1) = f(x)$ and $\Psi(x) = F(1 \otimes x, x \otimes 1)$. Thus commutativity of this diagram implies that a) \iff c).

The condition b) means that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{BZ}/p_+ \wedge \mathbf{BZ}/p_+ & \xrightarrow{f \wedge f} & \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R \wedge \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R \\ \downarrow m & & \downarrow \mu \\ \mathbf{BZ}/p_+ & \xrightarrow{f} & \overline{\mathbf{K}(n)}_R. \end{array}$$

We can consider that $f \circ m, \mu \circ (f \wedge f) \in \overline{K(n)}_R^0(B\mathbb{Z}/p \times B\mathbb{Z}/p)$ and by definition

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ m &= m^*(f(x)) = f(F(x, y)), \\ \mu \circ (f \wedge f) &= f(x)f(y). \end{aligned}$$

So b) \iff c).

Now to find the above ring spectra maps we shall look for the structure of algebra $\Omega_R(n)$. Recall that $\overline{K(n)}_R^0(\mathbb{C}P^\infty) = R[[x]]$ is a formal Hopf algebra and $A^n = R[[x]]/([p]x)$ is its factor Hopf algebra. We introduce the notation

$$R[[x]]^* = \text{hom}_{R\text{-module}}(R[[x]], R).$$

For $f \in R[[x]]^*$ we have

$$\{f \in \Omega_R(n)\} \iff \{f(\alpha) = 0, \forall \alpha \in ([p]x)\}.$$

Let $\beta_i \in R[[x]]^*$ be dual to x^i so that $\langle \beta_i, x^j \rangle = \delta_j^i$. For $f = \sum a_i \beta_i \in R[[x]]^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{f(\alpha) = 0, \forall \alpha \in ([p]x)\} &\iff \\ \{\langle \sum a_i \beta_i, x^{k-1}(px - x^{p^n}) \rangle = 0, \quad k \geq 1\} &\iff \\ \{pa_k = a_{k+p^n-1}, \quad k \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $pa_k = a_{k+p^n-1}, k \geq 1$, then $f = \sum a_i \beta_i \in \Omega_R(n)$. Therefore

the following elements are in $\Omega_R(n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &1, \\ &\tilde{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + p\beta_{p^n} + p^2\beta_{2p^{n-1}} + \cdots, \\ &\tilde{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 + p\beta_{p^{n+1}} + p^2\beta_{2p^n} + \cdots, \\ &\vdots \\ &\tilde{\beta}_{p^n-1} = \beta_{p^n-1} + p\beta_{2p^{n-2}} + p^2\beta_{3p^{n-3}} + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

The rank of $\Omega_R(n)$ is p^n . Elements $1, \tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_{p^n-1}$ are linearly independent in $R[[x]]^*$ and so are in $\Omega_R(n)$. Hence they can be taken as an additive bases of $\Omega_R(n)$.

Now we consider the product in $\Omega_R(n)$. Since $[p]x = px - x^{p^n}$, by easy calculations we get

$$F(x, y) = x + y + a \left[\sum_{i+1}^{p^n-1} \frac{p^n!}{i!(p^n-i)!} x^i y^{p^n-i} \right] + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j=q(p^n-1)+1} a_{ij} x^i y^j \quad (1)$$

where $a = 1/(p - p^{p^n})$.

Let \mathbf{L} be a submodule of $R[[x]]^*$ generated by the elements $\beta_{p^n}, \beta_{p^{n+1}}, \dots$.

Lemma 2. *Let $i + j = q(p^n - 1) + k$, $0 < k < p^n$, then*

- a) $\beta_i \beta_j = a_{ij}^k \beta_k + l$, where $a_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $l \in \mathbf{L}$
- b) $\tilde{\beta}_i \tilde{\beta}_j = \gamma_{ij} \tilde{\beta}_k$, where $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\gamma_{ij} \equiv a_{ij}^k \pmod{p}$.

Proof.

a) It is well-known that

$$\beta_i \beta_j = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}^r \beta_r \quad (2)$$

where $a_{ij}^r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ are defined from the following equation

$$(F(x, y))^r = \sum_{i, j \geq 1} a_{ij}^r x^i y^j.$$

The sparseness of the formal group law (1) implies the sparseness of

$(F(x, y))^r$:

$$(F(x, y))^r = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j=q(p^n-1)+r} a_{ij}^r x^i y^j.$$

So $a_{ij}^r = 0$ if $r \not\equiv i + j \pmod{p^n - 1}$.

b) By the definition

$$\tilde{\beta}_i \tilde{\beta}_j = \sum_{e, q \geq 0} p^{e+q} \beta_r \beta_t,$$

where $t = q(p^n - 1) + j$ and $r = e(p^n - 1) + i$. Now from a) we have

$$\tilde{\beta}_i \tilde{\beta}_j = \sum_{e, q \geq 0} p^{e+q} (a_{ij}^k \beta_k + l_{e,q}),$$

where $l_{e,q} \in \mathbf{L}$. Taking $\gamma_{ij} = \sum_{e, q \geq 0} a_{ij}^k p^{e+q} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $l = \sum_{e, q \geq 0} p^{e+q} l_{e,q} \in \mathbf{L}$ we get that $\gamma_{ij} = a_{ij}^k \pmod{p}$ and

$$\tilde{\beta}_i \tilde{\beta}_j = \gamma_{ij} \beta_k + l.$$

This relation gives b) in $\Omega_R(n)$.

Lemma 3. a) For $i < p^n - 1$ and $p \nmid i + 1$

$$\tilde{\beta}_1 \tilde{\beta}_i = *(i + 1) \tilde{\beta}_{i+1};$$

b) for $i < p - 1$ and $0 < k \leq n - 1$

$$\tilde{\beta}_{p^k} \tilde{\beta}_{ip^k} = * \tilde{\beta}_{(i+1)p^k};$$

c) for $0 < k < n - 1$

$$\tilde{\beta}_{p^k} \tilde{\beta}_{(p-1)p^k} = * p \tilde{\beta}_{p^{k+1}};$$

d)

$$\tilde{\beta}_{p^{n-1}} \tilde{\beta}_{(p-1)p^{n-1}} = * \tilde{\beta}_1,$$

where $*$ means a multiplication by some p -adic unit of the form $1 + p\nu$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof. The proofs of a) and b) are easy and here we give only the proofs of c) and d).

c) From (1) and (2)

$$\beta_{p^k} \beta_{(p-1)p^k} = \frac{p^{k+1}!}{p^k! ((p-1)p^k)!} \beta_{p^{k+1}} = *p \beta_{p^{k+1}}.$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$\tilde{\beta}_{p^k} \tilde{\beta}_{(p-1)p^k} = \gamma_{p^k, (p-1)p^k} \tilde{\beta}_{p^{k+1}}.$$

Thus we need to prove that $\gamma_{p^k, (p-1)p^k} \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$ and so it is sufficient to show the existens of such an $l \in L$ that

$$\tilde{\beta}_{p^k} \tilde{\beta}_{(p-1)p^k} \equiv p \beta_{p^{k+1}} + l \pmod{p^2}. \quad (3)$$

By the definition

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_{p^k} \tilde{\beta}_{(p-1)p^k} &\equiv \beta_{p^k} \beta_{(p-1)p^k} + p(\beta_{p^k} \beta_{p^{n-1+(p-1)p^k}} + \beta_{p^{n-1+p^k}} \beta_{(p-1)p^k}) \pmod{p^2} \\ &\equiv p \beta_{p^{k+1}} + p(\beta_{p^k} \beta_{p^{n-1+(p-1)p^k}} + \beta_{p^{n-1+p^k}} \beta_{(p-1)p^k}) \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 2.a) shows that for some $l \in L$ we have

$$\tilde{\beta}_{p^k} \tilde{\beta}_{(p-1)p^k} \equiv \beta_{p^{k+1}} + p(a'_k + a''_k) \beta_{p^{k+1}} + l \pmod{p^2},$$

where $a'_k = a_{p^k, [p^{n-1+(p-1)p^k}]}$ and $a''_k = a_{[p^{n-1+p^k}], [(p-1)p^k]}$ are the coefficients of the summands $x^{p^k} y^{p^{n-1+(p-1)p^k}}$ and $x^{p^{n-1+p^k}} y^{(p-1)p^k}$ in $(F(x, y))^{p^{k+1}}$. The degrees of this summands are not the powers of p , so their coefficients have to be divisible by p . Thus we get (3).

d) From (1) and (2) we get that for some $l \in L$

$$\beta_{p^{n-1}} \beta_{(p-1)p^{n-1}} = \frac{ap^{n!}}{p^{n-1}! ((p-1)p^{n-1})!} \beta_1 + l.$$

Since $a = 1/(p - p^{p^n})$, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{ap^{n!}}{p^{n-1}! ((p-1)p^{n-1})!} = 1 + p\nu,$$

for some $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Theorem 4. a) $\Omega_R(1) = R[b_1]/(b_1^p - b_1)$;

b) Let $n \geq 2$ and let I_n be an ideal generated by

$$b_p^{p^k} - a(k)b_{p^{k+1}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-2$$

and

$$b_p^{p^n} - a(n, 1)b_p,$$

where $a(k) = p^{p^{k-1} + p^{k-2} + \dots + p + 1}$ and $a(n, k) = p^{p^{n-1} + \dots + p^{k+1} + p^{k-1} + \dots + p + 1}$.
Then

$$\Omega_R(n) = R[b_p, b_{p^2}, \dots, b_{p^{n-1}}]/I_n.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.a) and b) follows that $1, \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_p, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_{p^{n-1}}$ can be taken as a multiplicative generators of $\Omega_R(n)$. Lemma 3.d) shows that $\tilde{\beta}_1$ can be omitted and Lemma 3.b), c), d) together imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_{p^k}^p &= *p\tilde{\beta}_{p^{k+1}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n - 2; \\ \tilde{\beta}_{p^{n-1}}^{p^2} &= *p\tilde{\beta}_p. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to get the following equations

$$\tilde{\beta}_p^{p^k} = *a(k)\tilde{\beta}_{p^{k+1}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n - 2; \tag{4}$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_p^{p^n} = *a(n, 1)\tilde{\beta}_p. \tag{5}$$

Let K be the quotient field of R . It is clear that $K \otimes_R \Omega_R(n)$ is multiplicatively generated by $\tilde{\beta}_p$. Therefore from the degree reason we have

$$K \otimes_R \Omega_R(n) = K[[\tilde{\beta}_p]]/(\tilde{\beta}_p^{p^n} - *a(n, 1)\tilde{\beta}_p). \tag{6}$$

Hence all relations in $\Omega_R(n)$ can be reduced to the relations of $\tilde{\beta}_p, \tilde{\beta}_{p^2}, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_{p^{n-1}}$ to $\tilde{\beta}_p$, that is (4) and (5).

From (5) we can write $\tilde{\beta}_p^{p^n} = \mu a(n, 1)\tilde{\beta}_p$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \mu \equiv 1 \pmod p$. For such μ there exists $\bar{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ satisfying $\bar{\mu}^{p^n-1} = \mu$. Taking $b_p = \bar{\mu}\tilde{\beta}_p$, we get $b_p^{p^n} = a(n, 1)b_p$. Thus multiplying by the appropriate elements of \mathbb{Z}_p , we can change the generators $\tilde{\beta}_{p^k}$ by $b_{p^k}, k = 1, \dots, n - 1$, in such a way, that the new generators will satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} b_p^{p^k} &= a(k)b_{p^{k+1}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n - 2; \\ b_p^{p^n} &= a(n, 1)b_p. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Thus Theorem 4 is proved.

Corollary 5. *Let \mathcal{O}_n be a degree n unramified extension of p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p . Then \mathcal{O}_n -algebra homomorphism $f : \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_n}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n$ is trivial if $n > 1$.*

Proof. b_p is a root of the following equation in $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_n}(n)$

$$y_p^{p^n} = a(n, 1)y_p. \quad (8)$$

Thus $f(b_p)$ has to be a root of the same equation in \mathcal{O}_n . But in \mathcal{O}_n there is only trivial root of (8). So $f(b_p) = 0$ and therefore $f(b_{p^k}) = 0$ for $1 < k \leq n - 1$.

Now let R be a flat extension of \mathcal{O}_n containing the $(p^n - 1)$ -th roots of $a(n, k)$, $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$. For example such rings are:

1. $R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ is the ring of integers of \mathbb{C}_p , where \mathbb{C}_p is the completion field of the algebraic closure of p -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p ;

2. $R = \mathcal{O}_n[x]/(x^{p^n-1} - p)$ is rumified extension of \mathcal{O}_n containing the $(p^n - 1)$ -th roots of p .

Proposition 6. *For R as above, there exist exactly p^n R -algebra homomorphisms $\Omega_R(n) \rightarrow R$.*

Proof. Using $f \rightarrow 1_K \otimes f$ we define the following injection

$$\phi : \text{hom}_{R\text{-algebra}}(\Omega_R(n), R) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{K\text{-algebra}}(K \otimes_R \Omega_R(n), K).$$

Let us re-wright (6) in such a way

$$K \otimes_R \Omega_R(n) = K[[b_p]]/(b_p^{p^n} - a(n, 1)b_p).$$

It is clear that the number of K -algebra homomorphisms $K \otimes_R \Omega_R(n) \rightarrow K$ is equal to the number of different roots of (8) in K . Thus there are p^n such homomorphisms.

Now it is sufficient to prove that ϕ is surjection. Considering the inclusion

$$i : \Omega_R(n) \rightarrow K \otimes_R \Omega_R(n)$$

we need to show that $f \circ i(\Omega_R(n)) \subset R$ if $f \in \text{hom}_{K\text{-algebra}}(K \otimes_R \Omega_R(n), K)$.

From (7) we have that

$$f \circ i(b_{p^k}) = f \left(\frac{b_p^{p^{k-1}}}{a(k)} \right) = \frac{1}{a(k)} [f(b_p)]^{p^{k-1}},$$

where $f(b_p)$ is a root of (8). Thus

$$f(b_p) = f \circ i(b_p) = f \circ i(b_{p^2}) = \dots = f \circ i(b_{p^{n-1}}) = 0$$

or

$$f(b_p) = \xi_{p^n-1}^{i_1} a(n, 1)^{1/p^{n-1}},$$

where $\xi_{p^n-1}^{i_1}$ is some $(p^n - 1)$ -th root of the unity and under $p^{1/m}$ we mean the real positive m -th root of p . In this case

$$f \circ i(b_{p^k}) = \xi_{p^n-1}^{i_1} a(n, k)^{1/p^{n-1}}$$

and hence

$$[f \circ i(b_{p^k})]^{p^{n-1}} = a(n, k).$$

Now by the condition of Proposition 6 $f \circ i(b_{p^k}) \in R, k = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Theorem 7. *The group of homotopy classes of ring spectra maps*

$$B\mathbb{Z}/p_+ \longrightarrow \overline{K(n)}_R$$

is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$.

Proof. Let f be such a ring spectra map. Considering the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\mathbb{Z}/p_+ & \xrightarrow{d} & {}^p\wedge B\mathbb{Z}/p_+ & \xrightarrow{\wedge f} & \overline{K(n)}_R & {}^p\wedge & \overline{K(n)}_R \\ & & \downarrow m & & & & \downarrow \mu \\ & & B\mathbb{Z}/p_+ & \xrightarrow{f} & \overline{K(n)}_R & & \end{array}$$

we have that $f^p = \mu \circ (\wedge f) \circ d = f \circ m \circ d$. But $m \circ d$ is induced from the trivial composition

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Thus $f^p = 0$ and Theorem 7 follows from Proposition 6.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ABE: *Hopf Algebras*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- [2] M. HAZEWINKEL: *Formal Groups and Applications*. Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [3] K. IWASAWA: *Local Class Field Theory*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford

University Press, 1986.

- [4] G. NISHIDA: *Note on the Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel character*. Preprint.
- [5] D. RAVENEL: *Complex Cobordism and Stable Homotopy Groups*. Academic Press, Orlando, Florida, 1986.
- [6] W. C. WATERHOUSE: *Introduction to Affine Group Schemes*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 66, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

K. KORDZAYA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
KYOTO UNIVERSITY
KYOTO, JAPAN

(Received December 13, 1995)