

A THEOREM ON RADICALS IN FUNCTOR CATEGORIES WITH APPLICATION TO TORSION THEORIES

YAO MUSHENG

In this paper, we obtain a result concerning radicals in functor categories and give an application to torsion theories.

1. Let \mathbf{C} be a small preadditive category, and \mathbf{Ab} the category of abelian groups. $(\mathbf{C}^0, \mathbf{Ab})$ denotes the category of all additive contravariant functors from \mathbf{C} to \mathbf{Ab} . Kernels, cokernels and direct sums in $(\mathbf{C}^0, \mathbf{Ab})$ can be defined componentwise. Then $(\mathbf{C}^0, \mathbf{Ab})$ is a Grothendieck category. For details, we to refer [5].

The Jacobson radical $J(T)$ of an object T in a Grothendieck category is defined to be the subobject which is the intersection of all its maximal subobjects. If T has no maximal subobjects, then define $J(T) = T$. A subobject $S \leq T$ is said to be superfluous if for any subobject $N \leq T$, $S + N = T$ always implies $N = T$. We use the notation $S \ll T$ to denote that S is a superfluous subobject of T .

Lemma 1. *Let S, T be objects in a Grothendieck category. Then for any $f \in \text{Mor}(S, T)$, $f(J(S)) \leq J(T)$, where $\text{Mor}(S, T)$ is the set of morphisms from S to T .*

This is well known. The following two results are also known.

Lemma 2. *J commutes with finite direct sums.*

Lemma 3. *Let T be an object in a Grothendieck category. Then $\sum T_\alpha \leq J(T)$, where $T_\alpha \ll T$.*

Let $\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{C}^0, \mathbf{Ab})$ be the functor category, and T an object of \mathbf{F} . If B is an object of \mathbf{C} , then $T(B)$ is an abelian group. Let a be an element of $T(B)$. We define a contravariant functor S from \mathbf{C} to \mathbf{Ab} as follows: $S(C) = \{T(f)(a) \mid f \in \text{Mor}(C, B)\}$ for any $C \in \mathbf{C}$. If α is a morphism from C to C' , then define $S(\alpha): S(C') \rightarrow S(C)$ to be the restriction of $T(\alpha)$. It is easy to see that S is an object of \mathbf{F} and actually a subobject of T . We call S the subobject of T generated by the element a . It is easy to verify that every object T is the sum of its subobject which can be generated by one

element.

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{C}^0, \mathbf{Ab})$, and T a nonzero object of \mathbf{F} . If B is an object of \mathbf{C} such that $T(B) \neq 0$, then for any nonzero element $a \in T(B)$, there is a maximal subobject W of T with respect to $a \in W(B)$.*

Proof. Since $a \neq 0$, the set of subobjects $\{W_\alpha\}$ of T with the property $a \in W_\alpha(B)$ is not empty (at least contains the zero subobject). Consider any chain of the set $\{W_\alpha\}$:

$$W_1 \leq W_2 \leq W_3 \leq \dots \quad (*)$$

It is clear that $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty W_i$ is a subobject of T which does not contain a (i.e. $a \notin (\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty W_i)(B)$). So it is the upper bound of the chain $(*)$. By Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal element in $\{W_\alpha\}$.

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{C}^0, \mathbf{Ab})$. Then $J(T) = \sum \{T_\alpha \mid T_\alpha \ll T\}$ for any object T in \mathbf{F} .*

Proof. By lemma 3, we need only to verify that $J(T) \leq \sum T_\alpha$. Let $a \in J(T)(B)$ for some $B \in \mathbf{C}$, and S the subobject of $J(T)$ generated by a . We want to show that S is superfluous. Let N be a subobject of T such that $S+N = T$. We may assume $S \not\leq N$ which means $a \notin N(B)$. Then, there is a nonempty set $\{W_\alpha\}$ of subobjects of T such that $N \leq W_\alpha$ and $a \in W_\alpha(B)$. By lemma 4, there is a maximal element W in the set $\{W_\alpha\}$. Obviously $S+W = T$. But now W is a maximal subobject of T . In fact, let K be a subobject of T such that $W \leq K$, then $S \leq K$ which implies $K = T$. According to the definition of radical, $S \leq W$, which is a contradiction. Therefore S is superfluous. Consequently, we obtain $J(T) \leq \sum T_\alpha$.

Projective objects in functor categories have similar properties to projective modules in module categories. The following two results can be found in [4]:

Proposition 6. *Let P be a projective object in \mathbf{F} such that $J(P) \ll P$. Then*

$$\text{End } P/J(\text{End } P) \simeq \text{End } P/J(P)$$

where $\text{End } P$ denotes the endomorphism ring of P .

Proposition 7. *Let P be a projective object in \mathbf{F} , and $E = \text{End } P$. If*

f is an element of E , then $f \in J(E)$ if and only if $Im f \ll P$, where $J(E)$ is the Jacobson radical of E .

The next result is a corollary of Theorem 5 :

Proposition 8. *Let $P \neq 0$ be a projective object in \mathbf{F} . Then $J(P) \neq P$, or equivalently, P has at least one maximal subobject.*

Proof. Suppose $J(P) = P$. By Theorem 5, $P = \sum_I \{ T_\alpha | T_\alpha \ll P \}$. There is a canonical epimorphism $f: \bigoplus_I T_\alpha \rightarrow P$. Since P is projective, there is $g: P \rightarrow \bigoplus_I T_\alpha$ such that $fg = 1_P$. The canonical projection $\pi_\alpha: \bigoplus_I T_\alpha \rightarrow T_\alpha$ induces a morphism $g_\alpha = \pi_\alpha g: P \rightarrow T_\alpha$. Let τ_α be the injection of $T_\alpha \rightarrow P$, then $\tau_\alpha g_\alpha \in \text{End } P$. Since $T_\alpha \ll P$, $Im \tau_\alpha g_\alpha \ll P$. This implies that $Im \sum_{I'} \tau_\alpha g_\alpha \ll P$ for any finite subset $I' \subset I$. By Proposition 7, $\sum_{I'} \tau_\alpha g_\alpha \in J(\text{End } P)$. Hence $1_P - \sum_{I'} \tau_\alpha g_\alpha$ is an automorphism of P . Since $P \neq 0$, there is an object $B \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $P(B) \neq 0$. Let a be a nonzero element of $P(B)$. $P(B) = \sum_I T_\alpha(B)$. It is easy to see $1_{P_B} = \sum_I (\tau_\alpha g_\alpha)_B$ and $g_{\alpha_B}(a) \neq 0$ for only finite numbers of α . Hence, there is a finite subset I' of I such that $(1_{P_B} - \sum_{I'} (\tau_\alpha g_\alpha)_B)(a) = 0$. This means $1_P - \sum_{I'} \tau_\alpha g_\alpha$ is not an automorphism which contradicts the fact mentioned above.

2. Let R be a ring with identity, and $\text{Mod-}R$ the category of all unital right R -modules. Let σ be a hereditary torsion theory on $\text{Mod-}R$. The quotient category of $\text{Mod-}R$ with respect to σ is denoted by $\text{Mod-}R/\sigma$. For a right R -module M , the σ -Jacobson radical (or simply σ -radical) of M is defined by

$$J_\sigma(M) = \bigcap \{ N | M/N \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-cocritical } R\text{-module} \}.$$

If there is no such N , we define $J_\sigma(M) = M$. $J_\sigma(M)$ is a σ -pure submodule of M , i.e. $M/J_\sigma(M)$ is σ -torsionfree. It is easy to see that in the quotient category $\text{Mod-}R/\sigma$, $J_\sigma(M)$ coincides with $J(M)$, where $J(M)$ is the Jacobson radical of the object M . We say a submodule N of M is σ -superfluous if and only if there is no proper σ -pure submodule K of M such that $N^c + K$ is σ -dense in M , where N^c denotes the σ -closure of N in M . We use the notation $N \ll_\sigma M$ to denote that N is a σ -superfluous submodule of M . In the theory of modules, there is a well known result :

$$J(M) = \sum \{ M_\alpha | M_\alpha \ll M \}.$$

One may ask if there is a relative version of this result. In general the

sum of σ -superfluous submodules of M need not be a σ -pure submodule. However, we may ask that, with what condition, the σ -radical of M coincides with the closure of the sum of its σ -superfluous submodules, i.e. $J_\sigma(M) = (\sum M_\alpha)^c$, where $M_\alpha \ll_\sigma M$.

Lemma 9. *Let N be a submodule of M . Then N is a σ -superfluous submodule of M if and only if N is a superfluous subobject of M in the quotient category $\text{Mod-}R/\sigma$.*

Proof. Let $N \ll_\sigma M$ in $\text{Mod-}R$. Then $N_\sigma = (N^c)_\sigma$, where N_σ and $(N^c)_\sigma$ denote the σ -localization of N and N^c respectively. From the definition of σ -superfluous submodule, $N^c \ll_\sigma M$. Since the lattice of pure submodules of M is isomorphic to the lattice of subobjects of M_σ , N_σ is superfluous in M_σ .

Conversely, let $N_\sigma \ll M_\sigma$ in the category $\text{Mod-}R/\sigma$, and K a σ -pure submodule of M such that $N^c + K$ is dense in M . Then $(N^c + K)_\sigma = M_\sigma$ which implies $N_\sigma + K_\sigma = M_\sigma$. So that $K_\sigma = M_\sigma$ which means that K is dense in M . But K is σ -pure, so $K = M$.

The following lemma is a result due to Freyd (see [2]).

Lemma 10. *Let \mathbf{F} be a Grothendieck category. If \mathbf{F} has a family of finitely generated projective generators, then \mathbf{F} is equivalent to a functor category $(\mathbf{C}^0, \mathbf{Ab})$, where \mathbf{C} is a suitable small preadditive category.*

Now we prove the following theorem :

Theorem 11. *Let σ be a hereditary torsion theory on $\text{Mod-}R$. If the quotient category $\text{Mod-}R/\sigma$ has a family of finitely generated projective generators, then for any right R -module M , $J_\sigma(M) = (\sum M_\alpha)^c$, where M_α runs over all the σ -superfluous submodules of M .*

Proof. Let F be an equivalence functor from a Grothendieck category \mathbf{C} to another Grothendieck category \mathbf{D} . For any object C in \mathbf{C} , the lattice of subobjects of C is isomorphic to the lattice of $F(C)$. Therefore, a subobject B of C is maximal iff $F(B)$ is a maximal subobject of $F(C)$. This means $J(F(C)) = F(J(C))$. It is also clear that a subobject B of C is superfluous iff $F(B)$ is a superfluous subobject of $F(C)$. By Lemma 10, the category $\text{Mod-}R/\sigma$ is equivalent to a functor category. Therefore $J_\sigma(M) = (\sum M_\alpha)^c$ by using Theorem 5 and Lemma 9.

Corollary 12. *Let σ be a right perfect hereditary torsion theory on*

Mod-R. Then, for any right *R*-module *M*, $J_\sigma(M) = \sum \{M_\alpha \mid M_\alpha \ll_\sigma M\}$.

Proof. Since σ is right perfect, the quotient category $\text{Mod-}R/\sigma$ has a finitely generated projective generator. By Theorem 11, $J_\sigma(M) = (\sum M_\alpha)^c$. We need only to show that $\sum M_\alpha$ is σ -pure in *M*. Let $x \in M$ such that $xI \subset \sum M_\alpha$, where *I* is a dense right ideal of *R*. Since σ is perfect, we may assume that *I* is finitely generated. So, there is a finite subset *A* such that $xI \subset \sum_A M_\alpha$. However, every finite sum of σ -superfluous submodules is still superfluous. Hence xI is σ -superfluous in *M*. The closure of xI is also σ -superfluous. It means that $x \in \sum M_\alpha$. Therefore $\sum M_\alpha$ is σ -pure in *M*.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to the Japan Society for Promotion of Science. This paper was finished while he was a JSPS fellow and visited Okayama University. The author also would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor H. Tominaga for his kind help and hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. ANDERSON and K. FULLER : Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [2] P. FREYD : Algebra-values functors in general categories and tensor product in particular, *Colloq. Math.* **14** (1966), 89–105.
- [3] J. S. GOLAN : Torsion Theories, Longman, New York, 1986.
- [4] M. HARADA : Perfect Categories(I), *Osaka J. Math.* **10**(1973), 329–341.
- [5] N. POPESCU : Abelian Categories with Applications to Rings and Modules, Academic Press, London, 1973.
- [6] B. STENSTRÖM : Rings of Quotients, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FUDAN UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI, CHINA

(Received September 8, 1989)