

HADAMARD MATRICES OF BUSH TYPE

NOBORU ITO and JUDITH Q. LONGYEAR

In [1] Bush suggested a method for constructing a Hadamard matrix of order n using a Hadamard matrix of order $\frac{1}{2}n-2$ and a skew Hadamard matrix of $\frac{1}{4}n+1$, where $n \equiv 12 \pmod{16}$. A Hadamard matrix of order n constructed by the method of Bush will be called a Hadamard matrix of Bush type of order n .

The purpose of this note is to prove two propositions on Hadamard matrices of Bush type of order n .

For basic facts on Hadamard matrices see [2].

1. Introduction. We want to construct a Hadamard matrix of order $n = 16u+12$ under certain "inductive" assumptions, where u is a non-negative integer. Obviously it suffices to construct a symmetric $2-(16u+11, 8u+5, 4u+2)$ design $D = (P, B)$, where $P = \{1, 2, \dots, 16u+11\}$ and B denote the sets of points and blocks of D respectively.

We make the following "inductive" assumptions: (1) There exists a Hadamard matrix L of order $8u+4$, and (2) there exists a skew Hadamard matrix R of order $4u+4$. Put $L = (\lambda(i))$, $1 \leq i \leq 8u+4$, where $\lambda(i)$ denotes the i -th row vector of L and we may assume that $\lambda(1)$ is the all one vector. Let $L(\lambda(1)) = (P(\ell), B(\ell))$ be the Hadamard 3-design associated with L at $\lambda(1)$. We put $P(\ell) = \{1, 2, \dots, 8u+4\}$ so that the block $\sigma(i)$ of $L(\lambda(1))$ corresponding to $\lambda(i)$ contains the point j if and only if the j -th component of $\lambda(i)$ equals 1, where $2 \leq i \leq 8u+4$ and $\sigma(i)^* = P(\ell) - \sigma(i)$ is also a block of $L(\lambda(1))$, for $2 \leq i \leq 8u+4$. Clearly we have that $\sigma(i) \cap \sigma(i)^* = \emptyset$ and $|\sigma(i) \cap \sigma(j)| = |\sigma(i) \cap \sigma(j)^*| = 2u+1$ for $i \neq j$.

We pick up any $4u+3$ distinct disjoint block pairs from the $\{\sigma(i), \sigma(i)^*\}$, $2 \leq i \leq 8u+4$. For simplicity of notation we denote them by $\{\sigma(i), \sigma(i)^*\}$, $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$. This configuration \mathfrak{Q} consists of $8u+6$ blocks of size $4u+2$.

Next we may assume that R is in a skew-normalized skew form :

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & & & & \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & & \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & & \\ \cdot & & & & \cdot & \\ -1 & & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} = (\rho(i)), \text{ where } \rho(i) \text{ denotes}$$

the i -th row vector of R , $1 \leq i \leq 4u+4$. we label the j -th column of R by $8u+2j+2$, for $2 \leq j \leq 4u+4$, and notice that the first column is still labelled 1.

Let $D(r) = (P(r), B(r))$ be a symmetric $2-(4u+3, 2u+2, u+1)$ design which is the complement of the symmetric $2-(4u+3, 2u+1, u)$ design associated with R at $\rho(1)$ punctured at 1. We put $P(r) = \{8u+6, 8u+8, \dots, 16u+10\}$ so that the block $\tau(i)$ of $D(r)$ corresponding to $\rho(i)$ contains the point $8u+2j+2$ if and only if the j -th component of $\rho(i)$ equals -1 ($2 \leq i, j \leq 4u+4$). Let us define a mapping T from $B(r)$ to $P(r)$ by $\tau(i)T = 8u+2i+2$, for $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$. Then by the skew property of R we have that $\tau(i)T \in \tau(i)$ and that $\tau(i)T \in \tau(j)$ if and only if $\tau(j)T \notin \tau(i)$ for $i \neq j$.

Now we are going to double points and blocks of $D(r)$ as follows. The block $\tau(i)$ will be developed into two blocks $\tau(i1)$ and $\tau(i2)$, $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$. If $8u+2j+2 \in \tau(i)$ and $i \neq j$, then both $\tau(i1)$ and $\tau(i2)$ contain both $8u+2j+2$ and $8u+2j+3$. If $i = j$, then $\tau(i1)$ contains only $8u+2i+2$ and $\tau(i2)$ contains only $8u+2i+3$. Then clearly we have that $|\tau(i1) \cap \tau(i2)| = 4u+2$, for $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$. Moreover, since $|\tau(i) \cap \tau(j)| = u+1$ and since $\tau(i)T \in \tau(j)$ if and only if $\tau(j)T \notin \tau(i)$ for $i \neq j$, we have that $|\tau(ik) \cap \tau(j\ell)| = 2u+1$ for $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq k, \ell \leq 2$. In this way we get a configuration \mathfrak{R} consisting of $8u+6$ blocks of size $4u+3 = 1+2(2u+1)$.

Finally we match \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{R} together in any possible way under the condition that $\{\sigma(i), \sigma(i)^*\}$ and $\{\tau(j1), \tau(j2)\}$ should be matched if a member of $\{\sigma(i), \sigma(i)^*\}$ is matched together with a member of $\{\tau(j1), \tau(j2)\}$. For simplicity of notation we assume that $\sigma(i)$ and $\tau(i1)$, and hence $\sigma(i)^*$ and $\tau(i2)$, are matched together, $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$.

Put $\alpha(1) = P(\ell) \cup \{8u+5\}$, $\alpha(2i-2) = \sigma(i) \cup \tau(i1)$ and $\alpha(2i-1) = \sigma(i)^* \cup \tau(i2)$, for $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$. Then it is easy to see that $|\alpha(i)| = 8u+5$, $1 \leq i \leq 8u+7$ and $|\alpha(i) \cap \alpha(j)| = 4u+2$ for $i \neq j$.

So the configuration $\mathfrak{P} = (P, \{\alpha(i)\}, 1 \leq i \leq 8u+7)$ is possibly a portion of a symmetric $2-(16u+11, 8u+5, 4u+2)$ design.

Now we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *A necessary and sufficient condition for \mathfrak{P} to be completed to a symmetric $2-(16u+11, 8u+5, 4u+2)$ design can be stated as follows.*

There exist $8u+4$ subsets $\mu(j)$ of size $4u+2$, $1 \leq j \leq 8u+4$, of $\alpha(1)$,

called blocks again, such that $D(\ell) = (\alpha(1), \{\sigma(i), \sigma(i)^*, 1 \leq i \leq 4u+3, \mu(j), 1 \leq j \leq 8u+4\})$ forms a $2-(8u+5, 4u+2, 4u+1, 16u+10, 8u+4)$ design, where the five parameters correspond to the usual notation v, k, λ, b and r respectively, with the following three conditions :

(1) Put $\bar{\sigma}(i) = \sigma(i)$ or $\sigma(i)^*$, $1 \leq i \leq 4u+3$. Then with any fixed $\bar{\sigma}(i)$ one half of the $\mu(k)$ intersects in $2u+1$ points and the other half of the $\mu(k)$ intersects in $2u$ points.

(2) With each of any fixed $\bar{\sigma}(i)$ and $\bar{\sigma}(j)$ for $i \neq j$ one quarter of the $\mu(k)$ intersects in $2u+1$ points and another quarter of the $\mu(k)$ intersects in $2u$ points.

(3) Let a be a point such that $1 \leq a \leq 8u+4$. If a belongs to $\bar{\sigma}(i)$, then exactly $2u$ of the $\mu(k)$ which intersects with $\bar{\sigma}(i)$ in $2u$ points contain a . If a does not belong to $\bar{\sigma}(i)$, then exactly $2u+1$ of the $\mu(k)$ which intersects with $\bar{\sigma}(i)$ in $2u$ points contain a .

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that \mathfrak{B} is completed to a symmetric $2-(16u+11, 8u+5, 4u+2)$ design D . New blocks will be denoted by $\alpha(i)$, for $8u+8 \leq i \leq 16u+11$. Put $\mu(i-8u-7) = \alpha(1) \cap \alpha(i)$ for $8u+8 \leq i \leq 16u+11$. Then $D(\ell) = (\alpha(1), \{\sigma(i), \sigma(i)^*, 1 \leq i \leq 4u+1, \mu(j), 1 \leq j \leq 8u+4\})$ is a $2-(8u+5, 4u+2, 4u+1, 16u+10, 8u+4)$ design. In fact, let a and b be any two distinct points of $\alpha(1)$. Then a belongs to $8u+5$ blocks of D including $\alpha(1)$ and $\{a, b\}$ is contained in $4u+2$ blocks of D including $\alpha(1)$. Hence a belongs to $8u+4$ blocks of $D(\ell)$ and $\{a, b\}$ is contained in $4u+1$ blocks of $D(\ell)$. So we have only to check three conditions (1), (2) and (3) on $D(\ell)$.

If $\alpha(8u+7+k)$, $1 \leq k \leq 8u+4$, contains both $8u+2i+2$ and $8u+2i+3$, where $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$, or if it contains neither $8u+2i+2$ nor $8u+2i+3$, then $\alpha(8u+7+k) \cap \tau(i1) = \alpha(8u+7+k) \cap \tau(i2)$. Put $|\alpha(8u+7+k) \cap \tau(i1)| = x$. Then $4u+2 = |\alpha(2i-2) \cap \alpha(8u+7+k)| = |\sigma(i) \cap \mu(k)| + x = |\alpha(2i-1) \cap \alpha(8u+7+k)| = |\sigma(i)^* \cap \mu(k)| + x$. Every $\mu(k)$ contains the point $8u+5$. So $|\sigma(i) \cap \mu(k)| + |\sigma(i)^* \cap \mu(k)| = 4u+1$. Hence we have a contradiction that $4u+3 = 2x$. Thus we have that $||\alpha(8u+7+k) \cap \tau(i1)| - |\alpha(8u+7+k) \cap \tau(i2)|| = 1$, and that $|\sigma(i) \cap \mu(k)| = 2u$ or $2u+1$. Let E and F be the numbers of the $\mu(k)$ such that $|\sigma(i) \cap \mu(k)| = 2u$ and $2u+1$ respectively. Since every point of $\sigma(i)$ belongs to $4u+1$ of the $\mu(k)$, we have that $(4u+2)(4u+1) = 2uE + (2u+1)F$. Then E is a multiple of $2u+1$ and this fact implies that $E = F = 4u+2$ proving (1).

We notice that $|\alpha(8u+7+k) \cap \sigma(i)| = 2u+1$ if and only if $8u+2i+2 \notin \alpha(8u+7+k)$. Let $2 \leq i \neq j \leq 4u+4$. Then, since $D(r)$ is a symmetric $2-(4u+3, 2u+2, u+1)$ design, there exist $2(u+1)-1 = 2u+1$ of the $\tau(\ell 1)$ and $\tau(\ell 2)$ containing the points $8u+2i+2$ and $8u+2j+2$. So $4u+2-(2u+1) = 2u+1$ of the $\alpha(8u+7+k)$ contain the points $8u+2i+2$ and $8u+2j+2$, proving (2).

Let $a \in \sigma(i)$, for $1 \leq a \leq 8u+4$. Now there exist exactly $2(2u+2)-1 = 4u+3$ of the $\tau(jk)$ containing the point $8u+2i+2$. So there exist exactly $(2u+1)+1 = 2u+2$ of the $\alpha(\ell)$ with $\ell \leq 8u+7$ containing both a and $8u+2i+2$. Hence there exist exactly $4u+2-(2u+2) = 2u$ of the $\alpha(\ell)$ with $\ell \geq 8u+8$ containing both a and $8u+2i+2$. These are the blocks $\alpha(\ell)$ with $\ell \geq 8u+8$ intersecting with $\sigma(i)$ in $2u$ points. The rest is similar. This proves (3).

Sufficiency. Suppose that we have a $2-(8u+5, 4u+2, 4u+1, 16u+10, 8u+4)$ design $D(\ell)$ satisfying (1), (2) and (3).

Clearly $\mu(k)$ contains the point $8u+5$, for $1 \leq k \leq 8u+4$. Since $\sigma(i) \cup \sigma(i)^* = \alpha(1) - \{8u+5\}$, we have that $|\sigma(i) \cap \mu(k)| = 2u+1$ or $2u$ according as $|\sigma(i)^* \cap \mu(k)| = 2u$ or $2u+1$ respectively, for $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$ and $1 \leq k \leq 8u+4$.

We form a configuration consisting of $8u+4$ blocks $\{\nu(1), \dots, \nu(8u+4)\}$ of size $4u+3$ based on the set of points $\{8u+6, 8u+7, \dots, 16u+11\}$. $\nu(k)$ contains the point $8u+2+2i$ or $8u+3+2i$ according as $|\sigma(i) \cap \mu(k)| = 2u$ or $|\sigma(i)^* \cap \mu(k)| = 2u$ respectively, for $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$ and $1 \leq k \leq 8u+4$. Since $\nu(k)$ contains exactly one point of $\{8u+2+2i, 8u+3+2i\}$ for each i , such that $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$, the size of $\nu(k)$ equals $4u+3$.

We put $\alpha(8u+7+j) = \mu(j) \cup \nu(j)$, for $1 \leq j \leq 8u+4$, and let $B = \{\alpha(1), \alpha(2), \dots, \alpha(16u+11)\}$. Then we show that $D = (P, B)$ is a symmetric $2-(16u+11, 8u+5, 4u+2)$ design.

First we show that D is a 1-design. Let a be a point. If $1 \leq a \leq 8u+5$, then, since $D(\ell)$ has replication number $8u+4$ and since a belongs to $\alpha(1)$, a belongs to $(8u+4)+1 = 8u+5$ blocks of B . So let $8u+6 \leq a \leq 16u+11$. Now every point of $D(r)$ belongs to $2u+2$ blocks. One of these blocks say $\tau(i)$, contains a or $a-1$ as $\tau(i)T$. So there exists $2(2u+1)+1 = 4u+3$ blocks $\alpha(i)$ with $i \leq 8u+7$ containing a . Now by assumption (1) on $D(\ell)$ there exist exactly $4u+2$ of the $\mu(k)$ such that $|\sigma(i) \cap \mu(k)| = 2u$ or $|\sigma(i)^* \cap \mu(k)| = 2u$, according as a is even or odd respectively. So there exist $4u+2$ blocks $\alpha(i)$ with $i \leq 8u+8$ containing a .

Next we show that D is a 2-design. Let a and b be two distinct points.

If $1 \leq a, b \leq 8u+5$, then since $D(\ell)$ is a $2-(8u+5, 4u+2, 4u+1, 16u+10, 8u+4)$ design and since both a and b belong to $\alpha(1)$, a and b belong to $(4u+1)+1 = 4u+2$ blocks of B . Let $8u+6 \leq a, b \leq 16u+11$. If $\{a, b\} = \{8u+6, 8u+7\}, \{8u+8, 8u+9\}, \dots$, or $\{16u+10, 16u+11\}$, then we may assume that a is even. Only blocks $\alpha(i)$ with $2 \leq i \leq 8u+7$ may contain $\{a, b\}$. Since the replication number of $D(r)$ is $2u+2$, and since a appears in exactly one of the $\tau(i)$ as $\tau(i)T$, $\{a, b\}$ is contained in $2(2u+2-1) = 4u+2$ blocks of B . If $\{a, b\} \neq \{8u+6+2i, 8u+7+2i\}, 0 \leq i \leq 4u+2$, then it suffices to consider the case where a and b are even. Then $\{a, b\}$ is contained in exactly $u+1$ blocks of $D(r)$. By the skew property of T exactly one of these blocks of $D(r)$, say $\tau(j)$, contains a or b as $\tau(j)T$. So exactly $1+2(u+1-1) = 2u+1$ blocks $\alpha(i)$ with $i \leq 8u+7$ contain $\{a, b\}$. By assumption (2) on $D(\ell)$ and by the definition of $\nu(k)$, exactly $2u+1$ of the $\nu(k)$ contain $\{a, b\}$. So exactly $2u+1$ blocks $\alpha(i)$ with $i \geq 8u+8$ contain $\{a, b\}$. Finally let $1 \leq a \leq 8u+5$ and $8u+5$ and $8u+6 \leq b \leq 16u+11$. If $a = 8u+5$, then a belongs to all of the $\mu(k)$, $1 \leq k \leq 8u+4$. By assumption (3) on $D(\ell)$, b belongs to exactly $4u+2$ of the $\mu(k)$. So $\{a, b\}$ is contained in exactly $4u+2$ blocks of B . Thus we may assume that $1 \leq a \leq 8u+4$. Again we may assume that b is even. Now b belongs to exactly $2u+2$ blocks of $D(r)$ and only one of these blocks, say $\tau(k)$, contain b as $\tau(k)T$. Therefore $2u+1$ pairs of blocks $\tau(ij)$ contain b , and $\tau(k1)$, not $\tau(k2)$, contains b . So if a belongs to $\sigma(k)$, then exactly $2u+1$ blocks $\alpha(i)$ with $i \leq 8u+7$ contain $\{a, b\}$. But if a belongs to $\sigma(k)^*$, then exactly $2u$ blocks $\alpha(i)$ with $i \leq 8u+7$ contain $\{a, b\}$. Then by assumption (3) on $D(\ell)$ exactly $2u$ or $2u+1$ blocks $\alpha(i)$ with $i \geq 8u+8$ contain $\{a, b\}$ according as a belongs to $\sigma(1)$ or $\sigma(1)^*$. This completes the proof.

Definition. We call a symmetric $2-(16u+11, 8u+5, 4u+2)$ design D thus constructed a Hadamard design of Bush type. Furthermore we call a Hadamard matrix of order $16u+12$ associated with D a Hadamard matrix of Bush type.

Remark 1. The main point of proposition 1 is the fact that the construction of a Hadamard matrix of Bush type of order $16u+12$ is reduced to the construction of a $2-(8u+5, 4u+2, 4u+1, 16u+10, 8u+4)$ design satisfying (1), (2) and (3) for which $8u+6$ blocks are predetermined.

Remark 2. There exists some freedom to construct Hadamard matri-

ces of Bush type of order $16u+12$: (i) The choice of a Hadamard matrix H of order $8u+4$; (ii) The choice of $4u+4$ rows from H ; (iii) The choice of a skew Hadamard matrix of order $4u+4$; (iv) The choice of the mapping T ; (v) The choice of $2-(8u+5, 4u+2, 4u+1, 16u+10, 8u+4)$ design and (vi) The choice of the matching between \mathfrak{L} and \mathfrak{R} .

Remark 3. For $u = 0$ it is very easy to write down a design of Bush type : $\alpha(1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $\alpha(2) = \{1, 2, 6, 10, 11\}$, $\alpha(3) = \{3, 4, 7, 10, 11\}$, $\alpha(4) = \{1, 3, 6, 7, 8\}$, $\alpha(5) = \{2, 4, 6, 7, 9\}$, $\alpha(6) = \{1, 4, 8, 9, 10\}$, $\alpha(7) = \{2, 3, 8, 9, 11\}$, $\alpha(8) = \{1, 5, 7, 9, 11\}$, $\alpha(9) = \{2, 5, 7, 8, 10\}$, $\alpha(10) = \{3, 5, 6, 9, 10\}$, and $\alpha(11) = \{4, 5, 6, 8, 11\}$. For $u = 1$ there are more than ten inequivalent Hadamard matrices of Bush type.

2. The purpose of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *The transpose of a Hadamard matrix of Bush type is of Bush type. More precisely, the dual of a Hadamard design of Bush type is of Bush type.*

Proof. We use the notation in the proof of Proposition 1, and consider the dual D^a of the Hadamard design of Bush type in § 1, $D = (P, B)$. It will suffice to recognize in D^a a configuration similar to $\mathfrak{P} = (P, \{\alpha(i)\}, 1 \leq i \leq 8u+7)$.

Let $\beta(i)$ be the set of blocks of B containing the point i of P , $1 \leq i \leq 16u+11$. Let P^a and B^a denote the sets of points and blocks of D^a respectively. Then $P^a = \{\alpha(i), 1 \leq i \leq 16u+11\}$ and $B^a = \{\beta(i), 1 \leq i \leq 16u+11\}$.

Now the point $\alpha(1)$, the set of points $\alpha(i)$ with $8u+8 \leq i \leq 16u+11$ and the block $\beta(8u+5) = \{\alpha(1), \alpha(i) \text{ with } 8u+8 \leq i \leq 16u+11\}$ play the roles of the point $8u+5$, $P(\emptyset)$ and the block $\alpha(1)$ in D , respectively.

Furthermore, $\beta(8u+5) \cap \beta(8u+2i)$ and $\beta(8u+5) \cap \beta(8u+2i+1)$, where $3 \leq i \leq 4u+5$, correspond to $\sigma(i) = \alpha(1) \cap \alpha(2i-2)$ and $\sigma(i)^* = \alpha(1) \cap \alpha(2i-1)$, where $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$, respectively. Lastly $(P(r))^a = \{\alpha(2j), 1 \leq j \leq 4u+3\}$, $(P(r))^a \cap \beta(8u+2i)$ and T^a defined by $((P(r))^a \cap \beta(8u+2i))T^a = \alpha(2i-4)$, where $3 \leq i \leq 4u+5$, correspond to $P(r)$; $\tau(i)$ and T respectively, where $2 \leq i \leq 4u+4$.

The rest may be checked without difficulty.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. A. BUSH: On Hadamard embeddability, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* **29** (1980), 39–52.
- [2] M. HALL, JR.: *Combinatorial Theory*, Blaisdell, 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
KONAN UNIVERSITY
KOBE 658, JAPAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48202, U. S. A.

(Received May 25, 1985)