

ON GENERATING ELEMENTS OF IDEALS IN SKEW POLYNOMIAL RINGS

Dedicated to Professor Kazuo Kishimoto on his 60th birthday

SHŪICHI IKEHATA and ATSUSHI NAKAJIMA

Let K be a field, $\rho: K \rightarrow K$ an automorphism of order n , and $F = K^\rho = \{a \in K \mid \rho(a) = a\}$ the fixed subfield of K by ρ . Let $R = K[X; \rho]$ be the skew polynomial ring in which the multiplication is given by $aX = X\rho(a)$ ($a \in K$). As is well known that for any two-sided ideal J in R , there exist a non-negative integer i and a monic polynomial $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ such that $J = X^i h(X^n)R = RX^i h(X^n)$ ([5]). Thus for any polynomial f in R , we ought to get the above i and $h(t)$ such that $RfR = X^i h(X^n)R = RX^i h(X^n)$. How can we get such a non-negative integer i and a polynomial $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ from f explicitly?

In this paper, we shall show a systematic method to get such a polynomial $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ from f (section 1). In section 2, we consider the similar problem for the skew polynomial ring of derivation type.

1. Automorphism type. Let K be a field, $\rho: K \rightarrow K$ an automorphism of order n , $F = K^\rho = \{a \in K \mid \rho(a) = a\}$ the fixed subfield of K by ρ and $R = K[X; \rho]$ the skew polynomial ring of automorphism type. In this section, for any monic polynomial f in R , we will find a non-negative integer i and a monic polynomial $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ such that $I = RfR = X^i h(X^n)R = RX^i h(X^n)$.

Let $f = X^m + X^{m-1}a_{m-1} + \cdots + X^i a_i$ ($a_i \neq 0$) be a monic polynomial in R . Since

$$f = X^i(X^{m-i} + X^{m-i-1}a_{m-1} + \cdots + a_i) = X^i g,$$

where $g = X^{m-i} + X^{m-i-1}a_{m-1} + \cdots + a_i$, we have

$$I = RfR = RX^i gR = X^i RgR.$$

Therefore in the following, we assume that

$$f = X^m + X^{m-1}a_{m-1} + \cdots + Xa_1 + a_0 \quad (a_0 \neq 0) \quad \text{and} \quad I = RfR.$$

First we prove an elementary lemma which is useful in our study.

This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 01540051), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

Lemma 1.1.

- (1) If X^ν is in I for some $\nu \geq 1$, then $I = R$.
 (2) $RX^k + I = R$ for all $k \geq 1$.
 (3) If $X^\nu g$ is in I for some $\nu \geq 1$ and g in R , then g is in I .

Proof. (1) If X^ν is in I for some $\nu \geq 1$, then

$$X^{\nu-1}f = X^{m+\nu-1} + X^{m+\nu-2}a_{m-1} + \cdots + X^\nu a_1 + X^{\nu-1}a_0 \in I$$

and so $X^{\nu-1}a_0$ is in I . Since a_0 is non-zero, we have $X^{\nu-1}$ is in I . Repeating these processes, we have $X^0 = 1$ is in I , i. e., $I = R$.

(2) Since the ideal $RX^k + I$ contains X^k and f for any $k \geq 1$, we have $RX^k + I = R$ by the similar way as in (1).

(3) By (2), $R = RX^\nu + I$ for any $\nu \geq 1$ and so there exist u in R and v in I such that $1 = uX^\nu + v$. Thus $g = uX^\nu g + vg$ is in I .

Lemma 1.2.

(1) $R = K[X; \rho] = K[X^n] \oplus XK[X^n] \oplus \cdots \oplus X^{n-1}K[X^n]$ as $K[X^n]$ -modules.

(2) For any two-sided ideal J in R ,

$$J = (K[X^n] \cap J) \oplus (XK[X^n] \cap J) \oplus \cdots \oplus (X^{n-1}K[X^n] \cap J).$$

That is, for any y in J , if $y = y_0 + y_1 + \cdots + y_{n-1}$, where y_i are in $X^i K[X^n]$, then y_i are in J for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof. (1) is clear because $X^n a = aX^n$ for any a in K .

(2) Since K/F is a (ρ) -Galois extension, then by [2, Th. 1.3], there exists a Galois coordinate system $\{a_j, b_j\}$ in K such that

$$\sum_j a_j b_j = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_j \rho^i(a_j) b_j = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1).$$

Thus $\sum_j (a_j - \rho^i(a_j)) b_j = 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. If y_i is in $X^i K[X^n]$, then $ay_i = y_i \rho^i(a)$ for any a in K . Hence we have

$$J \ni ya - ay = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i (a - \rho^i(a)).$$

Replace a by a_j in the above equation, we get

$$\sum_j \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i (a_j - \rho^i(a_j)) b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \in J.$$

Therefore $y_0 = y - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i$ is in J . Repeating these processes, we have y_i

are in J for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Now we have the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 1.3. *For any monic polynomial*

$$f = X^n + X^{n-1}a_{n-1} + \cdots + Xa_1 + a_0 \quad (a_0 \neq 0)$$

in $R = K[X; \rho]$, we can explicitly get a monic polynomial $h(t)$ with non-zero constant term in $F[t]$ such that

$$I = RfR = Rh(X^n) = h(X^n)R.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case I. Assume that f is in $F[X]$. If we set

$$f = f_0 + Xf_1 + \cdots + X^{n-1}f_{n-1} \quad (f_i \in F[X^n]),$$

then by Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, f_i are in I for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. We define f_i^* as follows :

If $f_i = 0$, then $f_i^* = 0$.

If $f_i \neq 0$, then $f_i^* = f_i a_i^{-1}$, where a_i is the coefficient of the highest degree in f_i .

Then $f_i^*R = Rf_i^*$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$) and

$$I = Rf_0^* + Rf_1^* + \cdots + Rf_{n-1}^*.$$

The greatest common divisor of $f_0^*, f_1^*, \dots, f_{n-1}^*$, except zero polynomials is of the form $h(X^n)$ for some $h(t)$ in $F(t)$ and in this case $I = Rh(X^n) = h(X^n)R$, thus $h(X^n)$ is the requested one.

Case II. Assume that f is not in $F[X]$. Since K/F is a Galois extension, then by [2, Lemma 1.6], there exists an element c in K such that

$$tr(c) = c + \rho(c) + \cdots + \rho^{n-1}(c) = 1.$$

We define the map $\tau : K[X; \rho] \rightarrow F[X]$ as follows.

$$\tau\left(\sum_k X^k d_k\right) = \sum_k X^k tr(d_k).$$

Then $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X^i f c X^{n-i} = X^n \tau(fc)$ is in I and by $tr(c) = 1$, $\tau(fc)$ is a monic polynomial in $F[X]$ of degree n . If we set $\tau(fc) = X^s g_1$, where g_1 is in $F[X]$ and the constant term of g_1 is non-zero, then by Lemma 1.1(3), g_1 is in I . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f - \tau(fc) &= f - X^s g_1 \\
&= X^{m_1}(a_{m_1} - \tau(a_{m_1}, c)) + \cdots + X^{m_r}(a_{m_r} - \tau(a_{m_r}, c)) \\
&= X^{m_r} q_1 u_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $m > m_1 > \cdots > m_r \geq 0$, $a_{m_1}, a_{m_2}, \cdots, a_{m_r} \in F$, $a_{m_j} - \tau(a_{m_j}, c) \neq 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq r$), $u_1 = a_{m_1} - \tau(a_{m_1}, c)$ and q_1 is a monic polynomial in $K[X]$ of degree $(m_1 - m_r) < m$ with non-zero constant term. Using by Lemma 1.1(3) again, q_1 is in I and

$$I = RfR = Rg_1R + Rq_1R.$$

If q_1 is in $F[X]$, then we take $g_2 = q_1$, and since g_1, g_2 are in $F[X]$ with non-zero constant term, we have by the Case I, there exist $h_1(X^n)$ and $h_2(X^n)$ such that

$$Rg_1R = Rh_1(X^n) = h_1(X^n)R \quad \text{and} \quad Rg_2R = Rh_2(X^n) = h_2(X^n)R.$$

Thus if we take the greatest common divisor $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ of $h_1(t)$ and $h_2(t)$ in $F[t]$, we have $I = h(X^n)R = Rh(X^n)$. If q_1 is not contained in $F[X]$, then repeating the similar method as above, we can get a finite set of polynomials g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_s in $F[X] \cap I$ such that $\deg g_1 > \deg g_2 > \cdots > \deg g_s$, each g_i has non-zero constant term and

$$I = Rg_1R + Rg_2R + \cdots + Rg_sR.$$

By Case I, there exist monic polynomials $h_i(X^n)$ in $F[X^n]$ such that $Rg_iR = h_i(X^n)R = Rh_i(X^n)$. Thus if we take the greatest common divisor $h(t)$ of h_i , then $h(t)$ is the requested one.

Corollary 1.4. *Let f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_r be any polynomials in $R = K[X; \rho]$ and $I = Rf_1R + Rf_2R + \cdots + Rf_rR$. Then we can find a monic polynomial $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ and a non-negative integer s such that $I = X^s h(X^n)R = RX^s h(X^n)$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1.3 that there exist monic polynomials $h_1(t), h_2(t), \cdots, h_r(t)$ with non-zero constant terms in $F[t]$ and non-negative integers s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_r such that $Rf_iR = X^{s_i} h_i(X^n)R = RX^{s_i} h_i(X^n)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Then by Lemma 1.1, $X^{s_i} R + h_j(X^n)R = R$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. Noting this, we can easily verify that $I = X^s h(X^n)R = RX^s h(X^n)$, where $s = \min \{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_r\}$ and $h(t)$ is the greatest common divisor of $h_1(t), h_2(t), \cdots, h_r(t)$.

Example 1.5. Let K be the complex number field and let $\rho: K \rightarrow K$ be the automorphism defined by $\rho(a + bi) = a - bi$. Let $f = X^5 + X^4 + X^3 + X^2 +$

$X+1$ and $g = X^5 + X^4 + 2X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$ be the polynomials in $R = K[X; \rho]$. Since $f = X(X^4 + X^2 + 1) + (X^4 + X^2 + 1)$, then by the proof of Th. 1. 3,

$$RfR = (X^4 + X^2 + 1)R = R(X^4 + X^2 + 1).$$

On the other hand, since $g = X(X^4 + 2X^2 + 1) + (X^4 + X^2 + 1)$ and $X^4 + 2X^2 + 1$ and $X^4 + X^2 + 1$ are in RgR , we have

$$X^2 = (X^4 + 2X^2 + 1) - (X^4 + X^2 + 1) \in RgR.$$

Thus by Lemma 1. 1(1), $RgR = R$.

2. Derivation type. Let K be a field, $D: K \rightarrow K$ a non-zero derivation, $F = \{a \in K \mid D(a) = 0\}$, the constant subfield of K by D . Let $R = K[X; D]$ be the skew polynomial ring of derivation type in which the multiplication is given by $aX = Xa + D(a)$ ($a \in K$). If K is of characteristic zero, then it is well known that R is a simple ring (e.g. [3, Theorem 7. 28]). If K is of characteristic $p > 0$ and $[K: F] = n < \infty$, then it is easy to see that $n = p^e$. Then the ideal structure of R is well known. Indeed, for any nonzero ideal J of R , there exists a monic polynomial g in R such that $J = gR = Rg$ (e.g. [1]). However, for any monic polynomial f in R , it may not be easy work to find a monic polynomial g in R such that $RfR = gR = Rg$. The purpose of this section is to show a method to get g from f . In [4], one of the authors studied H -separable polynomials in skew polynomial rings, and some results in there will be used in this section.

In the following, we assume that K is of characteristic $p > 0$, $[K: F] = p^e$, $f = X^m + X^{m-1}a_{m-1} + \cdots + Xa_1 + a_0 \in R = K[X; D]$ and $I = RfR$.

Then by [6, p. 190, ex. 3], the minimal polynomial of D as a linear transformation in K over F is a p -polynomial of the form

$$t^{p^e} + t^{p^e-1}\alpha_e + \cdots + t^p\alpha_2 + t\alpha_1 \quad (\alpha_i \in F)$$

and $\text{Hom}({}_F K, {}_F K) = K[D]$ (the subring generated by D and the left multiplications of elements in K). We put here

$$\phi = X^{p^e} + X^{p^e-1}\alpha_e + \cdots + X^p\alpha_2 + X\alpha_1 \in R.$$

Then ϕ is contained in the center of R and it is an H -separable polynomial in R by [4, Theorem 3. 3]. It follows from [4, Theorem 3. 4] that for any monic polynomial g in R with $gR = Rg$, there exists a monic polynomial $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ such that $g = h(\phi)$. Hence we shall get explicitly $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ such that $I = h(\phi)R = Rh(\phi)$.

First, we shall prove the following

Lemma 2.1.

(1) $R = K[X; D] = K[\phi] \oplus XK[\phi] \oplus \dots \oplus X^{p^e-1}K[\phi]$ as $K[\phi]$ -modules.

(2) For any two-sided ideal J in R ,

$$J = (K[\phi] \cap J) \oplus X(K[\phi] \cap J) \oplus \dots \oplus X^{p^e-1}(K[\phi] \cap J).$$

That is, for any y in J , if $y = y_0 + Xy_1 + \dots + X^{p^e-1}y_{p^e-1}$, where y_i are in $K[\phi]$, then y_i are in J for any $0 \leq i \leq p^e-1$.

Proof. (1) Since ϕ is contained in the center of R , the result is clear.

(2) Since $[K: F] = p^e$ and $\text{Hom}({}_rK, {}_rK) = K[D]$, it follows from [4, Theorem 3.3] that there exist $c_j, d_j \in K$ such that

$$\sum_j D^{p^e-1}(c_j)d_j = 1, \quad \sum_j D^k(c_j)d_j = 0 \quad (0 \leq k \leq p^e-2).$$

Since $aX^k = \sum_{\nu=0}^k X^\nu \binom{k}{\nu} D^{k-\nu}(a)$ and $ay_i = y_i a$ ($a \in K$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J \ni ay - ya &= a \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p^e-1} X^k y_k \right) - ya \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p^e-1} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^k X^\nu \binom{k}{\nu} D^{k-\nu}(a) y_k \right) - ya \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{p^e-1} X^\nu \left(\sum_{k=\nu}^{p^e-1} \binom{k}{\nu} D^{k-\nu}(a) y_k \right) - \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{p^e-1} X^\nu y_\nu \right) a \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{p^e-1} X^\nu \left(\sum_{k=\nu+1}^{p^e-1} \binom{k}{\nu} D^{k-\nu}(a) y_k \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any a in K . Replace a by c_j in the above equation, we get

$$\sum_j \sum_{\nu=0}^{p^e-1} X^\nu \left(\sum_{k=\nu+1}^{p^e-1} \binom{k}{\nu} D^{k-\nu}(c_j) d_j y_k \right) = y_{p^e-1}$$

is in J . Repeating these processes, we have y_i are in J for any $0 \leq i \leq p^e-1$.

Now we shall state the theorem

Theorem 2.2. For any monic polynomial

$$f = X^m + X^{m-1}a_{m-1} + \cdots + Xa_1 + a_0$$

in $R = K[X; D]$, we can explicitly get a monic polynomial $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ such that

$$I = RfR = Rh(\phi) = h(\phi)R.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case I. Assume that f is in $F[X]$. If we set $f = f_0 + Xf_1 + \cdots + X^{p^e-1}f_{p^e-1}$ ($f_i \in F[\phi]$), then by Lemma 2.1, f_i are in I for any $0 \leq i \leq p^e-1$. We define f_i^* as follows:

If $f_i = 0$, then $f_i^* = 0$.

If $f_i \neq 0$, then $f_i^* = f_i b_i^{-1}$, where b_i is the coefficient of highest degree in f_i .

Then $f_i^*R = Rf_i^*$ ($0 \leq i \leq p^e-1$) and

$$I = Rf_0^* + Rf_1^* + \cdots + Rf_{p^e-1}^*.$$

The greatest common divisor of $f_0^*, f_1^*, \dots, f_{p^e-1}^*$ except zero polynomials is of the form $h(\phi)$ for some monic polynomial $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ and in this case $I = Rh(\phi) = h(\phi)R$. Thus $h(\phi)$ is the requested one.

Case II. Assume that f is not in $F[X]$. Let $tr: K \rightarrow K$ be the map defined by $tr(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} \alpha_{j+1} D^{p^j-1}(a)$ ($a \in K$). Since $t^{p^e} + t^{p^e-1}\alpha_e + \cdots + t^p\alpha_2 + t\alpha_1$ is the minimal polynomial of D over F , we have $Dtr = 0$ and there exists an element d in K such that $tr(d) \neq 0$. Hence $tr(K)$ is contained in F and $tr(c) = 1$, where $c = tr(d)^{-1}d$. We define a map $\tau: K[X; D] \rightarrow F[X]$ as follows: $\tau(\sum_k X^k d_k) = \sum_k X^k tr(d_k)$. Since any ideal J in R is D -invariant, we know that J is also τ -invariant. Hence $\tau(fc)$ is a monic polynomial in $F[X] \cap I$ of degree m . We set here $g_1 = \tau(fc)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f - \tau(fc) &= f - g_1 \\ &= X^{m_1}(a_{m_1} - \tau(a_{m_1}c)) + \cdots + X^{m_r}(a_{m_r} - \tau(a_{m_r}c)) \\ &= X^{m_r}q_1u_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $m > m_1 > \cdots > m_r \geq 0$, $a_{m_1}, a_{m_2}, \dots, a_{m_r} \in F$, $a_{m_j} - \tau(a_{m_j}c) \neq 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq r$), $u_1 = a_{m_1} - \tau(a_{m_1}c)$ and q_1 is a monic polynomial in $K[X] \cap I$ of degree $m_1 < m$. Then we have

$$I = RfR = Rg_1R + Rq_1R.$$

If q_1 is in $F[X]$, then we take $g_2 = q_1$, and since g_1, g_2 are in $F[X]$, we have by the Case I, there exist $h_1(\phi)$ and $h_2(\phi)$ such that

$$Rg_1R = Rh_1(\phi) = h_1(\phi)R \quad \text{and} \quad Rg_2R = Rh_2(\phi) = h_2(\phi)R.$$

Thus we take the greatest common divisor $h(t)$ of $h_1(t)$ and $h_2(t)$ in $F[t]$, we have $I = h(\phi)R = Rh(\phi)$. If q_1 is not contained in $F[X]$, then repeating the similar method as above, we can get a finite set of polynomials g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s in $F[X] \cap I$ such that $\deg g_1 > \deg g_2 > \dots > \deg g_s$ and

$$I = Rg_1R + Rg_2R + \dots + Rg_sR.$$

By Case I, there exist monic polynomials $h_i(t)$ in $F[t]$ such that $Rg_iR = h_i(\phi)R = Rh_i(\phi)$. Thus if we take the greatest common divisor $h(t)$ of $h_1(t), h_2(t), \dots, h_s(t)$, then $h(t)$ is the requested one.

Corollary 2.3. *Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r be any polynomials in $R = K[X; D]$ and $I = Rf_1R + Rf_2R + \dots + Rf_rR$. Then we can find a monic polynomial $h(t)$ in $F[t]$ such that $I = h(\phi)R = Rh(\phi)$.*

Proof. In fact, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that there exist $h_i(t)$ in $F[t]$ such that $Rf_iR = h_i(\phi)R = Rh_i(\phi)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Then the greatest common divisor $h(t)$ of $h_1(t), h_2(t), \dots, h_r(t)$ is the desired one.

We shall conclude our study with the following example.

Example 2.4. Let k be a field of odd prime characteristic p , $K = k(y)$ the rational function field over k , and

$$D = y \frac{d}{dy} \quad \text{a derivation of } K,$$

and $R = K[X; D]$. Then $F = K^p = k(y^p)$. By Hochschild's formula [6, p. 191 ex. 15], we have

$$D^p = \left(y \frac{d}{dy} \right)^p = y^p \left(\frac{d}{dy} \right)^p + \left(y \frac{d}{dy} \right)^{p-1} (y) \frac{d}{dy} = y \frac{d}{dy} = D.$$

Hence $t^p - t$ is the minimal polynomial of D over F .

Let $f_1 = X^{p^2} - 2X^p + X$, $f_2 = X^{p^2} - 2X^p + 2X$, and $f_3 = X^{p^2} - X^p(y+1) + Xy$ be in R . Then we have $f_1 = (X^p - X)^p - (X^p - X)$, $f_2 = (X^p - X)^p - (X^p - X) + X$, and $f_3 = (X^p - X)^p - (X^p - X)y$. In virtue of Theorem 2.2, we can obtain $Rf_1R = Rf_1 = f_1R$, $Rf_2R = R$ and $Rf_3R = R(X^p - X) = (X^p - X)R$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. AMITSUR: Derivations in simple rings, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 7 (1957), 87 -

112.

- [2] S. U. CHASE, D. K. HARRISON and A. ROSENBERG : Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1965), 15–33.
- [3] C. FAITH : *Algebra : Rings, modules and categories I*, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [4] S. IKEHATA : Azumaya algebras and skew polynomial rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **23** (1981), 19–32.
- [5] N. JACOBSON : *The Theory of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1943.
- [6] N. JACOBSON : *Lectures in Abstract Algebra III*, Van Nostrand, 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCES
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY
2-1-1 TSUSHIMA-NAKA, OKAYAMA 700, JAPAN

(Received October 15, 1990)