

## SOME CONDITIONS FOR COMMUTATIVITY OF RINGS

To Adil Yaqub on his 60th birthday

HISAO TOMINAGA

Let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of the ring  $R (\neq 0)$  with center  $C$ ; let  $N$  denote the set of nilpotent elements in  $R$ ,  $N^*$  the subset of  $N$  consisting of all  $x$  with  $x^2 = 0$ , and  $E$  the set of idempotents in  $R$ . Let  $q > 1$  be a fixed integer. We consider the following conditions :

- (I-A) For each  $x \in R$ , there exists a polynomial  $f(t)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$  such that  $x - x^2 f(x) \in A$ .
- (I'-A) For each  $x \in R$ , either  $x \in C$  or there exists a polynomial  $f(t)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$  such that  $x - x^2 f(x) \in A$ .
- (II-A)<sub>q</sub> If  $x, y \in R$  and  $x - y \in A$ , then either  $x^q = y^q$  or  $x$  and  $y$  both belong to the centralizer  $C_R(A)$  of  $A$  in  $R$ .
- (III-A) For each  $x \in R$  and  $a \in A$ ,  $[[a, x], x] = 0$ .
- (III'-A) For each  $x \in R$  and  $a \in A$ , there exists a positive integer  $m = m(x, a)$  such that  $[a, x]_m = [[a, x]_{m-1}, x] = 0$ .
- (III''-A) For each  $x \in R$  and  $a \in A$ , there exists a positive integer  $n = n(x, a)$  such that  $[[a, x^n], x^n] = 0$  and  $[[a, x^{n+1}], x^{n+1}] = 0$ .

Our objective is to prove the following theorem which is related to a number of recent results by H. Abu-Khuzam, A. Yaqub and the author (see, e. g., [1], [2], [5], and [6]).

**Theorem 1.** *The following statements are equivalent :*

- 1)  $R$  is commutative.
- 2) There exists a commutative subset  $A$  for which  $R$  satisfies (I-A), (II-A)<sub>q</sub> and (III-A).
- 3) There exists a commutative subset  $A$  of  $N$  for which  $R$  satisfies (I'-A) and (III-A).
- 4) There exists a commutative subset  $A$  for which  $R$  satisfies (I-A), (II-A)<sub>q</sub> and (III'-A).
- 5) There exists a commutative subset  $A$  of  $N$  for which  $R$  satisfies (I'-A) and (III'-A).
- 6)  $R$  satisfies (III'-N\*) and there exists a commutative subset  $A$  for

which  $R$  satisfies  $(I-A)$  and  $(II-A)_q$ .

7)  $R$  satisfies  $(III'-N^*)$  and there exists a commutative subset  $A$  of  $N$  for which  $R$  satisfies  $(I'-A)$ .

8) There exists a commutative subset  $A$  for which  $R$  satisfies  $(I-A)$ ,  $(II-A)_q$  and  $(III''-A)$ .

9) There exists a commutative subset  $A$  of  $N$  for which  $R$  satisfies  $(I'-A)$  and  $(III''-A)$ .

In preparation for proving Theorem 1, we state the next lemma.

**Lemma 1.** (1) If  $R$  satisfies  $(I-C)$ , then  $R$  is commutative.

(2) If  $R$  satisfies  $(I'-A)$ , then  $N \subseteq A^+ + C$  and  $N^* \subseteq A \cup C$ , where  $A^+$  is the additive subsemigroup generated by  $A$ .

(3)  $(III-A)$  implies  $(III''-A)$ .

(4) If  $R$  satisfies  $(I'-A)$  and  $(II-A)_q$ , then  $R$  is normal; that is,  $E$  is central.

(5) If  $R$  satisfies  $(I'-A)$  and  $(III''-A)$ , then  $R$  is normal.

(6) If  $A$  is commutative and  $R$  satisfies  $(I'-A)$ , then  $N$  is a commutative nil ideal containing the commutator ideal of  $R$  and is contained in  $C_R(A)$ .

(7) If  $R$  satisfies  $(III'-N^*)$  and there exists a commutative subset  $A$  for which  $R$  satisfies  $(I'-A)$ , then  $R$  satisfies  $(III'-A)$ .

(8) Let  $R$  be a normal, subdirectly irreducible ring. If  $A$  is a commutative subset of  $N$  not contained in  $C$  for which  $R$  satisfies  $(I'-A)$ , then  $R$  is of characteristic  $p^\alpha$ , where  $p$  is a prime.

*Proof.* (1) This is a well-known fact as a theorem of Herstein (see [3]).

(2) See [5, Lemma 1 (2)].

(3) Obviously,  $[[a, x^2], x^2] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $a \in A$ .

(4) See [5, Lemma 1 (4)].

(5) Let  $e \in E$ , and  $a^* \in N^*$ . By (2),  $N^* \subseteq A \cup C$ . This together with  $(III''-A)$  shows that  $[[a^*, e], e] = 0$ . Hence  $e$  is central by [4, Remark 2].

(6) See [5, Lemma 1 (5)].

(7) Let  $x \in R$ , and  $a \in A$ . Since  $[R, R] \subseteq N$  and  $[N, A] = 0$  by (6), we see that  $[a, x]^2 = [a, x](ax - xa) = a[ax, x] - [ax, x]a = 0$ . Thus, by  $(III'-N^*)$ , there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $0 = [[a, x], x]_m = [a, x]_{m+1}$ .

(8) See [5, Lemma 2].

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Obviously, 1) implies 2)–9). By [6, Theorem 1], each of 4) and 5) implies 1). Furthermore, by Lemma 1 (3) and (7), 2), 3), 6) and 7) imply 8), 9), 4) and 5), respectively.

8)  $\Rightarrow$  1). We may assume that  $R$  is subdirectly irreducible. According to Lemma 1 (1) and (I-A), it suffices to show that  $A \subseteq C$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that there exist  $a \in A$  and  $x \in R$  such that  $[a, x] \neq 0$ . By (I-A) and (II-A) $_q$ ,  $x^q = (x^2 f(x))^q$  with some  $f(t) \in Z[t]$ . Since  $x \notin N$  by Lemma 1 (2), Lemma 1 (4) shows that  $e = (xf(x))^q$  is a non-zero central idempotent, and hence  $e = 1$  and  $x$  is invertible. By (I-A), we can find a non-zero integer  $k$  such that  $k = k \cdot 1 \in A$ . Obviously,  $[a, x + ik] \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Hence, by (II-A) $_q$ , every  $x + ik$  is a zero of the polynomial  $(t+k)^q - t^q$ . Note here that  $\bar{R} = R/N$  is a subdirect sum of commutative integral domains (Lemma 1 (6)). Then, we can easily see that  $q! k^q \in N$ , and so  $h \cdot 1 = 0$  for some positive integer  $h$ . This implies that  $R$  is of characteristic  $p^\alpha$ ,  $p$  a prime. Then we can easily see that  $\langle x \rangle$  is a finite local ring; hence  $\bar{x} = x + N$  generates a finite subfield of  $\bar{R}$ :  $\langle \bar{x} \rangle = GF(p^\beta)$ . By (III''-A), there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $[[a, x^n], x^n] = 0 = [[a, x^{n+1}], x^{n+1}]$ . Since  $(\bar{x}^n)^{p^{\alpha n}} = \bar{x}^n$  and  $[A, N] = 0$  by Lemma 1 (6), we see that  $[a, x^n] = [a, (x^n)^{p^{\alpha n}}] = p^{\alpha \beta} (x^n)^{p^{\alpha n} - 1} [a, x^n] = 0$ . Similarly,  $0 = [a, x^{n+1}] = x^n [a, x] + [a, x^n] x = x^n [a, x]$ , and hence  $[a, x] = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

9)  $\Rightarrow$  1). We may assume that  $R$  is subdirectly irreducible. As above, suppose that there exist  $a \in A$  and  $x \in R$  such that  $[a, x] \neq 0$ . Since  $x \notin N$  by Lemma 1 (2), there exists a non-zero idempotent  $e$  in  $x\langle x \rangle$ , by (I'-A). Since the subdirectly irreducible ring  $R$  is normal by Lemma 1 (5), we get  $e = 1$ , and therefore  $x$  is invertible. Furthermore, by Lemma 1 (8),  $R$  is of characteristic  $p^\alpha$  ( $p$  a prime), and  $\langle x \rangle$  is a finite local ring. Thus we can repeat the above argument to see that  $[a, x] = 0$ . This contradiction proves that  $R$  is commutative (Lemma 1 (1)).

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. ABU-KHUZAM : A commutativity theorem for periodic rings, *Math. Japonica*, to appear.
- [2] H. ABU-KHUZAM and A. YAQUB : Some conditions for commutativity of rings with constraints on nilpotent elements, *Math. Japonica* 24 (1980), 549–551.
- [3] I. N. HERSTEIN : The structure of certain class of rings, *Amer. J. Math.* 75 (1953), 864–871.
- [4] Y. HIRANO, H. TOMINAGA and A. YAQUB : On rings satisfying the identity  $(x+x^2+\dots+x^n)^m = 0$ , *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 25 (1983), 13–18.
- [5] H. TOMINAGA and A. YAQUB : Some commutativity properties for rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 25 (1983), 81–86.

- [ 6 ] H. TOMINAGA and A. YAQUB : Some commutativity properties for rings. II, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **25** (1983), 173–179.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

*(Received June 9, 1986)*