### WEAKLY HENSELIAN RINGS

### THOMAS MCKENZIE

#### 1. Introduction.

Throughout this paper we will assume that all rings are commutative rings with identity, that ring homomorphisms preserve identities, and that a ring and its subrings have the same identity. We say that a ring is connected if it has exactly two idempotents. By a local ring we mean a (not necessarily Noetherian) ring with a unique maximal ideal. For the remainder of this paper we assume that R is a local ring with maximal ideal M.

In this paper we give a definition of a weakly Henselian ring. The main result of this paper is Theorem 1.5. In this theorem we give a characterization of weakly Henselian rings. A version of this Theorem appears as Theorem 4.15 on page 176 of [5]. But in [5] the author assumes that the residue class field of R is infinite. In this paper we have no such restriction.

## 2. Weakly Henselian Rings.

If S is a connected ring and  $f \in S[x]$  then f is said to be a separable polynomial if f is monic and there exist  $u, v \in S[x]$  such that uf + vf' = 1 where f' is the formal derivative of f.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that R is a weakly Henselian ring if whenever  $f \in R[x]$  is a separable polynomial and there exist monic polynomials  $g_0, h_0 \in (R/M)[x]$  with  $\overline{f} = g_0 h_0$  then there exist monic polynomials  $g, h \in R[x]$  such that  $f = gh, \overline{g} = g_0$ , and  $\overline{h} = h_0$ 

Note that since f is separable the polynomials  $g, h, g_0$ , and  $h_0$  in Definition 1.1 are also separable by Lemma 1.2 on page 22 of [2]. By the same lemma there exist  $u, v \in R[x]$  and  $u_0, v_0 \in (R/M)[x]$  such that ug + vh = 1 and  $u_0g_0 + v_0h_0 = 1$ .

If S is a ring and  $f \in S[x]$  we write deg(f) for the degree of f. If f is a monic polynomial then we say that f is indecomposable if whenever there exist monic polynomials  $g, h \in S[x]$  such that f = gh it follows that

g=1 or h=1. If T is a finite projective separable extension of S and P is a maximal ideal of T then  $Q=P\cap S$  is a maximal ideal of S since T is an integral extension of S. We call the degree of the field T/P over S/Q the inertial degree of P over Q.

The next lemma is Theorem 3.5 on page 172 of [5]. We include it for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 1.2.** If S is a connected ring, f is an indecomposable separable polynomial in S[x], Q is a maximal ideal of S, and  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  are monic polynomials in S[x] such that  $\overline{f} = \overline{f_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \overline{f_n}$  is the unique factorization of  $\overline{f}$  in S/Q, and T = S[x]/(f) then:

- (i) The maximal ideals in T which lie over Q are precisely the ideals of the form  $P_i = Q \cdot T + (f_i + (f)) \cdot T$ ;
  - (ii) The inertial degree of  $P_i$  over Q equals the  $deg(f_i)$ .

If  $F \subseteq L$  is an extension of fields we let deg(L:F) denote the degree of L over F. In order to prove the main result in this section we need the following technical lemma regarding the existence of irreducible polynomials over finite fields.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let F be a finite field with q elements,  $F \subseteq L$  be an extension of finite fields, and M be a positive integer. There exists a monic polynomial  $g \in F[x]$  such that:

- (i) g is irreducible in L[x];
- (ii) there exist at least M distinct monic irreducible polynomials in F[x] of degree  $deg(g) \cdot deg(L:F)$ .

*Proof*: Let n be a positive integer, and let  $N_q(n)$  denote the number of irreducible polynomials in F[x] of degree n. By Example 3.26 on page 86 of [4]

$$N_q(i) \ge (1/i)(q^i - q^{i-1} - q^{i-2} - \dots - q).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}N_q(i)=\infty.$$

So we may choose a positive integer  $M_0$  such that whenever  $i > M_0$  it follows that  $N_q(i) > M$ .

Let j be positive integer such that  $j > M_0$  and j is relatively prime to deg(L:F). Since  $j > M_0$  there exists a monic irreducible polynomial g in

F[x] of degree j. By Corollary 3.47 on page 100 of [4], the fact that j and deg(L:F) are relatively prime implies g is irreducible over L. Also, since  $j \cdot deg(L:F) > M_0$  it follows that  $N_q(j \cdot deg(L:F)) > M$ . This completes the proof.

If S is a connected ring we let  $\Omega_S$  denote the separable closure of R. If T is a ring extension of R we say that T has a primitive element over S if there exists  $\alpha \in T$  such that  $T = R[\alpha]$ 

Lemma 1.4. Assume that R/M is a finite field and  $\Omega_R$  is not a local ring. Then there exists a separable indecomposable polynomial  $f \in R[x]$  such that  $\overline{f}$  is not irreducible in (R/M)[x].

Proof: Since  $\Omega_R$  is not local there exists a finite projective connected Galois extension S of R such that S is not a local ring. Let  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_n$  be the distinct maximal ideals of S. By Lemma 1.3 there exists a monic irreducible polynomial  $g_0 \in (R/M)[x]$  such that:

- (i)  $g_0$  is irreducible in  $S/Q_1$ ;
- (ii) There exist at least n distinct irreducible polynomials in (R/M)[x] of degree  $deg(g_0) \cdot deg(S/Q_1 : R/M)$ .

Let  $g \in R[x]$  be monic such that  $\overline{g} = g_0$  in (R/M)[x]. Note that g is separable in R[x] since  $\overline{g}$  is separable in (R/M)[x]. Also, g is indecomposable in S[x] since g is irreducible in  $(S/Q_1)[x]$ . Thus T = S[x]/(g) is a finite projective separable connected extension of S.

Since S is Galois over R, Lemma 2.2 on page 167 of [5] implies that

$$deg(S/Q_1:R/M)=deg(S/Q_j:R/M), \forall j \in \{1,\ldots,n\}.$$

So by Corollary 3.47 on page 100 of [4],  $\overline{g}$  is irreducible in  $(S/Q_j)[x]$  for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Thus by Lemma 1.2, T has exactly one maximal ideal  $P_j$  which lies over  $Q_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Further the inertial degree of  $P_j$  over  $Q_j$  equals deg(g) for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Hence

$$T/(MT) \simeq T/P_1 \times \ldots \times T/P_n$$

the inertial degree of  $Q_j$  over M equals  $deg(g_0) \cdot deg(S/Q_1 : R/M)$  for all  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , and there are at least n irreducible polynomials of degree  $deg(g_0) \cdot deg(S/Q_1 : R/M)$  in (R/M)[x]. Let  $h_1, \ldots, h_n$  be n distinct polynomials in (R/M)[x] each of degree equal to the inertial degree of  $Q_1$  over

M. Then

$$T/P_1 \times \ldots \times T/P_n \simeq (R/M)[x]/(h_1) \times \ldots \times (R/M)[x]/(h_n).$$

Also,

$$(R/M)[x]/(h_1) \times \ldots \times (R/M)[x]/(h_n) \simeq (R/M)[x]/(h_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot h_n)$$

since  $h_1 
ldots h_n$  are pairwise relatively prime. A standard argument now shows that  $T/(M \cdot T)$  has a primitive element over R. Using this fact and an application of Nakayama's Lemma one can show that T has a primitive element over R. So by Theorem 3.3 page 171 of [5] there exists an indecomposable separable polynomial  $h \in R[x]$  such that  $T \simeq R[x]/(h)$  and by Lemma 1.2 h is not irreducible in (R/M)[x]. This completes the proof.

We can now prove the main result in this paper.

**Theorem 1.5.** R is weakly Henselian if and only if  $\Omega_R$  is a local ring.

Proof: If R/M is an infinite field then the theorem follows from Theorem 4.15 on page 176 of [5]. Thus we assume that R/M is finite. If  $\Omega_R$  is not local then by Lemma 1.4 R is not weakly Henselian. If R is not weakly Henselian then by Lemma 1.2 there exists a finite projective separable extension of R which is not local. Thus  $\Omega_R$  is not local. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1.6. Henselian local rings have local separable closures.

*Proof*: This is clear.

# REFERENCES

- [1] F. Demeyer and E. Ingrahm, Separable algebras over commutative rings, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 181, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [2] D. K. HARRISON and T. MCKENZIE, Toward an arithmetic of polynomials, Aequationes Math. 43(1992), 21-37.
- [3] G. Janusz, Separable algebras over commutative rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 122(1966), 461-479.
- [4] R. LIDL and H. NIEDERREITER, Introduction to Finite Fields and their applications,

revised edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[5] T. McKenzie, Separable Polynomials and Weak Henselizations, Rings, extensions, and cohomology (Evenston, IL, 1993), 165-179, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 159, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1994.

T. McKenzie
Department of Mathematics
Bradley University
Peoria, Illinois

(Received August 26, 1996)