

ON COMPLETELY TORSIONFREE MODULES

Dedicated to Professor Hirosi Nagao on his 60th birthday

HISAO KATAYAMA

In this paper we shall consider some module theoretic generalizations of completely torsionfree rings ([4, p.91]), and study those rings whose modules are completely torsionfree (CTF). We refer for the definitions and basic properties concerning preradicals and torsion theories to [8, Chap. VI].

All rings occurring are associative and possess an identity element. All modules are unitary left modules. Let R be a ring. We write ${}_R M$ to indicate that M is an object in the category $R\text{-mod}$ of all left R -modules. We denote by $E(A)$ the injective hull of ${}_R A$. A module M is said to be strongly prime (SP) if, for each left exact preradical σ for $R\text{-mod}$, either $\sigma(M) = 0$ or $\sigma(M) = M$. Also a module M is said to be 1SP if $\sigma(M) = 0$ for every proper left exact preradical σ for $R\text{-mod}$. SP-modules was studied in Beachy and Blair [1] and 1SP-modules was studied in [4, p.114].

Now, we shall define completely torsionfree modules as follows :

Definition. A module M is called *completely torsionfree* (CTF) if, for each left exact radical ρ for $R\text{-mod}$, either $\rho(M) = 0$ or $\rho(M) = M$. Further, M is called 1CTF (resp. 0CTF) if $\rho(M) = 0$ (resp. $\rho(M) = M$) for every proper (resp. nonzero) left exact radical ρ for $R\text{-mod}$.

Remark 1. (1) Let ρ be a preradical for $R\text{-mod}$. Then $\rho(R) = R$ if and only if $\rho = 1$, where 1 stands for the identity functor for $R\text{-mod}$. Hence a ring R is left CTF if and only if ${}_R R$ is 1CTF. Such a ring is also called left HRF in [2, p.160]. On the other hand, ${}_R R$ is 0CTF if and only if there exist only two left exact radicals for $R\text{-mod}$ (i.e. R is left HRT ([2, p. 160])), or equivalently R is left ChC in the sense that, for all nonzero cyclic left R -modules C_1 and C_2 , $\text{Hom}_R(C_1, C_2) \neq 0$ holds ([4, p.96]). For characterizations of left CTF-rings, see [2, VI.1.E7] and [4, p.91], and for those of left ChC-rings, see [2, VI.2.1], [3], [4, p.96] and [7, Theorem 2.4].

(2) In [4, pp.117–119], we can find some connections between 1CTF-modules and CTF-rings.

(3) Hongan studied CTF-modules in [5, Theorem 1.3] and [6]. We notice that this result will also be obtained by using [2, I.6.4]. But we shall study in Theorem 5 the Hongan's result from our point of view. To do this and for further aims, we need next two lemmas.

Let ${}_R M$ be a fixed module. For each $x \in M$, we associate the left exact preradical σ_x and the left exact radical ρ_x for R -mod which are defined by

$$\sigma_x = \bigwedge \{ \sigma \mid \sigma \text{ is a left exact preradical with } x \in \sigma(M) \}$$

and

$$\rho_x = \bigwedge \{ \rho \mid \rho \text{ is a left exact radical with } x \in \rho(M) \}.$$

We also associate the set \mathcal{L}_x of all left ideals I of R which contain $\text{Ann}_R(Fx)$ for some finite subset F of R . Recall that there exists an order preserving correspondence between the left exact preradicals for R -mod and the left linear topologies on R , under which the left exact radicals correspond to the left Gabriel topologies.

Lemma 2 (cf. [4, pp.89–90]). *Let x be an element of ${}_R M$. Then the set \mathcal{L}_x is the smallest linear topology containing $\text{Ann}_R(x)$. Moreover, the left exact preradical for R -mod corresponding to \mathcal{L}_x is just σ_x .*

Proof. It is obvious that \mathcal{L}_x is a filter. If $I \in \mathcal{L}_x$ and $s \in R$, then there exists a finite subset F of R with $\text{Ann}_R(Fx) \subseteq I$, and so $\text{Ann}_R(sFx) \subseteq (I : s)$. Hence $(I : s) \in \mathcal{L}_x$. Now, let \mathcal{L} be an arbitrary left linear topology containing $\text{Ann}_R(x)$. For each $I \in \mathcal{L}_x$, we have some finite subset F of R with $I \supseteq \text{Ann}_R(Fx) = \bigcap_{r \in F} (\text{Ann}_R(x) : r)$. By the assumption of \mathcal{L} , we have $I \in \mathcal{L}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}' \leftrightarrow \sigma_x$ and $\mathcal{L}_x \leftrightarrow \sigma'$ be the corresponding left linear topologies and left exact preradicals. Since $x \in \sigma_x(M)$, $\text{Ann}_R(x) \in \mathcal{L}'$ and so $\mathcal{L}_x \subseteq \mathcal{L}'$. On the other hand, since $\text{Ann}_R(x) \in \mathcal{L}_x$, we have $x \in \sigma'(M)$. Thus we obtain $\sigma_x \leq \sigma'$ and hence $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}_x$. Therefore we must have $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}_x$ and $\sigma' = \sigma_x$.

Lemma 3. *Let x be an element of ${}_R M$. Then the smallest radical $\bar{\sigma}_x$ larger than σ_x is just ρ_x .*

Proof. Since $\bar{\sigma}_x$ is a left exact radical such that $x \in \sigma_x(M) \subseteq \bar{\sigma}_x(M)$, we have $\rho_x \leq \bar{\sigma}_x$. Conversely, $x \in \rho_x(M)$ implies $\sigma_x \leq \rho_x$ and so $\bar{\sigma}_x \leq \rho_x$. Hence we have $\bar{\sigma}_x = \rho_x$.

Corollary 4. *Let x be an element of ${}_R M$, and let \mathcal{G}_x denote the left Gabriel topology corresponding to ρ_x . Then a left ideal I of R belongs to \mathcal{G}_x if and only if, for each proper left ideal J containing I , there exists $s \in R \setminus J$ such that $(J : s) \in \mathcal{L}_x$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 3 with [8, Prop. VI.5.4].

For a module ${}_R E$, we define the radical k_E by $k_E(X) = \bigcap \{ \text{Ker}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(X, E) \}$ for each $X \in R\text{-mod}$. It is well known that k_E is left exact whenever E is injective and every left exact radical has the form k_E for some injective module ${}_R E$. Now, we shall prove the next

Theorem 5. *The following properties of a nonzero module ${}_R M$ are equivalent :*

- (1) M is CTF.
- (2) If K is a proper submodule of M and x is a nonzero element of M , then the next condition holds :
 - (*) There exist $y \in M \setminus K$ and a finite subset F of R such that $\text{Ann}_R(Fx) \subseteq (K : y)$.
- (3) If K is a proper submodule of M and x is a nonzero element of M , then $\text{Hom}_R(Rx, E(M/K)) \neq 0$.
- (4) If K is a proper submodule of M , then $k_{E(M/K)}(M) = 0$, or equivalently M is cogenerated by $E(M/K)$.
- (5) ([5]) If K is a non-trivial submodule of M , then there exists $v \in K$ with $\text{Hom}_R(Rv, M/K) \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). Note that the condition (*) is equivalent to the existence of $y \in M \setminus K$ with $(K : y) \in \mathcal{L}_x$, or equivalently $\sigma_x(M/K) \neq 0$ by Lemma 2. Assume that there exist a proper submodule K of M and a nonzero $x \in M$ such that $\sigma_x(M/K) = 0$. Since $\bar{\sigma}_x = \rho_x$ by Lemma 3, we have $\rho_x(M/K) = 0$. On the other hand, since $0 \neq x \in \rho_x(M)$, we must have $\rho_x(M) = M$ by (1), and so $\rho_x(M/K) = M/K$. This is a contradiction.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Let K be a proper submodule of M , and take a nonzero $x \in M$. By the assumption, we have a finite subset $F = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ of R with $\text{Ann}_R(Fx) \subseteq (K : y)$. Put $u = (s_1x, \dots, s_nx) \in (Rx)^{(n)}$. Consider a cyclic submodule $U = Ru$ of $(Rx)^{(n)}$. Then we get a nonzero homomorphism $f : U \rightarrow M/K$ given by $f(au) = ay + K$ ($a \in R$). Extend f to $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}_R((Rx)^{(n)}, E(M/K))$. Hence we see $\text{Hom}_R(Rx, E(M/K)) \neq 0$.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4). Clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (5). Let K be a non-trivial submodule of M . Take a nonzero $x \in K$. By the assumption, $\text{Hom}_R(Rx, E(M/K)) \neq 0$ and so there exists $v \in Rx$ with $\text{Hom}_R(Rv, M/K) \neq 0$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Assume that $\rho(M)$ is a non-trivial submodule of M for some left exact radical ρ . Then there exists $v \in \rho(M)$ with $\text{Hom}_R(Rv, M/\rho(M)) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction, because Rv is ρ -torsion and $M/\rho(M)$ is ρ -torsionfree.

Lemma 6. *The following conditions are equivalent for an element x of ${}_R M$:*

- (1) $\rho_x = 1$.
- (2) For each proper left ideal J of R , there exist $s \in R \setminus J$ and a finite subset $F = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ of R with $\text{Ann}_R(Fx) \subseteq (J : s)$. (We may take $n = 1$).
- (3) If ${}_R Q$ is a nonzero (cyclic) module, then $\text{Hom}_R(Rx, E(Q)) \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). Since $\rho_x = 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{G}_x \ni \{0\}$, this is clear from Corollary 4.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Consider a nonzero cyclic module $Q = R/J$, where J is a proper left ideal of R . By the assumption, there exist $s \in R \setminus J$ and a finite subset F of R with $\text{Ann}_R(Fx) \subseteq (J : s)$. Now, the same argument as in the proof (2) \Rightarrow (3) of Theorem 5 enables us to have $\text{Hom}_R(Rx, E(Q)) \neq 0$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). For each proper left ideal J of R , we have $\text{Hom}_R(Rx, E(R/J)) \neq 0$ by using (3). Hence there exists a cyclic submodule Ru of Rx with a nonzero $f \in \text{Hom}_R(Ru, R/J)$. Put $u = s_1 x$ and $f(u) = s + J$ ($s_1, s \in R$). Since f is well defined, we have the condition (2).

Theorem 7. *The following properties of a nonzero module ${}_R M$ are equivalent:*

- (1) M is 1CTF.
- (2) $\rho_x = 1$ for every nonzero $x \in M$.
- (3) If ${}_R E$ is a nonzero injective module, then $\text{Hom}_R(Rx, E) \neq 0$ for every nonzero $x \in M$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let x be a nonzero element of M . Since ρ_x is a left exact radical with $x \in \rho_x(M)$, we have $\rho_x(M) \neq 0$ and so $\rho_x = 1$ by (1).

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let x be a nonzero element of M and E a nonzero injective module. By using Lemma 6, we see $\text{Hom}_R(Rx, E) \neq 0$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let ρ be a proper left exact radical for R -mod. Then

there exists an injective module ${}_R E$ such that $\rho = k_E$. Since $\rho \neq 1$, we see $E \neq 0$. Now, for any $x \in \rho(M)$, we see from $k_E(Rx) = Rx$ that $\text{Hom}_R(Rx, E) = 0$. Hence, $\rho(M) = 0$ by (3) and therefore M is 1CTF.

Theorem 8. *The following properties of a nonzero module ${}_R M$ are equivalent:*

- (1) M is 0CTF.
- (2) If ${}_R E$ is an injective module with $\text{Hom}_R(M, E) \neq 0$, then E is a cogenerator.
- (3) If N is a proper submodule of M , then $E(M/N)$ is a cogenerator.
- (4) If N is a proper submodule of M and ${}_R S$ is a simple module, then $\text{Hom}_R(S, M/N) \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). Let E be an injective module with $\text{Hom}_R(M, E) \neq 0$. Then $k_E(M) \neq M$ and so, by (1), $k_E = 0$ where 0 stands for the zero functor for $R\text{-mod}$. Hence, for every $X \in R\text{-mod}$, $k_E(X) = 0$ induces that X is cogenerated by E .

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Let N be a proper submodule of M . By (2), $\text{Hom}_R(M, E(M/N)) \neq 0$ implies that $E(M/N)$ is a cogenerator.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (1). Let ρ be a left exact radical such that $\rho(M) \neq M$. Then, for an injective module ${}_R E$ such that $\rho = k_E$, we have $\text{Hom}_R(M, E) \neq 0$. Take any $f(\neq 0) \in \text{Hom}_R(M, E)$. By $M/\text{Ker}(f) \cong \text{Im}(f) \subseteq E$, we see $E(M/\text{Ker}(f)) \subseteq E$. Therefore, by (3), E must be a cogenerator and so we have $\rho = 0$.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4). Recall that an injective module ${}_R E$ is a cogenerator if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(S, E) \neq 0$ for all simple module ${}_R S$.

Corollary 9. *A simple module ${}_R S$ is 0CTF if and only if $E(S)$ is a cogenerator.*

Corollary 10. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) Every simple left R -module is 0CTF.
- (2) All simple left R -modules are isomorphic.

Proposition 11. *The class of 0CTF-modules forms a hereditary torsion class. On the other hand, the class of 1CTF-modules forms a torsionfree class closed under injective hulls.*

Proof. By the definition, a module is 0CTF if and only if it belongs to

the intersection of all nonzero hereditary torsion classes. Clearly this intersection forms a hereditary torsion class. The remaining part is proved in the same way.

Clearly every simple left R -module is CTF. We remark that every projective (more generally, torsionless) left R -module is CTF (or 1CTF) if and only if R is left CTF. In the next theorem we shall characterize those rings whose (injective) left modules are CTF. As proved in [4, p.118], a ring with a simple 1CTF-module is just of this type.

Theorem 12. *The following assertions are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) *Every left R -module is 1CTF.*
- (2) *Every injective left R -module is 1CTF.*
- (3) *Every simple left R -module is 1CTF.*
- (4) *Every left R -module is 0CTF.*
- (5) *Every injective left R -module is 0CTF.*
- (6) *Every projective left R -module is 0CTF.*
- (7) *Every left R -module is CTF.*
- (8) *Every injective left R -module is CTF.*
- (9) *([7]) Every nonzero injective left R -module is a cogenerator.*
- (10) *There exist only two left exact radicals for R -mod.*
- (11) *([4]) R is left ChC.*
- (12) *([3]) R is left semiartinian and all simple left R -modules are isomorphic.*

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Clear.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (9). Let ${}_R E$ be a nonzero injective module. For each simple module ${}_R S$, since k_E is a proper left exact radical, we have $k_E(S) = 0$. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(S, E) \neq 0$ and hence E is a cogenerator.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). Clear.

(6) \Leftrightarrow (10). Since ${}_R R$ is 0CTF, this follows from Remark 1.

(7) \Leftrightarrow (8). Clear.

(7) \Leftrightarrow (12). Assume there exists a nonzero left R -module M with zero socle. We put the left exact preradical $\sigma = \text{soc}$ and consider the left exact radical $\bar{\sigma}$. For a simple left R -module S , $\bar{\sigma}(M \oplus S) = S$ holds and so $M \oplus S$ is not CTF. Next, assume that S and T are non-isomorphic simple left R -modules. We put $\rho = k_{E(S)}$. Since $\rho(S) = 0$ and $\rho(T) = T$ by $\text{Hom}_R(T, E(S)) = 0$, we have $\rho(S \oplus T) = T$, and hence $S \oplus T$ is not CTF.

(9) \Leftrightarrow (10). This was proved in [7, Theorem 2.4].

(10) \Leftrightarrow (11). This was proved in [4, p.96].

(10) \Leftrightarrow (12). This was proved in [3, Proposition 2].

(10) \Rightarrow (1),(4) and (7). Clear.

Finally we shall give an example which distinguishes 0CTF-modules, 1CTF-modules and CTF-modules.

Example 13. (1) Every 0CTF-module is CTF, but the converse is not true. In fact, let R be a left CTF but not ChC ring (for example, a two-sided simple ring with zero socle). Then ${}_R R$ is 1CTF but not 0CTF by Remark 1.

(2) Every 1CTF-module is CTF, but the converse is not true. To see this, let R be a local but not right perfect ring (for example, the ring of formal power series over a field). Then the (unique) simple module S is 0CTF by Corollary 10, but since R is not left ChC ([4, p.96]), S is not 1CTF by Theorem 12.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.A. BEACHY and W.D. BLAIR : Rings whose faithful left ideals are cofaithful, Pacific J. Math. **58** (1975), 1–13.
- [2] L. BICAN, T. KEPKA and P. NĚMEC : Rings, Modules and Preradicals, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math. **75**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1982.
- [3] B.J. GARDNER : Rings whose modules form few torsion classes, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **4** (1971), 355–359.
- [4] D. HANDELMAN, K.R. GOODEARL and J. LAWRENCE : Strongly prime and completely torsion-free rings, Carleton Math. Series **109**, Carleton University, 1974.
- [5] M. HONGAN : On strongly prime modules and related topics, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **24** (1982), 117–132.
- [6] M. HONGAN : Corrigendum to “On strongly prime modules and related topics” (This Journal, vol. 24, pp. 117–132), Math. J. Okayama Univ. **25** (1983), 195.
- [7] H. KATAYAMA : On rings for which various types of nonzero preradicals are cofaithful, Hokkaido Math. J. **12** (1983), 49–63.
- [8] Bo STENSTRÖM : Rings of Quotients, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **217**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY

(Received October 15, 1983)