

ON H -RINGS WITH HOMOGENEOUS SOCLES

Dedicated to Professor Takasi Nagahara on his 60th birthday

KIYOICHI OSHIRO and KAZUO SHIGENAGA

In [5], we introduced Harada (H -) rings and co-Harada (co- H -) rings. However, in later [7], we showed that left H -rings are the same with right co- H -rings. These rings include QF -rings and generalized uniserial rings ([5], [6]). The purpose of the present paper is to study left H -rings with homogeneous socles. In Theorems 1 and 2, we show that such a ring is constructed by a suitable local QF -ring and has the self-duality in the sense of Morita.

1. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper, we assume that R is an associative ring with identity, all R -modules are unitary and all homomorphisms between R -modules are written on the opposite side of scalars. The notation M_R (resp. ${}_R M$) is used to stress that M is a right (resp. left) R -module. For an R -module M , we use $E(M)$, $J(M)$, $\text{Soc}(M)$ and $Z(M)$ to denote its injective hull, Jacobson radical, socle and singular submodule, respectively. Further, by $\{J_i(M)\}$ and $\{S_i(M)\}$, we denote the descending Loewy chain and the ascending Loewy chain of M , respectively. For two R -modules M and N , we use ' $M \subseteq N$ ' to stand for that M is isomorphic to a submodule of N . An R -module M is said to be a small module if it is a small submodule of its injective hull, and M is said to be a non-small module if it is not a small module ([3]).

Now, a ring R is said to be a left H -ring if it is a left artinian ring and, for any primitive idempotent e in R such that ${}_R Re$ is non-small, there exists $t \geq 0$ such that

- 1) ${}_R Re/S_k({}_R Re)$ is injective for $0 \leq k \leq t$, and
- 2) ${}_R Re/S_{t+1}({}_R Re)$ is a small module.

Dually, a ring R is said to be a right co- H -ring if it satisfies the ascending chain condition on right annihilator ideals and there is a partition $\{e_i\} \cup \{f_j\}$ of a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of R such that

- 1) each $e_i R_R$ is injective and each $f_j R_R$ is a small module,
- 2) for each $e_i R$, there exists t_i such that $J_t(e_i R_R)_R$ is projective for $0 \leq t \leq t_i$, and $J_{t_i+1}(e_i R_R)_R$ is a singular module, and

3) for each f_j , there exists $e_i R$ for which $f_j R_R \subseteq e_i R_R$.

However, in [7], we showed that left H -rings and right co- H -rings are the same rings. We further see from [7, Proposition 3.6] that, for a left H -ring R with a complete set E of primitive idempotents, there exists a one to one onto mapping between $\{e \in E | eR_R \text{ is injective}\}$ and $\{f \in E | {}_R R f \text{ is injective}\}$. So we see that, for a left H -ring, its right socle is homogeneous if and only if its left socle is homogeneous.

A ring R is said to be self-dual (or have the self-duality) if there exists a Morita duality between the category of finitely generated left R -modules and the category of finitely generated right R -modules. For a left artinian ring R with a finitely generated injective co-generator ${}_R E$, it is well known ([1], [4]) that the functor $\text{Hom}_R(_, E)$ and $\text{Hom}_T(_, E)$ where $T = \text{End}_R(E)$, give a duality between the category of finitely generated left R -modules and the one of finitely generated right T -modules. It is also known that a basic left artinian ring R has the self-duality if and only if its minimal injective co-generator ${}_R E$ is finitely generated and $\text{End}_R(E)$ is isomorphic to R .

2. Let Q be a local QF -ring, and put $J = J(Q)$ and $S = \text{Soc}(Q_Q)$ ($= \text{Soc}({}_Q Q)$). We define $W = W_n^k(Q)$ by setting

$$W_n^k(Q) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \overbrace{Q \cdots Q \bar{Q} \cdots \bar{Q}}^k & & & \\ \underbrace{J \cdots J}_{\vdots} & \underbrace{Q \cdots Q}_{\vdots} & \underbrace{\bar{Q} \cdots \bar{Q}}_{\vdots} & \underbrace{\bar{Q} \cdots \bar{Q}}_{\vdots} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \underbrace{J \cdots J}_{\vdots} & \underbrace{J \cdots J}_{\vdots} & \underbrace{J \cdots J}_{\vdots} & \underbrace{J \cdots J}_{\vdots} \end{array} \right)$$

where $\bar{Q} = Q/S$ and $\bar{J} = J/S$. Since $JS = SJ = 0$, $W_n^k(Q)$ canonically becomes a ring. We put

$$e_i = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & i & \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right) \text{ in } W = W_n^k(Q)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and put

$$X_j = \begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{\begin{matrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{matrix}}^k & & \\ & \left. \begin{matrix} S \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right\} j & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $J = 1, \dots, n$. Then, $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents and the following are easily verified :

- 1) $e_i W e_i$ and $e_i W e_j$ are artinian for all e_i and e_j ; so W is left and right artinian.
- 2) $\text{Soc}(e_i W_w) = X_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- 3) $(e_1 W_w, {}_w W e_k)$ is an injective pair ; so $e_1 W_w$ and ${}_w W e_k$ are injective (cf. [2], [7, Lemma 1.1]).
- 4) $J_i(e_i W_w)_w \simeq e_{i+1} W_w$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.
- 5) $J(e_n W_w) \text{Soc}(W_w) = 0$; whence $J(e_n W_w)_w$ is singular.

Therefore W is a right co- H -ring with the homogeneous right socle and hence W is a left H -ring with the homogeneous left socle ; in fact, the following conditions hold :

- 6) $S_i({}_w W e_k) = X_1 + \dots + X_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- 7) ${}_w W e_k / S_i({}_w W e_k)$ is injective for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Now, our purpose of this section is to show the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a basic left H -ring with the homogeneous left socle. Then R is represented as $R \simeq W_n^k(Q)$ for a suitable local QF-ring Q .*

Proof. As R is a basic right co- H -ring with the homogeneous socle, we can take a complete set $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of orthogonal primitive idempotents of R such that

- 1) $e_1 R_R$ is injective,
- 2) there is an isomorphism $\theta_{i,i-1}$ from $e_i R_R$ to $J_{i-1}(e_1 R_R)_R$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$.

Let us take e_k in $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $e_k R_R$ is a projective cover of $\text{Soc}(e_1 R_R)$ ($\simeq \text{Soc}(e_i R)_R$ for all i). We claim that $e_1 R e_1$ is a local QF-ring and R is isomorphic to $W_n^k(e_1 R e_1)$.

We observe R by representing it as

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} [e_1, e_1] \cdots [e_n, e_1] \\ \vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ [e_1, e_n] \cdots [e_n, e_n] \\ \hline (e_1 R e_1 \cdots e_1 R e_n) \\ \vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ (e_n R e_1 \cdots e_n R e_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $[e_i, e_j]$ denotes $\text{Hom}_R(e_i R, e_j R)$.

We define a mapping $\Phi_{i,i+1}: [e_i, e_i] \rightarrow [e_{i+1}, e_{i+1}]$ by the rule: $\Phi_{i,i+1}(\alpha) = (\theta_{i+1,i})^{-1} \alpha \theta_{i+1,i}$ for $\alpha \in [e_i, e_i]$, and put $\Phi_{i,j} = \Phi_{j-1,j} \cdots \Phi_{i+1,i+2} \Phi_{i,i+1}$ for $i < j$. As is easily seen, $\Phi_{i,i+1}$ is a ring epimorphism and, by [7, Proposition 3.1], $\Phi_{i,i+1}$ is an isomorphism for $i \neq k$ but $\Phi_{k,k+1}$ is a non-isomorphism since

$$\text{Ker } \Phi_{k,k+1} = \{\alpha \in [e_k, e_k] \mid \text{Im } \alpha \subseteq \text{Soc}(e_k R)\}.$$

Put $X = \text{Ker } \Phi_{1,k+1}$. Then ${}_{e_1 R e_1} X$ and $X_{e_1 R e_1}$ are simple, and moreover $X = \text{Soc}({}_{e_1 R e_1} e_1 R e_1) = \text{Soc}(e_1 R e_1 {}_{e_1 R e_1})$ (cf. [7, Lemma 3.3]). As a result, we see that $e_1 R e_1$ is a local QF-ring, and

$${}_{e_1 R e_1} \Phi_{1,i} \simeq {}_{e_i R e_i} \text{ for } i \leq k$$

and

$${}_{e_1 R e_1} \bar{\Phi}_{1,i} / X \simeq {}_{e_i R e_i} \text{ for } i > k$$

where $\Phi_{1,i}$ is an induced isomorphism by $\bar{\Phi}_{1,i}$.

For $j \geq i$, we define a mapping $\xi_{j,i}: [e_j, e_j] \rightarrow [e_j, e_i]$ given by $\xi_{j,i}(\alpha) = \theta_{j,i}^{-1} \alpha$ for $\alpha \in [e_j, e_j]$. Clearly, $\xi_{j,i}$ is an isomorphism as an abelian group.

For $j < i$, we define $\eta_{j,i}: J([e_j, e_j]) \rightarrow [e_j, e_i]$ by the rule $\eta_{j,i}(\alpha) = \theta_{k,j}^{-1} \alpha$ for $\alpha \in J([e_j, e_j])$. Of course, this mapping is well defined, because $\text{Im } \alpha \subseteq \theta_{n,j}(e_n R) \subseteq \theta_{k,j}(e_i R)$ for $\alpha \in [e_j, e_j]$. Clearly $\eta_{j,i}$ is also an isomorphism as an abelian group.

Here we define $\{\phi_{i,j} \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ by putting

$$\phi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \xi_{j,i} \Phi_{1,j} & \text{for } k \geq j, j \geq i \\ \eta_{j,i} \Phi_{1,j} & \text{for } k \geq j, i > j \\ \xi_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{1,j} & \text{for } j > k, j \geq i \\ \eta_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{1,j} & \text{for } j > k, i > j \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_1 R e_1 &\simeq^{ \phi_{ij} } [e_j, e_i] \text{ for } k \geq j, j \geq i, \\
 J(e_1 R e_1) &\simeq^{ \phi_{ij} } [e_j, e_i] \text{ for } k \geq j, i > j, \\
 e_1 R e_i / \text{Soc}(e_1 R e_1) &\simeq^{ \phi_{ij} } [e_j, e_i] \text{ for } k < j, j \geq i, \text{ and} \\
 J(e_1 R e_1) / \text{Soc}(e_1 R e_1) &\simeq^{ \phi_{ij} } [e_j, e_i] \text{ for } k < j, i > j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is straightforward to verify that $\phi_{ij} \phi_{jk} = \phi_{ik}$ for all i, j, k . Hence it follows that R is isomorphic to $W_n^k(e_1 R e_1)$ by the mapping :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1} & \cdots & \alpha_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(\alpha_{11}) & \cdots & \phi_{1n}(\alpha_{1n}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \phi_{n1}(\alpha_{n1}) & \cdots & \phi_{nn}(\alpha_{nn}) \end{pmatrix}$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary. *If R is a basic generalized uniserial ring with homogeneous socle, then $R \simeq W_n^k(Q)$ for a suitable local uniserial ring Q .*

3. As mentioned in the introduction, in this section, we show the following

Theorem 2. *Left H-rings with homogeneous left socle have self-duality.*

Proof. Let R be a left H -ring with homogeneous left socle. We can assume that R is basic. As R is a right co- H -ring with homogeneous right socle, we can take a complete set $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of orthogonal primitive idempotents such that

- 1) $e_1 R_R$ is injective,
- 2) $e_1 R_R \supseteq e_2 R_R \supseteq \cdots \supseteq e_n R_R$; more precisely, $J(e_i R_R)_R \simeq e_{i+1} R_R$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

We represent R as

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} [e_1, e_1] & \cdots & [e_n, e_1] \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ [e_1, e_n] & \cdots & [e_n, e_n] \end{pmatrix}$$

where $[e_i, e_j] = \text{Hom}_R(e_i R, e_j R)$.

We can take e_k in E such that $e_k R_R$ is the projective cover of

$\text{Soc}(e_i R_R)$ ($\cong \text{Soc}(e_i R_R)$ for all i). Put $X_i = \text{Hom}_R(e_k R, \text{Soc}(e_i R))$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, by [7], the following hold :

$$3) \quad \text{Soc}(e_i R_R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ X_i \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$4) \quad S_i({}_R Re_k) = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_i \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and

5) ${}_R Re_k / S_i({}_R Re_k)$ is injective for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

We put $E_i = {}_R Re_k / S_{i-1}({}_R Re_k)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and put $I = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n$. Since $\{E_i\}$ is the representative set of indecomposable injective left R -modules, we see that I is a minimal injective co-generator. Therefore, we may show that R is isomorphic to $T = \text{End}_R(I)$.

For a finitely generated left R -module M , we put $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, I)$. Since R is a left H -ring, we see that T is a right co- H -ring. Here we represent T as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} [E_1, E_1] & \cdots & [E_1, E_n] \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ [E_n, E_1] & \cdots & [E_n, E_n] \end{pmatrix}$$

where $[E_i, E_j] = \text{Hom}_R(E_i, E_j)$ for all i, j . And, put

$$g_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & i & & \\ & 0 & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, $\{g_i\}$ is a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of T . Now, we can identify

$$g_i T = E_i^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ [E_i, E_1] \cdots [E_i, E_n] \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By 5), we see that

6) $g_1 T_T$ is injective,

7) $g_1 T_T \supseteq g_2 T_T \supseteq \cdots \supseteq g_n T_T$.

Here, in view of 3), 4), we see that

$$\text{Soc}(g_1 T_T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \cdots 0 [E_1, \text{Soc}(E_k)] 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $[E_1, \text{Soc}(E_k)] = \text{Hom}_T(E_1, \text{Soc}(E_k))$. Hence $g_k T$ is a projective cover of $\text{Soc}(g_1 T_T)$. Hence, by Theorem 1 and its proof, we see that $T \simeq W_n^k(g_1 T_T)$. Since $g_1 T_T \simeq [E_1, E_1] \simeq e_1 R e_1$ and $R \simeq W_n^k(e_1 R e_1)$, it follows that T is isomorphic to R as required.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. AZUMAYA : A duality theory for injective modules, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 249–278.
- [2] K. R. FULLER : On indecomposable injectives over artinian rings, Pacific J. Math. **29** (1960), 115–135.
- [3] M. HARADA : Non-small modules and non-cosmall modules, In Ring Theory : Proceedings of the 1978 Antwerp conference, F. Van Oystaeyen, ed. New York : Marcel Dekker, 1979, 669–687.
- [4] K. MORITA : Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimal condition, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sec. A **6** (1958), 83–142.
- [5] K. OSHIRO : Lifting modules, extending modules and their applications to QF-rings, Hokkaido Math. J. **13** (1984), 310–338.
- [6] K. OSHIRO : Lifting modules, extending modules and their applications to generalized uniserial rings, Hokkaido Math. J. **13** (1984), 339–346.
- [7] K. OSHIRO : On Harada rings. I, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **31** (1989), 161–178.

KIYOICHI OSHIRO
YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY, YAGUCHI, JAPAN 753
KAZUO SHIGENAGA
UBE TECHNICAL COLLEGE, UBE, JAPAN 755

(Received August 23, 1988)