

## EQUIVALENCE OF MODULE CATEGORIES

To the memory of Professor Tadao Tannaka

SHOJI KYUNO

Morita contexts and gamma rings are equivalent concepts ([2]). Therefore, the duality theory obtained in Morita contexts is interpreted in the terms of gamma rings and vice versa. Nobusawa [2] proved directly that when  $R$  and  $L$  contain the unities the categories of all  $R$ -modules and  $L$ -modules are equivalent, where  $R$  and  $L$  are the right operator ring and the left operator ring of a gamma ring of homomorphisms respectively. Furthermore, in [3] he obtained a generalization of one of Morita duality theorems, that is, if  $R^2 = R$  and  $L^2 = L$ , then the categories of properly generated  $R$ -modules and  $L$ -modules are equivalent.

In this note, without the assumption  $R^2 = R$  and  $L^2 = L$ , we shall prove the following theorem :

**Theorem.** *Let  $(R, L, M, \Gamma, \tau, \mu)$  be a Morita context, in which  $\tau$  and  $\mu$  are surjective. It is not assumed that the rings  $R, L$  have unities nor that the modules are unitary. The categories of properly generated  $R$ -modules and  $L$ -modules are equivalent.*

We refer to Jacobson [1, p. 166] for the definition of a Morita context  $(R, L, M, \Gamma, \tau, \mu)$ , where  $R, L$  are rings,  $M = {}_L M_R$  is an  $L$ - $R$ -bimodule,  $\Gamma = {}_R \Gamma_L$  is an  $R$ - $L$ -bimodule. We shall use his notations, except that the products  $\Gamma \times M$  to  $R$  and  $M \times \Gamma$  to  $L$  will be denoted by  $\gamma x$  and  $x\gamma$  ( $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ), since all relevant associative laws hold. It is not assumed that the rings have unities nor that the modules are unitary. But, we assume that  $\tau$  and  $\mu$  are surjective.

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. If it satisfies (1)  $MR = M$ , (2)  $\{x \in M \mid xR = 0\} = \{0\}$ , then according to Nobusawa [3] we say  $M$  is properly generated over  $R$ .

Let  $\text{PGM}(R)$  be a category of properly generated right modules over  $R$  where the morphisms are  $R$ -module homomorphisms. Similarly,  $\text{PGM}(L)$  denotes a category of properly generated right modules over  $L$  where the morphisms are  $L$ -module homomorphisms.

*Proof of the theorem.* Let  $G \in \text{ob } \text{PGM}(R)$ . Let  $A$  be a free additive

abelian group generated by the set of ordered pairs  $(g, \gamma)$ , where  $g \in G$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and let  $B$  be the subgroup of elements  $\sum_i m_i(g_i, \gamma_i) \in A$ , where  $m_i$  are integers such that  $\sum_i m_i g_i(\gamma_i x) = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . Denote by  $[G, \Gamma]$  the factor group  $A/B$  and, without causing any ambiguity, by  $[g, \gamma]$  the coset  $(g, \gamma) + B$ . Every element in  $[G, \Gamma]$  therefore can be expressed as a finite sum  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i]$ .  $[G, \Gamma]$  forms a right  $L$ -module with definition

$$\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] \sum_j x_j \beta_j = \sum_{i,j} [g_i(\gamma_i x_j), \beta_j]$$

for  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] \in [G, \Gamma]$  and  $\sum_j x_j \beta_j \in L$ . It is well-defined, because  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] = \sum_j [g'_j, \gamma'_j]$  means  $\sum_i g_i(\gamma_i x) = \sum_j g'_j(\gamma'_j x)$  for any  $x \in M$ .

To see  $[G, \Gamma] \in \text{ob PGM}(L)$ , let  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i]$  be an element in  $[G, \Gamma]$  such that  $(\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i])L = 0$ , that is,  $(\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i])M\Gamma = 0$ . By the definition,  $\sum_i [g_i(\gamma_i M), \Gamma] = 0$ , which implies  $(\sum_i g_i(\gamma_i M))\Gamma M = 0$ , that is,  $(\sum_i g_i(\gamma_i M))R = 0$ . Since  $G \in \text{ob PGM}(R)$ ,  $\sum_i g_i(\gamma_i M) = 0$ . Hence,  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] = 0$ .

In addition,  $[G, \Gamma]L = [G, \Gamma]M\Gamma = [G\Gamma M, \Gamma] = [GR, \Gamma] = [G, \Gamma]$ . Therefore,  $[G, \Gamma] \in \text{ob PGM}(L)$ . Similarly, for  $U \in \text{ob PGM}(L)$  we can define a right  $R$ -module  $[U, M]$  and show that  $[U, M] \in \text{ob PGM}(R)$ .

An  $R$ -module homomorphism  $f: A_R \rightarrow B_R$  determines an  $L$ -module homomorphism  $g: [A, \Gamma]_L \rightarrow [B, \Gamma]_L$  by

$$g(\sum_i [a_i, \gamma_i]) = \sum_i [f(a_i), \gamma_i].$$

To see that  $g$  is well-defined, let  $\sum_i [a_i, \gamma_i] = 0$ . Then for any  $\sum_j x_j \omega_j \in L$ ,  $\sum_i [f(a_i), \gamma_i] \sum_j x_j \omega_j = \sum_{i,j} [f(a_i)(\gamma_i x_j), \omega_j] = \sum_{i,j} [f(a_i(\gamma_i x_j)), \omega_j] = \sum_j [f(\sum_i a_i(\gamma_i x_j)), \omega_j] = 0$ . Hence,  $\sum_i [f(a_i), \gamma_i] = 0$ .

It is easy to see that  $g$  is an  $L$ -module homomorphism.

Similarly, an  $L$ -module homomorphism  $h: U_L \rightarrow V_L$  determines an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $k: [U, M]_R \rightarrow [V, M]_R$  by  $k(\sum_j [u_j, x_j]) = \sum_j [h(u_j), x_j]$ .

Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be  $R$ -module homomorphisms such that  $f_1: A \rightarrow B$  and  $f_2: B \rightarrow C$ . Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  be  $L$ -module homomorphisms determined by  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  respectively. Then,  $f_2 f_1: A \rightarrow C$  determines an  $L$ -module homomorphism  $p: [A, \Gamma] \rightarrow [C, \Gamma]$  such that  $p = g_2 g_1$ . Indeed, for any  $\sum_i [a_i, \gamma_i] \in [A, \Gamma]$  we have  $p(\sum_i [a_i, \gamma_i]) = \sum_i [f_2 f_1(a_i), \gamma_i] = \sum_i [f_2(f_1(a_i)), \gamma_i] = g_2(\sum_i [f_1(a_i), \gamma_i]) = g_2 g_1(\sum_i [a_i, \gamma_i])$ .

Clearly,  $1_A: A \rightarrow A$  determines  $1_{[A, \Gamma]}: [A, \Gamma] \rightarrow [A, \Gamma]$ . Thus, we have functors  $F: \text{PGM}(R) \rightarrow \text{PGM}(L)$  and  $H: \text{PGM}(L) \rightarrow \text{PGM}(R)$ , where for  $A \in \text{ob PGM}(R)$   $F(A) = [A, \Gamma]$  and for  $U \in \text{ob PGM}(L)$   $H(U)$

$= [U, M]$ .

For any  $A \in \text{ob PGM}(R)$  and  $U \in \text{ob PGM}(L)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} HF(A) &= H([A, \Gamma]) = [[A, \Gamma], M] \\ \text{and } FH(U) &= F([U, M]) = [[U, M], \Gamma]. \end{aligned}$$

Define the mapping  $\eta_A : A = A(\Gamma M) \rightarrow [[A, \Gamma], M]$  by

$$a = \sum_i a_i(\gamma_i x_i) \mapsto \sum_i [[a_i, \gamma_i], x_i].$$

We show that  $\eta_A$  is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} a = \sum_i a_i(\gamma_i x_i) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow (\sum_i a_i(\gamma_i x_i))R = 0 \\ &\quad (\text{By } A \in \text{ob PGM}(R).) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\sum_i a_i(\gamma_i x_i))\Gamma M = 0 \\ &\quad (\text{By } R = \Gamma M.) \\ &\Leftrightarrow [\sum_i a_i(\gamma_i x_i), \Gamma] = 0 \\ &\quad (\text{By the definition that a coset is } 0.) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_i [a_i, \gamma_i](x_i \Gamma) = 0 \\ &\quad (\text{By the definition of an } L\text{-module} \\ &\quad \text{imposed on } [A, \Gamma].) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_i [[a_i, \gamma_i], x_i] = 0 \\ &\quad (\text{By the definition that a coset is } 0.) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\eta_A$  is a bijection of  $A$  onto  $HF(A)$ . It is easy to see that  $\eta_A$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism.

For an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $f : A_R \rightarrow B_R$  and for  $a = \sum_i a_i(\gamma_i x_i) \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} HF(f)\eta_A(a) &= HF(f)\eta_A(\sum_i a_i(\gamma_i x_i)) = HF(f)\sum_i [[a_i, \gamma_i], x_i] \\ &= \sum_i [F(f)([a_i, \gamma_i]), x_i] = \sum_i [[f(a_i), \gamma_i], x_i] \\ &= \eta_B f(a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & HF(A) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow HF(f) \\ B & \xrightarrow{\eta_B} & HF(B) \end{array}$$

Thus,  $HF \cong 1_{\text{PGM}(R)}$ .

Similarly, we have  $FH \cong 1_{\text{PGM}(L)}$ .

This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] N. JACOBSON : Basic Algebra II, Freeman, San Francisco, 1980.
- [ 2 ] N. NOBUSAWA : On duality in  $\Gamma$ -rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **25** (1983), 69–73.
- [ 3 ] N. NOBUSAWA :  $\Gamma$ -rings and Morita equivalence of rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **26** (1984), 151–156.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TOHOKU GAKUIN UNIVERSITY  
TAGAJI, MIYAGI 985, JAPAN

*(Received October 28, 1985)*