

ON THE KO -THEORY OF LIE GROUPS AND SYMMETRIC SPACES

TAKASHI WATANABE

0. Introduction. Let G be a compact, 1-connected simple Lie group of rank 2. Then G is one of the following: $SU(3)$, $Sp(2)$ and G_2 . In this note we shall describe explicitly the KO -theory of G , together with the action of the Adams operations ψ^k on it, and also describe the KO -theory of symmetric spaces $SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1)$ and $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ for $n \geq 1$. In particular, for the first topic, the following fact should be noted. For a compact, connected, semisimple and simply-connected Lie group G , Seymour [16, Theorem 5.6] described theoretically the ($\mathbb{Z}/(8)$ -graded) ring $KO^*(G)$; its additive structure was determined completely and its multiplicative structure was almost done. However, it seems that papers containing an explicit description of $KO^*(G)$ are [13], [14] and [15].

This paper is arranged as follows. In section 1 we compute the Adams operations in $K^*(G)$. Section 2 consists of preparations for subsequent sections and involves a review of Seymour's work. The (\mathbb{Z} -graded) ring $KO^*(G)$ will be described in section 3, and the rings $KO^*(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1))$ and $KO^*(SU(2n)/Sp(n))$ in section 4.

We shall deal with the \mathbb{Z} -graded objects, simultaneously with the associated $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ - or $\mathbb{Z}/(8)$ -objects.

1. The Adams operations in $K^*(G)$. Since the Chern character of G was described explicitly in [17], the Adams operations in $K^*(G)$ should be computed. This is what we put into practice in this section.

We begin by recalling some facts on complex K -theory needed in the sequel. For details, see [2], [3] and [9]. We will use the following notation: \mathbf{R} is the field of real numbers; \mathbf{C} is the field of complex numbers; \mathbf{H} is the algebra of quaternions; \mathbf{K} is the algebra of Cayley numbers. Let X be a space with nondegenerate base point. The Adams operations $\psi^k: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, are homomorphisms of rings. They are closely related with the Chern character $ch: K(X) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbf{Q})$. That is, if

$$ch(x) = \sum_{q \geq 0} ch_q(x), \quad ch_q(x) \in H^{2q}(X; \mathbf{Q}),$$

for $x \in K(X)$, then

$$(1.1) \quad ch(\psi^k(x)) = \sum_{q \geq 0} k^q ch_q(x).$$

This ch extends to a multiplicative natural transformation of $\mathbf{Z}/(2)$ -graded cohomology theories $ch: K^*(\) \rightarrow H^{**}(\ ; \mathbf{Q})$. The coefficient ring of reduced \mathbf{Z} -graded K -theory is

$$\widetilde{K}^*(S^0) = \mathbf{Z}[g, g^{-1}]/(gg^{-1} - 1),$$

where $g \in \widetilde{K}^{-2}(S^0)$ is the Bott generator. The action of ψ^k on $\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)$ is given by

$$(1.2) \quad \psi^k(g) = kg, \quad \text{in particular} \quad \psi^{-1}(g) = -g.$$

The K -ring $K(X)$ and the complex representation ring $R(G)$ are λ -rings (see [9, 12(1.1)]); roughly speaking, they possess the exterior power operations λ^k for $k \geq 0$. Let $\beta: R(G) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}^{-1}(G)$ be the homomorphism of abelian groups, introduced in [8], called the beta-construction. Notice that β does not commute with λ^k .

We now consider the case $G = SU(3)$. The space \mathbf{C}^3 becomes a $SU(3)$ - \mathbf{C} -module in the usual way. We write λ_1 for the class of \mathbf{C}^3 in $R(SU(3))$, and put $\lambda_k = \lambda^k(\lambda_1) \in R(SU(3))$. Then $R(SU(3))$ equals the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ (see [1, Theorem 7.4] or [9, 13(3.1)]). Therefore, by the theorem of Hodgkin [8, Theorem A], $K^*(SU(3))$ equals the exterior algebra $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta(\lambda_1), \beta(\lambda_2))$ as a $\mathbf{Z}/(2)$ -graded Hopf algebra over \mathbf{Z} . On the other hand, $H^*(SU(3); \mathbf{Z}) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(x_3, x_5)$, where $x_i \in H^i(SU(3); \mathbf{Z})$. With this notation, we may set

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} ch(\beta(\lambda_1)) &= ax_3 + bx_5, \\ ch(\beta(\lambda_2)) &= cx_3 + dx_5 \end{aligned}$$

for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Q}$ (as seen below, these numbers are known). Using the relations $x_3^2 = 0$, $x_5x_3 = -x_3x_5$ and $x_5^2 = 0$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} ch(\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2)) &= adx_3x_5 + bcx_5x_3 \\ &= (ad - bc)x_3x_5 \end{aligned}$$

in the $\mathbf{Z}/(2)$ -graded ring $H^{**}(SU(3); \mathbf{Q})$. Since $\{\beta(\lambda_1), \beta(\lambda_2)\}$ is a basis for $\widetilde{K}^{-1}(SU(3)) = \widetilde{K}(\Sigma SU(3)) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$, we may set

$$\psi^k(\beta(\lambda_1)) = e\beta(\lambda_1) + f\beta(\lambda_2)$$

for some $e, f \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $s: \tilde{H}^*(G; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{*+1}(\Sigma G; \mathbf{Q})$ denote the suspension isomorphism. Then, by (1.3), $ch: \tilde{K}(\Sigma SU(3)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(\Sigma SU(3); \mathbf{Q})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} ch(\beta(\lambda_1)) &= as(x_3) + bs(x_5), \\ ch(\beta(\lambda_2)) &= cs(x_3) + ds(x_5). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this and (1.1) that

$$ch(\psi^k(\beta(\lambda_1))) = ak^2s(x_3) + bk^3s(x_5),$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} ch(\psi^k(\beta(\lambda_1))) &= ch(e\beta(\lambda_1) + f\beta(\lambda_2)) \\ &= (ae + cf)s(x_3) + (be + df)s(x_5). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a = -1$, $b = 1/2$, $c = -1$ and $d = -1/2$ by [17, Theorem 2], we obtain $-e - f = -k^2$ and $e - f = k^3$. These reduce to $e = k^2(k+1)/2$ and $f = -k^2(k-1)/2$. We call the reader's attention to the fact that these numbers belong to \mathbf{Z} (see also part (i) of Theorems 1 to 3).

Similarly, if we set

$$\psi^k(\beta(\lambda_2)) = e\beta(\lambda_1) + f\beta(\lambda_2)$$

for some $e, f \in \mathbf{Z}$, we obtain $-e - f = -k^2$ and $e - f = -k^3$, which reduce to $e = -k^2(k-1)/2$ and $f = k^2(k+1)/2$.

Using these results and the relations $\beta(\lambda_1)^2 = 0$, $\beta(\lambda_2)\beta(\lambda_1) = -\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2)$ and $\beta(\lambda_2)^2 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^k(\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2)) &= \psi^k(\beta(\lambda_1))\psi^k(\beta(\lambda_2)) \\ &= \frac{k^4(k+1)^2}{4}\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2) + \frac{k^4(k-1)^2}{4}\beta(\lambda_2)\beta(\lambda_1) \\ &= k^5\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *The action of ψ^k on $\tilde{K}^*(SU(3))$ is given by:*

(i) ([18, (2.5)]) *In $\tilde{K}^{-1}(SU(3)) = \mathbf{Z}\{\beta(\lambda_1), \beta(\lambda_2)\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^k(\beta(\lambda_1)) &= \frac{k^2(k+1)}{2}\beta(\lambda_1) - \frac{k^2(k-1)}{2}\beta(\lambda_2), \\ \psi^k(\beta(\lambda_2)) &= -\frac{k^2(k-1)}{2}\beta(\lambda_1) + \frac{k^2(k+1)}{2}\beta(\lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \text{ In } \widetilde{K}^{-2}(SU(3)) = \mathbf{Z}\{\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2)\},$$

$$\psi^k(\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2)) = k^5\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2).$$

We move on to consider the case $G = Sp(2)$. Since the space \mathbf{H}^2 is a left $Sp(2)$ - \mathbf{H} -module, its complex restriction $(\mathbf{H}^2)_{\mathbf{C}}$ becomes a $Sp(2)$ - \mathbf{C} -module. We write $\mu'_1 = [(\mathbf{H}^2)_{\mathbf{C}}] \in R(Sp(2))$, and put $\mu'_k = \lambda^k(\mu'_1)$. Then $R(Sp(2))$ equals the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{Z}[\mu'_1, \mu'_2]$ (see [1, Theorem 7.6] or [9, 13(6.1)]). Therefore, by the theorem of Hodgkin [8], $K^*(Sp(2)) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta(\mu'_1), \beta(\mu'_2))$. On the other hand, $H^*(Sp(2); \mathbf{Z}) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(x_3, x_7)$, where $x_i \in H^i(Sp(2); \mathbf{Z})$. With this notation, [17, Theorem 3] tells us that

$$ch(\beta(\mu'_1)) = x_3 - \frac{1}{6}x_7,$$

$$ch(\beta(\mu'_2)) = 2x_3 + \frac{2}{3}x_7.$$

By a calculation similar to the case of $SU(3)$ we have

Theorem 2. *The action of ψ^k on $\widetilde{K}^*(Sp(2))$ is given by:*

$$(i) \text{ In } \widetilde{K}^{-1}(Sp(2)) = \mathbf{Z}\{\beta(\mu'_1), \beta(\mu'_2)\},$$

$$\psi^k(\beta(\mu'_1)) = \frac{k^2(k^2+2)}{3}\beta(\mu'_1) - \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6}\beta(\mu'_2),$$

$$\psi^k(\beta(\mu'_2)) = -\frac{4k^2(k^2-1)}{3}\beta(\mu'_1) + \frac{k^2(2k^2+1)}{3}\beta(\mu'_2).$$

$$(ii) \text{ In } \widetilde{K}^{-2}(Sp(2)) = \mathbf{Z}\{\beta(\mu'_1)\beta(\mu'_2)\},$$

$$\psi^k(\beta(\mu'_1)\beta(\mu'_2)) = k^6\beta(\mu'_1)\beta(\mu'_2).$$

Finally we consider the case $G = G_2$. The automorphism group of \mathbf{K} is G_2 . As seen in [19, Appendix A] or [20, p.217], the subspace \mathbf{K}_0 consisting of pure imaginary elements in \mathbf{K} forms a G_2 - \mathbf{R} -module of dimension 7. Hence its complexification $\mathbf{K}_0^{\mathbf{C}}$ becomes a G_2 - \mathbf{C} -module. We write $\rho_1 = [\mathbf{K}_0^{\mathbf{C}}] \in R(G_2)$, and put $\rho_k = \lambda^k(\rho_1)$. Then $R(G_2)$ equals the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{Z}[\rho_1, \rho_2]$. Therefore, by the theorem of Hodgkin [8], $K^*(G_2) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta(\rho_1), \beta(\rho_2))$. On the other hand, $H^*(G_2; \mathbf{Z})/\text{Tor.} = \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(x_3, x_{11})$, where $x_i \in H^i(G_2; \mathbf{Z})$. With this notation, [17, Theorem 7] tells us that

$$ch(\beta(\rho_1)) = 2x_3 + \frac{1}{60}x_{11},$$

$$ch(\beta(\rho_2)) = 10x_3 - \frac{5}{12}x_{11}.$$

By a calculation similar to the case of $SU(3)$ we have

Theorem 3. *The action of ψ^k on $\widetilde{K}^*(G_2)$ is given by:*

(i) *In $\widetilde{K}^{-1}(G_2) = \mathbb{Z}\{\beta(\rho_1), \beta(\rho_2)\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^k(\beta(\rho_1)) &= \frac{k^2(k^4 + 5)}{6}\beta(\rho_1) - \frac{k^2(k^4 - 1)}{30}\beta(\rho_2), \\ \psi^k(\beta(\rho_2)) &= -\frac{25k^2(k^4 - 1)}{6}\beta(\rho_1) + \frac{k^2(5k^4 + 1)}{6}\beta(\rho_2).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *In $\widetilde{K}^{-2}(G_2) = \mathbb{Z}\{\beta(\rho_1)\beta(\rho_2)\}$,*

$$\psi^k(\beta(\rho_1)\beta(\rho_2)) = k^8\beta(\rho_1)\beta(\rho_2).$$

2. The Bott's exact sequence. We will use the quaternionic representation ring functor $RSp(\)$ and quaternionic K -theory $KSp^*(\)$ as well as the real representation ring functor $RO(\)$ and real K -theory $KO^*(\)$. To do this we fix some notation. For details, see [1], [2], [4] and [9].

Let $c: RO(G) \rightarrow R(G)$ and $c: KO(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ be the complexifications; they preserve the λ -ring structures. Let $r: R(G) \rightarrow RO(G)$ and $r: K(X) \rightarrow KO(X)$ be the real restrictions; they preserve the additions only. Let $t: R(G) \rightarrow R(G)$ and $t: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ be the complex conjugations; they preserve the λ -ring structures. Finally, let $c': RSp(G) \rightarrow R(G)$ and $c': KSp(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ be the complex restrictions; they preserve the additions only. Then, among them, the following formulas hold:

$$(2.1a) \quad rc = 2, \quad cr = 1 + t, \quad tc = c, \quad tc' = c' \text{ and } t^2 = 1.$$

$$(2.1b) \quad r(x \cdot c(z)) = r(x)z \quad \text{for all } x \in K(X) \text{ and } z \in KO(X).$$

The coefficient ring of reduced \mathbb{Z} -graded KO -theory is

$$\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0) = \mathbb{Z}[\eta, \nu, \sigma, \sigma^{-1}] / (2\eta, \eta^3, \eta\nu, \nu^2 - 4\sigma, \sigma\sigma^{-1} - 1),$$

where $\eta \in \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(S^0)$, $\nu \in \widetilde{KO}^{-4}(S^0)$ and $\sigma \in \widetilde{KO}^{-8}(S^0)$. When $\widetilde{K}^*(X)$ has been determined (our case is this), a basic tool for computing $\widetilde{KO}^*(X)$ is the Bott's exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}\dots \longrightarrow \widetilde{KO}^{1-q}(X) &\xrightarrow{\eta} \widetilde{KO}^{-q}(X) \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{K}^{-q}(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \\ &\quad \widetilde{KO}^{2-q}(X) \longrightarrow \dots,\end{aligned}$$

where η denotes multiplication by η and the map δ is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \delta(x) = r(g^{-1}x) \quad \text{for } x \in \widetilde{K}^{-q}(X).$$

We have

$$(2.3a) \quad c(1) = 1, \quad c(\eta) = 0, \quad c(\eta^2) = 0, \quad c(\nu) = 2g^2 \quad \text{and} \quad c(\sigma) = g^4.$$

$$(2.3b) \quad r(1) = 2, \quad r(g) = \eta^2, \quad r(g^2) = \nu, \quad r(g^3) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r(g^4) = 2\sigma.$$

From this and (2.1b), one can calculate $r(g^i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The action of ψ^k on $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \psi^k(\eta) = k\eta, \quad \psi^k(\nu) = k^2\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^k(\sigma) = k^4\sigma.$$

The following two lemmas can be proved by using the Bott's exact sequence and are included in [16, Theorem 4.2]. So we omit the details of their proofs. By $\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)\{x, \dots\}$ we denote the free $\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)$ -module generated by elements x, \dots .

Lemma 4. *Suppose that, as a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with t -action, $\widetilde{K}^*(X)$ has a direct summand $T\langle x \rangle$ which is a free $\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)$ -module generated by two elements $x, x' \in \widetilde{K}^n(X)$ such that $t(x) = x'$ (and so $t(x') = x$), where $x' \neq \pm x$. Then $\widetilde{KO}^*(X)$ contains the image $r(\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)\{x\})$ of $\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)\{x\}$ under r as a direct summand. It is described by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}^n(X) &\supset \mathbb{Z}\{r(x)\}, & \widetilde{KO}^{n-1}(X) &\supset 0, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{n-2}(X) &\supset \mathbb{Z}\{r(gx)\}, & \widetilde{KO}^{n-3}(X) &\supset 0, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{n-4}(X) &\supset \mathbb{Z}\{r(g^2x)\}, & \widetilde{KO}^{n-5}(X) &\supset 0, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{n-6}(X) &\supset \mathbb{Z}\{r(g^3x)\}, & \widetilde{KO}^{n-7}(X) &\supset 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $r(g^i x') = (-1)^i r(g^i x)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We prove the last relation only.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta c(r(g^{i+1}x)) \quad \text{by exactness of Bott's exact sequence} \\ &= \delta((1+t)(g^i x)) \quad \text{since } cr = 1+t \text{ by (2.1a)} \\ &= \delta(g^{i+1}x + (-1)^{i+1}g^{i+1}x') \quad \text{since } t(g) = -g \text{ by (1.2)} \\ &= r(g^i x) + (-1)^{i+1}r(g^i x') \quad \text{by (2.2).} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. *Suppose that, as a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with t -action, $\widetilde{K}^*(X)$ has a direct summand $N\langle y \rangle$ which is a free $\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)$ -module generated by an element $y \in \widetilde{K}^n(X)$ such that $y = c(z)$ for some $z \in \widetilde{KO}^n(X)$. Then $\widetilde{KO}^*(X)$ contains the free $KO^*(S^0)$ -module $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{z\}$ generated by z as a direct summand. It is described by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}^n(X) &\supset \mathbb{Z}\{z\}, & \widetilde{KO}^{n-1}(X) &\supset \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta z\}, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{n-2}(X) &\supset \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta^2 z\}, & \widetilde{KO}^{n-3}(X) &\supset 0, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{n-4}(X) &\supset \mathbb{Z}\{\nu z\}, & \widetilde{KO}^{n-5}(X) &\supset 0, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{n-6}(X) &\supset 0, & \widetilde{KO}^{n-7}(X) &\supset 0. \end{aligned}$$

Instead of Lemma 5, we show the following which we will often use.

Lemma 6. *Suppose that $\widetilde{K}^*(X)$ is the free $\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)$ -module generated by m elements b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m , $b_i \in \widetilde{K}^{n_i}(X)$, satisfying $t(b_i) = b_i$. Suppose further that there exist elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m , $a_i \in \widetilde{KO}^{n_i}(X)$, satisfying $c(a_i) = b_i$. Then $\widetilde{KO}^*(X)$ is the free $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)$ -module generated by a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m .*

Proof. (Note that, since $tc = c$ by (2.1a), $c(a_i) = b_i$ implies $t(b_i) = b_i$.) We use the machinery of exact couples (see [10]). An exact couple is an exact triangle of graded abelian groups.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{i} & D \\ & \swarrow k & \searrow j \\ & E & \end{array}$$

Then $d = jk: E \rightarrow E$ satisfies $d^2 = 0$, and there is another exact couple (the derived couple)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D' & \xrightarrow{i'} & D \\ & \swarrow k' & \searrow j' \\ & E' & \end{array}$$

where $D' = i(D)$, $E' = \text{Ker } d / \text{Im } d$, i' is induced by i , k' is induced by k , and $j'(i(a)) = [j(a)]$ for $a \in D$.

The Bott's exact sequence yields an exact couple by setting $D = \widetilde{KO}^*(X)$, $E = \widetilde{K}^*(X)$, $i = \eta: \widetilde{KO}^*(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}^{*-1}(X)$, $j = c: \widetilde{KO}^*(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}^*(X)$, and $k = \delta: \widetilde{K}^*(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}^{*+2}(X)$. (With this notation, since

$\eta^3 = 0$, it follows that $D''' = 0$ and $E''' = 0$.) For any $b \in \widetilde{K}^*(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} d(b) &= c\delta(b) && \text{by the definition of } d \\ &= cr(g^{-1}b) && \text{by (2.2)} \\ &= (1+t)(g^{-1}b) && \text{since } cr = 1+t. \end{aligned}$$

By the first hypothesis,

$$(2.5) \quad E = Z\{g^k b_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in Z\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(g^k b_i) &= g^{k-1} b_i + (-1)^{k-1} g^{k-1} t(b_i) \\ &\quad \text{since } t(g) = -g \text{ and } t \text{ is a ring homomorphism} \\ &= (1 + (-1)^{k-1}) g^{k-1} b_i \quad \text{since } t(b_i) = b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\text{Ker } d = Z\{g^{2k} b_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in Z\}$$

and

$$\text{Im } d = Z\{2g^{2k} b_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in Z\}.$$

Hence

$$(2.6) \quad E' = Z/(2)\{[g^{2k} b_i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in Z\}.$$

Using the second hypothesis, we prove that the multiples of a_i by η , η^2 , ν and σ are not zero. First of all, $\sigma a_i \neq 0$, since $\sigma: \widetilde{K}\widetilde{O}^*(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}\widetilde{O}^{*-8}(X)$ is an isomorphism. Let us verify that $\nu a_i \neq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} c(\nu a_i) &= c(\nu)c(a_i) \quad \text{since } c \text{ is a ring homomorphism} \\ &= 2g^2 b_i \quad \text{since } c(\nu) = 2g^2 \text{ by (2.3a) and } c(a_i) = b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\nu a_i = 0$. Then $2g^2 b_i = 0$. This contradicts (2.5), and proves the assertion. Let us next verify that $\eta a_i \neq 0$. Consider the homomorphism $\delta: E \rightarrow D$, where E is as in (2.5). For $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and for $k \in Z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(g^{4k+j} b_i) &= r(g^{4k+j-1} b_i) \\ &= r(g^{j-1} c(\sigma^k a_i)) \quad \text{since } c(\sigma) = g^4 \text{ by (2.3a)} \\ &= r(g^{j-1}) \sigma^k a_i \quad \text{by (2.1b)}. \end{aligned}$$

By this and (2.3b), we find that the elements which may belong to $\text{Im } \delta$ are $2\sigma^k a_i$, $\eta^2 \sigma^k a_i$ (this may be zero at this point of time) and $\nu \sigma^k a_i$. Assume that $\eta a_i = 0$. Then $a_i \in \text{Ker } \eta = \text{Im } \delta$. This contradicts the above observation, and proves the assertion. Hence $\eta \sigma^k a_i$ becomes a nonzero element of D' . Let us finally verify that $\eta^2 a_i \neq 0$. Consider the homomorphism $\delta': E' \rightarrow D'$, where E' is as in (2.6). For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta'([g^{4k} b_i]) &= \delta(g^{4k} b_i) = r(g^3) \sigma^{k-1} a_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \delta'([g^{4k+2} b_i]) &= \delta(g^{4k+2} b_i) = r(g) \sigma^k a_i = \eta^2 \sigma^k a_i. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\eta^2 a_i = 0$. Then, on the one hand, $\eta a_i \in \text{Ker } \eta' = \text{Im } \delta'$ and on the other hand, the above calculation implies that $\text{Im } \delta' = 0$. This is a contradiction, and proves the assertion. Hence $\eta^2 \sigma^k a_i$ becomes a nonzero element of D' .

Since $\text{Im } c' = \text{Ker } \delta'$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow D'/\text{Ker } c' \xrightarrow{c'} E' \xrightarrow{\delta'} \text{Im } \delta' \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Ker } c' = \text{Im } \eta'$ and $\text{Im } \delta' = \text{Ker } \eta'$, it can be rewritten in the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Coker } \eta' \xrightarrow{c'} E' \xrightarrow{\delta'} \text{Ker } \eta' \longrightarrow 0,$$

where E' is as in (2.6),

$$c'(\eta \sigma^k a_i) = [c(\sigma^k a_i)] = [c(\sigma)^k c(a_i)] = [g^{4k} b_i]$$

and (2.7) holds. So we conclude that

$$\text{Coker } \eta' = \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta \sigma^k a_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and

$$\text{Ker } \eta' = \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta^2 \sigma^k a_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \eta' \longrightarrow D' \xrightarrow{\eta'} D' \longrightarrow \text{Coker } \eta' \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then, since $2\eta = 0$ and $\eta^3 = 0$, it follows that

$$(2.8) \quad D' = \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta \sigma^k a_i, \eta^2 \sigma^k a_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } c \longrightarrow D \xrightarrow{c} \text{Im } c \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Ker } c = \text{Im } \eta = D'$ and $\text{Im } c = \text{Ker } \delta$, it can be rewritten in the form

$$0 \longrightarrow D' \longrightarrow D \xrightarrow{c} \text{Ker } \delta \longrightarrow 0.$$

From a description of the behavior of δ given in the preceding paragraph, we see that

$$\text{Ker } \delta = \mathbb{Z}\{g^{4k}b_i, 2g^{4k+2}b_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Therefore $D \cong D' \oplus \text{Ker } \delta$, and since $c(\sigma^k a_i) = g^{4k}b_i$ and $c(\nu\sigma^k a_i) = 2g^{4k}b_i$, it follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} D = \mathbb{Z}/(2)\{\eta\sigma^k a_i, \eta^2\sigma^k a_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ \oplus \mathbb{Z}\{\sigma^k a_i, \nu\sigma^k a_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is completed.

Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Recall from [1, Proposition 3.27] that $c: RO(G) \rightarrow R(G)$ and $c': RSp(G) \rightarrow R(G)$ are injective. A representation μ of G is said to be *self-conjugate* if $t(\mu) = \mu$. Similarly, μ is said to be *real* if it lies in the image of $c: RO(G) \rightarrow R(G)$, and μ is said to be *quaternionic* if it lies in the image of $c': RSp(G) \rightarrow R(G)$. According to [1, Proposition 3.56], if μ is irreducible and self-conjugate, it is either real or quaternionic, but not both. The following is a collection of results from [1, Chapter 7] and [20, Chapter 5]:

Proposition 7. *For $G = SU(3)$, $Sp(2)$ and G_2 , the action of t on $R(G)$ is given by:*

$$(1) \text{ In } R(SU(3)) = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2],$$

$$t(\lambda_1) = \lambda_2 \quad \text{and} \quad t(\lambda_2) = \lambda_1.$$

$$(2) \text{ In } R(Sp(2)) = \mathbb{Z}[\mu'_1, \mu'_2],$$

$$t(\mu'_i) = \mu'_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

where μ'_1 is quaternionic and μ'_2 is real.

$$(3) \text{ In } R(G_2) = \mathbb{Z}[\rho_1, \rho_2],$$

$$t(\rho_i) = \rho_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

where both ρ_1 and ρ_2 are real.

Since β commutes with t , from Proposition 7 we know the action of t on $\widetilde{K}^*(G)$, which can be deduced from Theorems 1 to 3 by taking $k = -1$, since $t = \psi^{-1}$ (see [2]). These are essential data for computing $KO^*(G)$.

There is a folkloric result which tells us how to represent the complexification map $c: \widetilde{KO}^*(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}^*(X)$ as a (weak) map of Ω -spectra $c = \{c_i; i \in \mathbb{Z}\}: KO \rightarrow K$ and can be read off from [5] or [7]. To state it, we need some notation. A monomorphism $i_F: H \rightarrow G$ of (not necessarily compact) Lie groups induces the following maps

$$G \xrightarrow{\pi_F} G/H \xrightarrow{j_F} BH \xrightarrow{\rho_F} BG.$$

We denote by i_C the natural inclusions $SO(n) \rightarrow SU(n)$, $O(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ and their stable versions, by i_H the natural inclusions $SU(n) \rightarrow Sp(n)$, $U(n) \rightarrow Sp(n)$, etc., by i_R the standard monomorphism $U(n) \rightarrow O(2n)$ etc., and by i_C the standard monomorphisms $Sp(n) \rightarrow SU(2n)$, $Sp(n) \rightarrow U(2n)$, etc., which arise from the correspondence

$$H \ni \alpha + j\beta \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in M(2, \mathbb{C})$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ is the complex conjugate of α (see [11]).

Proposition 8. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, c_i is given as follows.

- (i) If $i \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, $c_i = \rho_C: BO \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow BU \times \mathbb{Z}$.
- (ii) If $i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then $c_i: U/O \rightarrow U$ is defined by

$$(2.9) \quad c_i(xH) = x\sigma(x)^{-1} \quad \text{for } xH \in G/H$$

where $(G, H) = (U, O)$ and $\sigma = \sigma_\infty: U \rightarrow U$ is the limit of maps $\sigma_n: U(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ defined by

$$(2.10) \quad \sigma_n(A) = \bar{A}$$

where \bar{A} is the complex conjugate of A .

- (iii) If $i \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, $c_i = j_H: Sp/U \rightarrow BU \times \mathbb{Z}$.

- (iv) If $i \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, $c_i = i_{C'}: Sp \rightarrow U$.
- (v) If $i \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, $c_i = \rho_{C'}: BSp \times \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow BU \times \mathbf{Z}$.
- (vi) If $i \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then $c_i: U/Sp \rightarrow U$ is defined as in (2.9), where $(G, H) = (U, Sp)$ and $\sigma = \sigma'_\infty: U \rightarrow U$ is the limit of maps $\sigma'_n: U(2n) \rightarrow U(2n)$ defined by

$$(2.11) \quad \sigma'_n(A) = J_n \bar{A} J_n^{-1}$$

where if J_n denotes the unit matrix of degree n ,

$$J_n = \begin{pmatrix} O & -I_n \\ I_n & O \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (vii) If $i \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, $c_i = j_R: O/U \rightarrow BU \times \mathbf{Z}$.
- (viii) If $i \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, $c_i = i_C: O \rightarrow U$.

In the notation of Lemmas 4 and 5, Seymour [16, Theorem 5.6] showed that $\widetilde{K}^*(G)$ is a direct sum of $T\langle x \rangle$'s and $N\langle y \rangle$'s, and then $\widetilde{KO}^*(G)$ is a direct sum of $r(\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)\{x\})$'s and $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{z\}$'s correspondingly.

Furthermore, we recall Seymour's comment [16, Lemma 5.3] on the summand $N\langle y \rangle$. Let G be a compact, 1-connected Lie group. Suppose that an irreducible, self-conjugate representation μ of G is given. Then, by the theorem of Hodgkin [8], $\widetilde{K}^*(G)$ has a summand $\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)\{\beta(\mu)\}$ with $t(\beta(\mu)) = \beta(\mu)$. Here, two cases can occur. The first case is that μ is real and the second is that μ is quaternionic, as mentioned earlier. The beta-construction has the real and quaternionic analogues

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_R: RO(G) &\longrightarrow \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(G), \\ \beta_H: RSp(G) &\longrightarrow \widetilde{KSp}^{-1}(G) \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy $c\beta_R = \beta c$ and $c'\beta_H = \beta c'$, respectively. In the first case, there exists a unique element $\hat{\mu} \in RO(G)$ such that $c(\hat{\mu}) = \mu$. Then $\beta_R(\hat{\mu}) \in \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(G)$ and $c(\beta_R(\hat{\mu})) = \beta(\mu)$. Thus $\widetilde{KO}^*(G)$ has a summand $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{\beta_R(\hat{\mu})\}$ corresponding to the summand $N\langle \beta(\mu) \rangle$ in $\widetilde{K}^*(G)$. In the second case, there exists a unique element $\hat{\mu} \in RSp(G)$ such that $c'(\hat{\mu}) = \mu$. Then $\beta_H(\hat{\mu}) \in \widetilde{KSp}^{-1}(G)$ and $c'(\beta_H(\hat{\mu})) = \beta(\mu)$. By Proposition 8(iv), since $\widetilde{KSp}^{-1}(X) = [X, Sp]$ (where $[\ , \]$ denotes the set of homotopy classes of maps preserving base points) is identified with $\widetilde{KO}^{-5}(X)$, it is restated as $c(\beta_H(\hat{\mu})) = g^2\beta(\mu)$, where we regard $\beta_H(\hat{\mu})$ as an element of $\widetilde{KO}^{-5}(G)$. Thus $\widetilde{KO}^*(G)$ has a summand $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{\beta_H(\hat{\mu})\}$ corresponding to the summand $N\langle g^2\beta(\mu) \rangle$ in $\widetilde{K}^*(G)$.

After all, Seymour determined the ring structure of $\widetilde{KO}^*(G)$ except the following point (see [16, Appendix]): what are the squares $\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu})^2$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu})^2$ in the above situation? The Bott's exact sequence tells us only that they are in the image of η . M. Crabb [6, p.67] and H. Minami [14, Proposition 2.2] answered these questions. They showed that

$$(2.12) \quad \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu})^2 = \eta \cdot \lambda^2(\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu})) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu})^2 = \eta\sigma \cdot \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda^2(\widehat{\mu}))$$

where in the first relation, $\mu \in R(G)$ is real, and in the second relation, $\mu \in R(G)$ is quaternionic and $\lambda^2(\mu) \in R(G)$ is real (see [1, Remark 3.63]). So the problems reduce to determine $\lambda^2(\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu}))$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda^2(\widehat{\mu}))$ in $\widetilde{KO}^{-1}(G)$.

3. The rings $KO^*(SU(3))$, $KO^*(Sp(2))$ and $KO^*(G_2)$. Using the notation of the previous section, we describe the $KO^*(pt)$ -algebra structure of $KO^*(G)$, together with the action of ψ^k on it.

$$G = SU(3)$$

As seen in [16, Theorem 5.6], there exists an element $\zeta_{1,2} \in \widetilde{KO}^0(SU(3))$ such that $c(\zeta_{1,2}) = g^{-1}\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2)$. It follows from this and Proposition 7(1) that

$$(3.1) \quad \widetilde{K}^*(SU(3)) = T\langle\beta(\lambda_1)\rangle \oplus N\langle g^{-1}\beta(\lambda_1)\beta(\lambda_2)\rangle$$

Theorem 9. *As a \mathbf{Z} -graded module,*

$$\widetilde{KO}^*(SU(3)) = r(\widetilde{K}^*(S^0)\{\beta(\lambda_1)\}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{\zeta_{1,2}\}.$$

More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}^0(SU(3)) &= \mathbf{Z}\{\zeta_{1,2}\}, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(SU(3)) &= \mathbf{Z}/(2)\{\eta\zeta_{1,2}\} \oplus \mathbf{Z}\{r(\beta(\lambda_1))\}, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{-2}(SU(3)) &= \mathbf{Z}/(2)\{\eta^2\zeta_{1,2}\}, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{-3}(SU(3)) &= \mathbf{Z}\{r(g\beta(\lambda_1))\}, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{-4}(SU(3)) &= \mathbf{Z}\{\nu\zeta_{1,2}\}, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{-5}(SU(3)) &= \mathbf{Z}\{r(g^2\beta(\lambda_1))\}, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{-6}(SU(3)) &= 0, \\ \widetilde{KO}^{-7}(SU(3)) &= \mathbf{Z}\{r(g^3\beta(\lambda_1))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Its $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)$ -module structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \cdot r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) &= 0, \quad \nu \cdot r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) = 2r(g^{i+2} \beta(\lambda_1)) \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma \cdot r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) &= r(g^{i+4} \beta(\lambda_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Its multiplicative structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned} r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) \cdot r(g^j \beta(\lambda_1)) &= (-1)^j r(g^{i+j+1} \beta(\lambda_1)) \zeta_{1,2}, \\ r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) \cdot \zeta_{1,2} &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{1,2}^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The action of ψ^k on $\widetilde{KO}^*(SU(3))$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^k(r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1))) &= \begin{cases} k^{i+2} r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ k^{i+3} r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \\ \text{and } \psi^k(\zeta_{1,2}) &= k^4 \zeta_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The additive structure follows from (3.1) and Lemmas 4, 5. For the $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)$ -module structure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \cdot r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) &= r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) \eta \\ &= r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) \cdot c(\eta) \quad \text{by (2.1b)} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{since } c(\eta) = 0 \text{ by (2.3a)} \end{aligned}$$

and the other equalities are obtained similarly.

For the multiplicative structure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) \cdot r(g^j \beta(\lambda_1)) &= r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) \cdot cr(g^j \beta(\lambda_1)) && \text{by (2.1b)} \\ &= r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) \cdot (1+t)(g^j \beta(\lambda_1)) && \text{by (2.1a)} \\ &= r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) \cdot (g^j \beta(\lambda_1) + (-1)^j g^j \beta(\lambda_2)) && \text{since } t(\beta(\lambda_1)) = \beta(\lambda_2) \\ &= r(g^{i+j} \beta(\lambda_1)^2) + (-1)^j r(g^{i+j} \beta(\lambda_1)) \beta(\lambda_2) \\ &= (-1)^j r(g^{i+j+1} g^{-1} \beta(\lambda_1)) \beta(\lambda_2) && \text{since } \beta(\lambda_1)^2 = 0 \\ &= (-1)^j r(g^{i+j+1} \beta(\lambda_1)) \zeta_{1,2} && \text{by (2.1b) and the definition of } \zeta_{1,2} \end{aligned}$$

and the other equalities are obtained similarly.

For the action of ψ^k , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & c(\psi^k r)(g^i \beta(\lambda_1)) \\
 &= \psi^k(cr(g^i \beta(\lambda_1))) \\
 &= \psi^k(g^i \beta(\lambda_1) + (-1)^i g^i \beta(\lambda_2)) \\
 &= k^i g^i \left(\frac{k^2(k+1)}{2} \beta(\lambda_1) - \frac{k^2(k+1)}{2} \beta(\lambda_2) \right) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^i k^i g^i \left(-\frac{k^2(k-1)}{2} \beta(\lambda_1) + \frac{k^2(k+1)}{2} \beta(\lambda_2) \right) \\
 &\quad \text{by (1.2) and Theorem 1(i)} \\
 &= \frac{k^{i+2}(k+1 - (-1)^i k + (-1)^i)}{2} (g^i \beta(\lambda_1) + (-1)^i g^i \beta(\lambda_2)) \\
 &= c\left(\frac{k^{i+2}(k+1 - (-1)^i k + (-1)^i)}{2} r(g^i \beta(\lambda_1))\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By examining the behavior of $c: \widetilde{KO}^{-1-2i}(SU(3)) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}^{-1-2i}(SU(3))$, we see that this gives the first equality. The second equality follows similarly, and the proof is completed.

$G = Sp(2)$

It follows from Proposition 7(2) that

$$(3.2) \quad \widetilde{K}^*(Sp(2)) = N\langle g^2 \beta(\mu'_1) \rangle \oplus N\langle \beta(\mu'_2) \rangle \oplus N\langle g^2 \beta(\mu'_1) \beta(\mu'_2) \rangle.$$

Theorem 10. *As a $KO^*(pt)$ -module (but not as a ring),*

$$KO^*(Sp(2)) = KO^*(pt) \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1}), \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2})).$$

Its multiplicative structure is given by

$$\beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1})^2 = \eta\sigma \cdot \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\mu'_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2})^2 = 0.$$

The action of ψ^k on $\widetilde{KO}^(Sp(2))$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi^k(\beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1})) &= \frac{k^4(k^2+2)}{3} \beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1}) - \frac{k^4(k^2-1)}{12} \nu \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2}), \\
 \psi^k(\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2})) &= -\frac{2k^2(k^2-1)}{3} \nu \sigma^{-1} \beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1}) + \frac{k^2(2k^2+1)}{3} \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2}), \\
 \psi^k(\beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1}) \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2})) &= k^8 \beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1}) \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from (3.2) and Lemma 5 that, as a $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)$ -module,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}^*(Sp(2)) = \widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{\beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1})\} \oplus \widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2})\} \\ \oplus \widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{\beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1})\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

So the first statement follows.

For the multiplicative structure, the first equality is a consequence of the second relation of (2.12). It remains to prove the second equality. In view of the first relation of (2.12), we have to determine $\lambda^2(\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2}))$. For this purpose, since $c: \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(Sp(2)) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}^{-1}(Sp(2))$ is a monomorphism of λ -rings (compare (3.3) with (3.2)), it suffices to compute $\lambda^2(\beta(\mu'_2))$. We quote from Theorem 2(i) with $k = 2$ that

$$\psi^2(\beta(\mu'_2)) = -16\beta(\mu'_1) + 12\beta(\mu'_2).$$

Using the formula $\psi^2(x) - x^2 + 2\lambda^2(x) = 0$ for $x \in K(X)$ (see [2]) and the relation $\beta(\mu'_2)^2 = 0$, we have

$$\lambda^2(\beta(\mu'_2)) = 8\beta(\mu'_1) - 6\beta(\mu'_2).$$

By (2.12), since $2\eta = 0$, this gives the second equality.

For the action of ψ^k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c\psi^k(\beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1})) &= \psi^k c(\beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1})) \\ &= \psi^k(g^2\beta(\mu'_1)) \\ &= \psi^k(g)^2 \psi^k(\beta(\mu'_1)) \\ &= (kg)^2 \left(\frac{k^2(k^2+2)}{3} \beta(\mu'_1) - \frac{k^2(k^2-1)}{6} \beta(\mu'_2) \right) \\ &\quad \text{by (1.2) and Theorem 2(i)} \\ &= \frac{k^4(k^2+2)}{3} g^2\beta(\mu'_1) - \frac{k^4(k^2-1)}{6} g^2\beta(\mu'_2) \\ &= c \left(\frac{k^4(k^2+2)}{3} \beta_{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{\mu'_1}) - \frac{k^4(k^2-1)}{12} \nu\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\mu'_2}) \right) \quad \text{by (2.3a).} \end{aligned}$$

Since $c: \widetilde{KO}^{-5}(Sp(2)) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}^{-5}(Sp(2))$ is injective (compare (3.3) with (3.2)), this gives the first equality. The other equalities are obtained similarly, and the proof is completed.

$G = G_2$

It follows from Proposition 7(3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \widetilde{K}^*(G_2) = N\langle\beta(\rho_1)\rangle \oplus N\langle\beta(\rho_2)\rangle \oplus N\langle\beta(\rho_1)\beta(\rho_2)\rangle.$$

Theorem 11. *As a $KO^*(pt)$ -module (but not as a ring),*

$$KO^*(G_2) = KO^*(pt) \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1), \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2)).$$

Its multiplicative structure is given by

$$\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1)^2 = \eta \cdot \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1) + \eta \cdot \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2) = \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2)^2.$$

The action of ψ^k on $\widetilde{KO}^(G_2)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^k(\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1)) &= \frac{k^2(k^4 + 5)}{6} \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1) - \frac{k^2(k^4 - 1)}{30} \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2), \\ \psi^k(\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2)) &= -\frac{25k^2(k^4 - 1)}{6} \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1) + \frac{k^2(5k^4 + 1)}{6} \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2), \\ \psi^k(\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1)\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2)) &= k^8 \beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1)\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from (3.4) and Lemma 5 that, as a $\widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)$ -module,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{KO}^*(G_2) &= \widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1)\} \oplus \widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2)\} \\ &\quad \oplus \widetilde{KO}^*(S^0)\{\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_1)\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

So the first statement follows.

For the multiplicative structure, in view of the first relation of (2.12), we have to determine $\lambda^2(\beta_{\mathbf{R}}(\widehat{\rho}_i))$ for $i = 1, 2$. For this purpose, since $c: \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(G_2) \rightarrow \widetilde{K}^{-1}(G_2)$ is a monomorphism of λ -rings (compare (3.5) with (3.4)), it suffices to compute $\lambda^2(\beta(\rho_i))$ for $i = 1, 2$. We quote from Theorem 3(i) with $k = 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^2(\beta(\rho_1)) &= 14\beta(\rho_1) - 2\beta(\rho_2), \\ \psi^2(\beta(\rho_2)) &= -250\beta(\rho_1) + 54\beta(\rho_2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula $\psi^2(x) - x^2 + 2\lambda^2(x) = 0$ and the relation $\beta(\rho_i)^2 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2(\beta(\rho_1)) &= -7\beta(\rho_1) + \beta(\rho_2), \\ \lambda^2(\beta(\rho_2)) &= 125\beta(\rho_1) - 27\beta(\rho_2). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.12), since $2\eta = 0$, these give the stated equalities.

The equalities describing the action of ψ^k are obtained in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 10.

4. The rings $KO^*(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1))$ and $KO^*(SU(2n)/Sp(n))$. Lemma 6 together with Proposition 8 can be used to compute the KO -theory of compact symmetric spaces $SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1)$ and $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$. To begin with, the following result is in [1, Remark 3.63 and Theorems 7.3, 7.6, 7.7].

Proposition 12. *For $G = SU(n+1)$, $SO(2n+1)$ and $Sp(n)$, the action of t on $R(G)$ is given by:*

(1) *In $R(SU(n+1)) = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]$ (where $\lambda_1 = [C^{n+1}]$ and $\lambda_k = \lambda^k(\lambda_1)$),*

$$t(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{n+1-k} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

(2) *In $R(SO(2n+1)) = \mathbb{Z}[\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n]$ (where $\mu_1 = [(R^{2n+1})^C]$ and $\mu_k = \lambda^k(\mu_1)$),*

$$t(\mu_k) = \mu_k \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n$$

where μ_k is real.

(3) *In $R(Sp(n)) = \mathbb{Z}[\mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n]$ (where $\mu'_1 = [(H^n)_C]$ and $\mu'_k = \lambda^k(\mu'_1)$),*

$$t(\mu'_k) = \mu'_k \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n$$

where μ'_{2l-1} is quaternionic and μ'_{2l} is real.

The K -rings of $SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1)$ and $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ were determined by H. Minami [12]. We recall his result. Let G be a compact 1-connected Lie group. Suppose that there is an automorphism $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$ such that $\sigma^2 = 1_G$. Then the fixed point set $G^\sigma = \{x \in G \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$ forms a closed connected subgroup of G , and the coset space G/G^σ becomes a compact symmetric space (e.g., see [11, Chapter 3, §6]). Consider the induced homomorphism $\sigma^*: R(G) \rightarrow R(G)$ and let $\sigma^*(\lambda) = \lambda'$, where λ is a representation of G . Then $\dim \lambda = \dim \lambda' (= n)$ and $\lambda|_{G^\sigma} = \lambda'|_{G^\sigma}$. So we have a map $f_\lambda: G/G^\sigma \rightarrow U(n)$ defined by

$$(4.1) \quad f_\lambda(xG^\sigma) = \lambda(x)\lambda'(x)^{-1} \quad \text{for } xG^\sigma \in G/G^\sigma.$$

Let $\iota_n: U(n) \rightarrow U$ be the canonical injection. Then the composite $\iota_n f_\lambda$ gives rise to a homotopy class $\beta(\lambda - \lambda')$ in $[G/G^\sigma, U] = \widetilde{K}^{-1}(G/G^\sigma)$.

Let $\sigma = \sigma_{2n+1}: SU(2n+1) \rightarrow SU(2n+1)$ be the involution defined as in (2.10). Then, in the notation of Proposition 12,

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma^*(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{2n+1-k} \quad \text{and} \quad i_{\mathbf{C}}^*(\lambda_k) = \mu_k = i_{\mathbf{C}}^*(\lambda_{2n+1-k}) \\ \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Similarly, let $\sigma = \sigma'_n: SU(2n) \rightarrow SU(2n)$ be the involution defined as in (2.11). Then

$$(4.3) \quad \sigma^*(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{2n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad i_{\mathbf{C}'}^*(\lambda_k) = \mu'_k = i_{\mathbf{C}'}^*(\lambda_{2n-k}) \\ \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proposition 13. (1) ([12, Proposition 8.1]) *As an algebra over $K^*(pt)$,*

$$K^*(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1)) \\ = K^*(pt) \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{2n}), \dots, \beta(\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1})).$$

(2) ([12, Proposition 6.1]) *As an algebra over $K^*(pt)$,*

$$K^*(SU(2n)/Sp(n)) \\ = K^*(pt) \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{2n-1}), \dots, \beta(\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_{n+1})).$$

We can now deduce our main result.

Theorem 14. (1) *As an algebra over $KO^*(pt)$,*

$$KO^*(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1)) = KO^*(pt) \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(\lambda_{1,2n}, \dots, \lambda_{n,n+1})$$

where $\lambda_{k,2n+1-k} \in \widetilde{KO}^1(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1))$ is a unique element such that

$$c(\lambda_{k,2n+1-k}) = g^{-1}\beta(\lambda_k - \lambda_{2n+1-k}).$$

(2) *As an algebra over $KO^*(pt)$,*

$$KO^*(SU(2n)/Sp(n)) = KO^*(pt) \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}}(\lambda'_{1,2n-1}, \dots, \lambda'_{n-1,n+1})$$

where $\lambda'_{2l-1,2n-2l+1} \in \widetilde{KO}^{-3}(SU(2n)/Sp(n))$ is a unique element such that

$$c(\lambda'_{2l-1,2n-2l+1}) = g\beta(\lambda_{2l-1} - \lambda_{2n-2l+1}),$$

and $\lambda'_{2l,2n-2l} \in \widetilde{KO}^1(SU(2n)/Sp(n))$ is a unique element such that

$$c(\lambda'_{2l,2n-2l}) = g^{-1}\beta(\lambda_{2l} - \lambda_{2n-2l}).$$

Proof. We first show (1). Consider $\lambda_k: SU(2n+1) \rightarrow U\left(\binom{2n+1}{k}\right)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. By (4.2), $i_C^*(\lambda_k) = \mu_k$ and by Proposition 12(2), $\mu_k \in R(SO(2n+1))$ is real, i.e., there is a (unique) $\widehat{\mu}_k \in RO(SO(2n+1))$ such that $c(\widehat{\mu}_k) = \mu_k$. Therefore, in the diagram

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} SO(2n+1) & \xrightarrow{i_C} & SU(2n+1) & \xrightarrow{\pi_C} & SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1) \\ \downarrow \kappa_{2n+1,k}\widehat{\mu}_k & & \downarrow \iota_{2n+1,k}\lambda_k & & \downarrow \lambda_{k,2n+1-k} & \searrow \beta(\lambda_k - \lambda_{2n+1-k}) \\ O & \xrightarrow{i_C} & U & \xrightarrow{\pi_C} & U/O & \xrightarrow{c_1} & U \end{array}$$

(where $\kappa_{2n+1,k}: O\left(\binom{2n+1}{k}\right) \rightarrow O$ and $\iota_{2n+1,k}: U\left(\binom{2n+1}{k}\right) \rightarrow U$ are the canonical injections), the left square is commutative. So we have a map $\lambda_{k,2n+1-k}: SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1) \rightarrow U/O$ which makes the middle square commute. Indeed, it is defined by

$$(4.5) \quad \lambda_{k,2n+1-k}(xSO(2n+1)) = (\iota_{2n+1,k}\lambda_k)(x)O$$

for $xSO(2n+1) \in SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1)$. Since $\sigma_{2n+1}^*(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{2n+1-k} = t(\lambda_k)$ by (4.2) and Proposition 12(1), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SU(2n+1) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_k} & U\left(\binom{2n+1}{k}\right) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n,k}} & U \\ \sigma_{2n+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_{2n+1,k} & & \downarrow \sigma_\infty \\ SU(2n+1) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_k} & U\left(\binom{2n+1}{k}\right) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n,k}} & U \end{array}$$

(where $\sigma_{2n+1,k}$ is defined as in (2.10)) is commutative. So the right triangle in (4.4) is commutative:

$$\begin{aligned} & (c_1\lambda_{k,2n+1-k})(xSO(2n+1)) \\ &= (\iota_{2n+1,k}\lambda_k)(x)\sigma_\infty((\iota_{2n+1,k}\lambda_k)(x))^{-1} \quad \text{by (2.9) and (4.5)} \\ &= (\iota_{2n+1,k}\lambda_k)(x)(\iota_{2n+1,k}\lambda_k\sigma_{2n+1})(x)^{-1} \\ &= \iota_{2n+1,k}(\lambda_k(x)(\lambda_k\sigma_{2n+1})(x)^{-1}) \\ &= \beta(\lambda_k - \lambda_{2n+1-k})(xSO(2n+1)) \quad \text{by (4.1) and (4.2).} \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 8(ii), this implies that $c(\lambda_{k,2n+1-k}) = g^{-1}\beta(\lambda_k - \lambda_{2n+1-k})$, where we regard $\lambda_{k,2n+1-k}$ as an element of $\widetilde{KO}^1(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1)) =$

$[SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1), U/O]$. By this equality and Proposition 13(1), we can apply Lemma 6 to the case $X = SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1)$ and obtain the $KO^*(pt)$ -module structure of $KO^*(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1))$. For the multiplicative structure, as is discussed at the end of section 2, whether $\lambda_{k,2n+1-k}^2$ is zero or not is a remaining question. Fortunately it says in Crabb [6, Example (6.6)] that $\lambda_{k,2n+1-k}^2 = 0$ since $\lambda_{k,2n+1-k}$ has degree 1 and $1 \equiv -3 \pmod{4}$. Hence (1) follows.

We next show (2). Consider $\lambda_k: SU(2n) \rightarrow U(\binom{2n}{k})$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. By (4.3), $i_{C'}^*(\lambda_k) = \mu'_k$. From now on, our argument is divided into two cases.

Suppose that k is odd, i.e., $k = 2l - 1$ for some $l \geq 1$. Then, by Proposition 12(3), $\mu'_k \in R(Sp(n))$ is quaternionic, i.e., there is a (unique) $\widehat{\mu}'_k \in RSp(Sp(n))$ such that $c'(\widehat{\mu}'_k) = \mu'_k$. Therefore, in the diagram

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} Sp(n) & \xrightarrow{i_{C'}} & SU(2n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{C'}} & SU(2n)/Sp(n) & & \\ \xi_{2n,k} \widehat{\mu}'_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{2n,k} \lambda_k & & \downarrow \lambda'_{k,2n-k} & \searrow \beta(\lambda_k - \lambda_{2n-k}) & \\ Sp & \xrightarrow{i_{C'}} & U & \xrightarrow{\pi_{C'}} & U/Sp & \xrightarrow{c-3} & U \end{array}$$

(where $\xi_{2n,k}: Sp(\binom{2n}{k}/2) \rightarrow Sp$ and $\iota_{2n,k}: U(\binom{2n}{k}) \rightarrow U$ are the canonical injections), the left square is commutative. So we have a map $\lambda'_{k,2n-k}: SU(2n)/Sp(n) \rightarrow U/Sp$ which makes the middle square commute. Since $\sigma_n^*(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{2n-k} = t(\lambda_k)$ by (4.3) and Proposition 12(1) and since $j\alpha j^{-1} = \bar{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in C$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SU(2n) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_k} & U(\binom{2n}{k}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n,k}} & U \\ \sigma'_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma'_{n,k} & & \downarrow \sigma'_\infty \\ SU(2n) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_k} & U(\binom{2n}{k}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n,k}} & U \end{array}$$

(where $\sigma'_{n,k}$ is defined as in (2.11)) is commutative and so the right triangle in (4.6) is commutative. By Proposition 8(vi), this implies that $c(\lambda'_{k,2n-k}) = g\beta(\lambda_k - \lambda_{2n-k})$, where $\lambda'_{k,2n-k} \in \widetilde{KO}^{-3}(SU(2n)/Sp(n)) = [SU(2n)/Sp(n), U/Sp]$.

Suppose that k is even, i.e., $k = 2l$ for some $l \geq 1$. Then, by Proposition 12(3), $\mu'_k \in R(Sp(n))$ is real, i.e., there is a (unique) $\widehat{\mu}'_k \in RO(Sp(n))$

such that $c(\widehat{\mu'_k}) = \mu'_k$. Therefore, in the diagram

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} Sp(n) & \xrightarrow{i_{C'}} & SU(2n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{C'}} & SU(2n)/Sp(n) & & \\ \kappa_{2n,k} \widehat{\mu'_k} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{2n,k} \lambda_k & & \downarrow \lambda'_{k,2n-k} & \searrow \beta(\lambda_k - \lambda_{2n-k}) & \\ O & \xrightarrow{i_C} & U & \xrightarrow{\pi_C} & U/O & \xrightarrow{c_1} & U \end{array}$$

(where $\kappa_{2n,k}: O(\binom{2n}{k}) \rightarrow O$ is the canonical injection), the left square is commutative. So we have a map $\lambda'_{k,2n-k}: SU(2n)/Sp(n) \rightarrow U/O$ which makes the middle square commute. Since $\sigma'_n{}^*(\lambda_k) = \lambda_{2n-k} = t(\lambda_k)$ by (4.3) and Proposition 12(1), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SU(2n) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_k} & U(\binom{2n}{k}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n,k}} & U \\ \sigma'_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_{2n,k} & & \downarrow \sigma_\infty \\ SU(2n) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_k} & U(\binom{2n}{k}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n,k}} & U \end{array}$$

(where $\sigma_{2n,k}$ is defined as in (2.10)) is commutative and so the right triangle in (4.7) is commutative. By Proposition 8(ii), this implies that $c(\lambda'_{k,2n-k}) = g^{-1}\beta(\lambda_k - \lambda_{2n-k})$, where $\lambda'_{k,2n-k} \in \widetilde{KO}^1(SU(2n)/Sp(n))$.

By these equalities and Proposition 13(2), we can apply Lemma 6 to the case $X = SU(2n)/Sp(n)$. The rest is quite similar to the proof of (1), and (2) follows.

Remark. We have no good reasons to assert that, for example, $\lambda_{k,2n+1-k}$ lies in $\widetilde{KO}^1(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1))$ and does not lie in $\widetilde{KO}^{8m+1}(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1))$ for some $m \neq 0$. But, since the CW-complex structure of $SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1)$ is known for small n , one can compute $\widetilde{KO}^*(SU(2n+1)/SO(2n+1))$ by using cofibre sequences. Only such observation justifies our assertion.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. ADAMS: Lectures on Lie Groups, Math. Lecture Note Ser., W. A. Benjamin, 1969.
- [2] J. F. ADAMS: Vector fields on spheres, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 603-632.
- [3] M. F. ATIYAH and F. HIRZEBRUCH: Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. III, Amer. Math. Soc., 1961, 7-38.

- [4] R. BOTT: Lectures on $K(X)$, Math. Lecture Note Ser., W. A. Benjamin, 1969.
- [5] Séminaire H. CARTAN: Périodicité des groupes d'homotopie stables des groupes classiques, d'après Bott (en collaboration avec J. C. Moore), (1959/60), W. A. Benjamin, 1967.
- [6] M. C. CRABB: $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ -Homotopy Theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., no. 44, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1980.
- [7] E. DYER and R. LASHOF: A topological proof of the Bott periodicity theorems, Ann. Mat. Pure Appl. **54** (1961), 231–254.
- [8] L. HODGKIN: On the K -theory of Lie groups, Topology **6** (1967), 1–36.
- [9] D. HUSEMOLLER: Fibre Bundles, 2nd edition, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 20, Springer, 1974.
- [10] W. S. MASSEY: Exact couples in algebraic topology, I and II, Ann. of Math. **56** (1952), 363–396.
- [11] M. MIMURA and H. TODA: Topology of Lie Groups, I and II, Transl. Math. Monog., vol. 91, Amer. Math. Soc., 1991.
- [12] H. MINAMI: K -groups of symmetric spaces I, Osaka J. Math. **12** (1975), 623–634.
- [13] H. MINAMI: On the K -theory of $SO(n)$, Osaka J. Math. **21** (1984), 789–808.
- [14] H. MINAMI: The real K -groups of $SO(n)$ for $n = 3, 4$ and $5 \bmod 8$, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), 185–211.
- [15] H. MINAMI: On the K -theory of PE_7 , Osaka J. Math. **30** (1993), 235–266.
- [16] R. M. SEYMOUR: The real K -theory of Lie groups and homogeneous spaces, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **24** (1973), 7–30.
- [17] T. WATANABE: Chern characters on compact Lie groups of low rank, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 463–488.
- [18] T. WATANABE: Adams operations in the connective K -theory of compact Lie groups, Osaka J. Math. **23** (1986), 617–632.
- [19] G. W. WHITEHEAD: Elements of Homotopy Theory, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 61, Springer, 1978.
- [20] I. YOKOTA: Groups and Representations, Shōkabō, 1973 (in Japanese).

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS

OSAKA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY

2-1 DAISEN, SAKAI, OSAKA 590, JAPAN

E-mail: takashiw@appmath.osaka-wu.ac.jp

(Received April 24, 1994)