

## COMMUTATIVITY THEOREMS FOR CERTAIN RINGS

To Professor Gorô Azumaya on his sixtieth birthday

YASUYUKI HIRANO, MOTOSHI HONGAN  
and HISAO TOMINAGA

Throughout the present paper,  $R$  will represent an associative ring with center  $C$ , and  $N$  the set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ . Following [13],  $R$  is called a *left  $s$ -unital* (resp.  *$s$ -unital*) ring if for each  $x \in R$  there holds  $x \in Rx$  (resp.  $x \in Rx \cap xR$ ). If  $R$  is a left  $s$ -unital (resp.  $s$ -unital) ring then for any finite subset  $F$  of  $R$  there exists an element  $e$  in  $R$  such that  $ex = x$  (resp.  $ex = xe = x$ ) for all  $x \in F$  (see [13, Theorem 1] and [12, Lemma 1]). Such an element  $e$  will be called a *left pseudo-identity* (resp. *pseudo-identity*) of  $F$ . By [6, Proposition 2], the following conditions are equivalent :

- a) For every  $x \in R$  there exists an element  $x'$  in the subring generated by  $x$  and a positive integer  $m$  such that  $x^m = x^{m-1}x'$ .
- b) For every  $x \in R$  there exist positive integers  $m, k$  such that  $x^m = x^{m+k}$ .
- c) For every  $x \in R$  there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $x^m = x^{2m}$ .

If  $R$  satisfies one of the above equivalent conditions, following [6], we term  $R$  a *periodic ring*. Finally,  $R$  is said to be *normal* if every idempotent of  $R$  is in  $C$ .

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following commutativity theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R$  be a left  $s$ -unital periodic ring, and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. Suppose that i)  $N$  is  $n$ -torsion free, ii)  $[x, [x, u]] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $u \in N$ , iii)  $x - y \in N$  implies that  $x^n = y^n$  or both  $x$  and  $y$  commute with all elements of  $N$ . Then  $R$  is a subdirect sum of local commutative rings.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital periodic ring, and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. Suppose that i)  $N$  is  $n$ -torsion free, ii)  $[x, [x, u]] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $u \in N$ , iii)'  $x - y \in N$  implies that  $x^n - y^n \in C$ . If  $(n, q^n - 1) = 1$  for all prime factors  $q$  of  $n$  and for all positive integers  $\alpha$  (especially, if  $n$  is a power of a prime), then  $R$  is a subdirect sum of local commutative rings.*

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring, and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. If  $N$  is  $n$ -torsion free, then the following are equivalent :*

- 1)  $R$  is commutative.
- 2)  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identities  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$  and  $[x^k, [x^k, y^k]] = 0$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer with  $(n, k) = 1$ .
- 3)  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identities  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$  and  $[x^k, (xy)^k - (yx)^k] = 0$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer with  $(n, k) = 1$ .
- 4)  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identities  $(xy)^n - x^n y^n = 0$  and  $(xy)^{n-1} - x^{n-1} y^{n-1} = 0$ .
- 5)  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $(xy)^n - (yx)^n = 0$ .

Theorem 1 is a slight generalization of [2, Theorem 3], and Theorem 2 is related to [1, Theorem]. The proof of these theorems will be given in § 1. Theorem 3 contains [4, Theorem 1] and all the results in [3], and § 2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.

1. In advance of proving Theorems 1 and 2, we establish the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** (1) *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. If  $[a, [a, b]] = 0$ , then  $[a^n, b] = na^{n-1}[a, b]$ .*

(2) *Suppose that ii)'  $a^2 = 0$  implies  $a \in C$ . Then  $R$  is normal.*

(3) *If ii) is satisfied, then  $R$  is normal.*

(4) *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Suppose that  $x - y \in N$  implies that  $x^n - y^n \in C$  or  $xy = yx$ . Then  $ux^n = x^n u$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $u \in N$ , and necessarily  $R$  is normal.*

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are well known.

(3) Let  $e$  be an arbitrary idempotent of  $R$ . Given  $x \in R$ , we readily see that  $(ex - exe)^2 = 0$ . Hence, by ii), it follows that  $ex - exe = [e, [ex - exe]] = 0$ , i. e.  $ex = exe$ . Similarly, we obtain  $xe = exe$ .

(4) It suffices to show that if  $ux \neq xu$  then  $ux^n = x^n u$ . Since  $(u+x) - x \in N$  and  $(u+x)x \neq x(u+x)$ , we have  $c = (u+x)^n - x^n \in C$ . Hence,  $(u+x)x^n = (u+x)\{(u+x)^n - c\} = \{(u+x)^n - c\}(u+x) = x^n(u+x)$ , which simplifies to  $ux^n = x^n u$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R$  be a left  $s$ -unital periodic ring, and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. If hypotheses i) and iii) are satisfied, then nilpotent elements of  $R$  commute with each other.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $uv \neq vu$  for some  $u, v \in N$ . Let  $e$  be a left

pseudo-identity of  $\{u, v\}$ . Since  $R$  is periodic, there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $e^m = e^{2m}$ . Then, by Lemma 1 (4),  $f = e^m$  is a central idempotent with  $fu = u$  and  $fv = v$ . Obviously,  $(u + f)v \neq v(u + f)$ , and hence by hypothesis iii),  $(u + f)^n = f^n$ . Therefore,  $u^n + \dots + \binom{n}{2}u^2 + nu = 0$ . For some  $k \geq 2$ ,  $u^k = 0$  and  $u^{k-1} \neq 0$ . Then

$$nu^{k-1} = \{u^n + \dots + \binom{n}{2}u^2 + nu\}u^{k-2} = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. This contradiction shows that nilpotent elements of  $R$  commute with each other.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital periodic ring, and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. If hypotheses i), ii) and iii)' are satisfied, then nilpotent elements of  $R$  commute with each other.*

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in N$ , and  $e$  a pseudo-identity of  $\{u, v\}$ . By Lemma 1 (1) and (4), we can easily see that  $n[u, v]v^{n-1} = 0$  and  $n[u, v](e + v)^{n-1} = n[u, e + v](e + v)^{n-1} = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} n[u, v]v^{n-2} &= n\{[u, v] + (n-1)[u, v]v + \dots + [u, v]v^{n-1}\}v^{n-2} \\ &= n[u, v](e + v)^{n-1}v^{n-2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same procedure, we obtain eventually  $n[u, v] = 0$ . From the proof of [11, Lemma], we can easily see that both  $uv$  and  $vu$  are nilpotent. Since  $[uv, vu] = [uv, [v, u]] = u[v, [v, u]] + [u, [v, u]]v = 0$  by ii),  $[u, v]$  is seen to be nilpotent. Hence, by i),  $uv = vu$ .

As was claimed in [9] (cf. also Lemma 1 (3)), a careful examination of the proof of [11, Theorem] shows that the following is still valid.

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose that i)' nilpotent elements of  $R$  commute with each other. If  $R$  is a periodic ring satisfying ii), then  $R$  is a subdirect sum of local commutative rings and nil commutative rings.*

Finally, careful scrutiny of the proof of [1, Theorem] generalizes the part (b) of the theorem as follows:

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $R$  be a periodic ring, and  $n$  a fixed positive integer. If hypotheses i)' and iii)' are satisfied, and if  $(n, q^n - 1) = 1$  for all prime factors  $q$  of  $n$  and for all positive integers  $\alpha$ , then  $R$  is a subdirect sum of local commutative rings and nil commutative rings.*

*Proof of Theorems 1 and 2.* Theorem 1 is an easy combination of

Lemmas 2 and 4. In fact, if  $R$  is a left  $s$ -unital periodic ring then hypotheses i) and iii) imply i)' (Lemma 2), and hence  $R$  is a subdirect sum of local commutative rings (Lemma 4). Theorem 2 is a combination of Lemmas 3 and 5.

**Remark.** Let  $R$  be a normal periodic ring satisfying i)'. Then, from the proof of [11, Lemma],  $N$  is seen to be a commutative nil ideal, whence it follows that  $[u, x]^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $u \in N$ . In particular, if  $R$  is a periodic ring satisfying i)' and ii)', then the hypothesis ii) is satisfied. Combining this with Lemma 2, we see that in Lemma 4 and Theorem 1 the hypothesis ii) may be replaced by ii)'.

2. In preparation for the proof of Theorem 3, first we borrow several results of the previous paper [10], which are summarized in Lemmas 6 and 7 below (see [10, Lemma 1 and Theorem]).

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer, and  $a, b \in R$ .*

(1) *If  $e$  is a pseudo-identity of  $\{a, b\}$ , then  $a^m[a, b] = 0$  and  $(a + e)^m[a + e, b] = 0$  imply  $[a, b] = 0$ .*

(2) *Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring. If  $x^m[x, b] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $b$  is in  $C$ .*

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1)  *$R$  is commutative.*
- 2) *For each pair of elements  $x, y$  of  $R$ , there exist relatively prime, positive integers  $n$  and  $k$  such that  $(xy)^n - (yx)^n = 0$  and  $(xy)^k - (yx)^k = 0$ .*
- 3) *There exist positive integers  $n, k$  with  $(n, k) = 1$  such that  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identities  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$  and  $[x^k, y^k] = 0$ .*

Next, we state the following lemma which is evident by [5, Theorem 3], [7, Theorem 1] and [8, Theorem].

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $m, n$  be positive integers. If  $R$  satisfies one of the polynomial identities  $(xy)^n - (yx)^n = 0$ ,  $(xy)^{n+1} - x^{n+1}y^{n+1} = 0$  and  $[x^n, y^m] = 0$ , then the commutator ideal  $D(R)$  of  $R$  is contained in  $N$  (and  $N$  is an ideal of  $R$ ).*

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring, and let  $n, k$  be positive integers such that  $(n, k) = 1$ . If  $k > 1$  and  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identities*

$(xy)^n - (yx)^n = 0$  and  $(x+y)^k - x^k - y^k = 0$ , then  $R$  is commutative.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be arbitrary elements of  $R$ . Since  $[x, y]$  is nilpotent by Lemma 8, there exists a positive integer  $\alpha$  such that  $[x, y]^{k^\alpha} = 0$ . Then, we can easily see that  $(xy)^{k^\alpha} - (yx)^{k^\alpha} = 0$ . Hence,  $R$  is commutative by Lemma 7.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring, and let  $a, b \in R$ . Suppose that  $N$  is  $n$ -torsion free and  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$ . If  $[a, [a, b]] = [b, [a, b]] = 0$ , then  $[a, b] = 0$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 8,  $N$  is an ideal containing  $D(R)$ . Let  $e$  be a pseudo-identity of  $\{a, b\}$ . By Lemma 1 (1) and the hypothesis that  $N$  is  $n$ -torsion free, we conclude  $a^{n-1}[a, b^n] = 0$ . Similarly,  $(a+e)^{n-1}[a+e, b^n] = 0$ . Then  $[a, b^n] = 0$  by Lemma 6 (1). Again by Lemma 1 (1) and the hypothesis that  $N$  is  $n$ -torsion free, we obtain  $b^{n-1}[a, b] = 0$ . Now, repeating the above argument for  $b+e$  instead of  $b$ , we can see that  $(b+e)^{n-1}[a, b+e] = 0$ . Hence,  $[a, b] = 0$  by Lemma 6 (1).

The next lemma will be followed by an efficient corollary.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring, and  $b \in R$ . Suppose that  $D(R)$  is nil and  $N$  is  $n$ -torsion free. If  $[x^n, b] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $[u, b] = 0$  for all  $u \in N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e$  be a pseudo-identity of  $\{u, b\}$ . Since  $u$  is nilpotent, there exists a minimal positive integer  $m$  such that  $[u^k, b] = 0$  for all integers  $k \geq m$ . If  $m \geq 2$ , then

$$0 = [(e + u^{nm-1})^n, b] = [e^n + nu^{nm-1} + \dots + u^{(m-1)n}, b] = n[u^{m-1}, b],$$

and hence  $[u^{m-1}, b] = 0$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $m$ . Thus,  $m = 1$ , and  $[u, b] = 0$ .

**Corollary 3.** Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring. Suppose that  $N$  is  $n$ -torsion free.

- (1) If  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $[x^n, y] = 0$ , then  $R$  is commutative.
- (2) If  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$ , then  $[u, y^n] = 0$  for all  $y \in R$  and  $u \in N$ .
- (3) If  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$ , then  $[u, v] = 0$  for all  $u, v \in N$ .

*Proof.* (1) By Lemmas 8 and 9,  $D(R) \subseteq N \subseteq C$ . Hence, by Lemma 1 (1), it follows  $nx^{n-1}[x, y] = [x^n, y] = 0$ . Since  $N$  is  $n$ -torsion free, we have  $x^{n-1}[x, y] = 0$ , and hence by Lemma 6 (2),  $[x, y] = 0$ .

(2) and (3) are immediate from Lemmas 8 and 9.

Finally, we shall prove the following

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring. If  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$ , then  $k[x^n, y] = 0$  for some positive integer  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be arbitrary elements of  $R$ , and  $e$  a pseudo-identity of  $\{x, y\}$ . Then  $[x^n, (y+e)^n] = 0$  together with  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$  implies

$$[x^n, ny] + [x^n, \binom{n}{2}y^2] + \cdots + [x^n, ny^{n-1}] = 0.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $iy$  in this identity, we obtain

$$i[x^n, ny] + i^2[x^n, \binom{n}{2}y^2] + \cdots + i^{n-1}[x^n, ny^{n-1}] = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1).$$

Hence,  $nd[x^n, y] = d[x^n, ny] = 0$ , where  $d (\neq 0)$  is the determinant of the matrix of integer coefficients in the above equations.

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Obviously, 1) implies 2) — 5).

2) implies 1). By Corollary 2,  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $[x^k, y^k] = 0$ . Hence, it follows that  $R$  is commutative, by Lemma 7.

3) implies 1). By Corollary 3 (3),  $N$  is commutative. Moreover,  $D(R) \subseteq N$  by Lemma 8, and hence  $N$  is a commutative ideal. Now, it is a routine to verify that  $N^2 \subseteq C$ . Let  $x \in R$ ,  $u \in N$ , and  $e$  a pseudo-identity of  $\{x, u\}$ . In view of  $N^2 \subseteq C$ , we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \{x(u+e)\}^k &= (xu+x)^k \equiv x^k + x^k u + xux^{k-1} + \cdots + x^{k-1}ux \pmod{C} \\ \{(u+e)x\}^k &= (ux+x)^k \equiv x^k + ux^k + xux^{k-1} + \cdots + x^{k-1}ux \pmod{C}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $[x^k, u] \equiv \{x(u+e)\}^k - \{(u+e)x\}^k \pmod{C}$ . Since  $[x^k, \{x(u+e)\}^k] - \{(u+e)x\}^k = 0$ , it follows that  $[x^k, [x^k, u]] = 0 = [x^k + e, [x^k + e, u]]$ . By Corollary 3 (2),  $[x^k, u] = 0$  and  $[(x^k + e)^n, u] = 0$ . Hence, by Lemma 1 (1) and Lemma 6 (1), we conclude that  $[x^k, u] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $u \in N$ . Especially, recalling that  $D(R) \subseteq N$ , we see that for any  $x', y'$  in the subring  $R'$  generated by all  $k$ -th powers of elements of  $R$  the commutator  $[x', y']$  is in the center of  $R'$ . Then, by Corollary 2,  $R'$  is commutative, namely  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $[x^k, y^k] = 0$ . Thus,  $R$  is commutative by Lemma 7.

4) implies 1). By Lemma 8,  $N$  is an ideal containing  $D(R)$ . Let  $x, y$  be arbitrary elements of  $R$ , and  $e$  a pseudo-identity of  $\{x, y\}$ . Then

$$x[x^n, y]y^n = x^{n+1}y^{n+1} - xyx^n y^n = x^{n+1}y^{n+1} - xy(xy)^n = 0,$$

and similarly  $x[x^n, y+e](y+e)^n = 0$ . Hence,

$$x[x^n, y]y^{n-1} = x[x^n, y+e](y+e)^{n-1} = 0.$$

Repeating this argument, we obtain eventually  $x[x^n, y] = 0$ . If  $x^m = 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \{e - x + x^2 - \cdots + (-x)^{m-1}\}(e+x)[(e+x)^n, y] = [(e+x)^n, y] \\ &= n[x, y] + [x_1, y] \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1 = \binom{n}{2}x^2 + \cdots + x^n$ . As an immediate consequence, we see that  $x^2 = 0$  implies  $[x, y] = 0$ . Now, by induction method, we assume that every nilpotent element of index at most  $m-1$  is central. Then, according to  $x_1^{m-1} = 0$ , we readily obtain  $0 = n[x, y]$ , and therefore  $[x, y] = 0$ . Thus, we have proved that  $N \subseteq C$ . Hence,  $[x, y] \in C$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Combining this with  $x[x^n, y] = 0$ , by Lemma 1 (1) we obtain  $0 = x[x^n, y] = nx^n[x, y]$ . We have therefore seen that  $R$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $x^n[x, y] = 0$ . So,  $R$  is commutative by Lemma 6 (2).

5) implies 1). Let  $a \in R$ ,  $u \in N$ , and  $e$  a pseudo-identity of  $\{a, u\}$ . If  $u_0$  is the quasi-inverse of  $u$ , then  $eu_0 = u_0e = u_0$  and the map  $\sigma: R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $x \rightarrow x - u_0x - xu + u_0xu$  is a ring automorphism of  $R$ . By hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} a^n &= \{(e-u)(e-u_0)a\}^n = \{(e-u_0)a(e-u)\}^n \\ &= \sigma(a)^n = \sigma(a^n) = (e-u_0)a^n(e-u), \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows  $[u, a^n] = 0$ . Now, let  $R^*$  be the subring generated by all  $n$ -th powers of elements of  $R$ . Then, by the above, the set  $N^*$  of nilpotent elements of  $R^*$  is contained in the center  $C^*$  of  $R^*$ . Moreover, by Lemma 8,  $D(R^*)$  is nil and thus  $R^*/N^*$  is commutative. Let  $x^*, y^* \in R^*$ . Then  $x^{*n}y^{*n} - (x^*y^*)^n \in N^* \subseteq C^*$ , and hence by hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} x^{*n}[x^*, y^{*n}] &= [x^*, x^{*n}y^{*n}] = [x^*, (x^*y^*)^n] = x^*(x^*y^*)^n - (x^*y^*)^n x^* \\ &= x^*(x^*y^*)^n - x^*(y^*x^*)^n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R^*$  is obviously  $s$ -unital, Lemma 6 (2) implies that  $[x^*, y^{*n}] = 0$  for all  $x^*, y^* \in R^*$ . Then,  $[x^*, y^*] = 0$  by Corollary 3 (1). Thus,  $[x^n, y^n] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Choose a positive integer  $k$  such that  $k[x^n, y] = 0$  (Lemma 10). As was shown at the opening,  $[x^n, u] = 0$  for all  $u \in N$ . Since  $[x^n, y] \in N$  (Lemma 8), we obtain therefore  $[x^{nk}, y] = kx^{n(k-1)}[x^n, y] = 0$ , by Lemma 1 (1). Hence,  $x^{n^2k}y^n = (x^{nk}y)^n = (x^{nk-1}yx)^n = x^{n^2k-1}y^n x$ , namely

$x^{n^2k-1}[x, y^n] = 0$ . Thus, by Lemma 6 (2), it follows  $[x, y^n] = 0$ , and therefore  $R = C$  again by Corollary 3 (1).

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. ABU-KHUZAM and A. YAQUB: Structure and commutativity of rings with constraints on nilpotent elements. II, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **21** (1979), 165—166.
- [2] H. ABU-KHUZAM and A. YAQUB: Some conditions for commutativity of rings with constraints on nilpotent elements, Math. Japonica **24** (1979), 549—551.
- [3] H. ABU-KHUZAM and A. YAQUB:  $n$ -torsion free rings with commuting powers, Math. Japonica **25** (1980), 37—42.
- [4] H. E. BELL: On the power map and ring commutativity, Canad. Math. Bull. **21** (1978), 398—404.
- [5] L. P. BELUCE, I. N. HERSTEIN and S. K. JAIN: Generalized commutative rings, Nagoya Math. J. **27** (1966), 1—5.
- [6] M. CHACRON: On a theorem of Herstein, Canad. J. Math. **31** (1969), 1348—1353.
- [7] I. N. HERSTEIN: Power maps in rings, Michigan Math. J. **8** (1961), 29—32.
- [8] I. N. HERSTEIN: A commutativity theorem, J. Algebra **38** (1976), 112—118.
- [9] Y. HIRANO, S. IKEHATA and H. TOMINAGA: Commutativity theorems of Oucalt-Yaqub type, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **21** (1979), 21—24.
- [10] M. HONGAN and H. TOMINAGA: A commutativity theorem for  $s$ -unital rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **21** (1979), 11—14.
- [11] S. IKEHATA and H. TOMINAGA: A commutativity theorem, Math. Japonica **24** (1979), 29—30.
- [12] I. MOGAMI and M. HONGAN: Note on commutativity of rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **20** (1978), 21—24.
- [13] H. TOMINAGA: On  $s$ -unital rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **18** (1976), 117—134.

HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY  
TSUYAMA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(*Received July 5, 1979*)

(*Revised October 27, 1979*)

**Added in proof.** In case  $R$  is a ring with identity, H. E. Bell [Math. Japonica **24** (1979), 473—478] has proved that if  $R$  is  $n$ -torsion free and satisfies the polynomial identity  $(xy)^n - (yx)^n = 0$  then  $R$  is commutative.