ON A THEOREM OF MAYNE

YASUYUKI HIRANO, ARIF KAYA and HISAO TOMINAGA

Throughout, R will represent an (associative) ring with center C. Let S be a subset of R. An (additive group) endomorphism T of R is said to be centralizing (resp. skew-centralizing) on S if $[s^T,s]=s^Ts-ss^T \in C$ (resp. $(s^T,s)=s^Ts+ss^T \in C$) for every $s \in S$. More generally, T is defined to be semicentralizing on S if $[s^T,s] \in C$ or $(s^T,s) \in C$ for every $s \in S$. In case S=R, we say simply T is centralizing (resp. skew-centralizing) or semi-centralizing according as so is T on R.

Recently, in [5], by making use of his previous result in [4], J.H. Mayne proved that if a prime ring R has a nontrivial ring automorphism T and a nonzero ideal U such that T is centralizing on U and $U^T \subseteq U$ then R is commutative. However, his proof is based on an unjustifiable assertion that T induces a ring automorphism on U. Incidentally, it should be mentioned that the result of [4] itself was claimed (even for a nontrivial surjective ring endomorphism) back in 1959 by M.F. Smiley [7, Remark 2].

In the present paper, we shall prove the following theorem which greatly generalizes [5, Theorem] and includes [1, Lemma] and [3, Corollary], as well.

Theorem 1. Let U be a nonzero ideal of a prime ring R.

- (1) Let T be a nontrivial ring endomorphism of R $(T \neq 1_R)$. If T is semicentralizing on U, U^T is an ideal of R and $(U \cap U^T)^T$ is nonzero, then R is commutative.
- (2) Let T be a nontrivial derivation of R ($T \neq 0_R$). If T is centralizing (resp. skew-centralizing) on U, then R is commutative.

In preparation for proving our theorem, we state first several lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let R be a prime ring, and I a right ideal of R.

- (1) If I is nonzero and commutative, then R is commutative.
- (2) Let T be a ring endomorphism of R. If I is nonzero and T is trivial on I, then T is itself trivial.
- (3) Let T be a derivation of R. If I is nonzero and T is trivial on I, then T is itself trivial.
- (4) Let T be a nontrivial derivation of R, and x an element of R. If $xR^T=0$ then x=0.

- (5) If there exists a positive integer n such that $x^n=0$ for all $x \in I$, then I=0.
- *Proof.* (2), (3) and (4) are respectively [5, Lemmas 3, 2] and [6, Lemma 1] with routine proofs. (1) is [5, Lemma 4] and (5) is immediate by [2, Lemma 1.1]. However, for the sake of self-containedness, we prove (1) and (5).
- (1) Given $a, b \in I$ and $x, y \in R$, we have ab[x,y] = abxy bayx = bxay aybx = 0, namely $I^2[x,y] = 0$. Hence, [x,y] = 0 for all $x, y \in R$.
- (5) We proceed by induction on n. First, we claim that aI=0 for any $a \in I$ with $a^2=0$. Let A=aI and $S=\{x \in A \mid xA=0\}$. As is easily seen, S is a prime ideal of A. Furthermore, since $(ay)^{n-1}aI=(a+ay)^nI=0$ for any $y \in R$, we see that $x^{n-1} \in S$ for all $x \in A$. Hence, by induction hypothesis, A/S=0, i.e., $A^2=0$, whence it follows that aI=0. Now, let $W=\{x \in I \mid xI=0\}$. Then W is a prime ideal of I. Since the above claim tells us that $x^{n-1} \in W$ for all $x \in I$, our induction hypothesis shows I=W, i.e., $I^2=0$. Hence we have I=0.
- **Lemma 2.** Let $T: x \to x'$ be an endomorphism of R, and U an additive subgroup of R. Let $[U] = \{u \in U \mid [u',u] \in C\}$ and $(U) = \{u \in U \mid (u',u) \in C\}$.
- (1) Let $u, v \in [U]$ (resp. (U)). Then $u+v \in [U]$ (resp. (U)) if and only if $u-v \in [U]$ (resp. (U)).
 - (2) If $v \in (U)$, then $[v', v^2] = [v, v'^2] = 0$.
- *Proof.* (1) follows from [u'-v',u-v]=-[u'+v',u+v]+2([u',u]+[v',v]) (resp. (u'-v',u-v)=-(u'+v',u+v)+2((u',u)+(v',v))), and (2) is obvious by $[x,y^2]=[(x,y),y]$.
- **Lemma 3.** Let $T: x \rightarrow x'$ be a ring endomorphism of a prime ring R of characteristic not 2 which is semicentralizing on a nonzero ideal U, and let [U], (U) be as in Lemma 2.
 - (1) If $v \in U \setminus [U]$, then $v^2 v'^2 = v'^2 v^2 = 0$.
- (2) If U^T is a nonzero ideal of R and $[U] \neq U$, then there is no positive integer n such that $v'^n = 0$ for all $v \in U \setminus [U]$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2 (2), we have

$$[v'^2+v',v^2+v]=[v'^2-v',v^2-v]=[v',v] \in C,$$

which means that $v^2 + v \in [U]$ and $v^2 - v \in [U]$. Then, by Lemma 2 (1), $(v^2 + v) - (v^2 - v) = 2v \in [U]$ shows that $2v^2 = (v^2 + v) + (v^2 - v) \in (U)$, and

so $v^2 \in (U)$. Hence, by Lemma 2 (2), $2v'^2v^2 = (v'^2, v^2) \in C$, i.e., $v'^2v^2 \in C$. Furthermore, again by Lemma 2 (2),

$$0 = v'^{2} [v^{2} + v', (v^{2} + v)^{2}] = 2v'^{2} [v', v^{3}] = 2v'^{2} v^{2} [v', v],$$

i.e., $v'^2v^2[v',v]=0$. Since $v'^2v^2 \in C$ and R is prime, $[v',v]\neq 0$ implies that $v^2v'^2=v'^2v^2=0$.

(2) Suppose that $v'^n=0$ for all $v \in U \setminus [U]$. We shall show v'=0, which contradicts $v \in [U]$. In order to see this, it suffices to show that $v'^{n-1}=0$ (if n>1). Let u be an arbitrary element of U. If $uv^{n-1} \in [U]$ then $(u'v'^{n-1})^n=0$ by assumption. Next, suppose that $uv^{n-1} \in [U]$. Since

$$(uv^{n-1}+v)+(uv^{n-1}-v)=2uv^{n-1} \in [U]$$

and

$$(uv^{n-1}+v)-(uv^{n-1}-v)=2v \in [U],$$

we see that either $uv^{n-1} + v \in [U]$ or $uv^{n-1} - v \in [U]$ (Lemma 2 (1)). Hence, either

$$(u'v'^{n-1})^{n+1} = u'v'^{n-1}(u'v'^{n-1}+v')^n = 0$$

or

$$(u'v'^{n-1})^{n+1} = u'v'^{n-1}(u'v'^{n-1}-v')^n = 0.$$

We have therefore seen that $(u'v'^{n-1})^{n+1}=0$ for all $u \in U$ and $v \in U \setminus [U]$. Since $U^Tv'^{n-1}$ is a nil left ideal of bounded index, we get $v'^{n-1}=0$ by Lemma 1 (5).

Corollary 1 (cf. [3, Theorem]). Let T be a ring endomorphism of a prime ring R which is semicentralizing on a nonzero ideal U. If U^T is a nonzero ideal of R, then T is centralizing on U.

Proof. We keep the notations in Lemma 2. If R is of characteristic 2, then [x',x]=(x',x), and therefore T is centralizing on U. So, we assume henceforth that R is of characteristic not 2. Suppose $[U] \neq U$, and choose arbitrary $v \in U \setminus [U]$. Given $u \in U$, by making use of Lemma 2 (1) we can easily see that

$$uv^2u'v'^4 + v^2u'v'^4 = [uv^2 + v^2, (uv^2 + v^2)']v'^2 = (uv^2 + v^2, (uv^2 + v^2)')v'^2.$$

Hence.

$$uv^2u'v'^4 + v^2u'v'^4 = c_1v'^2$$
 with some $c_1 \in C$.

Similarly, considering $uv^2 - v^2$ instead of $uv^2 + v^2$, we get

$$uv^2u'v'^4 - v^2u'v'^4 = c_2v'^2$$
 with some $c_2 \in C$.

From those above, we obtain $2v^2u'v'^4 = (c_1 - c_2)v'^2$, and hence $2v^4u'v'^4 = 0$ again by Lemma 3 (1). This proves $v^4U^Tv'^4 = 0$, whence it follows that $v'^4 = 0$. But, this is impossible by Lemma 3 (2). We have thus proved that [U] = U.

Lemma 4. Let $T: x \to x'$ be a derivation of a prime ring R of characteristic not 2 which is semicentralizing on a nonzero ideal U, and let [U], (U) be as in Lemma 2.

- (1) If $v \in U \setminus [U]$, then $(v^2)' = 0$ and $v^2v' = v'v^2 = 0$.
- (2) If $C \cap U = 0$ and $v \in U \setminus [U]$, then $v^3 = 0$ and $v^2 \neq 0$.
- (3) If $C \cap U$ is nonzero, then T is centralizing on U.

Proof. (1) Since $(v^2)' = (v',v) \in C$ and $[v',v^2] = 0$ by Lemma 2 (2), we have

$$[(v^2+v)', v^2+v] = [(v^2-v)', v^2-v] = [v', v] \notin C$$

which means that $v^2 + v \in [U]$ and $v^2 - v \in [U]$. Then, by Lemma 2 (1), $(v^2 + v) - (v^2 - v) = 2v \in [U]$ shows that $2v^2 = (v^2 + v) - (v^2 - v) \in (U)$, and so $v^2 \in (U)$. Hence, $2(v^2)'v^2 = ((v^2)', v^2) \in C$, i.e., $(v^2)'v^2 \in C$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2 (2),

$$0 = (v^2)'[(v^2 + v)', (v^2 + v)^2] = 2(v^2)'[v', v^3] = 2(v^2)'v^2[v', v],$$

i.e., $(v^2)'v^2[v',v]=0$. Since $(v^2)'v^2 \in C$ and R is prime, $[v',v]\neq 0$ implies $(v^2)'v^2=0$. Recalling here that $(v^2)'\in C$, we get $(v^2)'=0$. Since $v^2+v\in [U]$, we have also $0=((v^2+v)^2)'=((v^2+v)',v^2+v)=(v',v^2+v)=2v'v^2$, and so $v'v^2=v^2v'=0$ by Lemma 2 (2).

(2) Observe that vv' = -v'v and $uu' = \pm u'u$ for every $u \in U$. We prove first that $v^2 \neq 0$. In fact, if $v^2 = 0$ then for any $x \in R$ we have

$$vxv'v + xvxv'v = \{(v + xv)(v + xv)' \pm (v + xv)'(v + xv)\}v = 0.$$

Replace x by -x in the above to get -vxv'v+xvxv'v=0. Hence vRv'v=0, and therefore v'v=0. But this contradicts $v \in [U]$.

Next, we claim that $vv'^2=0$. Noting that $v^2v'=0$ by (1), for any $x \in R$ we have

$$-v^2xvv'^2-vxv^2xvv'^2=\{(v+vxv)(v+vxv)'\pm(v+vxv)'(v+vxv)\}vv'=0,$$

and similarly $v^2xvv'^2-vxv^2xvv'^2=0$. Hence $v^2Rvv'^2=0$, and therefore $vv'^2=0$ by $v^2\neq 0$.

Now, for any $x \in R$ we have

$$vxv'^3 + xvxv'^3 = \{(v + xv)(v + xv)' \pm (v + xv)'(v + xv)\}v'^2 = 0,$$

and similarly $-vxv^{3}+xvxv^{3}=0$. Hence $vRv^{3}=0$, and therefore $v^{3}=0$.

(3) Suppose U contains an element v not contained in [U]. Choose an arbitrary nonzero $c \in C \cap U$. Because $c' \in C$, we have $[v'+c',v+c] = [v',v] \notin C$, and so $v+c \notin [U]$. Then, by (1),

$$0 = [\{(v+c)^2\}', v] = [2cv' + 2c'v + (c^2)', v] = 2c[v', v],$$

i.e., [v',v]=0. This contradiction proves that [U]=U.

Corollary 2. Let $T: x \to x'$ be a derivation of a prime ring R, and U a nonzero ideal of R.

- (1) If T is skew-centralizing on U, then it is centralizing on U.
- (2) If T is semicentralizing on U and U^T is a left (resp. right) ideal of R, then T is centralizing on U.

Proof. We may assume that $T \neq 0_R$ and R is of characteristic not 2.

(1) According to Lemma 4 (3), it suffices to show that $C \cap U$ is nonzero. Suppose, to the contrary, that $C \cap U = 0$. Then, for any $u \in U$ and $x \in R$,

$$(u^2x + uxu)' = \{(u + ux)^2 - u^2 - (ux)^2\}' = 0$$

and

$$(xu^2+uxu)'=\{(u+xu)^2-u^2-(xu)^2\}'=0.$$

From those above, we readily obtain $[x,u^2]'=0$. This means that DT=0, where D is the inner derivation of R effected by u^2 . Now, suppose that D is nontrivial. Let a, b and c be arbitrary elements of R. Obviously,

$$(*)$$
 $a'b^D + a^Db' = (ab)^{DT} = 0.$

Noting that $b^{D^2}c'=(b^Dc)^{DT}=0$ and $b^Dc'=-b'c^D$, we have

$$0 = (ab^{D})'c^{D} + (ab^{D})^{D}c' = a'b^{D}c^{D} + a^{D}b^{D}c' = (a'b^{D} - a^{D}b')c^{D}$$

namely $(a'b^D - a^Db')R^D = 0$. Hence, $a'b^D - a^Db' = 0$ by Lemma 1 (4). Combining this with (*), we get $a'R^D = 0$. Again by Lemma 1 (4), a' = 0 for all $a \in R$, i.e., T = 0. This contradiction proves D = 0, which tells us that $u^2 = 0$ for all $u \in U$. But, this is impossible by Lemma 1 (5).

(2) Suppose, to the contrary, that U contains an element v not contained in [U]. In view of Lemma 4 (3), it suffices to consider the case that $C \cap U = 0$. Let u be an arbitrary element of U. If $uv^2 \notin [U]$ then $(u'v^2)^3 = (uv^2)'^3 = 0$ by Lemma 4. On the other hand, if $uv^2 \in [U]$ then it

is easy to see that either $v + uv^2 \in [U]$ or $v - uv^2 \in [U]$ (Lemma 2 (1)). Hence, by Lemma 4,

$$(u'v^2)^4 = (u'v^2)\{(u'v^2)^3 + v'(u'v^2)^2 + v'^2(u'v^2)\} = u'v^2(v + uv^2)^{3} = 0$$

or

$$(u'v^2)^4 = (u'v^2)\{(u'v^2)^3 - v'(u'v^2)^2 + v'^2(u'v^2)\} = u'v^2(v - uv^2)^3 = 0.$$

Therefore $(u'v^2)^4=0$ for all $u \in U$. Now, choose $r \in R$ such that $r' \neq 0$. Then, $r'u=(ru)'-ru' \in U'$ for all $u \in U$, i.e., $r'U \subseteq U'$, and hence U' contains a nonzero ideal Rr'U. Since $Rr'Uv^2$ is a nil left ideal of bounded index, we get $v^2=0$ by Lemma 1 (5). But, this is impossible by Lemma 4 (2).

We are now ready to complete the proof of our theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. For the convenience of notation, let us write $x^T = x'$.

(1) We put $W = U \cap U'$. Obviously, U' is a prime ring and W' is a nonzero ideal of U'. It is well known that $C' \subseteq C$. According to Corollary 1, T is centralizing on U. Now, by Jacobi's identity, we have [[u,u''],u'] = 0 for all $u \in U$, and so

$$[u,u'][u'',u'] = [u'[u,u''] + [u,u']u'' + [u,u']u',u']$$

$$= [[u,u'u''] + [uu',u'],u']$$

$$= [[u+uu',(u+uu')'],u'] = 0.$$

Hence, [x,x']=0 for all $x \in U'$. Linearizing [x,x']=0 gives [x,y']=[x',y] for all $x, y \in U'$, and then

$$(x-x')[x,y']=x[x,y']-[x,x'y']=x[x',y]-[x',xy]=0.$$

Hence, noting that z'[x,y']=[x,(zy)']-[x,z']y', we see that (x-x')W'[x,y']=0 $(x, y \in W)$. Then, since U' is a prime ring, we have $W=V_W(W') \cup K$, where $V_W(W')$ is the centralizer of W' in W and $K=\{x \in W \mid x'=x\}$. In view of Lemma 1 (2), $W \neq K$, and so $W=V_W(W')$ (by Brauer's trick). In particular, the nonzero ideal $W \cap W'$ of U' is commutative. Now, U' is commutative by Lemma 1 (1), and hence so is R by the same lemma.

(2) In view of Corollary 2 (1), T is centralizing on U. We consider the ring $R_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in R \}$ with center $C_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in C \}$, where R is regarded as a subring of R_1 in an obvious way. As is easily seen, T gives rise to a ring homomorphism $x \to x^* = \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$ of R into R_1 and $[u^*, u]$

 $\in C_1$ for all $u \in U$. First, we claim that [u',u]=0, or equivalently $[u^*,u]=0$, for all $u \in U$. If R is of characteristic 2, then

$$0 = [[u + uu', (u + uu')'], u] = [[uu', u'] + [u, (uu')'], u]$$

= $[u', u]^2 + [u[u, u''], u].$

Since $[u,u'']=[u,u']' \in C$, the last shows that $[u',u]^2=0$, and hence [u',u]=0. On the other hand, if R is of characteristic not 2, then

$$4 \binom{0}{0} \underbrace{u^{2}[u',u]}_{0} = 2(u^{*},u)[u^{*},u] = [(u^{2})^{*},u^{2}] \in C_{1},$$

i.e., $u^2[u',u] \in C$. Hence, $0=[u',u^2[u',u]]=2[u',u]^2u$, and therefore [u',u]=0.

Now, linearizing $[u^*,u]=0$ gives $[u,v^*]=[u^*,v]$ for all $u,v\in U$, and then

$$(u-u^*)[u,v^*]=u[u,v^*]-[u,u^*v^*]=u[u^*,v]-[u^*,uv]=0.$$

Hence, noting that $x^*[u,v^*]=[u,(xv)^*]-[u,x^*]v^*$ ($u,v\in U,x\in R$), we get $(u^*-u)x^*[u,v^*]=0$, which becomes u'x[u,v]=0, i.e., u'R[u,v]=0. Thus, we get $U=V_U(U)\cup K$, where $K=\{u\in U\mid u'=0\}$. Since $U\neq K$ by Lemma 1 (3), U coincides with its center, and therefore R is commutative by Lemma 1 (1).

Corollary 3. Let U be a nonzero ideal of a prime ring R.

- (1) Let T be a nontrivial ring endomorphism of R. If T induces a semicentralizing endomorphism of U, U^T is an ideal of U and $U^{T^2} \neq 0$, then R is commutative.
- (2) Let T be a nontrivial derivation of R. If T induces a centralizing (resp. skew-centralizing) derivation of U, then R is commutative.

Proof. U is a prime ring and T is nontrivial on U (Lemma 1 (2) and (3)). Hence, U is commutative by Theorem 1, and therefore so is R by Lemma 1 (1).

REFERENCES

- B. FELZENSZWALB and A. GIAMBRUNO: A commutativity theorem for rings with derivations, Pacific J. Math. 102 (1982), 41—45.
- [2] I.N. HERSTEIN: Topics in Ring Theory, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1969.
- [3] A. KAYA and C. Koç: Semicentralizing automorphisms of prime rings, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 38 (1981), 53—55.

- [4] J.H. MAYNE: Centralizing automorphisms of prime rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 19 (1979). 113—115.
- [5] J.H. MAYNE: Ideals and centralizing mappings in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 (1982), 211—212.
- [6] E.C. POSNER: Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093—1100.
- [7] M.F. SMILEY: Remarks on the commutativity of rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959), 466—470.

OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA, JAPAN ERCIYES UNIVERSITY, KAYSERI, TURKEY OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA, JAPAN

(Received January 17, 1983)

Added in proof. After the submission of this paper, the authors received from Prof. J.H. Mayne an erratum sheet that corrects the proofs of [5, Theorem and Corollary] and a copy of his paper entitled "Centralizing mappings of prime rings" (submitted to Canad. Math. Bull.), where he has improved [5, Theorem].