## AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF A THEOREM OF MARGULIS FOR KLEINIAN GROUPS

## Tomio INADA

1. The purpose of the present paper is to give an elementary proof of a theorem of Margulis [6] for Kleinian groups, which is fundamental in the modern theory of Kleinian groups and 3-dimensional hyperbolic orbifolds ([7]).

An elementary proof of 2-dimensional version of the Margulis theorem was given by Marden [5].

Our proof consists of a series of lemmas concerning elliptic transformations and an application of Jørgensen's result [4]. If we consider only groups without torsion, then our proof would be very easy and quick. But, as in the case of Marden, we do not require groups to be finitely generated, geometrically finite, nor exclude torsions.

2. A Möbius transformation  $z \mapsto (az+b)/(cz+d)$  ad-bc=1, is lifted from the extended complex plane  $\hat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C} \cup |\infty|$  to a conformal homeomorphism of upper half 3-space  $\mathbf{H}^3 = |\mathbf{h} = z+t\mathbf{j}|z \in \mathbf{C}, \ t>0|$  (where  $\mathbf{j}$  is one of quaternion units) onto itself. The extention is then  $\mathbf{h} \mapsto \mathbf{h}' = (a\mathbf{h}+b)(c\mathbf{h}+d)^{-1}$ .

A discrete subgroup G of the group of the Möbius transformations which preserve  $H^3$  is called a *Kleinian group*. The set  $\Lambda(G)$  of limit points lies in  $\partial H^3 = \hat{C}$ . The Kleinian groups for which card  $\Lambda(G) \leq 2$  are called *elementary*.

We will use the notation D(p; r) for the (non-Euclidean) ball with radius r and center at p, and set

$$I(G; p; r) = |g \in G: g(D(p; r)) \cap D(p; r) \neq \phi|$$
  
 $G(p; r) = \text{subgroup of } G \text{ generated by } I(G; p; r).$ 

What we are going to prove is the following:

**Theorem** (Margulis). There exists a constant r > 0 with the property that, for any point  $p \in H^3$  and for any Kleinian group G, the subgroup G(p; r) is elementary.

If G leaves a disc  $\Delta \in \partial \mathbb{H}^3$  invariant, then we call G a Fuchsian

T. INADA

group.

Corollary (Marden [5]). There exists a constant r > 0 with the property that, for any point  $p \in H^3$  and for any Fuchsian group G, either G(p; r) is cyclic, or

$$G(p; r) = \langle h, g; h^2 = g^2 = id. \rangle$$

for some  $h, g \in G(p; r)$ .

3. As is well known, elementary Kleinian groups are classified into the following three types (see [2][3]).

Type 1:  $\Lambda(G) = \phi$ .

In this case, G is a finite group.

**Lemma 1** ([1]. Theorem 5.1). For a Kleinian group G, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) G is finite;
- (ii) apart from id. G contains only elliptic element;
- (iii) the elements of G have a common fixed point t in H3.

Type 2: 
$$\Lambda(G) = \{p\}$$
  $(p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}).$ 

In this case, G contains a parabolic transfomation g with a fixed point  $p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Type 3: 
$$\Lambda(G) = \{p, q\}, p, q \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \text{ and } p \neq q.$$

In this case, G contains a loxodromic transformation g with the fixed points  $p, q \in \hat{C}$ . Every element of G leaves  $\{p, q \mid \text{ invariant.}\}$ 

If  $g \in G - |id.|$  is a non-parabolic element, the geodesic  $A_g$  in  $H^3$  joining the fixed points of g is called the axis of g.

**Lemma 2.** Let g and h be elliptic. If  $G = \langle g, h \rangle$  is of Type 3, then

$$g^2 = h^2 = id. .$$

*Proof.* By conjugation, we may assume that every element of G leaves  $\{0, \infty\}$  invariant and is therefore of the form

$$z \mapsto \kappa z^s$$
,  $\kappa \neq 0$ ,  $s = \pm 1$ .

$$g(z) = x_1 z$$
 and  $h(z) = x_2 z$ ,  $|x_i| = 1$   $(i = 1, 2)$ ,

then G is a finite cyclic group.

Suppose that

$$g(z) = \kappa_1 z \ (|\kappa_1| = 1)$$
, and  $h(z) = \kappa_2 z^{-1} = \kappa_2 / z$ ,  $\kappa_2 \neq 0$ .

Then the axis  $A_g$  of g is the  $\mathbf{j}$ -axis and the axis  $A_h$  of h is the geodesic with end points  $\sqrt{\kappa_2}$  and  $-\sqrt{\kappa_2}$ . Since  $A_g$  and  $A_h$  intersect at  $\sqrt{|\kappa_2|}\mathbf{j}$ , g and h have a common fixed point  $\sqrt{|\kappa_2|}\mathbf{j}$  in  $H^3$ . By Lemma 1, G is of Type 1. Also, we obtain that

$$g(z) = \kappa_1 z^{-1} = \kappa_1/z$$
 and  $h(z) = \kappa_2 z^{-1} = \kappa_2/z$ 

for some  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . Thus  $g^2 = h^2 = id$ . .

The following condition for a finitely generated Kleinian group to be elementary is a direct consequence of the definition of the elementary groups in [2] p. 83, but will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $G = \langle g_1, ..., g_n \rangle$  be a finitely generated Kleinian group.

- (a) If there exists a point  $p \in H^3 \cup \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $g_i(p) = p$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then G is elementary.
- (b) If there exist the two distinct points  $p, q \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $g_i$  leaves |p, q| invariant for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then G is elementary.
- 4. In this section, we shall study groups generated by two elliptic transformations.

**Lemma 4.** Let g and h be elliptic and suppose that a Kleinian group  $G = \langle g, h \rangle$  is non-elementary. Then

- (a) either gh or  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  is loxodromic;
- (b) if  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  is loxodromic and if  $g^2 \neq id$ , then

$$\langle g, ghg^{-1}h^{-1}\rangle$$

is non-elementary.

*Proof.* Suppose that neither gh nor  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  are loxodromic. We may assume without loss of generality that

$$g(z) = \alpha z/\bar{\alpha}$$
  $|\alpha| = 1, \alpha^2 \neq 1,$ 

and

$$h(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d), ad-bc = 1.$$

The elements g, gh and  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  have real trace (up to signature)

$$\lambda = a + d \qquad \mu = \alpha a + \alpha \bar{d}$$
  

$$\gamma = 2 - bc(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})^2 = 2 + 2bc |\alpha - \bar{\alpha}|^2,$$

respectively. On solving this simultaneous equation with respect to a and d (as  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are real) we find  $a=\bar{d}$ . Next, as  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  is not loxodromic, we have  $\gamma \leq 2$  and so  $bc \leq 0$ . If b=0, then g(0)=h(0)=0. By Lemma 3(a), G is elementary, being a contradiction. In case c=0, we have  $g(\infty)=h(\infty)=\infty$ , and a similar contradiction.

If h is such that bc < 0, we may select a positive t with  $t^2 = |b/c|$  so that  $b = -t^2\bar{c}$ . Therefore

$$h(z) = (az - t^2 \bar{c})/(cz + \bar{a}).$$

As

$$h(t\,\mathbf{j}) = (at\,\mathbf{j} - t^2\bar{c}\,)(ct\,\mathbf{j} + \bar{a})^{-1} = t(a\,\mathbf{j} - t\bar{c}\,)(ct\,\mathbf{j} + \bar{a})/(|ct|^2 + |a|^2) = t\,\mathbf{j},$$

we have  $g(t\mathbf{j}) = h(t\mathbf{j}) = t\mathbf{j}$ . By Lemma 1, G is of Type 1, and we have a contradiction.

Suppose that  $H = \langle g, ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \rangle$  is elementary. As  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  is loxodromic, H is of Type 3, so  $\langle g, hg^{-1}h^{-1} \rangle (= \langle g, ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \rangle)$  is of Type 3. Because g and  $hg^{-1}h^{-1}$  are elliptic, Lemma 2 is applicable for  $\langle g, hg^{-1}h^{-1} \rangle$ . Therefore g is of order 2, and we arrive at a contradiction, too.

**Lemma 5.** Let g and h be of order 2. If  $g = \langle g, h \rangle$  is a Kleinian group, then G is elementary.

*Proof.* Suppose that G is a non-elementary Kleinian group. By Lemma 4, gh or  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}=(gh)^2$  is loxodromic. Therefore gh is loxodromic.

Let  $p, q \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  be the fixed points of gh. As gh(p) = p, we have  $h(p) = g^{-1}(p) = g(p)$ . Hence gh(g(p)) = ghh(p) = g(p). Similarly we have gh(g(q)) = g(q). Therefore an element g leaves  $\{p, q\}$  invariant. By Lemma 3,  $\langle g, gh \rangle = \langle g, h \rangle = G$  is elementary.

5. In this section, we investigate subgroups of finitely generated groups with some properties.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $G = \langle g_1, ..., g_n \rangle$  be a Kleinian group such that all subgroups  $G_{i,j} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$  are elementary. If G is nonelementary, then  $g_1, ..., g_n$  are all elliptic.

*Proof.* If  $g_1$  is parabolic, then all  $G_{1,j} = \langle g_1, g_j \rangle$   $(1 \le j \le n)$  are of Type 2. Let p be a parabolic fixed point of  $g_1$ . Since  $g_1(p) = p$  for all j ( $1 \le j \le n$ ), by Lemma 3(a), G is elementary.

If  $g_1$  is loxodromic, then all  $G_{1,j} = \langle g_1, g_j \rangle$   $(1 \le j \le n)$  are of Type 3. Let p and q be the fixed points of  $g_1$ . Since all  $g_j (1 \le j \le n)$  leave  $\{p,q\}$  invariant, by Lemma 3(b), G is elementary.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $G = \langle g_1, ..., g_n \rangle$  be a non-elementary Kleinian group. Suppose that all subgroups  $G_{i,j}(1 \le i, j \le n)$  are elementary and that one of them is of Type 2. Then there exist  $g_i, g_j$  and  $g_k$   $(1 \le i, j, k \le n)$ such that the subgroup  $\langle g_k, g_i g_j g_i^{-1} g_j^{-1} \rangle$  is non-elementary where  $g_i g_j g_i^{-1} g_j^{-1}$ is parabolic.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $G_{1,2} = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$  is of Type 2. By Lemma 6,  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are elliptic. We may assume that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  have a common fixed point ∞ and are therefore of the forms

$$g_1(z) = \alpha z/\bar{\alpha}$$
  $|\alpha| = 1$ ,  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ ,  $g_2(z) = (az+b)/d$   $ad = 1$ .

Then we have

$$g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}(z) = z + ab(\alpha^2 - 1).$$

If b=0, then  $G_{1,2}$  is elliptic cyclic. Hence  $ab(\alpha^2-1)\neq 0$ , so  $g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}$ is parabolic.

Suppose that  $\langle g_k, g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}\rangle$  is elementary for every k  $(1 \le k \le n)$ . Then  $\langle g_k, g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}\rangle$  is of Type 2, and  $g_k$  fixes  $\infty$ . By Lemma 3(a), G is elementary.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $G = \langle g_1, ..., g_n \rangle$  be a non-elementary Kleinian group. Suppose that all subgroups  $G_{i,j} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$  are elementary and that one of them is of Type 3. Then there exist  $g_i, g_j$  and  $g_k$   $(1 \le i, j \le i)$  $j, k \leq n$ ) such that the subgroup  $\langle g_k, g_i g_j g_i^{-1} g_j^{-1} \rangle$  is non-elementary where  $g_ig_jg_i^{-1}g_j^{-1}$  is loxodromic.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $G_{1,2}$  is of Type 3, and every element of

182 T. INADA

 $G_{1,2}$  leaves  $\{0,\infty\}$  invariant. By Lemma 6,  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are elliptic. On applying Lemma 2, we have

$$g_i(z) = \kappa_i/z$$
  $\kappa_i \neq 0$  (i = 1, 2)

(see the proof of Lemma 2). Therefore

$$g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}(z) = \chi_1^2z/\chi_2^2.$$

If  $g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}$  is not loxodromic, then  $|x_1| = |x_2|$ . The axes  $A_{g_1}$  and  $A_{g_2}$  intersect at  $\sqrt{|x_1|}\mathbf{j}$ , and so  $g_1(\sqrt{|x_1|}\mathbf{j}) = g_2(\sqrt{|x_1|}\mathbf{j}) = \sqrt{|x_1|}\mathbf{j}$ . By Lemma 1,  $G_{1,2}$  is of Type 1; therefore, we arrive at a contradiction.

Suppose that  $\langle g_k, g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}\rangle$  is elementary for every k  $(1 \le k \le n)$ . Then  $\langle g_k, g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}\rangle$  is of Type 3, and  $g_k$  leaves  $\{0, \infty\}$  invariant. By Lemma 3(b), G is elementary.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $G = \langle g_1, ..., g_n \rangle$  be a non-elementary Kleinian group and suppose that all  $G_{i,j}$   $(1 \le i, j \le n)$  are of Type 1. Then there exist  $g_i, g_j$  and  $g_k$  such that either  $\langle g_k, g_k g_i g_j \rangle$  or  $\langle g_i, g_k g_i g_j \rangle$  is non-elementary where  $g_k g_i g_j$  is loxodromic.

*Proof.* First we shall show that for each  $g_k$  there exist  $g_{i(k)}$  and  $g_{j(k)}$  such that  $g_k g_{i(k)} g_{j(k)}$  is loxodromic. Assume that k = 1. If every  $G_{1,i}$  ( $1 \le i \le n$ ) is cyclic, then G is cyclic. Therefore, we may assume that  $G_{1,2}$  is not cyclic. By Lemma  $1, g_1$  and  $g_2$  have a unique common fixed point  $t_2$  in  $H^3$ . If every  $G_{1,j}$  ( $3 \le j \le n$ ) is cyclic, then G is elementary. Hence there exists  $g_j$  such that  $g_1$  and  $g_j$  have a unique common fixed point  $t_j$  ( $t_j \ne t_2$ ) in  $H^3$ . We put j = 3.

We may assume that

$$g_1(z) = \alpha z/\bar{\alpha} \quad \alpha^2 \neq 1, |\alpha| = 1.$$

Set

$$g_i(z) = (a_i z + b_i)/(c_i z + d_i)$$
  $a_i d_i - b_i c_i = 1$   $(i = 2, 3)$ 

and

$$g_2g_3(z) = (Az+B)/(Cz+D).$$

As  $|\mathbf{h} \in H^3$ :  $g_1(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{h}| = |t\mathbf{j}: t > 0|$ , we have  $\mathbf{t}_i = t_i\mathbf{j}$  and  $t_2 \neq t_3$ . And the equation  $g_i(t_i\mathbf{j}) = t_i\mathbf{j}$  implies that  $b_i = -t_i^2\bar{c}_i$ . Hence a simple computation shows that

$$A = a_2 a_3 - t_2^2 \bar{c}_2 c_3$$
 and  $D = -t_3^2 c_2 \bar{c}_3 + \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3$ .

Now let us show that  $g_1g_2g_3$  is loxodromic. If  $g_1g_2g_3$  is not loxodromic, then  $g_2g_3$  and  $g_1(g_2g_3)$  have real traces. We have  $A=\overline{D}$ , so that

$$\bar{c}_2 c_3 (t_2^2 - t_3^2) = 0.$$

Since  $t_2 \neq t_3$ , this implies  $c_2 = 0$  or  $c_3 = 0$ . In the case where  $c_2 = 0$   $g_1(\infty) = g_2(\infty) = \infty$ , therefore this contradicts the fact that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  have a unique common fixed point  $t_2$ . In the same way, if  $c_3 = 0$ , then we have a contradiction. Therefore  $g_1g_2g_3$  is loxodromic.

Next, assume the lemma is false. For each  $g_k$   $(1 \le k \le n)$ , there exist  $g_{i(k)}$  and  $g_{j(k)}$  such that  $g_k g_{i(k)} g_{j(k)}$  is loxodromic. Then  $\langle g_k, g_k g_{i(k)} g_{j(k)} \rangle$  and  $\langle g_{i(k)}, g_k g_{i(k)} g_{j(k)} \rangle$  are of Type 3. As  $\langle g_k, g_{i(k)} g_{j(k)} \rangle (= \langle g_k, g_k g_{i(k)} g_{j(k)} \rangle)$  is of Type 3 and as both  $g_k$  and  $g_{i(k)} g_{j(k)}$   $(\in G_{i(k),j(k)})$  are elliptic, by Lemma 2, every  $g_k$   $(1 \le k \le n)$  is of order 2.

We may assume that

$$g_{\kappa}g_{l(\kappa)}g_{j(\kappa)}(z) = \kappa z$$
  $|x| \neq 1, \ \kappa \neq 0,$ 

and (by the proof of Lemma 2)

$$g_k(z) = x_k/z x_k \neq 0.$$

As  $g_{i(k)}$  is of order 2, and as  $\langle g_{i(k)}, g_k g_{i(k)} g_{j(k)} \rangle$  is of Type 3, we have

$$g_{i(k)}(z) = -z \text{ or } g_{i(k)}(z) = \kappa_{i(k)}/z \ (\kappa_{i(k)} \neq 0).$$

If  $g_{t(k)}(z) = -z$ , then

$$g_{k}g_{j(k)}(z) = g_{k}(g_{l(k)}(g_{k}(g_{k}g_{l(k)}g_{j(k)})))(z)$$
  
=  $- \kappa z$ .

As  $G_{\kappa,j(\kappa)}$  is of Type 1,  $g_{\kappa}g_{j(\kappa)}$  is elliptic. Hence we have  $|\kappa|=1$ , this contradict our assumption.

Let  $g_{\ell(k)}(z) = \chi_{\ell(k)}/z$ . As  $g_k g_{\ell(k)}(z) = \chi_k z/\chi_{\ell(k)}$  is elliptic, we have  $|\chi_k| = |\chi_{\ell(k)}|$ . Because

$$g_{j(k)}(z) = g_{i(k)}(g_k(g_kg_{i(k)}g_{j(k)}))(z) = \kappa_{i(k)}\kappa z/\kappa_k$$

and is elliptic, we have  $|\kappa_{\ell,k},\kappa/\kappa_k| = |\kappa| = 1$ . This contradicts our assumption  $|\kappa| \neq 1$ .

**Remark.** By Lemmas 6-9, if  $G = \langle g_1, ..., g_n \rangle$   $(n \ge 3)$  is a non-

184

elementary Kleinian group, then there exsist  $i, j, k \ (1 \le i, j, k \le n)$  such that  $\langle g_i, g_j, g_k \rangle$  is non-elementary.

6. Before proving the theorem we need three more lemmas.

Lemma 10. Let  $|g_n|_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of Möbius transformations with the property that there exist a point  $p\in H^3$  and a number r>0 such that

$$g_n(D(\mathbf{j}; r)) \cap D(\mathbf{j}; r) \neq \emptyset$$

Then there is a subsequence converging to a Möbius transformation.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $p = \mathbf{j}$ . If  $g_n(z) = (a_n z + b_n)/(c_n z + d_n)$   $(a_n d_n - b_n c_n = 1)$  are such that  $g_n(D(\mathbf{j}; r)) \cap D(\mathbf{j}; r) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $d(\mathbf{j}, g_n(\mathbf{j})) < 2r$ , where d(.,.) is the hyperbolic distance in H<sup>3</sup>. By [1], [2], we have

$$||g_n||^2 = |a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2 + |c_n|^2 + |d_n|^2 = 2 \cosh [d(\mathbf{j}, g_n(\mathbf{j}))]$$

$$< 2 \cosh (2r).$$

a conclusion.

Lemma 11 ([4], Lemma 2). Let  $|g_n|_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $|h_n|_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be two sequences of Möbius transformations converging to Möbius transformations g and h, respectively. Suppose that, for each  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  the group  $\langle g_n,h_n\rangle$  is discrete and non-elementary. Then the following is true;

- (a) g is not the identity.
- (b) If g is elliptic, then the orders of  $g_n$  are constant for all large indices.

The proof of following lemma is similar to the Marden's proof of our result for Fuchsian groups [5].

**Lemma 12.** There exists an r > 0 with the following property: Given any point  $p \in H^3$  and any Kleinian group G, if

$$I(G; p; r) = |g_1, ..., g_m|$$

holds, then all  $G_{i,j} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq m)$  are elementary.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $p = \mathbf{j}$ . Assume that the conclusion of the lemma is false. There is a group  $G_n$  which contains elements  $g_n$ ,  $h_n$ 

 $\in I(G_n; j; 1/n)$  such that the subgroup  $H_n = \langle g_n, h_n \rangle$  is non-elementary.

By Lemma 10, for subsequences, which are denoted by  $\{g_n\}$  and  $\{h_n\}$ as well,  $g = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n$  and  $h = \lim_{n \to \infty} h_n$  exist. As a consequence of Lemma 11(a), neither g nor h is the identity. We have  $g(\mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{j}$  and  $h(\mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{j}$ , so that g and h are elliptic. Hence by Lemma 11(b), for all large indices,  $g_n$  and  $h_n$  have the constant order  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  respectively. By Lemma 5, if  $\mu = \nu = 2$ , then  $H_n$  is elementary.

If  $\mu \neq 2$ , by Lemma 4,  $H_n$  contains a subgroup  $H'_n$  or  $H''_n$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $H'_n = \langle g_n, g_n h_n \rangle$  is non-elementary and  $g_n h_n$  is loxodromic;
- $H_n'' = \langle g_n, g_n h_n g_n^{-1} h_n^{-1} \rangle$  is non-elementary and  $g_n h_n g_n^{-1} h_n^{-1}$  is loxodromic.

If  $H'_n$  appears for an infinitely many n, then for a subsequence (with the same indices, for simplicity)  $gh = \lim_{n\to\infty} g_n h_n$  exists. As a consequence of Lemma 11(a), gh is not the identity. We have gh(j) = j. Hence by Lemma 11(b), for all large indices  $g_n h_n$  are elliptic. This fact contradicts property (a).

If  $H_n^n$  appears for an infinite number of n, then a subsequence with  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n h_n g_n^{-1} h_n^{-1}$  exists. As a consequence of Lemma 11(a),  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  is elliptic. Hence by Lemma 11(b), for all large indices  $g_nh_ng_n^{-1}h_n^{-1}$ are elliptic. This fact contradicts property (b).

For  $\nu \neq 2$ , the argument is completely the same.

Proof of Theorem. Assume that the conclusion of Theorem is false. We may assume that  $p = \mathbf{j}$  and that for each n, there is a group  $G_n$  such that  $G_n(\mathbf{j}; 1/n)$  is non-elementary. We consider only such 1/n that satisfies Lemma 12.

Set  $H_n = G_n(\mathbf{j}; 1/n)$  and  $I(G_n; \mathbf{j}; 1/n) = \{g_{n,1}, ..., g_{n,m}\}$ . In accordance with Lemma 12, each  $(H_n)_{i,j} = \langle g_{n,i}, g_{n,j} \rangle$  is elementary. By Lemma 6, for all  $1 \le i, j \le m$   $g_{n,i}$  are elliptic. As a consequence of Lemma 7, Lemma 8, and Lemma 9, the group  $H_n$  contains one of the following nonelementary subgroup  $H'_n$ ,  $H''_n$ ,  $H'''_n$ :

- (a)  $H'_n = \langle g_{n,k}, g_{n,i}g_{n,j}g_{n,i}^{-1}g_{n,j}^{-1} \rangle$  and  $g_{n,i}g_{n,j}g_{n,i}^{-1}g_{n,j}^{-1}$  is non-elliptic;
- (b)  $H_n'' = \langle g_{n,k}, g_{n,k}g_{n,i}g_{n,j} \rangle$  and  $g_{n,k}g_{n,i}g_{n,j}$  is loxodromic;
- (c)  $H_n^m = \langle g_{n,i}, g_{n,k}g_{n,i}g_{n,j} \rangle$  and  $g_{n,k}g_{n,i}g_{n,j}$  is loxodromic.

Clearly one of the cases (a),(b), and (c) occurs for an infinitely many n, In any case, we can obtain a contradiction by the same argument as in the latter half of the proof of Lemma 12.

186 T. INADA

7. Proof of Corollary. If r is a positive number given in Theorem, then G(p; r) is elementary. An elementary Fuchsian group is known to be cyclic or  $\langle h, g; h^2 = g^2 = id. \rangle$  for some h, g.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. F. BEARDON: The geometry of discrete groups, Discrete Groups and Automorphic Functions (Edited by W. J. Harvey), Academic Press, London, 1977, 47-72.
- [2] A. F. BEARDON: The Geometry of Discrete Groups, Graduate Texts in Math. 91, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [3] L. R. FORD: Automorphic Functions, Chelsea, New York, 1951.
- [4] T. JØRGENSEN: On discrete groups of Möbius transformations, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 739-749.
- [5] A. MARDEN: Universal properties of fuchsian groups in the Poincaré metric, Ann. of Math. Studies 79, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974, 315-339.
- [6] G. A. MARGULIS: Discrete motion groups on manifolds of nonpositive carvature, Proc. of the Intern. Congress of Math., Vancouver, 2 (1974), 21-34.
- [7] W. THURSTON: Geometry and Topology of 3-Manifolds, Princeton, 1978.

SUMATOMOGAOKA SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL TOMOGAOKA 1-1-5, SUMA-KU, KOBE 654-01, JAPAN

> (Received September 25, 1987) (Revised April 5, 1988)