## SOME INTEGRAL FORMULAS FOR RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

## Макото YAWATA

1. Introduction. Let (M, g) be a compact n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with positive definite metric tensor  $g = (g_{ij})$  and  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection. By  $R = (R_{hij}{}^k)$ ,  $\rho(R) = (R_{ij})$  and  $\tau(R) = R$ , we denote the Riemannian curvature tensor, the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature, respectively.

In his paper [2], T. Sakai obtained the following integral formula:

$$(1. 1) \int_{\mathbf{R}} K d\mathbf{M} = \int_{\mathbf{R}} (\|(\nabla \tau)(\mathbf{R})\|^2 - 4\|(\nabla \rho)(\mathbf{R})\|^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{R}\|^2) d\mathbf{M},$$

where dM is the volume element of (M, g) and

(1. 2) 
$$K = 4 R^{hi} R_{h}{}^{a} R_{ia} - 4 R^{hi} R^{jk} R_{hjkl} - 2 R^{ab} R_{ahij} R_{b}{}^{hij} - 4 R^{hijh} R_{aikb} - R^{hijh} R_{hiab} R_{jk}{}^{ab}.$$

In this note, we define a generalized curvature tensor field  $T = (T_{hij}^{k})$  by

(1.3) 
$$T_{hijk} = -\frac{1}{4} \left( R_h{}^a R_{aijk} + R_i{}^a R_{hajk} + R_j{}^a R_{hiak} + R_k{}^a R_{hija} \right) + R^a{}_{hi}{}^b R_{jkab} - R^a{}_{hj}{}^b R_{aikb} + R^a{}_{ij}{}^b R_{ahkb}.$$

where  $T_{hijk} = T_{hij}{}^a g_{ak}$ . Then, as for T, we shall prove the following theorems.

Theorem A. Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold and C the 3-dimensional Weyl's conformal curvature tensor field. Then the following formula holds:

$$2\int_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\mathbf{C},\ \mathbf{T}\right)\ d\mathbf{M} = \int_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\parallel(\ \nabla\tau)(\mathbf{R})\parallel^2 - 4\parallel(\ \nabla\rho)(\mathbf{R})\parallel^2 + \parallel\ \nabla\mathbf{R}\parallel^2\right)\ d\mathbf{M}.$$

Theorem B. Let (M, g) be a locally symmetric Riemannian manifold. Then the generalized curvature tensor field T is identically zero.

**Theorem C.** Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold. If the Ricci curvature tensor satisfies the Codazzi equation  $\nabla_h R_{ij} = \nabla_i R_{hj}$ , then we

have

$$\int_{\mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{R}, \ \mathbf{T}) \ d\mathbf{M} \ge 0.$$

The equality holds on M if (M, g) is a locally symmetric Riemannian manifold.

2. Notations and definitions. We denote the contravariant components of g by  $g^{\mathcal{U}}$  and follow the summation convention for repeated indices. Let ( , ) denote inner product and  $\| \ \|$  norm.

The Riemannian curvature tensor  $R = (R_{hij}{}^k)$  is defined by  $R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z$  for vector fields X, Y and Z and  $R(\partial_h, \partial_i)\partial_j = R_{hij}{}^k\partial_k$ . Let us denote the covariant components of R by  $R_{hijk} = g(R(\partial_h, \partial_i)\partial_j, \partial_k)$ . Then the components of the Ricci curvature tensor  $\rho(R)$  and the scalar curvature  $\tau(R)$  are given, respectively, by  $R_{ij} = g^{ab}R_{aljb}$  and  $R = g^{ab}R_{ab}$ .

A (1, 3)-tensor field  $\mathbf{D} = (D_{hij}^{k})$  is called a generalized curvature tensor field if it satisfies the conditions:

(2. 1) 
$$D_{hij}{}^{k} = -D_{ihj}{}^{k},$$

$$(2. 1) \qquad (2) \quad D_{hijk} = -D_{hikj} \quad (D_{hijk} = D_{hij}{}^{a}g_{ak}),$$

$$(3) \quad D_{hij}{}^{k} + D_{ijh}{}^{k} + D_{jhi}{}^{k} = 0$$

We denote the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature associated with  $\mathbf{D}$  by  $\rho(\mathbf{D}) = (D_{ij}) = (g^{ab}D_{aijb})$  and  $\tau(\mathbf{D}) = D = g^{ab}D_{ab}$ , respectively. By virtue of the first Bianchi identity, we can find that  $\mathbf{T}$  has the properties  $(1) \sim (3)$  in (2, 1). The Ricci curvature tensor  $\rho(\mathbf{T}) = (T_{ij})$  and the scalar curvature  $\tau(\mathbf{T}) = T$  associated with the tensor field  $\mathbf{T}$  are given, respectively, by

(2. 2) 
$$T_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (R^{ab} R_{aijb} - R_i{}^a R_{aj}), \qquad T = 0.$$

If we define the (1, 3)-tensor fields  $E = (E_{hij}^{k})$  and  $F = (F_{hij}^{k})$ , in the covariant form, by

(2.3) 
$$E_{hijk} = -\frac{1}{4} (R_h{}^a R_{aijk} + R_i{}^a R_{hajk} + R_j{}^a R_{hiak} + R_k{}^a R_{hija}),$$
$$F_{hijk} = R_{hi}{}^b R_{jkab} - R_{hi}{}^b R_{gikb} + R_{ij}{}^b R_{gikb}.$$

then the tensor fields E and F are also generalized curvature tensor fields. Obviously, T = E + F. The Ricci curvature tensors  $\rho(E) = (E_{ij})$  and  $\rho(F) = (F_{ij})$  are given, respectively, by

(2.4) 
$$E_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} (R^{ab}R_{aijb} + R_i^a R_{aj}),$$
$$F_{ij} = R^{ab}R_{aijb},$$

and the scalar curvatures  $\tau(E)=E$  and  $\tau(F)=F$  are given by

$$(2. 5) F = -E = R^{ab}R_{ab}.$$

3. Proof of the theorems. Transvecting (1, 3) with  $R^{hBk}$  and using the first Bianchi identity, we obtain

$$(3.1) 2(T, R) = -R^{hijk}R_{hiab}R_{jk}^{ab} - 4R^{hijk}R_{ahjb}R^{a}_{ik}^{b} - 2R^{ab}R_{aijk}R_{b}^{ijk}.$$

and transvecting (2. 2) with  $R^{u}$ , we have

$$(3, 2) 2(\rho(T), \rho(R)) = R^{hl}R^{jk}R_{hjkl} - R_{l}{}^{a}R_{aj}R^{ij}.$$

Substituting (3. 1) and (3. 2) into (1. 2), we can find that K is written as

(3.3) 
$$K = 2(T, R) - 8(\rho(T), \rho(R)).$$

On the other hand, the covariant components of the 3-dimensional Weyl's conformal curvature tensor field  $C = (C_{hij}^k)$  are given by

(3.4) 
$$C_{hijk} = R_{hijk} - (g_{hk}R_{ij} - g_{ik}R_{hj} + R_{hk}g_{ij} - R_{ik}g_{hj}) + \frac{R}{2}(g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{ik}g_{hj})$$

Transvecting (3.4) with  $T^{hUk}$  and using (2.2), we have

(3. 5) 
$$(C, T) = (R, T) - 4(\rho(R), \rho(T)).$$

By (3. 3), K is rewritten as K = 2(C, T). Thus, we obtain Theorem A. Next, we define a (0, 6)-tensor field  $H = (H_{hijk,pq})$  by

$$(3. 6) H_{hijk,\rho q} = -(\nabla_{\rho} \nabla_{q} R_{hijk} - \nabla_{q} \nabla_{\rho} R_{hijk}).$$

Then,  $H_{hijk,pq}$  is expressed in the form

$$(3.7) H_{hijk,pq} = R_{pqh}{}^a R_{aijk} + R_{pqi}{}^a R_{hajk} + R_{pqj}{}^a R_{hijk} + R_{pqi}{}^a R_{hija},$$

By contracting h with q in (3, 7) and using (2, 3), we have

(3.8) 
$$H^{a}_{ijk,pa} = R_{p}{}^{a}R_{aijk} - F_{jkpi}.$$

220 M. YAWATA

If  $(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{g})$  is a locally symmetric manifold, then the equation  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{O}$  holds. By (3. 8), we have  $R_h{}^a R_{aijk} = F_{hijk}$ . Substituting this equation into the first equation in (2. 3), we have  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{O}$ . Thus, we obtain theorem B.

Finally, we shall prove Theorem C. The following integral formula is well known (cf.  $\lceil 1 \rceil$ ):

$$(3. 9) \qquad 2 \int_{\mathbf{M}} (\nabla_{h} R_{ij} - \nabla_{i} R_{hj}) (\nabla^{h} R^{ij} - \nabla^{i} R^{hj}) - R^{hijk} H^{a}_{ijk,ha} d\mathbf{M}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbf{M}} ||\nabla R||^{2} d\mathbf{M}$$

where dM is the volume element of (M, g). On the other hand, by (3.8), we have

(3. 10) 
$$R^{hijk}H^a_{ijk,ha} = -R^{hijk}T_{hijk}$$

Therefore, if the Ricci curvature tensor  $\rho(\mathbf{R}) = (R_{ij})$  satisfies the condition  $\nabla_h R_{ij} = \nabla_i R_{hj}$ , then the formula (3. 9) can be reduced to

(3. 11) 
$$2 \int (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T}) d\mathbf{M} = \int_{\mathbf{M}} ||\nabla \mathbf{R}||^2 d\mathbf{M}.$$

Theorem C is an immediate consequence of (3. 11).

## REFERENCES

- A. LICHNEROWICZ: Geometry of groups of transformations, Noordhoff international publising, 1977.
- [2] T. SAKAI: On eigenvalues of Laplacian and curvature of Riemannian manifold, Tôhoku Math. J., 23 (1971), 589-603.
- [3] K. Yano: Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces, Pergamon Press,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHIBA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
NARASHINO, 275, JAPAN

(Received April 1, 1985)