

\aleph_0 -CONTINUOUS DIRECTLY FINITE PPROJECTIVE MODULES OVER REGULAR RINGS

Dedicated to Prof. Kentaro Murata on his 60th birthday

MAMORU KUTAMI

We have defined, in [5], the notions of quasi- \aleph_0 - and \aleph_0 -continuous modules which can be regarded as generalized notions of right \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings (see [2], [3]). In this paper, we study the properties of quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite projective modules over a regular ring.

Let R be a regular ring. For a quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite projective R -module M and essentially \aleph_0 -generated submodules A and B of M with $A \cong B$, it is shown that $A^* \cong B^*$, where A^* and B^* are direct summands of M with $A \leq_e A^*$ and $B \leq_e B^*$, respectively (Theorem 5). This is a generalization of [2, Corollary 14.26]. Using this theorem, we show that if M and N are quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite projective R -modules then $M \oplus N$ is directly finite (Theorem 8). Finally, we shall give examples of quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite projective modules over regular rings which are not finitely generated.

Throughout this paper, R is a ring with identity and R -modules are unitary right R -modules. If M and N are R -modules, then the notation $N \lesssim M$ means that N is isomorphic to a submodule of M . For a submodule N of an R -module M , $N \leq_e M$ means that N is essential in M , while $N < \oplus M$ means that N is a direct summand of M .

Let M be an R -module and let $\mathcal{A}(M)$ be the family of all submodule A of M such that A contains a countably generated essential submodule. Given such an $\mathcal{A}(M)$, we consider the following conditions:

(C₁) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ there exists a submodule A^* of M such that $A \leq_e A^*$ and $A^* < \oplus M$.

(C₂) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ with $A < \oplus M$, any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M$ splits.

(C₃) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ with $A < \oplus M$, if $N < \oplus M$ and $A \cap N = 0$ then $A \oplus N < \oplus M$.

We say that M is *quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous* (resp. *\aleph_0 -continuous*) if M satisfies the conditions (C₁) and (C₃) (resp. (C₁) and (C₂)). According to [8], every quasi-injective module is \aleph_0 -continuous, every \aleph_0 -continuous module is quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous and M is quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous if and only if M satisfies (C₁) and the condition

(*) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ and a direct summand N of M with $A \cap N = 0$,

every homomorphism from A to N can be extended to a homomorphism from M to N .

Let M be an R -module. A submodule B of M is said to be \mathcal{S} -closed if M/B is nonsingular. For any submodule A of M there exists the smallest \mathcal{S} -closed submodule C of M containing A , which is called the \mathcal{S} -closure of A in M .

Now, we recall the following

Lemma 1 ([5, Lemma 1]). *Let M be an R -module, and let A and B be submodules of M such that $A \leq_e B$. Then B is contained in the \mathcal{S} -closure of A in M . If, in addition, M is nonsingular and $B < \bigoplus M$, then B coincides with the \mathcal{S} -closure of A in M .*

We note that if M is a nonsingular module satisfying (C_1) then, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$, there exists a unique A^* such that $A \leq_e A^*$ and $A^* < \bigoplus M$. Moreover, if M is nonsingular and quasi- \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous (resp. \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous), then so is every direct summand of M (Lemma 1).

Lemma 2. *Let M be a quasi- \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous R -module, and let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(M)$. If $A \cap B = 0$ and $A \cong B$, then $A^* \cong B^*$.*

Proof. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be an isomorphism. Since $A \cap B^* = 0$, in view of (*), f can be extended to a homomorphism $f^*: A^* \rightarrow B^*$, which is a monomorphism, because $A \leq_e A^*$. Since $A^* \cong f^*(A^*) \in \mathcal{A}(M)$, $f^*(A^*) \leq_e B^*$ and $A^* \cap f^*(A^*) = 0$, again by (*), $(f^*)^{-1}: f^*(A^*) \rightarrow A^*$ can be extended to a monomorphism $h: B^* \rightarrow A^*$. Then h is an isomorphism, and thus $f^* = h^{-1}$ is an isomorphism with $f^*|_A = f$.

An R -module M is *directly finite* provided that M is not isomorphic to any proper direct summand of itself. We can use Lemma 2 to get the following generalization of [5, Theorem 2]. The proof is quite similar to the \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous case.

Proposition 3. *If M is a nonsingular quasi- \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous R -module, then the following are equivalent :*

- a) M is directly finite.
- b) M contains no infinite direct sums of nonzero pairwise isomorphic submodules.
- c) Any submodule of M is directly finite.

An R -module M is said to have the *finite exchange property* if, for any

direct decomposition $G = M' \oplus C = \bigoplus_{i \in I} D_i$ with $M' \cong M$ and the index set I finite, there are submodules $D_i \leq D_i$ such that $G = M' \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} D_i)$.

Lemma 4 ([7, Corollary 4]). *Every projective module over a regular ring has the finite exchange property.*

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem, which generalizes [2, Corollary 14.26] (cf. [6, Theorem 4] for quasi-continuous modules).

Theorem 5. *Let M be a quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite projective module over a regular ring R , and let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(M)$.*

- (a) *If $A \cong B$ then $A^* \cong B^*$.*
- (b) *If $A \leq B$ then $A^* \leq B^*$.*

Proof. (a) Put $D = A \cap B$ and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be an isomorphism. In view of [2, Lemma 14.10 (a)], we see that $D \in \mathcal{A}(M)$, and so there exists D^* such that $D^* < \bigoplus A^*$ and $D^* < \bigoplus B^*$ (Lemma 1). Put $A^* = D^* \oplus X$ and $B^* = D^* \oplus Y$ with some X and Y . We claim the following facts:

(1) If $L \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ and $N < \bigoplus L$, then $N \in \mathcal{A}(M)$, and therefore both X and Y are in $\mathcal{A}(M)$.

(2) $A^* \cap B^* = D^*$ and $X \cap Y = X \cap B^* = A^* \cap Y = 0$.

Proof of (1). Put $L = N \oplus K$ with some K , and let $\pi : L \rightarrow N$ be the projection map. There exists a countably generated submodule L' such that $L' \leq_e L$. Then $\pi(L')$ is countably generated and $L' \cap N \leq \pi(L') \leq N$. Hence $\pi(L') \leq_e N$.

Proof of (2). Obviously, $D^* \leq A^* \cap B^*$. On the other hand, $D = A \cap B \leq_e A^* \cap B^*$ and $A^* \cap B^* \leq D^*$ by Lemma 1. Hence $A^* \cap B^* = D^*$, and therefore $X \cap Y \leq X \cap B^* = X \cap A^* \cap B^* = X \cap D^* = 0$. Similarly, $A^* \cap Y = 0$.

We claim further that there exist decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} X &= X'_1 \oplus X''_1, & X''_n &= X'_{n+1} \oplus X''_{n+1}, \\ Y &= Y'_1 \oplus Y''_1, & Y''_n &= Y'_{n+1} \oplus Y''_{n+1}, \\ D^* &= D'_1 \oplus D''_1, & D''_n &= D'_{n+1} \oplus D''_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$X'_n \cong Y'_n, D'_{2n-1} \cong X''_{2n-1}, D'_{2n} \cong Y''_{2n} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

Since $f(A \cap X)$ and $f(D)$ are in $\mathcal{A}(M)$ and $f(A \cap X) \oplus f(D) \leq_e f(A) = B$, we have $B^* = (f(A \cap X))^* \oplus f(D)^*$ by Lemma 1 and (C₃). According to Lemma 4, there exist decompositions $Y = Y'_1 \oplus Y''_1$ and $D^* = D'_1 \oplus D''_1$

such that $Y \oplus D^* = B^* = (f(A \cap X))^* \oplus Y_1'' \oplus D_1''$. Then we have an isomorphism $g: Y_1'' \oplus D_1'' \rightarrow (f(D))^*$. Noting that $A \cap X \leq_e X$ and $(A \cap X) \cap f(A \cap X) \leq X \cap B^* = 0$, we see that $X \cong (f(A \cap X))^* \cong Y_1'' \oplus D_1''$ by Lemma 2, and so there exists a decomposition $X = X_1' \oplus X_1''$ with $X_1' \cong Y_1'$ and $h: D_1' \cong X_1''$. Putting here $E = g^{-1}f(D)$ and $k = (h \oplus \text{the identity map on } D_1'')f^{-1}g|_E: E \rightarrow X_1' \oplus D_1''$. Since $k(Y_1'' \cap E) \oplus k(D_1'' \cap E) \leq_e X_1' \oplus D_1''$, we have

$$X_1'' \oplus D_1'' = X_1'' \oplus (D_1'' \cap E)^* = (k(Y_1'' \cap E))^* \oplus (k(D_1'' \cap E))^*,$$

where $Y_1'' \cong (k(Y_1'' \cap E))^*$. Then, by the above discussion, there exist decompositions

$$X_1'' = X_2' \oplus X_2'', \quad Y_1'' = Y_2' \oplus Y_2'' \quad \text{and} \quad D_1'' = (D_1'' \cap E)^* = D_2' \oplus D_2''$$

with $X_1'' \oplus D_1'' = (k(Y_1'' \cap E))^* \oplus X_2'' \oplus D_2''$ and isomorphisms $g_1: X_2'' \oplus D_2'' \cong (k(D_1'' \cap E))^*$, $X_2' \cong Y_2'$ and $h_1: D_2' \cong Y_2''$. Repeating this procedure successively, we obtain the desired decompositions.

Next, we claim that $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n' \leq_e X$ and $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n' \leq_e Y$ (cf. the proof of [3, Theorem 1.4]). Suppose that $C \cap (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n') = 0$ for a cyclic submodule C of X . Obviously, $C \in \mathcal{A}(M)$, $C < \bigoplus X < \bigoplus M$ and $X_1' \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{2n-1}' \oplus X_{2n-1}'' = X < \bigoplus M$. Since $C \oplus (X_1' \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{2n-1}') < \bigoplus X$ by (C_3) , we see that $C \leq X_{2n-1}'' \cong D_{2n-1}'$, and so $C \oplus C \oplus \cdots \leq D_1' \oplus D_3' \oplus \cdots \leq D^* < \bigoplus M$. Thus, $C = 0$ by Proposition 3. Similarly, we can show that $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n' \leq_e Y$.

Now, noting that $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n') \cap (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n') \leq X \cap Y = 0$ by (2) and that $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n' \cong \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n' \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ by (1), we see that $X \cong Y$ by Lemma 2, and so $A^* = D^* \oplus X \cong D^* \oplus Y = B^*$.

(b) If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a monomorphism, then $A \cong f(A) \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ and $f(A) \leq B$. Hence $A^* \cong (f(A))^* \leq B^*$ by (a) and Lemma 1.

Corollary. *Let M be a quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite projective module over a regular ring R such that $M \in \mathcal{A}(M)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- a) M is \aleph_0 -continuous.
- b) Every injective endomorphism of M is an automorphism.

An R -module M is said to have the *cancellation property* if $M \oplus H \cong M \oplus K$ implies always $H \cong K$, or equivalently if $M \oplus H = N \oplus K$ with $M \cong N$ implies $H \cong K$ (cf. [1]).

From the proof of [1, Theorem 2], we see the next

Lemma 6. *Let M be an R -module with the finite exchange property.*

Then M has the cancellation property if and only if isomorphic direct summands of M have isomorphic complements.

Theorem 7. *Let M be a quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite projective module over a regular ring R . If $M \in \mathcal{A}(M)$, then M has the cancellation property.*

Proof. Let $M = A \oplus C = B \oplus D$ with $C \cong D$ for some R -modules A, B, C and D . Since $M \in \mathcal{A}(M)$, A, B, C and D are also in $\mathcal{A}(M)$ by the statement (1) in the proof of Theorem 5. Then $A \cong B$ by Proposition 3, Lemma 4, Theorem 5 and the proof of [3, Lemmas 1.2, 1.3 and Theorem 1.4]. Hence, by Lemmas 4 and 6, M has the cancellation property.

Theorem 8. *Let R be a regular ring. If M and N are quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite projective R -modules, then $M \oplus N$ is directly finite.*

Proof. Let $M \oplus N = X \oplus Y$ for some submodules X and Y with an isomorphism $f : M \oplus N \rightarrow X$. Take a cyclic submodule yR of Y . Then $\{yR, f(yR), f^2(yR), \dots\}$ is an infinite independent sequence of pairwise isomorphic cyclic submodules of $M \oplus N$. Noting that $yR < \oplus M \oplus N$, we have decompositions $M = M'_1 \oplus M''_1$ and $N = N'_1 \oplus N''_1$ such that $M \oplus N = yR \oplus M'_1 \oplus N''_1$ and $yR \cong M'_1 \oplus N''_1$ (Lemma 4). Next, noting that $yR \oplus f(yR) < \oplus yR \oplus M'_1 \oplus N''_1$, again by Lemma 4 we have decompositions $M'_1 = M'_2 \oplus M''_2$ and $N''_1 = N''_2 \oplus N'''_2$ such that $M \oplus N = yR \oplus f(yR) \oplus M'_2 \oplus N'''_2$ and $f(yR) \cong M'_2 \oplus N'''_2$. Continuing this procedure, we have decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} M &= M'_1 \oplus M''_1, \quad M''_n = M'_{n+1} \oplus M''_{n+1}, \\ N &= N'_1 \oplus N''_1, \quad N''_n = N'_{n+1} \oplus N''_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

such that $yR \cong M'_1 \oplus N''_1$ and $f^n(yR) \cong M'_{n+1} \oplus N''_{n+1}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$), where M'_n and N''_n are cyclic R -modules.

Put $A = M'_1 \oplus M'_2 \oplus \dots$, $B = N'_1 \oplus N'_2 \oplus \dots$, $C = M'_2 \oplus M'_3 \oplus \dots$, and $D = N'_2 \oplus N'_3 \oplus \dots$. Then $A = M'_1 \oplus C$, $B = N'_1 \oplus D$, and there exists an isomorphism $g : A \oplus B \rightarrow C \oplus D$. Since $g(A)$ is projective, there exist decompositions $C = C' \oplus C''$ and $D = D' \oplus D''$ such that $C \oplus D = g(A) \oplus C'' \oplus D''$ (Lemma 4). Then $A \cong g(A) \cong C' \oplus D'$ and $B \cong g(B) \cong C'' \oplus D''$, and so there exist decompositions $A = A' \oplus A''$ and $B = B' \oplus B''$ with $A' \cong C'$, $m : A'' \cong D'$, $l : B' \cong C''$ and $B'' \cong D''$. Noting that $A' \oplus A'' = M'_1 \oplus C' \oplus C''$ and $A' \cong C'$, by Theorem 5 (a) we see that $A'^* \oplus A''^* = M'_1 \oplus C'^* \oplus C''^*$ and $A'^* \cong C'^*$ in M . Since A'^* is a quasi- \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite projective module belonging to $\mathcal{A}(A'^*)$, we have an isomorphism $h : A''^* \cong$

$M_1 \oplus C''^*$ by Theorem 7. Similarly, we get an isomorphism $k : B^* \cong N_1 \oplus D^*$. Now, we put $E = l(k^{-1}(k(B') \cap D'))$. Then $m^{-1}(k(B') \cap D') \cong E \leq C''$ and $m^{-1}(k(B') \cap D') (\in \mathcal{A}(M))$ is an essential submodule of A'' , and so $A''^* \cong E^* \langle \oplus C''^*$ in M by Theorem 5 (a), and $A''^* = h^{-1}(M_1) \oplus h^{-1}(C''^*) \oplus h^{-1}(M_1) \oplus h^{-1}(E^*)$. Since A''^* is directly finite, we have $h^{-1}(M_1) = 0$, and so $M_1 = 0$; likewise $N_1 = 0$. Hence $yR \cong M_1 \oplus N_1 = 0$, and so $Y = 0$, which means that $M \oplus N$ is directly finite.

A regular ring R is said to satisfy the *comparability axiom* provided that, for any $x, y \in R$, either $xR \lesssim yR$ or $yR \lesssim xR$ ([2, p. 80]). It is known that if R is a regular ring satisfying the comparability axiom then, for any finitely generated projective R -modules A and B , either $A \lesssim B$ or $B \lesssim A$ ([2, Proposition 8.2]).

Theorem 9. *Let R be a regular ring satisfying the comparability axiom. Then every quasi- \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous directly finite projective R -module P is finitely generated, and hence \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that P is not finitely generated. Then, by [4], $P = \bigoplus_{i \in I} x_i R$ for some infinite index set I . Given $j \in I$, we set $N_j = \{i \in I \mid x_j R \lesssim x_i R\}$. Then, N_j is a finite set by Proposition 3. We can therefore find an infinite sequence $\{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$ in I and (non-isomorphic) monomorphisms $f_{i_{n-1}} : x_{i_{n-1}} R \rightarrow x_{i_n} R$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$). Then there exist nonzero $a_{i_n} R$ such that $x_{i_n} R = f_{i_{n-1}}(x_{i_{n-1}} R) \oplus a_{i_n} R$. Since $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{i_n} R = (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{i_{n-1}}(x_{i_{n-1}} R)) \oplus (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{i_n} R)$ and $\bigoplus_{n=2}^{\infty} x_{i_n} R$ are quasi- \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous directly finite countably generated projective R -modules, Theorem 7 shows that $x_{i_1} R \cong \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{i_n} R$, which is a contradiction.

A regular ring is called *abelian* if all idempotents in R are central ([2, p. 25]). As the following examples show, the assumption "the comparability axiom" cannot be deleted in Theorem 9.

Example 1. Let R be an abelian right \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous regular ring. Then, it is easy to see that every right ideal of R is an \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous directly finite R -module.

Example 2. Let I be an index set. For each $i \in I$, consider a ring R_i and an R_i -module M_i . Put $R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ and $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$.

(a) If every R_i -module M_i is quasi- \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous (resp. \mathfrak{K}_0 -continuous), then so is the R -module M .

(b) If every R_i -module M_i is directly finite, then so is the R -module M .

(c) In particular, if every R_i is a right \aleph_0 -continuous directly finite regular ring, then the right R -module R is \aleph_0 -continuous and directly finite.

Acknowledgement. Corollary to Theorem 5 was pointed out by the referee. The author wishes to thank Prof. Y. Kurata and the referee for their comments and suggestions concerning this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. FUCHS: The cancellation property for modules, Lecture Notes in Math. 246, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, 191—212.
- [2] K.R. GOODEARL: Von Neumann Regular Rings, Pitman, London-San Francisco-Melbourne, 1979.
- [3] K.R. GOODEARL: Directly finite aleph-nought-continuous regular rings, Pacific J. Math. 100 (1982), 105—122.
- [4] I. KAPLANSKY: Projective modules, Ann. Math. 68 (1958), 372—377.
- [5] M. KUTAMI: \aleph_0 -continuous modules, to appear in Osaka J. Math.
- [6] B.J. MÜLLER and S.T. RIZVI: On injective and quasi-continuous modules, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 28 (1983), 197—210.
- [7] K. OSHIRO: Projective modules over von Neumann regular rings have the finite exchange property, to appear in Osaka J. Math.
- [8] K. OSHIRO: Continuous modules and quasi-continuous modules, to appear in Osaka J. Math.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY
YAMAGUCHI, 753 JAPAN

(Received June 14, 1983)