

ON THE GENERALIZED t -FULL MODULES II

Dedicated to Professor Yoshiki Kurata on his 60th birthday

SHOJI MORIMOTO and KAZUO SHIGENAGA

Let R be a ring with identity and $R\text{-mod}$ the category of unitary left R -modules. For a left exact radical t of $R\text{-mod}$, generalizing the notion of Goldman's t -supporting module [2], Shigenaga [8] has defined the notion of generalized t -full modules and studied its basic properties. In this paper, we shall treat generalized t -full modules for a left exact preradical t and point out that these modules are closely related to t -weakly divisible modules. Finally, we determine those left exact preradicals t for which every module is generalized t -full (Theorem 2.5).

Throughout this note R means a ring with identity and modules mean unitary left R -modules unless otherwise stated. We denote the category of modules by $R\text{-mod}$ and the injective hull of $M \in R\text{-mod}$ by $E(M)$. As for terminologies and basic properties concerning torsion theories and preradicals, we refer to [1], [3] and [9].

For each preradical r , we denote the r -torsion (resp. r -torsionfree) class by $T(r)$ (resp. $F(r)$). Now for two preradicals r and s , we shall say that r is larger than s denoted by $r \geq s$ if $r(M) \supseteq s(M)$ for all modules M .

In what follows, t means a left exact preradical for $R\text{-mod}$ and $\mathcal{L}(t)$ means the left linear topology corresponding to t unless otherwise specified.

1. Generalized t -full modules.

Definition 1.1. Let r be a preradical for $R\text{-mod}$. We shall call a module M *generalized r -full* (in short, *$g.r$ -full*) if $r(M) = r(N)$ and M/N is in $T(r)$ for every essential submodule N of M . Also we call a module A *r -cocritical* (resp. *r -full*) (cf. [1]) if A is r -torsionfree and $r(A/B) = A/B$ for every nonzero submodule (resp. essential submodule) B of A .

A module A is r -full if and only if A is in $F(r)$ and is $g.r$ -full. If $t \geq Z$ (the singular torsion functor), then A is a $g.t$ -full module if and only if $t(A) = t(B)$ for every essential submodule B of A .

Lemma 1.2. *Let M be a module and N its submodule. If $t(M) = t(N)$ and M/N is in $T(t)$, then N is essential in M .*

Proof. Let X be a submodule of M such that $N \oplus X$ is essential in M . Then $t(N \oplus X) = t(N) \oplus t(X) \subseteq t(M) = t(N)$ and hence $t(X) = O$ ($:= \{0\}$). Since $X \simeq (N \oplus X)/N \subseteq M/N \in T(t)$, X is in $T(t)$. Thus $X = O$, which means that N is essential in M .

From this lemma, we have

Corollary 1.3. *For a t -torsionfree module M , the following conditions are equivalent :*

- 1) M is uniform and $g.t$ -full.
- 2) M is uniform and t -full.
- 3) M is t -cocritical.

Proposition 1.4. *If a module M is $g.t$ -full, then so is every submodule of M .*

Proof. (cf. [8, Proposition 2])

Proposition 1.5. *Let $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of $g.t$ -full modules. Then $\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \oplus M_\alpha$ is $g.t$ -full.*

Proof. Let $M = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \oplus M_\alpha$ and let N be an essential submodule of M . For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, since $N \cap M_\alpha$ is essential in M_α , $t(N \cap M_\alpha) = t(M_\alpha)$ and so $t(M) \cap M_\alpha \cap N = t(M_\alpha)$. Thus, $t(M_\alpha) \subseteq N$ and hence we have $t(M) = t(N)$. On the other hand, $\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \oplus (M_\alpha/(N \cap M_\alpha)) \xrightarrow{\pi} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \oplus M_\alpha \right) / N = M/N \rightarrow O$ is exact, where $\pi((m_\alpha + N \cap M_\alpha)) = \sum m_\alpha + N$. Hence, M/N is in $T(t)$ and M is $g.t$ -full.

Proposition 1.6. *Let M be a module. Then $E(M)$ is $g.t$ -full if and only if $t(E(N)) = t(N)$ and $E(N)/N$ is in $T(t)$ for every submodule N of $E(M)$.*

Proof. (cf. [8, Proposition 5])

Definition 1.7. Let r be a preradical for R -mod. We shall call a

module H *weakly r -divisible* (resp. *r -divisible*) if the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, H)$ preserves the exactness for every exact sequence $O \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow O$ of modules with $B \in T(r)$ (resp. $C \in T(r)$).

Proposition 1.8. [4, Lemma 2.2.] *Let r be a preradical and let M be a module and N its submodule. Then*

(1) *If M is weakly r -divisible and $r(M) \subseteq N$, then N is also weakly r -divisible.*

(2) *If r is idempotent, N is essential in M and is weakly r -divisible, then $r(M) \subseteq N$.*

In this paper, weakly divisible (resp. divisible) modules mean weakly t -divisible (resp. t -divisible) modules. By Proposition 1.8, a module M is weakly divisible if and only if $t(E(M)) = t(M)$.

Corollary 1.9. *Let E be an injective module. If E is $g.t$ -full, then every essential submodule N of E is weakly divisible.*

Proposition 1.10. *In case t is a radical, for a module M and its submodule N , if M is a $g.t$ -full module and $N \subseteq t(M)$, then M/N is $g.t$ -full.*

Proof. Let L/N be an essential submodule of M/N . Then L is essential in M . By assumption, $t(L) = t(M)$ and M/L is in $T(t)$. Since $N \subseteq t(M)$, it follows that $t(M/N) = t(M)/N = t(L)/N \subseteq t(L/N)$ and hence $t(M/N) = t(L/N)$. Thus, M/N is $g.t$ -full.

Proposition 1.11. *If a module M is semisimple, then M is $g.t$ -full. Converse holds if M is t -torsion.*

Corollary 1.12. *Let M be a $g.t$ -full module and N its essential submodule. If M/N is $g.t$ -full, then it is semisimple.*

Theorem 1.13. *In case t is a radical, for any module M , $E(M)$ is $g.t$ -full if and only if M is $g.t$ -full and weakly divisible and $E(M)/M$ is in $T(t)$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the "if" part. Let N be an essential submodule of $E(M)$. Since M is weakly divisible, $t(M) = t(E(M)) \supseteq t(N)$. On the other hand, since $N \cap M$ is essential in M and M is $g.t$ -full,

$t(M) = t(N \cap M) \subseteq t(N)$. Thus, we have $t(N) = t(E(M))$. We now show that $E(M)/N$ is in $T(t)$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & E(M)/M & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \text{canonical} & & \\
 O & \rightarrow & (M+N)/N & \rightarrow & E(M)/N & \rightarrow & E(M)/(M+N) \rightarrow O \\
 & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & M/(M \cap N) & & O & &
 \end{array}$$

where $E(M)/M$ and $M/(M \cap N)$ are in $T(t)$. Since $T(t)$ is closed under extensions, it follows that $E(M)/N$ is in $T(t)$.

2. Left exact preradicals t for which every module is $g.t$ -full.

Proposition 2.1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *Every t -torsion module is semisimple.*
- 2) *Every cyclic t -torsion module is semisimple.*
- 3) *Every module is weakly divisible.*
- 4) *Every t -torsion module is weakly divisible.*
- 5) *$\text{Soc} \geq t$.*

Proof. 1) \Leftrightarrow 2) is clear. 2) \Leftrightarrow 1). Let M be a t -torsion module. We put $M = \sum_{m \in M} Rm$. Since M is in $T(t)$, each Rm is in $T(t)$. Hence by assumption, $\text{Soc}(Rm) = Rm$. Thus, M is semisimple. 1) \Leftrightarrow 3). Let M be a module. Since t is left exact, $t(M)$ is essential in $t(E(M))$. By assumption, $t(E(M))$ is semisimple and hence $t(M) = t(E(M))$. Thus, M is weakly divisible. 3) \Leftrightarrow 4) is trivial. 4) \Leftrightarrow 5). Let M be a t -torsion module and N its submodule. Then N is in $T(t)$ and is weakly divisible by assumption. Therefore N is a direct summand of M . Thus, M is semisimple, which means that $t \leq \text{Soc}$. 5) \Leftrightarrow 1). Let M be in $T(t)$. Then we have $M = t(M) \subseteq \text{Soc}(M)$. Hence, M is semisimple.

Remark. *In case t is a radical, each condition of the preceding proposition is also equivalent to*

- 6) *Every t -torsion module is divisible.*

Proof. 1) \Leftrightarrow 6). Let M be in $T(t)$. Since t is a radical, $t(E(M)/t(E(M))) = O$, $t(E(M))$ is divisible by [5, Proposition 2.3]. Also since t is left exact, $M = t(M)$ is essential in $t(E(M))$. By assumption, $t(E(M))$

is semisimple and so $M = t(E(M))$. Hence M is divisible. $6) \Leftrightarrow 1)$. Let M be in $T(t)$ and N its essential submodule. Then N is in $T(t)$ and is divisible. Hence it is a direct summand of M . Thus, $N = M$ and M is semisimple.

It is to be noted that in the above remark we can not remove the assumption that t is a radical, since if every t -torsion module is divisible then t must be a radical (cf. [6, Lemma 1.6]).

Proposition 2.2. *If a module M is $g.t$ -full, then $\text{Soc}(M) \supseteq t(M)$. Moreover, R is $g.t$ -full if and only if $t(R)$ is semisimple and $t \geq Z$.*

Proof. Let M be a $g.t$ -full module and N its essential submodule. Then $t(M) = t(N) \subseteq N$. Since $\text{Soc}(M) = \bigcap \{ {}_R N \subseteq M \mid N \text{ is essential in } M \}$, $t(M) \subseteq \text{Soc}(M)$. Next assume R is $g.t$ -full and let I be an essential left ideal of R , then $t(R/I) = R/I$. Thus I is in $L(t)$ and so $t \geq Z$. Conversely, if $\text{Soc}(R)$ contains $t(R)$ and $t \geq Z$, for each essential left ideal I of R , I contains $t(R)$ and so $t(R) = I \cap t(R) = t(R)$. Therefore we see R is $g.t$ -full.

We shall call a preradical r *cohereditary* if $r(M/N) = (r(M) + N)/N$ for every module M and its submodule N . As is well-known, this is a radical and $F(r)$ is closed under factor modules. An idempotent cohereditary preradical will be called a *cotorsion radical*.

Proposition 2.3. *Let r be a preradical. Then R is a semisimple ring if and only if R is $g.r$ -full and r is cohereditary.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the "if" part. Let M be a module and let $x \in Z(M)$. Then the left annihilator $(O : x)$ of x in R is essential in R . Hence, $r((O : x)) = r(R)$ and $r(R/(O : x)) = R/(O : x)$. Since r is cohereditary, it follows that $R = r(R) + (O : x)$. Thus, we have $x = 0$, from which we conclude that R has no proper essential left ideal, namely, R is semisimple.

Corollary 2.4. *If t is a cotorsion radical, then R is a semisimple ring if and only if R is a $g.t$ -full module.*

A preradical s is said to be of *simple type* if $s = t_{\mathcal{S}}$ for some class \mathcal{S} of simple modules, where $t_{\mathcal{S}}$ means the smallest one of those preradicals

r for which $r(S) = S$ for all $S \in \mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 2.5. *The following conditions are equivalent :*

- 1) *Every module is $g.t$ -full.*
- 2) *Every injective module is $g.t$ -full.*
- 3) *Every module is weakly divisible and $t \geq Z$.*
- 4) *t is of simple type and $t \geq Z$.*
- 5) *$Z \geq t \geq Soc$.*

Proof. 1) \Leftrightarrow 2) is clear. 2) \Leftrightarrow 3) is a direct consequence of Corollary 1.8 and [6, Lemma 1.10]. 3) \Leftrightarrow 4) follows from [7, Theorem 2.2]. 4) \Leftrightarrow 5) is clear. 5) \Leftrightarrow 1). Let M be a module and L its essential submodule. By assumption, $t \geq Z$. Hence, we have $t(M/L) = M/L$. Since $Soc(M) = Soc(L)$, $t(Soc(M)) = t(Soc(L))$ and so $t(M) \cap Soc(M) = t(L) \cap Soc(L)$. Therefore, $t(M) = t(L)$ by the fact that $t \leq Soc$. Thus, M is $g.t$ -full.

In general, Soc is not larger than Z (for example $R = \mathbf{Z}$).

Example 2.6. Let R be the ring of 2×2 upper triangular matrices over a field K . Then $\mathcal{L}(Z) = \{R, Soc(R)\}$ and $\mathcal{L}(Soc) = \left\{ R, \begin{pmatrix} K & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. Hence, $Soc \not\cong Z$, and by Theorem 2.5, every module is $g.Z(Soc)$ -full.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. GOLAN : Torsion Theories, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 29.
- [2] O. GOLDMAN : Rings and modules of quotients, J. Algebra 13 (1969), 10-47.
- [3] Y. KURATA : n -fold Torsion Theories in the category of modules, preprint.
- [4] S. MORIMOTO : Weakly divisible and divisible modules, Tsukuba J. Math. 6 (1982), 195-200.
- [5] S. MORIMOTO : Note on strongly M -injective modules, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 25 (1983), 165-171.
- [6] S. MORIMOTO : On left exact preradicals, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 27 (1985), 87-95.
- [7] M. SATO : On pseudo-cohereditary subtorsion theory and weakly divisible modules II, Memories of the Faculty of Liberal Art and Education Yamanashi University, 35 (1984), 19-24.
- [8] K. SHIGENAGA : On the generalized t -full modules, Res. Rep. Ube Tech. Coll. 34 (1988), 31-33.

- [9] B. STENSTRÖM : Rings of Quotients, Grundle. Math. Wiss. 217, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

S. MORIMOTO

HAGI KOEN GAKUEN HIGH SCHOOL

HIGASHITAMACHI, HAGI, YAMAGUCHI, JAPAN 758

K. SHIGENAGA

UBE TECHNICAL COLLEGE

TOKIWADAI, UBE, YAMAGUCHI, JAPAN 755

(Received March 6, 1989)