## ON SEPARABLE POLYNOMIALS OF DEGREE 2 IN SKEW POLYNOMIAL RINGS III

Dedicated to Prof. Gorô. Azumaya on his 60th birthday

## TAKASI NAGAHARA

Throughout this paper, B will mean a (non-commutative) ring with identity element 1 which has an automorphism  $\rho$  and a derivation D so that  $\rho D = D \rho$  and  $D(ab) = D(a) \rho(b) + a D(b)$  ( $a, b \in B$ ). By  $B[X; \rho, D]$ , we denote the ring of all polynomials  $\sum_i X^i b_i$  ( $b_i \in B$ ) with an indeterminate X whose multiplication is given by  $bX = X \rho(b) + D(b)$  ( $b \in B$ ). For a monic polynomial  $f \in B[X; \rho, D]$ , if  $fB[X; \rho, D] = B[X; \rho, D] f$  and the factor ring  $B[X; \rho, D]/fB[X; \rho, D]$  is separable (resp. Galois (resp. Frobenius)) over B then f will be called to be separable (resp. Galois (resp. Frobenius)). Moreover, by  $B[X; \rho, D]_2$ , we denote the subset of  $B[X; \rho, D]$  of all polynomials  $f = X^2 - Xa - b$  with  $fB[X; \rho, D] = B[X; \rho, D] f$ .

The purpose of this note is to study separable polynomials in  $B[X; \rho, D]_2$  under the condition  $2^nB=2^{n+1}B$  for some integer  $n \ge 0$  (Ths. 2 and 3). Obviously, this condition is fulfilled if B satisfies the descending chain condition on two-sided ideals.

As to notations and terminologies used here we follow the previous one  $\lceil 4 \rceil$ .

Now, let  $\alpha$  be an element in B such that  $\rho(\alpha) = \alpha$ ,  $D(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $\alpha B = B\alpha$ , and  $\alpha^n B = \alpha^{n+1}B$  for some integer  $n \ge 0$ . Then the annihilator  $\operatorname{Ann}(\alpha^n)$  of  $\alpha^n$  in B is a two-sided ideal of B. Since  $\alpha^n B = \alpha^{2n}B$  and  $\operatorname{Ann}(\alpha^n) = \operatorname{Ann}(\alpha^{2n})$ , it follows that  $B = \alpha^n B \oplus \operatorname{Ann}(\alpha^n)$  (direct sum). Here we write  $1 = e_1 + e_2$  where  $e_1 \in \alpha^n B$  and  $e_2 \in \operatorname{Ann}(\alpha^n)$ . Then the  $e_i$  are central idempotents of B which are orthogonal. Moreover  $e_1 B = \alpha^n B$  and  $e_2 B = \operatorname{Ann}(\alpha^n)$ . Since  $\rho(\alpha^n B) = \alpha^n B$  and  $D(\alpha^n B) \subset \alpha^n B$ , we have  $\rho(e_1) = e_1$  and  $D(e_1) = 0$ . This shows that  $\rho(e_2) = e_2$  and  $D(e_2) = 0$ . Hence  $\rho(e_2 B) = e_2 B$  and  $D(e_2 B) \subset e_2 B$ . Thus, if  $B \supseteq \alpha^n B \supseteq \{0\}$  then we have that for  $f \in B[X; \rho, D]$ ,

$$e_i f \in e_i B[X; \rho | e_i B, D | e_i B]$$
  $(i = 1, 2)$ 

where  $\rho | e_i B$  and  $D | e_i B$  are restrictions of  $\rho$  and D to  $e_i B$  respectively. In this paper, we denote  $e_i$  by  $e_i(\alpha)$  (i = 1, 2).

First, we shall prove the following

Lemma 1. Let  $\alpha$  be an element in B such that  $\rho(\alpha) = \alpha$ ,  $D(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $\alpha B = B\alpha$ , and  $B \supseteq \alpha^n B = \alpha^{n+1} B \supseteq \{0\}$  for some integer  $n \ge 0$ .

- (i) For  $f \in B[X; \rho, D]$ , f is separable (resp. Frobenius) if and only if each  $e(\alpha)f$  is separable (resp. Frobenius) in  $e(\alpha)B[X; \rho]e(\alpha)B$ ,  $D[e(\alpha)B]$ .
- (ii) For  $f \in B[X; \rho, D]$  of degree 2, f is Galois if and only if each  $e_i(\alpha)f$  is Galois in  $e_i(\alpha)B[X; \rho|e_i(\alpha)B, D|e_i(\alpha)B]$ .

*Proof.* We set  $B_i = e_i(\alpha)B$ ,  $f_i = e_i(\alpha)f$ , and

$$A_i = B_i[X; \rho | B_i, D | B_i] / f_i B_i[X; \rho | B_i, D | B_i]$$

where i=1, 2. Then we have a B-ring isomorphism

$$B[X; \rho, D]/fB[X; \rho, D] \simeq A_1 \oplus A_2$$

From this, the assertion (i) will be easily seen. To see (ii), we assume that each  $f_i$  is Galois in  $B_i[X; \rho \mid B_i, D \mid B_i]_2$ , that is, each  $A_i$  is a  $\mathfrak{G}_i$ -Galois extension of  $B_i$ . By [3, Lemma 1. 2], the  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  are of order 2. We set here  $\mathfrak{G}_i = \{1, \sigma_i\}$  (i = 1, 2). Then, there exist elements  $r_{ij}$ ,  $s_{ij} \in B_i$   $(i = 1, 2; j = 1, \dots, m)$  such that  $\sum_j r_{ij} s_{ij} = e_i(2)$  and  $\sum_j r_{ij} \sigma_i(s_{ij}) = 0$  (i = 1, 2). Now let  $\sigma$  be the map of  $A_1 \oplus A_2$  into itself defined by  $a_1 + a_2 \to \sigma_1(a_1) + \sigma_2(a_2)$   $(a_i \in A_i)$ . Obviously,  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of order 2, and the fixed subring of  $\sigma$  in  $A_1 \oplus A_2$  coincides with  $B_1 + B_2 = B$ . Moreover, we have that

$$\sum_{j} (r_{1j} + r_{2j}) (s_{1j} + s_{2j}) = 1$$
 and  $\sum_{j} (r_{1j} + r_{2j}) \sigma(s_{1j} + s_{2j}) = 0$ .

This shows that  $A_1 \oplus A_2$  is Galois over B. Thus f is Galois. The converse is obvious, completing the proof.

Now, we shall prove the following theorem which is a partial generalization of the result of [4, Th. 16].

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $2^nB=2^{n-1}B$  for some integer  $n \ge 0$  and  $B[X; \rho, D]_2$  contains an element  $g=X^2-Xu-v$  so that B=uB+2B and  $D(u) \in 2^nB$ . Then, for  $f=X^2-Xa-b \in B[X; \rho, D]_2$ , f is separable if and only if f is Galois; and in this case, there holds that B=aB+2B and  $D(a) \in 2^nB$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f = X^2 - Xa - b \in B[X; \rho, D]_2$ . As is well known, if f is Galois then it is separable. To see the converse, we assume that f is separable. We shall here distinguish three cases.

Case I.  $2^n B = B$ . In this case, 2 is inversible in B. Hence by

[4, Th. 7], f is Galois.

Case II.  $2^nB = \{0\}$ . By the assumption, we have that  $2^n = 0$  and B = uB + 2B = Bu + 2B (by [4, (i)]). Hence, by [4. Lemma 10], u and  $\delta(g) = u^2 + 4v$  are inversible in B. Moreover D(u) = 0. Since  $u^2 - u\rho(u) = 2D(u)$  ([4, (i)]), this implies that  $\rho(u) = u$ . Therefore, by [4, Th. 16], we obtain that f is Galois, B = aB + 2B, and D(a) = 0.

Case III.  $B \supseteq 2^nB \supseteq \{0\}$ . By Lemma 1, each  $e_i(2)f$  is separable in  $e_i(2)B [X; \rho|e_i(2)B, D|e_i(2)B]$ . Since  $2^ne_1(2)B = e_1(2)B$ , it follows from the result of Case I that  $e_1(2)f$  is Galois. Moreover, we have  $2^ne_2(2)B = \{0\}$ . Hence by the result of Case II,  $e_2(2)f$  is Galois,  $e_2(2)B = (e_2(2)a)e_2(2)B + 2e_2(2)B$ , and  $e_2(2)D(a) = 0$ . Therefore, it follows from Lemma 1 that f is Galois. Moreover, one will easily see that  $aB + 2B = (e_1(2) + e_2(2))(aB + 2B) = B$ , and  $D(a) \subseteq e_1(2)B = 2^nB$ . This completes the proof.

Next, we shall deal with separable polynomials in  $B[X; \rho](D=0)$ , and prove the following theorem which contains the result of [2, Cor. to Th. 3.5].

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $2^nB = 2^{n-1}B$  for some integer  $n \ge 0$ . Then, any separable polynomial in  $B[X; \rho]_2$  is Frobenius.

*Proof.* Let  $f=X^2-Xa-b$  be a separable polynomial in  $B[X; \rho]_2$ . Then, by [4, Th. 1] and [3, (2, 0)], we have  $\rho(a)=a$ ,  $\rho(b)=b$ , aB=Ba, and bB=Bb. We shall here distinguish three cases.

Case I.  $2^n B = B$ . In this case, 2 is inversible in B. Hence, by [3, Th. 2.7], f is Galois, and so, f is Frobenius.

Case II.  $2^n B = \{0\}$ . By [3, (2, x, xv, xvii], there exist elements  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  in B such that

$$1 = b(b_1 + \rho(b_1)) - ab_2, \ b_1a = \rho(b_1)a, \quad \text{and}$$

$$uv = vu \text{ for each pair } u, \ v \in \{a, b, b_1, \rho(b_1), b_2\}.$$

Then we have that

$$1 = b^{n}(b_{1} + \rho(b_{1}))^{n} + ac, \quad ac = ca$$

for some element c in B, and whence

$$a = b^{n}(b_{1} + \rho(b_{1}))^{n}a + aca = b^{n}(b_{1} + \rho(b_{1}))^{n-1}2b_{1}a + a^{2}c$$
  
=  $2^{n}b^{n}ab_{1}^{n} + a^{2}c = a^{2}c$ .

Thus, we obtain that  $aB \subset a^2B \subset aB$ , that is,  $aB = a^2B$ . By Lemma 1, each  $e_i(a)f$  is separable in  $e_i(a)B[X; \rho | e_i(a)B]$ . Since  $e_1(a)a$  is

inversible in  $e_1(a)B$ ,  $e_1(a)f$  is Frobenius by the result of [1, Th. 1(a)]. Moreover, we have  $e_2(a) = e_2(a) (b(b_1 + \rho(b_1)) - ab_2) = e_2(a)b(b_1 + \rho(b_1))$ . This implies that  $e_2(a)b$  is inversible in  $e_2(a)B$ . Hence by [1, Th. 1(b)],  $e_2(a)f$  is Frobenius. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 1 that f is Frobenius.

Case III.  $B \supseteq 2^n B \supseteq \{0\}$ . By Lemma 1, each  $e_i(2)f$  is separable in  $e_i(2)B[X; \rho|e_i(2)B]$ . Since  $2^n e_1(2)B = e_1(2)B$ ,  $e_1(2)f$  is Frobenius by the result of Case I. Moreover, we have  $(e_2(a)2)^n = 0$ . Hence  $e_2(2)f$  is Frobenius by the result of Case II. Thus, f is Frobenius by Lemma 1. This completes the proof.

We shall conclude our study with the following corollary which is an easy consequence of Th. 3.

Corollary 4. If the subring of B generated by 1 is finite then any separable polynomial in  $B[X; \rho]_2$  is Frobenius.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. IKEHATA: On a theorem of Y. Miyashita, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 21 (1979), 49—52.
- [2] Y. MIYASHITA: On a skew polynomial ring. J. Math. Soc. Japan 31 (1979), 317-330,
- [3] T. NAGAHARA; On separable polynomials of degree 2 in skew polynomial rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 19 (1976), 65-95.
- [4] T. NAGAHARA: On separable polynomials of degree 2 in skew polynomial rings II, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 21 (1979), 167—177.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received October 17, 1979)