

## ***KO*-GROUP OF $PSp(2^{4n})$**

Dedicated to Professor Teiichi Kobayashi on his 60th birthday

HARUO MINAMI

Let  $Sp(n)$  be the symplectic group of degree  $n$  and  $PSp(n)$  be the projective group associated with  $Sp(n)$ , that is,  $PSp(n) = Sp(n)/C$  where  $C$  denotes the center of  $Sp(n)$  which is generated by the scalar matrix with all diagonal entries  $-1$ .

Our purpose here is to compute the real  $K$ -group  $KO^*(PSp(2^{4n}))$ . As for the complex  $K$ -group,  $K^*(PSp(\ell))$  has been determined in [7,9] for any  $\ell \geq 1$ . But we begin with the calculation of  $K^*(PSp(2^{4n}))$  by our method for convenience of calculation. The way getting these groups is quite parallel to that of [12]. As it turns out that there is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map from  $S^{8n+3}$  to  $Sp(2^{4n})$  where the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  acts on  $S^{8n+3}$  as antipodal involution and on  $Sp(2^{4n})$  as the generator of  $C$  respectively, the multiplicative structures of the  $K$ -groups of  $PSp(2^{4n})$  can be reduced to those of the  $K$ -groups of  $P^{8n+3}$  and  $Sp(2^{4n})$  just as in the case of  $SO(8\ell)$  [12] by making use of this  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map and applying a device to the equivariant  $K$ -theories associated with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ .

This paper is arranged as follows. Section 1 consists of preparations for the subsequent sections. Sections 2 and 3 deal with the computation of  $K^*(PSp(2^{4n}))$  and  $KO^*(PSp(2^{4n}))$  respectively.

**1.** Let  $\Gamma$  denote the multiplicative group generated by  $-1$  and  $H$  denote the canonical non-trivial 1-dimensional real representation of  $\Gamma$ .

We write  $nH$  for the direct sum of  $n$  copies of  $H$ . And by  $B(pH \oplus \mathbf{R}^q)$  and  $S(pH \oplus \mathbf{R}^q)$  we denote the unit ball and the unit sphere in  $pH \oplus \mathbf{R}^q$  centered at the origin  $o$ , and let  $\Sigma^{p,q} = B(pH \oplus \mathbf{R}^q)/S(pH \oplus \mathbf{R}^q)$  with the collapsed  $S(pH \oplus \mathbf{R}^q)$  as base point. Here  $\mathbf{R}$  denotes the field of real numbers. Also, for later use we fix the notations  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  for the fields of complex numbers and quaternions as usual.

Let  $\Delta^+ : Spin(8n+4) \rightarrow U(2^{4n+1})$  be one of the half-spin representations of  $Spin(8n+4)$ . It is known [10], §13 that  $\Delta^+$  is the restriction of a quaternionic representation of  $Spin(8n+4)$ , denoted by

$$\bar{\Delta}^+ : Spin(8n+4) \longrightarrow Sp(2^{4n})$$

below. Assume that the generator of  $\Gamma$  acts on  $Spin(8n+4)$  and  $Sp(2^{4n})$  as the elements  $-1$  and  $-I$  of these groups respectively where  $I$  is the unit matrix, and thus consider these two groups as  $\Gamma$ -spaces. Then  $\bar{\Delta}^+$  becomes a  $\Gamma$ -map obviously. Moreover we know [6] that  $Spin(8n+4)$  contains  $S^{8n+4,0}$  as an invariant subspace. This follows from the fact that  $Spin(8n+4)$  is a subgroup of the Clifford algebra  $C_{8n+3}$  multiplicatively generated by the elements of the unit sphere  $S^{8n+3}$  ([10], §11). Therefore we have the following result similar to [6], (1.14).

(1.1) There exists a  $\Gamma$ -map  $\iota: S^{8n+4,0} \rightarrow Sp(2^{4n})$ , so that we have a homeomorphism

$$(S^{8n+4,0} \times Sp(2^{4n}))/\Gamma \approx P^{8n+3} \times Sp(2^{4n}).$$

In fact, this homeomorphism is induced by the assignment  $(x, g) \mapsto (\pi(x), \iota(x)^{-1}g)$  for  $x \in S^{8n+4,0}$  and  $g \in Sp(2^{4n})$ , where  $P^{8n+3} = S^{8n+4,0}/\Gamma$ , the real projective space of dimension  $8n+3$ , and  $\pi$  is the canonical projection from  $S^{8n+4,0}$  to  $P^{8n+3}$ .

A Real  $(\Gamma)$ -vector bundle is a complex  $(\Gamma)$ -vector bundle together with a conjugate (equivariant) involutive automorphism and a quaternionic  $(\Gamma)$ -vector bundle is a complex  $(\Gamma)$ -vector bundle together with a conjugate (equivariant) anti-involutive automorphism. It is clear by definition that the external tensor product  $E \hat{\otimes}_C F$  of two quaternionic  $(\Gamma)$ -vector bundles  $E$  and  $F$  admits an obvious Real structure.

Let  $KR$  and  $KSp$  denote the Real and quaternionic  $K$ -theories and let  $KR_\Gamma$  and  $KSp_\Gamma$  denote the equivariant ones associated with  $\Gamma$ . But  $KR(X) \cong KO(X)$  and  $KR_\Gamma(X) \cong KO_\Gamma(X)$  canonically if  $X$  has a trivial Real structure. Since all spaces of this note are such ones, we identify these isomorphisms throughout this paper. Then the above external tensor product  $x \hat{\otimes}_C y$  defines uniquely an element  $x \wedge_C y$  of either  $\widetilde{KO}(X \wedge Y)$  or  $\widetilde{KO}_\Gamma(X \wedge Y)$  according as  $x \in \widetilde{KSp}(X)$ ,  $y \in \widetilde{KSp}(Y)$  or  $x \in \widetilde{KSp}_\Gamma(X)$ ,  $y \in \widetilde{KSp}_\Gamma(Y)$ .

Considering  $S^{0,3}$  to be the unit quaternions  $Sp(1)$  yields a generator of  $\widetilde{KSp}(\Sigma^{0,4})$  in a canonical way. We write  $\alpha$  for this element. Then

$$\widetilde{KSp}(\Sigma^{0,4}) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \alpha$$

and also  $\alpha$  satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha \otimes_C H = \eta_4, \quad \alpha \wedge_C \alpha = \eta_8 \quad \text{and} \quad s(\alpha) = \mu^2$$

where  $\eta_4$ ,  $\eta_8$  and  $\mu$  denote the canonical generators of  $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^{0,4})$ ,  $\widetilde{KO}(\Sigma^{0,8})$  and  $\widetilde{K}(\Sigma^{0,2})$ , (the last two generators are called the Bott class), and  $s$  denotes the natural complexification  $KSp \rightarrow K$ .

From [3,11,14] we now recall the equivariant Thom isomorphism theorems. Consider the isomorphism  $S^{8n+4,0} \times H^{2^{4n}} \cong S^{8n+4,0} \times H^{2^{4n}} \otimes_R H$  of  $\Gamma$ -quaternionic vector bundles over  $S^{8n+4,0}$  given by the assignment  $(x, v) \mapsto (x, \iota(x)v)$  for  $x \in S^{8n+4,0}$ ,  $v \in H^{2^{4n}}$  where  $\iota$  is as in (1.1). Then, in a canonical manner, this isomorphism yields a generator  $\tau_H$  of  $\widetilde{KSp}_\Gamma(\Sigma^{8n+4,0})$  such that its restriction to  $o \in B((8n+4)H)$  is  $2^{4n}(H - H \otimes_R H) \in KSp_\Gamma(o) (= RSp(\Gamma)$ , the quaternionic representation ring of  $\Gamma$ ).

Set

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau &= s(\tau_H) \in \widetilde{K}_\Gamma(\Sigma^{8n+4,0}) \quad \text{and} \\ \omega &= \tau_H \wedge_C \alpha \in \widetilde{KO}_\Gamma(\Sigma^{8n+4,4}). \end{aligned}$$

Then their restrictions to  $o$  and  $\Sigma^{0,4}$  are  $2^{4n+1}(1-L) \in K_\Gamma(o) = R(\Gamma)$  and  $2^{4n}(1-H)\eta_4 \in \widetilde{KO}_\Gamma(\Sigma^{0,4}) = RO(\Gamma) \cdot \eta_4$  respectively where  $L = C \otimes_R H$ , and multiplications by  $\tau$  and  $\omega$  give isomorphisms  $\widetilde{K}_\Gamma^*(X) \cong \widetilde{K}_\Gamma^*(\Sigma^{8n+4,0} \wedge X)$  and  $\widetilde{KO}_\Gamma^*(X) \cong \widetilde{KO}_\Gamma^*(\Sigma^{8n+4,4} \wedge X)$  for any  $\Gamma$ -space  $X$  with base-point respectively. Here  $R(\Gamma)$  and  $RO(\Gamma)$  are the complex and real representation rings of  $\Gamma$  and  $R \cdot g$  denotes an  $R$ -module generated by a single element  $g$  for a ring  $R$ .

By  $h$  we denote the  $K$ - or  $KO$ -functor. For  $X = +$  (a point),  $Sp(2^{4n})$  we consider the exact sequence of the pair  $(B((8n+4)H) \times X, S((8n+4)H) \times X)$  in  $h_\Gamma$ -theory. In general if  $\Gamma$  acts on  $X$  freely then there is a natural isomorphism  $h_\Gamma^*(X) \cong h^*(X/\Gamma)$ . Combining this with (1.1) and (1.3) gives rise to the following exact sequences.

$$(1.4a) \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} h_\Gamma^*(+) \xrightarrow{J} h_\Gamma^*(+) \xrightarrow{I} h^*(P^{8n+3}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots,$$

$$(1.4b) \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} h^*(PG) \xrightarrow{J} h^*(PG) \xrightarrow{I} h^*(P^{8n+3} \times G) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots$$

where  $G = Sp(2^{4n})$  and there holds the equality  $\delta(xI(y)) = \delta(x)y$  in either case.

We write  $G$  for  $Sp(2^{4n})$  for simplicity in the subsequent sections.

**2.** By the same symbol  $\bar{\sigma}$  we denote the reduced bundles of the canonical line bundles  $(S^{8n+4,0} \times H)/\Gamma \rightarrow P^{8n+3}$  and  $(G \times H)/\Gamma \rightarrow PG$ . And we write  $\sigma = c(\bar{\sigma})$  where  $c$  denotes the complexification  $KO \rightarrow K$ . Since

$H^2 = 1$  in  $RO(\Gamma)$  there hold obviously

$$\bar{\sigma}^2 + 2\bar{\sigma} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 + 2\sigma = 0.$$

Let  $\bar{\nu} = p^*(\eta_8^{n+1}) \in \widetilde{KO}^{-5}(P^{8n+3})$  and  $\nu = p^*(\mu^{4n+2}) \in \widetilde{K}^{-1}(S^{8n+3})$  where  $p$  is the map  $P^{8n+3} \rightarrow S^{8n+3}$  obtained by collapsing the outside of a top dimensional cell in  $P^{8n+3}$  to a point. Then the equalities

$$c(\bar{\nu}) = \mu^2 \nu \quad \text{and} \quad r(\nu) = \eta_4 \bar{\nu}$$

follow from the relations  $c(\eta_4) = 2\mu^2$  and  $\eta_4^2 = 4$ .

We consider the complex and real  $K$ -theories the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ - and  $\mathbb{Z}/8$ -graded cohomology theories with the coefficient rings  $K^*(+) = \mathbb{Z}[\mu]/(\mu^2 - 1)$  and  $KO^*(+) = \mathbb{Z}[\eta_1, \eta_4, \eta_8]/(2\eta_1, \eta_1^3, \eta_1\eta_4, \eta_4^2 - 4, \eta_8 - 1)$  respectively where  $\eta_1 \in KO^{-1}(+)$  and the others are as in Section 1. But the complex  $K$ -theory is viewed as  $\mathbb{Z}/8$ -graded, so that  $K^*(+) = \mathbb{Z}[\mu]/(\mu^4 - 1)$ , when we discuss the relation between these two kinds of  $K$ -theories.

Here we calculate  $K^*(P^{8n+3})$  and  $KO^*(P^{8n+3})$  whose additive structures are given in [2,5]. Consider the exact sequence of (1.4a). First note that  $h_F^*(+) \cong h^*(+)[t]/(t^2 - 1)$  because of  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$  where  $t = L$  or  $H$  according as  $h = K$  or  $KO$ . From inspecting the definitions of the maps it follows that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta(\nu) &= 1 + L, \quad J(1) = 2^{4n+1}(1 - L) \quad \text{and} \quad I(L) = \sigma + 1 \quad \text{for } h = K, \\ \delta(\bar{\nu}) &= 1 + H, \quad J(1) = 2^{4n}\eta_4(1 - H) \quad \text{and} \quad I(H) = \bar{\sigma} + 1 \quad \text{for } h = KO. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have a unique element  $\zeta$  of  $KO^{-6}(P^{8n+3})$  satisfying  $\delta(\zeta) = \eta_1$ .

Using this and the equality  $\delta(xI(y)) = \delta(x)y$  we obtain by the exactness of (1.4a) the following.

With the notation as above

$$(2.2a) \quad \widetilde{K}(P^{8n+3}) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{4n+1} \cdot \sigma, \quad \widetilde{K}^{-1}(P^{8n+3}) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \nu$$

where the ring structure is given by

$$\sigma^2 + 2\sigma = 0, \quad \nu^2 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2b) \quad & \widetilde{KO}(P^{8n+3}) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{4n+2} \cdot \bar{\sigma}, \\
 & \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(P^{8n+3}) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \eta_1 \bar{\sigma} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \eta_4 \bar{\nu}, \\
 & \widetilde{KO}^{-2}(P^{8n+3}) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \eta_1^2 \bar{\sigma}, \\
 & \widetilde{KO}^{-3}(P^{8n+3}) = 0, \\
 & \widetilde{KO}^{-4}(P^{8n+3}) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{4n} \cdot \eta_4 \bar{\sigma}, \\
 & \widetilde{KO}^{-5}(P^{8n+3}) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \bar{\nu}, \\
 & \widetilde{KO}^{-6}(P^{8n+3}) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \eta_1 \bar{\nu} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \zeta, \\
 & \widetilde{KO}^{-7}(P^{8n+3}) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \eta_1^2 \bar{\nu} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \eta_1 \zeta
 \end{aligned}$$

where the ring structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\sigma}^2 + 2\bar{\sigma} &= 0, & \bar{\nu}^2 &= 0, & \zeta^2 &= 0, & \eta_4 \zeta &= 0, \\
 \bar{\sigma} \zeta &= \eta_1 \bar{\nu}, & \eta_1^2 \zeta &= 2^{4n+1} \bar{\sigma}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready for computing the  $K$ -groups of  $PG$ .

Let  $\rho$  be the canonical, non-trivial,  $2^{4n}$ -dimensional complex representation of  $G$  and  $\lambda^i \rho$  be the  $i$ -th exterior power of  $\rho$ . Since the restriction of  $\lambda^{2i} \rho$  to the center of  $G$  is trivial clearly, it factors through the canonical projection  $\pi: \rightarrow PG$ . So we view  $\lambda^{2i} \rho$  also as a representation of  $PG$  below. Moreover, as is well known, an element of  $\widetilde{K}^{-1}(PG)$  is represented as the homotopy class of a map from  $PG$  to the infinite dimensional unitary group  $U$ . Hence we see that  $\lambda^{2i} \rho$  yields naturally an element  $\beta(\lambda^{2i} \rho)$  of  $K^{-1}(PG)$ , which is called the  $\beta$ -construction of  $\lambda^{2i} \rho$  [8]. Because  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \lambda^{2i+1} \rho = \binom{2^{4n+1}}{2i+1}$  and  $2^{4n+1} \parallel \binom{2^{4n+1}}{2i+1}$ ,  $d_{2i+1} = \binom{2^{4n+1}}{2i+1} / 2^{4n+1}$  is odd. Let  $\ell \rho$  denote the direct sum of  $\ell$  copies of  $\rho$ . The map  $PG \rightarrow U\left(\binom{2^{4n+1}}{2i+1}\right)$  given by the assignment  $\pi(g) \mapsto (d_{2i+1} \rho)(g) \lambda^{2i+1} \rho(g)$  defines a similar element  $\beta(d_{2i+1} \rho + \lambda^{2i+1} \rho)$  of  $K^{-1}(PG)$ .

We describe explicitly the image of  $\beta(\rho) \in K^{-1}(G)$  by the transfer map  $\pi_*: K^{-1}(G) \rightarrow K_F^{-1}(G) = K^{-1}(PG)$ . Let us view  $E = G \times (\mathbb{C}^{2^{4n+1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2^{4n+1}})$  as a product  $\Gamma$ -vector bundle over  $G$  provided with the  $\Gamma$ -action given by  $(g, u, v) \mapsto (-g, v, u)$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{2^{4n+1}}$ . Then the assignment  $(g, u, v) \mapsto (g, \rho(g)u, -\rho(g)v)$  gives an equivariant bundle automorphism of  $E$ . In a canonical way this gives rise to an element of  $K_F^{-1}(G)$  which is just  $\pi_*(\beta(\rho))$  and is written  $\beta(\rho, \Gamma)$  below.

Then we have

**Theorem 2.3** ([7,9]). *With the notation as above*

$$K^*(PSp(2^{4n})) = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma]/(2^{4n+1}\sigma, \sigma^2 + 2\sigma) \\ \otimes A(\beta(d_{2i-1}\rho + \lambda^{2i-1}\rho), \beta(\lambda^{2j}\rho), \beta(\rho, \Gamma) \\ (2 \leq i \leq 2^{4n-1}, 1 \leq j \leq 2^{4n-1}))/I$$

as a ring where  $I$  is the ideal generated by

$$\sigma\beta(\rho, \Gamma).$$

*Proof.* We observe the exact sequence of (1.4b). According to [8]

$$K^*(G) = A(\beta(\rho), \beta(\lambda^2\rho), \dots, \beta(\lambda^{2^{4n}}\rho)).$$

Since  $K^*(G)$  is torsion-free we have the Künneth isomorphism

$$K^*(P^{8n+3} \times G) \cong K^*(P^{8n+3}) \otimes K^*(G).$$

Then we get similarly to (2.1) the following.

$$(2.4) \quad \delta(\nu \times 1) = \sigma + 2, \quad J(1) = -2^{4n+1}\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad I(\sigma) = \sigma + 1.$$

Now  $2^{4n+1}\sigma = 0$  follows because of  $\rho(-1) = -I$ . Hence (1.4b) becomes a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K^*(PG) \xrightarrow{I} K^*(P^{8n+3} \times G) \longrightarrow \delta K^*(PG) \longrightarrow 0$$

provided with  $\delta(xI(y)) = \delta(x)y$ . Further by inspecting definition we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} I(\beta(\lambda^{2i}\rho)) &= 1 \times \beta(\lambda^{2i}\rho), \\ I(\beta(d_{2i-1}\rho + \lambda^{2i-1}\rho)) \\ &= (\sigma + 1) \times d_{2i-1}\beta(\rho) + 1 \times \beta(\lambda^{2i-1}\rho) + d_{2i-1}\nu \times 1, \\ I(\beta(\rho, \Gamma)) &= (\sigma + 2) \times \beta(\rho) + \nu \times 1, \\ \delta(1 \times \beta(\rho)) &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $R$  denote the ring on the right-hand side of the equality of the theorem. Using the last formula of (2.4) and the first three formulas of (2.5), the injectivity of  $I$  shows that  $R$  is a subring of  $K^*(PG)$ .

To prove the theorem it therefore suffices to verify that  $\text{Im } \delta = R$  since  $\delta$  is surjective. The images of generators of  $K^*(P^{8n+3} \times G)$  as a

module by  $\delta$  can be calculated by using (2.5) together with the equality  $\delta(xI(y)) = \delta(x)y$ . For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(1 \times \beta(\lambda^{2i-1}\rho)) &= -d_{2i-1}(\sigma + 1), \\ \delta(\nu \times 1) &= -(\sigma + 2), \\ \delta(\nu \times \beta(\rho)) &= \beta(\rho, \Gamma), \\ \delta(1 \times \beta(\rho)\beta(\lambda^{2i-1}\rho)) &= -\beta(d_{2i-1}\rho + \lambda^{2i-1}\rho) - d_{2i-1}\beta(\rho, \Gamma).\end{aligned}$$

Thus by repeating such a computation inductively we get  $\text{Im } \delta = R$ , which completes our proof.

**3.** In this section we compute  $KO^*(PG)$ . First we consider the exact sequence (1.4b) for  $KO$ -theory. The complex representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  is, of course, the complexification of the  $2^{4n}$ -dimensional quaternionic representation, for which we write  $\bar{\rho}$ . Clearly  $\bar{\rho}$  yields an isomorphism  $G \times H^{2^{4n}} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} H \cong G \times H^{2^{4n}}$  of  $\Gamma$ -quaternionic vector bundles over  $G$ . Now we have  $J(1) = 2^{4n}\eta_4\bar{\sigma}$  similarly to the 2nd formula of (2.1) and also  $\alpha \otimes_C H = \eta_4$  by (1.2). Hence we see that  $J(1) = 0$ , so that (1.4b) becomes a short exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow KO^*(PG) \xrightarrow{I} KO^*(P^{8n+3} \times G) \xrightarrow{\delta} KO^*(PG) \longrightarrow 0$$

provided with  $\delta(xI(y)) = \delta(x)y$ .

Using this exact sequence we proceed as the same way as for  $K^*(PG)$ .

Let  $\lambda_C^k \bar{\rho}$  be the exterior power  $\bar{\rho} \wedge_C \cdots \wedge_C \bar{\rho}$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  over  $C$ . Then in general  $\lambda_C^k \bar{\rho}$  is quaternionic. But if  $k$  is even then it has a natural Real structure. So we consider  $\lambda_C^{2i} \bar{\rho}$  to be real. By the  $\beta$ -construction we have

$$\beta(\lambda_C^{2i-1} \bar{\rho}) \in \widetilde{KS}p^{-1}(G) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\lambda_C^{2i} \bar{\rho}) \in \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(G)$$

and we set

$$\bar{\beta}(\lambda_C^{2i-1} \bar{\rho}) = \alpha \wedge_C \beta(\lambda_C^{2i-1} \bar{\rho}) \in \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(\Sigma^{0,4} \wedge G) = \widetilde{KO}^{-5}(G).$$

Then, according to [15], Theorem 5.6,

$$(3.2) \quad KO^*(G) = \Lambda_{KO^*(+)}(\bar{\beta}(\lambda_C^{2i-1} \bar{\rho}), \beta(\lambda_C^{2i} \bar{\rho}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq 2^{4n-1}))$$

as a  $KO^*(+)$ -module. Further by [4], §6 and [13], Corollary 2.3 we see that its generators satisfy the relations

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\beta}(\lambda_C^{2i-1} \bar{\rho})^2 = \eta_1 \beta(\lambda_C^{4i-2} \bar{\rho}), \quad \beta(\lambda_C^{2i} \bar{\rho})^2 = \eta_1 \beta(\lambda_C^{4i} \bar{\rho}).$$

Here we note that  $\lambda_C^k \bar{\rho} = \lambda_C^{2^{4n+1}-k} \bar{\rho}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{4n}$ . Of course this equality holds for  $\lambda_C^{2k} \bar{\rho}$  viewed as a representation of  $PG$ .

Because  $KO^*(G)$  is torsion-free, there holds the Künneth isomorphism  $KO^*(P^{8n+3} \times G) \cong KO^*(P^{8n+3}) \otimes_{KO^*(+)} KO^*(G)$ . Therefore by using (2.2b), (3.2) and (3.3), the multiplicative structure of  $KO^*(P^{8n+3} \times G)$  centered in the sequence (3.1) can be described explicitly.

In order to state our theorem we provide generators of  $KO^*(PG)$ . Similarly to the complex case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(d_{2i-1}\bar{\rho} + \lambda_C^{2i-1}\bar{\rho}), \quad \beta(\bar{\rho}, \Gamma) \in \widetilde{KSp}^{-1}(PG) \quad \text{and} \\ \beta(\lambda_C^{2i}\bar{\rho}) \in \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(PG) \end{aligned}$$

and so we set

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\beta}(d_{2i-1}\bar{\rho} + \lambda_C^{2i-1}\bar{\rho}) &= \alpha \wedge_C \beta(d_{2i-1}\bar{\rho} + \lambda_C^{2i-1}\bar{\rho}), \\ \bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho}, \Gamma) &= \alpha \wedge_C \beta(\bar{\rho}, \Gamma) \in \widetilde{KO}^{-5}(PG). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we see that

(3.4) There exists an element  $\bar{\zeta} \in KO^{-6}(PG)$  such that

$$I(\bar{\zeta}) = \eta_1 \times \bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho}) + \zeta \times 1.$$

This is shown below.

Then we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.5.** *With the notation as above*

$$KO^*(PSp(2^{4n})) = \mathbb{Z}[\bar{\sigma}]/(\bar{\sigma}^2 + 2\bar{\sigma}) \otimes E \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\bar{\zeta})/I$$

as a ring where  $E$  is a  $KO^*(+)$ -module

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{KO^*(+)}(\bar{\beta}(d_{2i-1}\bar{\rho} + \lambda_C^{2i-1}\bar{\rho}), \beta(\lambda_C^{2j}\bar{\rho}), \bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho}, \Gamma)) \\ (2 \leq i \leq 2^{4n-1}, 1 \leq j \leq 2^{4n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\beta}(d_{2i-1}\bar{\rho} + \lambda_C^{2i-1}\bar{\rho})^2 &= \eta_1(\beta(\lambda_C^{2i}\bar{\rho}) + \beta(\lambda_C^{4i-2}\bar{\rho})), \\ \beta(\lambda_C^{2j}\bar{\rho})^2 &= \eta_1\beta(\lambda_C^{4j}\bar{\rho}), \\ \bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho}, \Gamma)^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



and  $I$  is the ideal generated by

$$2^{4n}\bar{\sigma}\eta_4, \quad \bar{\sigma}\bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho}, \Gamma), \quad \eta_4\bar{\zeta}, \quad \bar{\sigma}\bar{\zeta} - \eta_1\bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho}, \Gamma), \quad \eta_1^2\bar{\zeta} - 2^{4n+1}\bar{\sigma}$$

(the  $\otimes$ 's are omitted).

*Proof.* Observe (3.1). By looking at the definitions of the maps and elements we have

- (i)  $I(\bar{\sigma}) = \bar{\sigma} \times 1$ ,
- (ii)  $I(\beta(\lambda_C^{2i}\bar{\rho})) = 1 \times \beta(\lambda_C^{2i}\bar{\rho})$ ,
- (iii)  $I(\bar{\beta}(d_{2i-1}\bar{\rho} + \lambda_C^{2i-1}\bar{\rho})) = (\bar{\sigma} + 1) \times d_{2i-1}\bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho}) + 1 \times \bar{\beta}(\lambda_C^{2i-1}\bar{\rho}) + d_{2i-1}\bar{\nu} \times 1$ ,
- (iv)  $I(\bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho}, \Gamma)) = (\bar{\sigma} + 2) \times \bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho}) + \bar{\nu} \times 1$ ,
- (v)  $I(1 \times \bar{\beta}(\bar{\rho})) = -1$ ,
- (vi)  $\delta(\bar{\nu} \times 1) = (\bar{\sigma} + 2) \times 1$ ,
- (vii)  $\delta(\zeta \times 1) = \eta_1$ .

(3.4) is immediate from (v) and (vii). Let  $\bar{R}$  denote the ring on the right-hand side of the equality of Theorem 3.5. Then using (i)–(iv) and (3.4) we see that  $\bar{R} \subset KO^*(PG)$  because of the injectivity of  $I$ , and by using (v)–(vii) and the equality  $\delta(xI(y)) = \delta(x)y$  in addition we can verify that  $\bar{R}$  fills  $KO^*(PG)$  because of the surjectivity of  $\delta$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] M. F. ATIYAH:  $K$ -theory and reality, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **17** (1966), 367–386.
- [ 2 ] M. F. ATIYAH:  $K$ -Theory, Benjamin Inc. 1967.
- [ 3 ] M. F. ATIYAH: Bott periodicity and the index of elliptic operators, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **74** (1968), 113–140.
- [ 4 ] M. C. CRABB:  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -Homotopy Theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **44** (1980).
- [ 5 ] M. FUJII:  $KO$ -groups of projective spaces, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1967), 141–149.
- [ 6 ] R. P. HELD und U. SUTER: Die Bestimmung der unitären  $K$ -Theorie von  $SO(n)$  mit Hilfe der Atiyah-Hirzebruch-Spectralreihe, Math. Z. **122** (1971), 33–52.
- [ 7 ] R. P. HELD and U. SUTER: On the unitary  $K$ -theory of compact Lie groups with finite fundamental group, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **24** (1973), 343–356.
- [ 8 ] L. HODGKIN: On the  $K$ -theory of Lie groups, Topology **6** (1967), 1–36.
- [ 9 ] L. HODGKIN: The equivariant Künneth theorem in  $K$ -theory, Lecture Notes in Math. **496** (Springer-Verlag, 1975), 1–101.
- [ 10 ] D. HUSEMOLLER: Fibre Bundles, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1966.

- [11] P. S. LANDWEBER: On equivariant maps between spheres with involutions, *Ann. of Math.* **89** (1969), 125–137.
- [12] H. MINAMI: On the  $K$ -theory of  $SO(n)$ , *Osaka J. Math.* **21** (1984), 789–808.
- [13] H. MINAMI: The real  $K$ -groups of  $SO(n)$  for  $n \equiv 3, 4$  and  $5 \pmod{8}$ , *Osaka J. Math.* **25** (1988), 185–211.
- [14] G. SEGAL: Equivariant  $K$ -theory, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **34** (1968), 129–151.
- [15] R. M. SEYMOUR: The Real  $K$ -theory of Lie groups and homogeneous spaces, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **24** (1973), 7–30.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NARA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION  
TAKABATAKE-CHO, NARA-SHI 630, JAPAN

*(Received July 14, 1994)*