

## ON A THEOREM OF M.S. PUTCHA AND A. YAQUB

HIROAKI KOMATSU

Recently, M.S. Putcha and A. Yaqub [3] proved the following : Let  $S$  be a multiplicative subsemigroup of the ring  $M_n(F)$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices over an arbitrary field  $F$ . Suppose that  $S$  contains all scalar matrices and suppose, further, that  $a \in S$  always implies that  $a+I \in S$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity  $n \times n$  matrix. Then  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $M_n(F)$ .

Our present objective is to prove the following theorem and deduce several generalizations of the above result.

**Theorem.** *Let  $S$  be a multiplicative subsemigroup of a ring  $R$  with 1. Suppose that  $S$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and suppose, further, that  $a \in S$  always implies that  $-a \in S$  and  $a+1 \in S$ . Then  $S$  is a subring of  $R$ .*

In preparation for the proof of our theorem, we establish the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup, and  $a$  a strongly  $\pi$ -regular element of  $S$ , namely  $a^n = a^{2n}b = ca^{2n}$  for some positive integer  $n$  and some  $b, c \in S$ . Let  $d = a^n b^2$  and  $e = a^n d$ . Then  $ad = da$  and  $e$  is an idempotent such that  $ae = ea$  and  $a^n e = a^{2n} d = a^n$ .*

*Proof.* See the proof of [1, Lemma 1].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $S$  be as in Theorem. Let  $a, b \in S$ .*

- (1) *If  $ab = 0$  then  $a+b \in S$ .*
- (2) *If  $a$  is invertible then  $a+b \in S$ .*
- (3) *If  $a$  is nilpotent then  $a+b \in S$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $a+b = -\{-(a+1)(b+1)+1\} \in S$ .

(2) Since  $a^{-1} \in S$  by Lemma 1, we get  $a+b = a(1+a^{-1}b) \in S$ .

(3) Since  $a+1$  is invertible,  $a+b = -[-\{(a+1)+b\}+1] \in S$  by (2).

We are now ready to complete the proof of our theorem.

*Proof of Theorem.* Let  $a, b$  be arbitrary elements of  $S$ . We have to show that  $a+b \in S$ . According to Lemma 2 (2) and (3), we may assume that  $a$  is neither invertible nor nilpotent. Then, by Lemma 1, we can easily see that  $S$  contains a non-trivial idempotent  $e$  such that  $ae = ea$  is invertible in  $eRe$  and  $a(1-e)$  is nilpotent. Note that all the hypotheses in

Theorem are inherited by  $eSe(\subseteq eRe)$  and  $(1-e)S(1-e)(\subseteq(1-e)R(1-e))$ . Hence, by Lemma 2 (2) and (3),  $e(a+b)e = ae + ebe \in eSe \subseteq S$  and  $(1-e)(a+b)(1-e) = a(1-e) + (1-e)b(1-e) \in (1-e)S(1-e) \subseteq S$ . Since  $e(a+b)e \cdot (1-e)(a+b)(1-e) = 0$  and both  $e(a+b)(1-e) = eb(1-e)$  and  $(1-e)(a+b)e = (1-e)be$  are nilpotent elements in  $S$ , Lemma 2 (1) and (3) prove that  $a+b = e(a+b)e + (1-e)(a+b)(1-e) + e(a+b)(1-e) + (1-e)(a+b)e \in S$ .

In advance of stating the first corollary, we introduce the following definition: A ring  $A$  with 1 is said to be *right integral* over a unital subring  $B$ , if for each  $a \in A$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a^i B = \sum_{i=0}^n a^i B$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be a right integral extension of a division ring  $D$ . Let  $S$  be a multiplicative subsemigroup of  $R$ . Suppose that  $S$  contains  $D$  and suppose, further, that  $a \in S$  always implies that  $a+1 \in S$ . Then  $S$  is a subring of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a$  be an arbitrary element of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is a right integral extension of  $D$ , we can easily see that  $a^m = a^{m+1}a_0$  with some positive integer  $m$  and some  $a_0 \in \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a^i D$ . Hence, by [2, Proposition 2],  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. Henceforth, we let  $a$  be an arbitrary element of  $S$ . Since every element of  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a^i D$  is of the form  $a^k(a^n a_n + \cdots + 1)a$  ( $a, a_i \in D$ ), an easy induction proves that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a^i D \subseteq S$ . Thus,  $a^n = a^{2n}b = ca^{2n}$  for some positive integer  $n$  and some  $b \in S$  and  $c \in R$ . Now, by Lemma 1, setting  $d = a^n b^2 \in S$ , we get  $a^{2n}d = da^{2n} = a^n$ . This implies that  $S$  is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular semigroup. Hence,  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  by Theorem.

The following are immediate consequences of Corollary 1.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $S$  be a multiplicative subsemigroup of an algebraic algebra  $R$  with 1 over a field  $F$ . Suppose that  $S$  contains  $F(=F \cdot 1)$  and suppose, further, that  $a \in S$  always implies  $a+1 \in S$ . Then  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $R$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $D$  be a division ring, and  $S$  a multiplicative subsemigroup of  $M_n(D)$ . Suppose that  $S$  contains all scalar matrices and suppose, further, that  $a \in S$  always implies that  $a+I \in S$ . Then  $S$  is a subring of  $M_n(D)$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] G. AZUMAYA: Strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, 13 (1954), 34—39.
- [ 2 ] Y. HIRANO: Some studies on strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 20 (1978), 141—149.
- [ 3 ] M.S. PUTCHA and A. YAQUB: Matrix semigroups closed under scalar transformations, Linear and Multilinear Algebra (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

*(Received December 9, 1981)*