

# THE DISTRIBUTION OF PYTHAGOREAN TRIPLES AND A THREE-DIMENSIONAL DIVISOR PROBLEM

WERNER GEORG NOWAK and WINFRIED RECKNAGEL

**1. Introduction and statement of results.** A triple of integers  $(r, s, n)$  is called Pythagorean if it satisfies  $r^2 + s^2 = n^2$ ; for a large parameter  $x$ , let  $A(x)$  denote the number of Pythagorean triples  $(r, s, n)$  with  $1 \leq n \leq x$ . Asymptotics for  $A(x)$  have been established by Sierpinski [11], Fricker [4], [5] and Fischer [3]. The sharpest result to date is due to Stronina [12] and reads

$$A(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} x \log x + Bx + O(x^{1/2} \exp(-c(\log x)^{3/5}(\log \log x)^{-1/5})) \quad (1)$$

with some  $c > 0$  and an explicitly given constant  $B$ . This estimate is based on Vinogradov's zero-free region of the Riemann zeta-function and the corresponding upper bound for the Dirichlet sum of the Moebius function; see Walfisz [14]. Furthermore, Stronina proved that the remainder term in (1) is not  $o(x^{1/4})$ .

The purpose of the present note is to give a conditional result somewhat sharper than (1), under the assumption of (a suitable extension of) Riemann's hypothesis.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that both Riemann's zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  and the Dirichlet  $L$ -function  $L(s)$  corresponding to the non-principal character modulo 4 have no zero in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$A(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} x \log x + Bx + O(x^{53/116 + \varepsilon}). \quad (2)$$

Like most of the previous work, our argument is based on the factorization of the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(n^2) n^{-s} = 4(\zeta(s))^2(\zeta(2s))^{-1} L(s)(1+2^{-s})^{-1} \quad (\operatorname{Re} s > 1). \quad (3)$$

(Here  $r(m)$  is the standard notation for the number of integer pairs  $(p, q)$  with  $p^2 + q^2 = m$ .) This suggests to consider  $r(n^2)$  as a kind of convolution of the Moebius function  $\mu$  with a certain three-dimensional divisor function.

To deal with the latter, we establish a result on Piltz' divisor problem in residue classes (for dimension 3) which is "unconditional" and perhaps of some interest of its own.

**Theorem 2.** For  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $q_j$  and  $m_j$  be fixed natural numbers satisfying  $1 \leq q_j \leq m_j$ . For a positive integer  $n$ , let  $d_3^*(n) = d_3^*(n; q_1, m_1; q_2, m_2; q_3, m_3)$  denote the number of triples  $(n_1, n_2, n_3)$  of positive integers for which  $n_1 n_2 n_3 = n$  and  $n_j \equiv q_j \pmod{m_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Then, for a large parameter  $y$ ,

$$D_3^*(y) := \sum_{n \leq y} d_3^*(n; q_1, m_1; q_2, m_2; q_3, m_3) = y P_2(\log y) + O(y^{43/96 + \varepsilon}) \quad (4)$$

(for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) where  $P_2$  is a quadratic polynomial and, in fact,

$$y P_2(\log y) = \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \left( (m_1 m_2 m_3)^{-s} \zeta \left( s, \frac{q_1}{m_1} \right) \zeta \left( s, \frac{q_2}{m_2} \right) \zeta \left( s, \frac{q_3}{m_3} \right) y^s s^{-1} \right), \quad (4')$$

where  $\zeta(s, a)$  is Hurwitz' zeta-function.

**Remark.** This result is a straightforward generalization of Kolesnik's work [6] dealing with the special case  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$ . In section 2, we give a draft of a proof, indicating which modifications are necessary. In section 3 we then apply a method of Montgomery and Vaughan [8] (instead of some elementary convolution argument) to derive theorem 1 from theorem 2.

In section 4, we investigate the distribution of Pythagorean triples in a different direction, employing a method of Pintz [9] to obtain a certain strengthening of the above-mentioned lower estimate for the error term due to Stronina.

**Theorem 3.** For  $x \geq 1$ , define

$$E(x) := A(x) - \frac{4}{\pi} x \log x - Bx.$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $c_1$  such that, for  $X$  sufficiently large,

$$\int_1^X |E(x)| dx \geq c_1 X^{5/4}.$$

**2. Sketch of proof of theorem 2.** For the first part, we follow the

argument of Atkinson [2]. The generating function of  $d_3^*(n)$  is clearly given by

$$Z(s) := \prod_{j=1}^3 m_j^{-s} \zeta(s, q_j m_j^{-1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_3^*(n) n^{-s} \quad (\operatorname{Re} s > 1). \quad (5)$$

Let  $y$  be half an odd integer and  $T < y^{53/96} < 2T$ , then the truncated Perron's formula (e.g. Prachar [10], p. 376) yields for  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$D_3^*(y) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{1+\varepsilon-iT}^{1+\varepsilon+iT} Z(s) y^s s^{-1} ds + O(y^{1+\varepsilon} T^{-1}). \quad (6)$$

By Hurwitz' formula (see Apostol [1], p. 257) we have for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$

$$\zeta(1-s, a) = (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} \cos\left(2\pi na - \frac{\pi}{2}s\right). \quad (7)$$

Using Stirling's formula for  $\Gamma(s)$  (e.g. Landau [7], p. 227), we get

$$\zeta(-\varepsilon + it, a) \ll |\Gamma(1+\varepsilon - it)| \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}|t|\right) \ll (1+|t|)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

and thus, by (5) and the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle ([7], p. 229),

$$Z(\sigma + it) \ll (1+|t|)^{(3/2)\varepsilon + \varepsilon - \sigma} \quad (8)$$

uniformly in the strip  $-\varepsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1+\varepsilon$ . Therefore, we may shift the path of integration in (6) to the line segment from  $-\varepsilon - iT$  to  $-\varepsilon + iT$ , noting that the integrand has a pole of order 3 at  $s = 1$  with residue  $yP_2(\log y)$  and a simple pole at  $s = 0$  with residue  $Z(0)$ . Defining

$$\Delta_3^*(y) := D_3^*(y) - yP_2(\log y),$$

we obtain, estimating the remainder integrals by (8),

$$\Delta_3^*(y) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{-\varepsilon-iT}^{-\varepsilon+iT} Z(s) y^s s^{-1} ds + O(y^{1+\varepsilon} T^{-1}).$$

Now let  $\mathcal{S} = \{-1, 1\}^3$ , and define for  $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_b(n_1, n_2, n_3) &:= 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j n_j q_j m_j^{-1}, \quad \gamma_b = \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j, \\ \lambda_{n,b} &:= \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3 = n} \cos \beta_b(n_1, n_2, n_3), \quad \mu_{n,b} := \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3 = n} \sin \beta_b(n_1, n_2, n_3). \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (5) and (7), by a short computation, that, for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ ,

$$Z(1-s) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{S}} Z_b(1-s)$$

where

$$Z_b(1-s) := (m_1 m_2 m_3)^{s-1} (8\pi^3)^{-s} \Gamma^3(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} \left( \lambda_{n,b} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \gamma_b s\right) + \mu_{n,b} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \gamma_b s\right) \right). \quad (10)$$

By Stirling's formula (with  $s = \sigma + it$  as usual),

$$(1-s)^{-1} \Gamma^3(s) = -2\pi\sqrt{3} 3^{2-3s} \Gamma(3s-2) (1 + O(|t|^{-1})) \quad (11)$$

uniformly in any strip  $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2$ . We make the change of variable  $s \rightarrow 1-s$  in (9) and define  $z_n = 8\pi^3 n y (m_1 m_2 m_3)^{-1}$  for short. Thus

$$\Delta_3^*(y) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{P}} (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{1+\varepsilon-iT}^{1+\varepsilon+iT} Z_b(1-s) y^{1-s} (1-s)^{-1} ds + O(y^{1+\varepsilon} T^{-1}) \quad (12)$$

and (for fixed  $b \in \mathcal{P}$ ) this integral equals, by (10) and (11),

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\pi\sqrt{3} y (m_1 m_2 m_3)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{1+\varepsilon-iT}^{1+\varepsilon+iT} z_n^{-s} 3^{2-3s} \Gamma(3s-2) \left( \lambda_{n,b} \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{2} g s\right) + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu_{n,b} \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{2} g s\right) \right) (\delta_{3,n} + O(|t|^{-1})) ds \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $\delta$  is Kronecker's symbol and  $g = \gamma_b |\gamma_b|^{-1}$ . Since, again by Stirling's formula, for  $s = 1 + \varepsilon + it$

$$3^{-3s} \Gamma(3s-2) \exp\left(\pm \frac{3\pi}{2} i s\right) |t|^{-1} = O(|t|^{-1/2+3\varepsilon}),$$

the contribution of the order term in (13) is

$$\ll y \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n^{-1-\varepsilon} (|\lambda_{n,b}| + |\mu_{n,b}|) T^{1/2+3\varepsilon} \ll y T^{-1}$$

(by choice of  $T$ ). To deal with the main term in (13) (which only occurs for  $b = \pm(1, 1, 1)$ ), we make the change of variable  $3s-2 \rightarrow s$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3^*(y) &= \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{3}} y^{1/3} (m_1 m_2 m_3)^{-1/3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2/3} (\lambda_n I_n^{(1)} + \mu_n I_n^{(2)}) + \\ & \quad + O(y^{1+\varepsilon} T^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$I_n^{(1)} := (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{1+3\varepsilon-iT}^{1+3\varepsilon+iT} z_n^{-s/3} 3^{-s} \Gamma(s) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} s\right) ds$$

and  $I_n^{(2)}$  is defined by replacing  $\cos$  by  $\sin$ ;  $\lambda_n, \mu_n$  are the values of  $\lambda_{n,b}, \mu_{n,b}$  for  $b = (1, 1, 1)$ . We now observe that the evaluation of  $I_n^{(1)}$  and  $I_n^{(2)}$  is contained in the analysis of Atkinson [2], lemma 1 and 2. Thus we arrive at

$$\Delta_3^*(y) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{3}} y^{1/3} (m_1 m_2 m_3)^{-1/3} \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3 \leq N} \cos \left( 6\pi \left( \frac{y n_1 n_2 n_3}{m_1 m_2 m_3} \right)^{1/3} - 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{q_j}{m_j} n_j \right) (n_1 n_2 n_3)^{-2/3} + O(y^{1+\varepsilon} T^{-1}) \quad (15)$$

where  $N$  is an integer such that  $N+1/2 = (m_1 m_2 m_3 T)^3 (8\pi^3 y)^{-1}$ . For  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$ , this coincides with Atkinson's formula (5.1) which was used by Kolesnik to obtain his result in [6]. But it is easily verified that Kolesnik's argument remains unaffected by the additional linear terms  $(q_j/m_j)n_j$  and yields the estimate  $O(y^{1/96+\varepsilon})$  for the trigonometric sum above. This establishes theorem 2.

### 3. Proof of theorem 1. We may rewrite (3) as

$$F(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(n^2) n^{-s} = 4 \zeta_0(s) (\zeta_0(2s))^{-1} \zeta(s) L(s) \quad (16)$$

where

$$\zeta_0(s) := (1 - 2^{-s}) \zeta(s) = \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} u^{-s},$$

$u$  and  $v$  denoting odd integers throughout the sequel. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} A(x) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \leq x} r(n^2) = \sum_{uv^2 km \leq x} \mu(v) \chi(m) \\ &= \sum_{v \leq y} + \sum_{v > y} =: S_1 + S_2 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $\chi$  denotes the non-principal character modulo 4 and  $y < \sqrt{x}$  is a parameter remaining at our disposition. By theorem 2,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{v \leq y} \mu(v) \sum_{ukm \leq xv^{-2}} \chi(m) \\ &= \sum_{v \leq y} \mu(v) \sum_{n \leq xv^{-2}} (d_3^*(n; 1, 2; 1, 1; 1, 4) - d_3^*(n; 1, 2; 1, 1; 3, 4)) \\ &= \sum_{v \leq y} \mu(v) (R(xv^{-2}) + O((xv^{-2})^{43/96+\varepsilon})) \end{aligned}$$

where  $R(w)$  is the residue of  $w^s s^{-1} \zeta_0(s) \zeta(s) L(s)$  at  $s = 1$ . Consequently,

$$S_1 = \text{Res}_{s=1} (x^s s^{-1} f_1(s) \zeta_0(s) \zeta(s) L(s)) + O(x^{43/96+\varepsilon} y^{5/48}) \quad (18)$$

where

$$f_1(s) := \sum_{v \leq y} \mu(v) v^{-2s}.$$

To deal with  $S_2$ , we define

$$f_2(s) := (\zeta_0(2s))^{-1} - f_1(s)$$

and conclude by the argument of Titchmarsh [13], p. 315, that, under Riemann's hypothesis,

$$f_2(s) = O(y^{1/2-2\sigma+\varepsilon}(|t|^\varepsilon + 1)) \quad (19)$$

uniformly in  $\sigma \geq 1/4 + \varepsilon$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  (see also Montgomery and Vaughan [8], p. 250). By the truncated Perron's formula (Prachar [10], p. 376), for any  $U > 0$ ,

$$S_2 = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{2-iU}^{2+iU} f_2(s) \zeta_0(s) \zeta(s) L(s) x^s s^{-1} ds + O(x^2 U^{-1}). \quad (20)$$

According to [13], p. 283, our assumptions on  $\zeta(s)$  and  $L(s)$  imply the validity of the Lindelöf hypothesis for these functions, thus, together with (19),

$$f_2(s) \zeta_0(s) \zeta(s) L(s) = O(y^{1/2-2\sigma+\varepsilon}(|t|^\varepsilon + 1)) \quad (21)$$

uniformly in  $\sigma \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We now shift the path of integration in (20) to the line segment from  $1/2 + \varepsilon - iU$  to  $1/2 + \varepsilon + iU$ , estimate the remainder integrals by (21) and choose  $U = x^3$  to obtain (with a new  $\varepsilon > 0$ )

$$S_2 = \text{Res}_{s=1}(x^s s^{-1} f_2(s) \zeta_0(s) \zeta(s) L(s)) + O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon} y^{-1/2}). \quad (22)$$

Finally we combine the results (18) and (22), choose  $y = x^{5/58}$  and infer that

$$A(x) = \text{Res}_{s=1}(x^s s^{-1} F(s)) + O(x^{53/116+\varepsilon}). \quad (23)$$

Since this residue is easily computed to  $(4/\pi)x \log x + Bx$  (cf. (16)), the proof of theorem 1 is thereby complete.

**4. Proof of theorem 3.** We follow the argument of Pintz [9]. By the definitions of  $E(x)$  and  $F(s)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty E(x) x^{-s-1} dx &= \frac{1}{s} F(s) - \frac{4}{\pi} (s-1)^{-2} - B(s-1)^{-1} =: H(s) =: \\ &=: \frac{N(s)}{(2s-1)s(s-1)^2 \zeta(2s)(1+2^{-s})} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ , where  $N(s)$  is an entire function. Let  $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$  be some fixed simple zero of the Riemann zeta-function (with minimal  $|\gamma|$ , say) such that  $\zeta(\rho/2)L(\rho/2) \neq 0$ , then we put

$$g(s) := s(s-1)^2(2s-1)\zeta(2s)(1+2^{-s})\left(s-\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{-1}(s+2)^{-c} \quad (25)$$

where  $c$  is a sufficiently large positive constant. Thus, in  $-1 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 2$ ,  $H(s)g(s)$  is meromorphic with the only pole (of order 1) at  $s = \rho/2$  (since  $N(\rho/2) \neq 0$ ). Furthermore, it follows (from well-known order results for the zeta- and  $L$ -functions, e.g. [1]) that

$$\int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} |g(s)| |H(s)|^j ds < \infty \quad (26)$$

for  $\sigma = -1$  or  $2$  and  $j = 0$  or  $1$ . Moreover, we define for  $a > 0$

$$w(a) := \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} g(s) a^{s+1} ds \quad (27)$$

and conclude, by shifting the line of integration either to  $\sigma = -1$  or to  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$  (noting that  $g(s)$  is regular in  $\operatorname{Re} s > -2$ ), that  $w(a) = 0$  for  $0 < a < 1$  and  $w(a) = O(1)$  for  $a \geq 1$ . We finally put

$$U(X) := X^{-1} \int_1^X E(x) w(Xx^{-1}) dx \quad (28)$$

and infer, by (27) and the dominated convergence theorem, that

$$U(X) = X^{-1} \int_1^\infty E(x) w(Xx^{-1}) dx = \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} g(s) H(s) X^s ds. \quad (29)$$

Shifting the line of integration to  $\sigma = -1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U(X) &= 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}_{s=\rho/2} (g(s)H(s)X^s) + \int_{-1-i\infty}^{-1+i\infty} g(s)H(s)X^s ds \\ &= CX^{\rho/2} + O(X^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where  $C \neq 0$  is a complex constant. On the other hand, by (28) and the boundedness of  $w(a)$ ,

$$\int_1^X |E(x)| dx \gg X |U(X)| \gg X |X^{\rho/2}| = X^{5/4} \quad (31)$$

which completes the proof of theorem 3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. APOSTOL : Introduction to analytic number theory, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1976.
- [2] F. V. ATKINSON : A divisor problem, Quart. J. Oxford 12 (1941), 193–200.
- [3] K. -H. FISCHER : Eine Bemerkung zur Verteilung der pythagoräischen Zahlentripel, Monatsh. Math. 87 (1979), 269–271.
- [4] F. FRICKER : Über die Verteilung der pythagoräischen Zahlentripel, Arch. Math. (Basel) 28 (1977), 491–494.
- [5] F. FRICKER : Einführung in die Gitterpunktlehre, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart 1982.
- [6] G. A. KOLESNIK : On the estimation of multiple exponential sums, in: Recent progress in analytic number theory (Proc. Durham Symp. 1979), vol. I, London 1981, 231–246.
- [7] E. LANDAU : Ausgewählte Abhandlungen zur Gitterpunktlehre, ed. by A. Walfisz, Berlin 1962.
- [8] H. L. MONTGOMERY and R. C. VAUGHAN : The distribution of squarefree numbers, in: Recent progress in analytic number theory (Proc. Durham Symp. 1979), vol. I, London 1981, 247–256.
- [9] J. PINTZ : On the distribution of square-free numbers, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 28 (1983), 401–405.
- [10] K. PRACHAR : Primzahlverteilung, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1957.
- [11] W. SIERPINSKI : On the summation of the series  $\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n) f(n)$  where  $\tau(n)$  denotes the number of representations of  $n$  as a sum of two squares of integers (in Polish), Prace Mat. -Fiz. 18 (1908), 1–59.
- [12] M. I. STRONINA : Lattice points on circular cones (in Russian), Izv. vyss. učebn. Zaved. Mat. 1969, Nr. 8 (87), 112–116.
- [13] E. C. TITCHMARSH : The theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Oxford 1951.
- [14] A. WALFISZ : Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neueren Zahlentheorie, Berlin 1963.

WERNER GEORG NOWAK

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT FÜR BODENKULTUR  
GREGOR MENDEL-STRASSE 33, A-1180 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

WINFRIED RECKNAGEL

FACHBEREICH INFORMATIK, FACHHOCHSCHULE MÜNCHEN  
LOTHSTRASSE 34, D-8000 MÜNCHEN 2, WESTERN GERMANY

(Received July 30, 1986)

**Added in proof.** The first named author has meanwhile established the result of Theorem 1 under the Riemann Hypothesis alone (without any unproven assumption about the  $L$ -series involved): See *Monatsh. f. Math.* 106 (1988), 57–63.