THE MONOID STRUCTURE OF GALOIS H-DIMODULE ALGEBRAS INDUCED BY THE SMASH PRODUCT

ATSUSHI NAKAJIMA

Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and let H be a commutative cocommutative finite Hopf algebra over R. In [3], F. W. Long introduced the notion of an H-dimodule algebra as a generalization of that of an abelian group graded algebra which is acted upon by the same group. In the same point of view we shall define a Galois H-dimodule algebra as a generalization of a graded Galois algebra and a Galois H^* -object in the sense of [2]. One of the purposes of this paper is to prove that the set $\operatorname{Gal}_4(R,H)$ of H-dimodule algebra isomorphism classes of Galois H-dimodule algebras has a monoid structure which is induced by the smash product. The group of $\operatorname{Galois} H^*$ -objects $\operatorname{Gal}(R,H^*)$ can be naturally regarded as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}_4(R,H)$. In the last section, we shall give two examples for which the monoid $\operatorname{Gal}_4(R,H)$ has group structure.

0. **Preliminaries.** Throughout R will represent a fixed commutative ring with identity 1. We write \otimes and Hom instead of \otimes_R and Hom, respectively. Each module is an R-module, each map is R-linear and each algebra is an R-algebra unless otherwise stated. If M is an R-module, M^* denotes Hom(M,R). We refer to [5] for the theory of Hopf algebras. The comultiplication map and counit map of a Hopf algebra H are denoted by $A: H \to H \otimes H$ and $e: H \to R$, respectively. We use the sigma notation $A(h) = \sum_{(h)} h^{(1)} \otimes h^{(2)}$, $h \in H$. The antipode of H is denoted by A. When the structure map A of A needs explicit mention, we write $A = A_A$.

Let H be a Hopf algebra. An R-algebra A is called an H-module algebra if A is an H-module such that the H-action map $\nu: H \otimes A \to A$ is an algebra map. Similarly, A is called an H-comodule algebra if A is an H-comodule such that the H-coaction map $\chi: A \to A \otimes H$ is an algebra map. Now let K be another Hopf algebra. An K-algebra K is called an K-comodule algebra if K is an K-module algebra as well as a K-comodule algebra and

$$(0.1) \chi_{\nu} = (\nu \otimes 1) (1 \otimes \chi) : H \otimes A \to A \otimes K.$$

An (H, H)-bimodule algebra is nothing but an H-dimodule algebra in the

sense of Long [3, Def. 3. 1(iii)].

For an H-module algebra A and an H-comodule algebra B, the smash product A # B is equal to $A \otimes B$ as an R-module but with multiplication

$$(0.2) (a \# b)(c \# d) = \sum_{(b)} a(b^{(1)}c) \# b^{(0)}d, \text{where } \chi(b) = \sum_{(b)} b^{(0)} \otimes b^{(1)}.$$

Let A be an H-module algebra. An R-subalgebra B of A is called a sub H-module algebra of A if B is an H-module algebra with the structure map $\nu_B = \nu_A | H \otimes B$, the restriction of ν_A on $H \otimes B$. A sub H-comodule algebra and a sub (H, K)-bimodule algebra are defined similarly. Given H-module algebras A and B, a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ is called an H-module algebra map if f is an algebra map as well as an H-module map. An H-comodule algebra map and an (H, K)-bimodule algebra map are defined similarly.

The following lemmas are easily seen.

Lemma 0.1. Let A, B be (H, K)-bimodule algebras. Then $A \otimes B$ is an $(H \otimes H, K \otimes K)$ -bimodule algebra with respect to the following structure:

- (1) $H \otimes H$ -action: $(h_1 \otimes h_2)(a \otimes b) = h_1 a \otimes h_2 b$,
- (2) $K \otimes K$ -coaction: $\chi(a \otimes b) = \sum_{(a),(b)} a^{(0)} \otimes b^{(0)} \otimes a^{(1)} \otimes b^{(1)}$.

Lemma 0.2. Let A, B be H-dimodule algebras. Then A # B is an $H \otimes H$ -dimodule algebra with respect to the following structure:

- (1) $H \otimes H$ -action: $(h_1 \otimes h_2)(a \sharp b) = h_1 a \sharp h_2 b$,
- $(2) \quad H \otimes H\text{-coaction}: \quad \chi(a \sharp b) = \sum_{(a),(b)} a^{(0)} \sharp b^{(0)} \otimes a^{(1)} \otimes b^{(1)}.$

1. Galois H-dimodule algebras. In this section we show that $Gal_{\sharp}(R, H)$ has a monid structure which is induced by the smash product. First we define the notion of a Galois (H, K)-bimodule algebra.

Definition 1.1. Let H, K be commutative cocommutative finite Hopf algebras. An R-algebra A is called a Galois (H, K)-bimodule algebra if

- (1) A is an (H, K)-bimodule algebra,
- (2) A is a finitely generated projective faithful R-module, and
- (3) $\eta_A: A \otimes A \to \text{Hom } (H, A)$ defined by $\eta_A(a \otimes b)(h) = a(hb)$ is an isomorphism.

If H=K, then A is called a Galois H-dimodule algebra.

For Galois (H, K)-bimodule algebras A and B, a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ is called a *Galois* (H, K)-bimodule algebra map if it is an (H, K)-bimodule

algebra map.

In the following, Hopf algebras H, K be always commutative cocommutative finite Hopf algebras.

Remark 1.2. (a) Let $\{h_i, h_i^*\}$ be an R-projective coordinate system of H and let A be an (H, K)-bimodule algebra. Consider the following diagram

$$A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} \operatorname{Hom}(H, A)$$

$$\uparrow_A \qquad \qquad \downarrow \phi$$

$$A \otimes H^*$$

where $\gamma_A(a \otimes b) = \sum_i a(h_i b) \otimes h_i^*$, $\phi(f) = \sum_i f(h_i) \otimes h_i^*$, $a, b \in A$, $f \in \text{Hom}(H, A)$. Then the above diagram commutes and ϕ is an isomorphism, and so η_A is an isomorphism if and only if so is γ_A . Therefore Def.1.1(3) is equivalent to the following:

- (3') $\gamma_A: A \otimes A \to A \otimes H^*$ defined above is an isomorphism. Note that the map γ_A is independent on the choice of R-projective coordinate systems of H. In the following, $\{h_i, h_i^*\}$ will represent an R-projective coordinate system of H.
- (b) If A is a Galois (H, K)-bimodule algebra, then it is easy to see that A is a Galois H^* -object in the sense of [2, Def. 7.3]. Althought the discussion of Galois objects in [2] is limited to commutative algebras, the properties and theories of noncommutative case which we use soon later can be easily proved.
- (c) If M is a (left) H-module, then M is a (right) H^* -comodule via $\mathcal{X}_M(m) = \sum_i h_i m \bigotimes h_i^*$ $(m \in M)$. Conversely, if M is a (right) H^* -comodule then M is a (left) H-module via $hm = \sum_{(m)} m^{(1)}(h) m^{(0)}$, where $\mathcal{X}_M(m) = \sum_{(m)} m^{(0)} \bigotimes m^{(1)}$.
- (d) Let G be a finite abelian group. If H = K = RG, the group algebra of G over R, then every Galois (H, K)-bimodule algebra is a G-graded algebra which is a G-Galois extension of R.

Proposition 1.3. Let K be a Hopf algebra which is a free R-module. Let A, B be Galois (H, K)-bimodule algebras. Then the set

$$A \cdot B = \{ \sum_{i} a_{i} \otimes b_{i} \in A \otimes B | \sum_{i} h a_{i} \otimes b_{i} = \sum_{i} a_{i} \otimes h b_{i} \text{ for any } h \in H \}$$

is a Galois (H, K)-bimodule algebra with respect to the following structure:

- (1) H-action: $h(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i) = \sum_i ha_i \otimes b_i$,
- (2) *H-coaction*: $\chi_{A \cdot B}(\sum_{i} a_{i} \otimes b_{i}) = \sum_{i,(a_{i}),(b_{i})} a_{i}^{(0)} \otimes b_{i}^{(0)} \otimes a_{i}^{(1)} b_{i}^{(1)}$.

Proof. Since A, B are Galois H^* -objects and

 $A \cdot B = \{ \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes B \mid \sum_{i,(a_i)} a_i^{(0)} \otimes b_i \otimes a_i^{(1)} = \sum_{i,(b_i)} a_i \otimes b_i^{(0)} \otimes b_i^{(1)} \},$ $A \cdot B$ is a Galois H^* -object with the H-action given by (1) (see [1, p. 687(6)]). Moreover by (0, 1) and Lemma 0.1, for $\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$ in $A \cdot B$ we have

$$\sum_{i,j,m} ha_{ij} \otimes b_{im} \otimes k_j \otimes k_m = \sum_{i,j,m} a_{ij} \otimes hb_{im} \otimes k_j \otimes k_m$$

where $\{k_j\}$ is a free basis of K and $\chi_A(a_i) = \sum_j a_{ij} \otimes k_j$, $\chi_B(b_i) = \sum_j b_{ij} \otimes k_j$. Thus $\sum_j a_{ij} \otimes b_{im}$ is in $A \cdot B$ for any j, m and $\chi_{A \otimes B}$ is a map from $A \cdot B$ to $A \cdot B \otimes K \otimes K$. Then an easy computation shows that $A \cdot B$ is a K-comodule algebra with the K-coaction given by (2), and so $A \cdot B$ is a Galois (H, K)-bimodule algebra.

Proposition 1.4. Let A, B be Galois H-dimodule algebras. Then A # B is a Galois $H \otimes H$ -dimodule algebra.

Proof. By Lemma 0.2, A # B is an $H \otimes H$ -dimodule algebra, and A # B is clearly a finitely generated projective faithful R-module. Therefore it remains to prove that $\gamma: (A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) \to (A \# B) \otimes H^* \otimes H^*$ defined by

$$\gamma((a \# b) \otimes (c \# d)) = \sum_{i,j} (a \# b \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) (h_i c \# h_j d \otimes h_i^* \otimes h_i^*)$$

is an isomorphism. Since

we can define \overline{r} : $(A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) \rightarrow (A \# B) \otimes H^* \otimes H^*$ by

$$\overline{i}((a * b) \otimes (c * d)) = \sum_{i,j} a(h_i c) * b(h_j d) \otimes h_i^* \otimes h_j^*$$
.

Then $\overline{7}$ is an isomorphism and we have

$$\gamma \left(\sum_{(b)} (a \, \sharp \, b^{(0)}) \otimes (\lambda (b^{(1)}) \, c \, \sharp \, d \right) \\
= \sum_{i,j,(b)} a (b^{(1)} h_i \, \lambda (b^{(2)}) c) \, \sharp \, b^{(0)} (h_j d) \otimes h_i^* \otimes h_j^* \\
= \sum_{i,j,(b)} a (h_i b^{(1)} \, \lambda (b^{(2)}) c) \, \sharp \, b^{(0)} (h_j d) \otimes h_i^* \otimes h_j^* \\
= \sum_{i,j,(b)} a (h_i \varepsilon (b^{(1)}) c) \, \sharp \, b^{(0)} (h_j d) \otimes h_i^* \otimes h_j^* \\
= \sum_{i,j} a (h_i c) \, \sharp \, b (h_j d) \otimes h_i^* \otimes h_j^* \\
= \overline{\gamma} ((a \, \sharp \, b) \otimes (c \, \sharp \, d)).$$

Thus $\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\gamma}) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\gamma)$, and so γ is an epimorphism. Counting ranks, γ is seen to be an isomorphism.

Lemma 1.5. Let A, B be H-dimodule algebras. Regard H as an H-module via μ : $H \otimes H \to H$, the multiplication map of H, and A # B as an H-comodule via $\chi(a \# b) = \sum_{(a),(b)} a^{(b)} \# b^{(b)} \otimes a^{(1)} b^{(1)}$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{H \otimes H} (H, A \# B)$ is an H-dimodule algebra with the following structure: For $f, g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H \otimes H}(H, A \# B)$, $h, \chi \in H$,

- (1) *H-action*: (hf)(x) = f(xh),
- (2) H-coaction: $\chi(f) = \sum_{i} (1 \otimes h_i^*) \chi_{A\#B} f \otimes h_i$,
- (3) algebra structure: $(f*g)(h) = \sum_{(h)} f(h^{(1)}) g(h^{(2)})$.

Proof. Let h_1, h_2, h, x be in H, and f, g in $\text{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A \# B)$. Then we have

$$(hf)((h_1 \otimes h_2)x) = f((h_1 \otimes h_2)xh) = (h_1 \otimes h_2)f(xh) = (h_1 \otimes h_2)(hf)(x)$$

and

$$(h(f*g))(x) = (f*g)(xh) = \sum_{(x),(h)} f(x^{(1)}h^{(1)}) g(x^{(2)}h^{(2)})$$

= $(\sum_{(h)} (h^{(1)}f) * (h^{(2)}g))(x)$.

Therefore $\operatorname{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A \# B)$ is an H-module algebra. Next we shall show that the H-comodule structure is well defined. Let $f(x) = \sum_j a_j \# b_j$. Then we have

$$(1 \otimes h_{i}^{*}) \chi_{A \notin B} f((h_{1} \otimes h_{2}) x)$$

$$= (1 \otimes h_{i}^{*}) \chi_{A \notin B} (\sum_{j} h_{1} a_{j} \# h_{2} b_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{j,(a_{j}),(b_{j})} h_{1} a_{j}^{(0)} \# h_{2} b_{j}^{(0)} \otimes h_{i}^{*} (a_{j}^{(1)} b_{j}^{(1)})$$

$$= (h_{1} \otimes h_{2}) (1 \otimes h_{i}^{*}) \chi_{A \notin B} f(x)$$

and $\rho: \operatorname{Hom}(H, A \sharp B \otimes H) \to \operatorname{Hom}(H, A \sharp B) \otimes H$ defined by $\rho(y) = \sum_{i} (1 \otimes h_{i}^{*}) y \otimes h_{i}$ is a natural isomorphism. Thus $\chi: \operatorname{Hom}_{H \otimes H}(H, A \sharp B) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{H \otimes H}(H, A \sharp B) \otimes H$ is well defined. Now we have

$$\begin{split} & [\sum_{i,n} (1 \otimes h_{n}^{*}) \chi_{A4B} ((1 \otimes h_{i}^{*}) \chi_{A4B} f) \otimes h_{n} \otimes h_{i}](x) \\ & = \sum_{i,n} (1 \otimes h_{n}^{*}) (\sum_{J,(a_{J}),(b_{J})} \chi_{A4B} (a_{J}^{(0)} \# b_{J}^{(0)}) \otimes h_{i}^{*} (a_{J}^{(1)} b_{J}^{(1)}) \otimes h_{n} \otimes h_{i} \\ & = \sum_{i,J,n,(a_{J}),(b_{J})} a_{J}^{(0)} \# b_{J}^{(0)} \otimes h_{n}^{*} (a_{J}^{(1)} b_{J}^{(1)}) \otimes h_{i}^{*} (a_{J}^{(2)} b_{J}^{(2)}) \otimes h_{n} \otimes h_{i} \\ & = \sum_{J,(a_{J}),(b_{J})} a_{J}^{(0)} \# b_{J}^{(0)} \otimes a_{J}^{(1)} b_{J}^{(1)} \otimes a_{J}^{(2)} b_{J}^{(2)} \\ & = (\chi_{A4B} \otimes 1) \chi_{A4B} f(x) \\ & = ((1 \otimes \Delta) \chi_{A4B} f)(x) \\ & = (\sum_{i} (1 \otimes h_{i}^{*}) \chi_{A4B} f \otimes \Delta(h_{i}))(x) \end{split}$$

and

$$(\sum_{i} (1 \otimes h_{i}^{*}) \chi_{A \neq B} f \otimes \varepsilon(h_{i})) (x)$$

$$= \sum_{J, (a_{j}), (b_{j})} a_{J}^{(0)} \# b_{J}^{(0)} \otimes \varepsilon(a_{J}^{(1)} b_{J}^{(1)})$$

$$= \sum_{J} a_{J} \# b_{J} = f(x).$$

Therefore X is an H-comodule structure map. Moreover if $\Delta(x) = \sum_k y_k \bigotimes z_k$, $f(y_k) = \sum_m c_{km} \# d_{km}$ and $g(z_k) = \sum_p u_{kp} \# v_{kp}$, then

$$(\chi(f)\chi(g))(x) = (\sum_{i}(1 \otimes h_{i}^{*})\chi_{A4B}f \otimes h_{i})(\sum_{j}(1 \otimes h_{j}^{*})\chi_{A4B}g \otimes h_{j})(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k}(1 \otimes h_{i}^{*})\chi_{A4B}f(x_{k})(1 \otimes h_{j}^{*})\chi_{A4B}g(x_{k}) \otimes h_{i}h_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{k,m,p,(c_{km}),(d_{km}),(u_{kp}),(v_{kp})}(c_{km}^{(0)} \# d_{km}^{(0)})(u_{kp}^{(0)} \# v_{kp}^{(0)}) \otimes c_{km}^{(1)} d_{km}^{(1)} u_{kp}^{(1)} v_{pk}^{(1)}$$

$$= \sum_{i,k}(1 \otimes h_{i}^{*})\chi_{A4B}(f(y_{k})g(z_{k})) \otimes h_{i}$$

$$= \chi(f * g)(x)$$

and

$$(\sum_{i}(1 \otimes h_{i}^{*})\chi_{A\sharp B}\varepsilon \otimes h)(x) = \varepsilon(x) \otimes 1.$$

Thus X is an algebra map. Finally we have

$$[h(\sum_{i}(1 \otimes h_{i}^{*})\chi_{A \nmid B}f) \otimes h_{i}](x)$$

$$= \sum_{i}((1 \otimes h_{i}^{*})\chi_{A \nmid B}f)(xh) \otimes h_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i}(1 \otimes h_{i}^{*})\chi_{A \nmid B}(hf)(x) \otimes h_{i}$$

$$= [\sum_{i}(1 \otimes h_{i}^{*})\chi_{A \nmid B}(hf) \otimes h_{i}](x).$$

Hence $\operatorname{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A \sharp B)$ is an H-dimodule algebra.

Proposition 1.6. Let H be a Hopf algebra which is a free R-module with a free basis $\{h_i\}$, and let A, B be Galois H-dimodule algebras. Regard $\operatorname{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A\sharp B)$ as an H-dimodule algebra as in Lemma 1.5. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A\sharp B)$ is a Galois H-dimodule algebra. Moreover $\operatorname{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A\sharp B)\cong (A\cdot B)^*$ as Galois H-dimodule algebras, where $(A\cdot B)^*=\{\sum_i a_i \sharp b_i \in A\sharp B|\sum_i ha_i \sharp b_i = \sum_i a_i \sharp hb_i$ for any $h\in H\}$ and the H-action and H-coaction of $(A\cdot B)^*$ are those of $A\cdot B$.

Proof. Let f be in $\text{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A \# B)$, and let $f(1) = \sum_i a_i \# b_i$ be in A # B. Since f is an $H \otimes H$ -module map, we have

$$\sum_i ha_i \# b = \sum_i a_i \# hb_i$$
 for any $h \in H$,

and so $f(1) = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$ is in $A \cdot B$. Conversely, let $\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$ be in

 $A \cdot B$. If we define a map $f: H \to A \# B$ by $f(h) = \sum_i ha_i \# b_i$, then f is an $H \otimes H$ -module map. Therefore the map

$$\rho: \operatorname{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A \sharp B) \to A \cdot B$$

defined by $\rho(f) = f(1)$ is an H-module isomorphism. A brief computation shows that ρ is an H-module algebra isomorphism from $\operatorname{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A\sharp B)$ to $(A \cdot B)^*$, and by Prop. 1. 3 $\operatorname{Hom}_{H\otimes H}(H, A\sharp B) \cong A \cdot B$ is a finitely generated projective faithful R-module. Now we must show that the map

$$\gamma: (A \cdot B)^{\sharp} \otimes (A \cdot B)^{\sharp} \rightarrow (A \cdot B)^{\sharp} \otimes H^{*}$$

defined by $\gamma((a \sharp b) \otimes (c \sharp d)) = \sum_i (a \sharp b) (h_i c \sharp d) \otimes h_i^* = \sum_{i,(b)} a(b^{(i)}h_i c) \sharp b^{(i)}d \otimes h_i^*$ is an isomorphism, where $\{h_i, h_i^*\}$ is a dual basis of H. First we claim that if $a \sharp b \in (A \cdot B)^{\sharp}$, then $a \sharp b_i \in (A \cdot B)^{\sharp}$, where $\chi_B(b) = \sum_i b_i \otimes h_i$. (Note that $(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes 1)\chi_{A\sharp B}(ha \sharp b) = (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes 1)\chi_{A\sharp B}(a \sharp hb)$.) Since

$$(A \cdot B)^* \otimes (A \cdot B)^* \cong A \cdot B \otimes A \cdot B \cong A \cdot B \otimes H^* \cong (A \cdot B)^* \otimes H^*,$$

we denote the composite of the above maps by $\bar{\gamma}$, that is,

$$\overline{\gamma}((a \sharp b) \otimes (c \sharp d)) = \sum_i a(h_i c) \sharp bd \otimes h_i^*$$
.

Noting that $a \# b_i$ and $\lambda(h_i)c \# d$ are in $(A \cdot B)^*$, we have

$$\gamma(\sum_{i}(a \# b_{i}) \otimes \lambda(h_{i})c \# d)) = \overline{\gamma}((a \# b) \otimes (c \# d))$$

by the same calculation as in the proof of Prop. 1. 4. Therefore $\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\gamma}) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\gamma)$ and γ is an epimorphism. Counting ranks, γ is seen to be an isomorphism, and thus $(A \cdot B)^{\sharp}$ is a Galois H^{*} -object. Since $A \sharp B$ is an H-comodule algebra via $\chi(a \sharp b) = \sum_{(a),(b)} a^{(b)} \otimes b^{(b)} \otimes a^{(1)} b^{(1)} \in A \otimes B \otimes H$, $(A \cdot B)^{\sharp}$ is sub H-dimodule algebra of $A \sharp B$ by the proof of Prop. 1.3. Hence $(A \cdot B)^{\sharp}$ is a Galois H-dimodule algebra and the map ρ is an H-dimodule algebra map, completing the proof.

The next lemma will be easily seen.

Lemma 1.7. H^* is a Galois (H, K)-bimodule algebra with respect to the following structure: For $h, x \in H$, $f, g \in H^*$,

- (1) H-action: (hf)(x) = f(xh),
- (2) K-coaction is trivial,
- (3) algebra structure: $(f*g)(h) = \sum_{(h)} f(h^{(1)}) g(h^{(2)})$.

Proposition 1.8. Let A be a Galois H-dimodule algebra. Then $(A \cdot H^*)^*$ is isomorphic to A as Galois H-dimodule algebras.

Proof. We define a map $\phi: (A \cdot H^*)^* \to A$ by $\phi(\sum_i a_i \sharp h_i^*) = \sum_i a_i h_i^*(1)$. Then by Lemma 1. 7 (2) and the definitions of the *H*-action and the *H*-coaction on $(A \cdot H^*)^*$, ϕ is seen to be a Galois *H*-dimodule algebra homomorphism. Thus by [1, Lemma 1. 1], ϕ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 1.9. Let H be a Hopf algebra which is a free R-module. Let $Gal_*(R, H)$ be the set of H-dimodule algebra isomorphism classes of $Galois\ H$ -dimodule algebras. Then $Gal_*(R, H)$ has the following monoid structure:

$$[A][B] = [(A \cdot B)^*]$$
 $([A], [B] \in Gal_*(R, H)),$

and $[H^*]$ is the identity in $Gal_*(R, H)$.

Proof. By Prop. 1. 6, $(A \cdot B)^*$ is a Galois H-dimodule algebra. Since $A \cdot B = (A \cdot B)^*$ as R-modules, the associativity of the product is clear by [1, p. 689] and [3, Th. 3. 3]. Moreover by Prop. 1. 8, $[H^*]$ is the identity in $Gal_{\sharp}(R, H)$.

Remark 1.10. Let $Gal(R, H^*)$ be the set of H-dimodule algebra isomorphism classes of $Galois\ H^*$ -objects. Let A be a $Galois\ H^*$ -object. Since A is an H-comodule algebra with the trivial H-coaction, A is an H-dimodule algebra. Therefore we can easily check that the canonical map $f: Gal(R, H^*) \to Gal_*(R, H)$ defined by f((A)) = [A] is a monoid monomorphism.

- 2. Examples. In this section we give two examples for which $Gal_{\bullet}(R, H)$ has a group structure.
- **2.1.** Let H be a Hopf algebra, and A an H-dimodule algebra. We define \overline{A} to be the R-module A with multiplication given by

$$\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} = \overline{\sum_{(a)} (a^{(1)} b) a^{(0)}}$$

and with H-action and H-coaction inherited from A, where \bar{a} denotes a regarded as an element of \bar{A} . Then \bar{A} is really an H-dimodule algebra ([3, Th. 3.5]).

Lemma 2.1. Let A be a commutative Galois H-dimodule algebra. Then \bar{A} is a Galois H-dimodule algebra.

Proof. By the definition of \bar{A} , it suffices to prove that $\bar{\gamma}$: $\bar{A} \otimes \bar{A} \to \bar{A} \otimes H^*$ defined by $\bar{\gamma}(\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b}) = \sum_i \bar{a}(\bar{h_ib}) \otimes h_i^* = \sum_{i,(a)} (\bar{a})^{(i)}(\bar{h_ib})a^{(i)} \otimes h_i^*$ is an isomorphism. First, we assume that H is a free R-module with a free basis $\{h_i\}$. Since A is a Galois H^* -object, there exist elements x_{ij} and y_{ij} in A such that $\sum_i x_{ij}(h_k y_{ij}) = \delta_{j,k}$ (Kronecker's delta). Then

$$\bar{\gamma}(\sum_{i,j,(x_{ij})}\overline{x_{ij}}^{(0)} \otimes \overline{\lambda(x_{ij}}^{(1)})y_{ij} = \sum_{i,j} \overline{(h_k y_{ij})} x_{ij} \otimes h_k^* = \overline{1} \otimes h_j^*$$

and hence by the definition of \bar{r} , \bar{r} is an epimorphism. Counting ranks, \bar{r} is an isomorphism. In case H is general, the localization argument enables to see that \bar{A} is a Galois H-dimodule algebra.

Lemma 2.2 ([4, (7.1) Lemma]). Let A be R-algebra. If A is projective of rank 2 as an R-module, then A is commutative.

Lemma 2.3. Let A be a Galois H-dimodule algebra, and $a \otimes b$ in $A \otimes A$. If $ha \otimes b = a \otimes \lambda(h)b$ for any $h \in H$, then $(ha)b = a(\lambda(h)b)$ is in $A^{II} = \{c \in A \mid hc = \varepsilon(h)c \text{ for any } h \in H\}$.

Proof. Let x be in H. Then

$$\sum_{(x)} x^{(1)} ha \otimes x^{(2)} b = \sum_{(x)} \lambda(x^{(2)}) x^{(1)} ha \otimes b = \varepsilon(x) ha \otimes b.$$

Hence

$$x((ha)b) = \sum_{(x)} (x^{(1)}ha) (x^{(2)}b) = \varepsilon(x) ((ha)b) = \varepsilon(x) (a(\lambda(h)b)),$$

namely, $(ha)b = a(\lambda(h)b)$ is in A^{H} .

Let $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ be a group of order 2, and H = RG. Then RG is a Hopf algebra with the following coalgebra structure and antipode:

$$\Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma, \qquad \varepsilon(\sigma) = 1, \qquad \lambda(\sigma) = \sigma.$$

If A is an RG-dimodule algebra, then for any $a \in A$, we have

$$(2.1) \chi(a) = a_0 \otimes 1 + a_1 \otimes \sigma (a_0, a_1 \in A).$$

Therefore for any $a, b \in A$, we obtain the following

(2.2)
$$a = a_0 + a_1$$
 (unique),

$$(2.3) (ab)_0 = a_0b_0 + a_1b_1, (ab)_1 = a_0b_1 + a_1b_0,$$

$$(2.4) \sigma(a_0) = (\sigma a)_0, \sigma(a_1) = (\sigma a)_1.$$

Let A be a Galois RG-dimodule algebra. By Lemmas 2. 1 and 2. 2, \overline{A} is a Galois RG-dimodule algebra. Then by Lemma 2. 3 and the definition of $(A \cdot \overline{A})^*$, we can define a map $\phi : (A \cdot \overline{A})^* \to (RG)^*$ by $\phi(\sum_i a_i \sharp \overline{b}_i)(\tau) = \sum_i a_i \tau(b_i) \ (\tau \in G)$, and we have

$$\phi(\sum_{i,j}(a_i \sharp \overline{b}_i) \ (c_j \sharp \overline{d}_j)) \ (\tau)
= \phi(\sum_{i,j}(a_i c_j \sharp \overline{d}_j(b_i)_0 + a_i \sigma(c_j) \sharp \overline{\sigma(d_j)} \ (b_i)_1)) \ (\tau)
= \sum_{i,j}(a_i c_j \tau(d_j) \tau((b_i)_0) + a_i \sigma(c_j) \tau \sigma(d_j) \tau((b)_1))
= \sum_{i,j}(a_i \tau((b_i)_0 + \tau(b_i)_1) c_j \tau(d_j)) \ (\text{since } \sum_{i} c_j \tau(d_j) \in R \text{ and } G = \langle \sigma \rangle)
= \sum_{i,j} a_i \tau_i (b_i) c_j \tau(d_j) \qquad \text{(by (2. 2))}
= (\phi(\sum_i a_i \sharp \overline{b}_i)) \ast (\phi(\sum_i c_j \sharp \overline{d}_i)) \ (\tau)$$

and

$$\phi(\sigma(\sum_{i}a_{i} \,\sharp \, \overline{b}_{i})) (\tau) = \phi(\sum_{i}\sigma(a_{i}) \,\sharp \, \overline{b}_{i}) (\tau) = \sum_{i}\sigma(a_{i}) \,\tau(b_{i})$$
$$= \sum_{i}a_{i}\sigma\tau(b_{i}) = \sum_{i}\sigma(\phi(a_{i} \,\sharp \, \overline{b}_{i})) (\tau).$$

Therefore ϕ is an RG-module algebra map. Since $(A \cdot \overline{A})^*$ and $(RG)^*$ are Galois $(RG)^*$ -objects by Prop. 1. 6 and Lemma 1. 7, ϕ is an RG-module algebra isomorphism by [1, Lemma 1. 1]. Next we show that ϕ is an RG-comodule map. Let $a = a_0 + a_1$, $b = b_0 + b_1$ and $(a \sharp \overline{b})_0 = a_0 \sharp \overline{b}_0 + a_1 \sharp \overline{b}_1$. Then $\phi(a \sharp \overline{b}) = \phi((a \sharp \overline{b})_0)$, because $a\tau(b) = a_0(\tau b)_0 + a_1(\tau b)_1 + a_0(\tau b)_1 + a_1(\tau b)_0$ is in R. Since ϕ is an isomorphism and $\chi_{A \sharp \overline{A}}((a \sharp \overline{b})) = (a \sharp \overline{b}) \otimes 1$, $(A \cdot \overline{A})^*$ has the trivial grading. Hence ϕ is an RG-comodule map. Thus we have obtained the following

Theorem 2.4. Let $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ be a group of order 2. Then $Gal_{\mathfrak{p}}(R, RG)$ is a group.

Remark 2.5. Let Q be the field of rational numbers, and $a \neq b$ nonzero elements in Q. We set

$$Q[X]/(X^2-a) = Q[x]$$
 and $Q[Y]/(Y^2-b) = Q[y]$,

where $x = X + (X^2 - a)$ and $y = Y + (Y^2 - b)$. Then Q[x] and Q[y] are G-Galois extensions of Q with $\sigma(x) = -x$ and $\sigma(y) = -x$, respectively. The gradings of Q[x] and Q[y] are defined by

$$\chi(x) = x \otimes \sigma$$
 and $\chi(y) = y \otimes 1$.

Then it is easy to see that

$$(Q[x] \cdot Q[y])^{\sharp} = Q \oplus Q(x \sharp y),$$

$$(Q[y] \cdot Q[x])^{\sharp} = Q \oplus Q(y \sharp x).$$

If $f: Q \oplus Q(x \sharp y) \to Q \oplus Q(y \sharp x)$ is a Q-algebra isomorphism, then

$$f(x \sharp y) = r + s(y \sharp x)$$
 $(r, s \in Q \text{ and } s \neq 0)$

and hence

$$ab = r^2 + 2rs(y \sharp x) - s^2 ab.$$

Since $2s \neq 0$, we have r = 0 and $s^2 = -1$, which is a contradiction. Thus $A \ncong B$, which means that $Gal_{\mathfrak{q}}(Q, QG)$ is not abelian. While, it is known that Gal(Q, QG) is abelian.

2.2. Let k be a field of characteristic 2, and $H = k \oplus k\delta$ a free k-module with a free basis $\{1, \delta\}$. Then H is a Hopf algebra with the following structure:

$$\delta^2 = 0$$
, $\Delta(\delta) = \delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta$, $\varepsilon(\delta) = 0$, $\lambda(\delta) = \delta$.

If A is an H-dimodule algebra, then for any $a, b \in A$, we have

- $(2.5) \delta(ab) = (\delta a)b + a(\delta b),$
- $(2.6) \chi(a) = a \otimes 1 + a_1 \otimes \delta, \delta(a_1) = a_1 \otimes 1 \ (a_1 \in A),$
- $(2.7) (ab)_1 = a_1b + ab_1,$
- $(2.8) \chi(\delta a) = \delta a \otimes 1 + \delta a_1 \otimes \delta, \quad \delta(a)_1 = \delta a_1.$
- (2.9) $a_1 = 0 \text{ if } a \in k.$

Let A be a Galois H-dimodule algebra. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, \bar{A} is a Galois H-dimodule algebra. If $\sum_i a_i \sharp \bar{b}_i$ is in $(A \cdot \bar{A})^{\sharp}$, then it is easy to see that

- $(2. 10) \qquad \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i}, \ \sum_{i} (\partial a_{i}) b_{i} = \sum_{i} a_{i} (\partial b_{i}) \in k = A^{II} = \{ a \in \bar{A} \mid \partial a = 0 \},$
- $(2.11) 0 = (\sum_i a(\delta b_i))_1 = \sum_i (a_i)_1 (\delta b_i) + \sum_i a_i (\delta b_i)_1,$
- $(2.12) 0 = \sum_{i} \delta((\delta a_i) b_i) = \sum_{i} (\delta a_i) (\delta b_i).$

Define a map $\phi: (A \cdot \overline{A})^{\sharp} \to H^*$ by $\phi(\sum_i a_i \sharp \overline{b}_i) (h) = \sum_i a_i h(b_i) (h \in H)$.

For $\sum_{j} c_{j} \sharp \overline{d}_{j} \in (A \cdot \overline{A})^{\sharp}$, we have $(\sum_{i} a_{i} \sharp \overline{b}_{i}) (\sum_{j} c_{j} \sharp \overline{d}_{j})$

$$= \sum_{i,j} (a_i c_j \# \overline{d_j b_i} + a_i c_j \# \overline{(\delta d_j)} (b_i)_1 + a_i (\delta c_j) \# \overline{d_j (b_i)_1})$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} (a_i c_j \# \overline{d_j b_i} + a_i \delta (c_j d_j) \# \overline{(b_i)_1}) \text{ (since } \delta c_j, \ \delta d_j \in k \text{ and } (2.5))$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} a_i c_j \# \overline{d_j b_i}$$
 (by (2. 10)).

Hence we obtain

$$\phi((\sum_{i}a_{i} \sharp \overline{b}_{i}) (\sum_{j}c_{j} \sharp \overline{d}_{j})) (1) = \sum_{i,j}a_{i}(c_{j}d_{j})b_{i}
= \sum_{i,j}a_{i}b_{i}c_{j}d_{j}$$

$$= \phi(\sum_{i}a_{i} \sharp \overline{b}_{i}) * \phi(\sum_{j}c_{j} \sharp \overline{d}_{j}) (1)$$
(by (2. 10))

and

$$\phi((\sum_{i}a_{i} \sharp \overline{b}_{i}) (\sum_{j}c_{j} \sharp \overline{d}))(\delta) = \sum_{i,j}a_{i}c_{j}\delta(d_{j}b_{i})
= \sum_{i,j}(a_{i} (\delta b_{i})c_{j}d_{j} + a_{i}b_{i}c_{j}(\delta d_{j})) \text{ (by (2. 10)}
= \phi(\sum_{i}a_{i} \sharp \overline{b}_{i}) * \phi(\sum_{j}c_{i} \sharp \overline{b}_{i})(\delta).$$

Moreover

$$\phi(\delta(\sum_{i}a_{i} \sharp \overline{b}_{i})) (1) = \sum_{i}(\delta a_{i})b_{i}
= \sum_{i}a_{i}(\delta b_{i})$$

$$= \left[\delta(\phi(\sum_{i}a_{i} \sharp \overline{b}_{i}))\right] (1)$$
(by (2. 10))

and

$$\phi(\delta(\sum_{i}a_{i}\#\bar{b}_{i}))(\delta) = \sum_{i}(\delta a_{i})(\delta b_{i}) = 0 \qquad \text{(by (2. 12))}$$

$$= \lceil \delta(\phi(\sum_{i}a_{i}\#\bar{b}_{i}))\rceil(\delta).$$

Therefore ϕ is an *H*-module algebra homomorphism, and by [1, Lemma 1.1] ϕ is an isomorphism. Finally we show that ϕ is an *H*-comodule homomorphism.

$$\chi\phi(\sum_{i}a_{i} \# \bar{b}_{i}) (h \otimes 1) = \sum_{i}a_{i}h(b_{i}) \otimes 1,$$

$$(\phi \otimes 1)\chi(\sum_{i}a_{i} \# \bar{b}_{i})(h \otimes 1) = \sum_{i}a_{i}h(b_{i}) \otimes 1 + (\sum_{i}(a_{i})_{1}h(b_{i}) + a_{i}(hb_{i})_{1}) \otimes \delta$$

$$= \sum_{i}a_{i}h(b_{i}) \otimes 1 \qquad \text{(by (2. 9) and (2. 11))}.$$

Thus we have proved the following

Theorem 2.6. Let H be the Hopf algebra defined above. Then $Gal_{\epsilon}(k, H)$ is a group.

REFERENCES

- M. BEATTIE: A direct sum decomposition for the Brauer group of H-dimodule algebras,
 J. Alg. 43 (1976), 686—693.
- [2] S. U. Chase and M. E. Sweedler: Hopf Algebras and Galois Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1969).

- [3] F.W. Long: The Brauer group of dimodule algebras, J. Alg. 31 (1974), 559-601.
- [4] C. SMALL: The Brauer-Wall group of a commutative ring, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 156 (1971), 445-491.
- [5] M.E. SWEEDLER: Hopf Algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received March 22, 1978)