## ON THE FIXED POINT SET OF $S^1$ -ACTIONS ON THE COMPLEX FLAG MANIFOLDS

Dedicated to Professor Ken'iti Koseki on his 60th birthday

## KENJI HOKAMA and SUSUMU KÔNO

Introduction. In this paper we consider  $S^1$ -actions on a closed manifold X whose cohomology ring is generated by elements of degree 2.

We adopt this notation:  $G=S^1$  is the circle group.  $\Lambda$  is the field of rational numbers Q or the ring of integers Z. X is always a closed (topological) manifold. We use sheaf-theoretic cohomology, and assume that  $H^*(X;\Lambda)$  is generated by elements of degree 2; that is,  $H^*(X;\Lambda) \cong \Lambda[\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n]/(p_1,\cdots,p_m)$ , where  $p_1,\cdots,p_m$  are homogeneous polynomials, and deg  $\alpha_i=2$   $(i=1,\cdots,n)$ . If G acts on X,  $X\times_G E_G$  is the bundle associated to a universal principal  $S^1$ -bundle  $E_G\longrightarrow B_G$ . The equivariant cohomology ring of X is defined by  $H^*_G(X;\Lambda)=H^*(X\times_G E_G:\Lambda)$ .

The natural projection  $\pi: X \times_G E_G \longrightarrow B_G$  makes  $H_G^*(X;Q)$  into an algebra over  $H^*(B_G;Q)$ . Let R be the quotient field of  $H^*(B_G;Q)$  and A the localization of  $H_G^*(X;Q)$  as an  $H^*(B_G;Q)$ -module at the zero ideal. Under more general situation Wu-Yi Hsiang proved in [5] the fundamental fixed theorem: the ideal of relations between a set of generators for A has a finite number of zeros in 1-1 correspondence with the connected components of the fixed point set F. In our case  $H_G^*(X;Q) \cong Q[t,x_1,\cdots,x_n]/J$ , where  $J=(p_1-tf_1,\cdots,p_m-tf_m)$ , and  $f_i$  is a homogeneous polynomial such that  $\deg f_i=\deg p_i-1$   $(i=1,\cdots,m)$ . In §2, we show that the zero points of J and the connected components of F correspond bijectively. If m=n, the multiplicity of a zero point of J equals to the dimension of the cohomology ring over Q of the corresponding component (Theorem 2.6). This result generalizes [4] Theorem 3.1. P. Tomter [7] also implies such a result.

In  $\S 3$ , we show that the bundle lifting is closely related to the zero points of J.

In §4, we consider the case in which X is a complex flag manifold  $U(n)/T^n$ . We give some examples of  $S^1$ -actions on X. For n=3, we show a list of all possible fixed point sets.

 $S^1$ -actions on the connected sum CP(3) # CP(3) gives another examples in our case. In §5, we consider the case in which X = CP(3) # CP(3), and show a list of all possible fixed point sets.

1. In this section we give some algebraic preliminaries.

Let  $\mathfrak{N}=(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring  $Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that the radical  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{N}}=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let J be a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring  $Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  generated by the following n forms:

$$p_1-tf_1, \dots, p_n-tf_n$$
.

**Lemma 1.1.** J is an unmixed ideal, and the number of the zero points (in the projective space) of J is at most  $\Pi_{i-1}^n \deg p_i$ .

proof. If  $t \in \sqrt{J}$ , then  $\sqrt{J} = (t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , but this is impossile. Hence we have  $t \notin \sqrt{J}$ . Then t is not algebraic in  $Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]/J$  over Q. Since  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{A}} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , it is clear that  $Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]/J$  is a finitely generated module over Q[t]. It follows that the projective dimension of J is zero, and J is unmixed ([8], Ch. VII, Lemma 2). Since the number of the zero points of J is finite, a theorm of Bezout (cf. [6]) implies that there are zero points of J in the number at most  $\prod_{i=1}^n \deg p_i$ . q. e. d.

Let  $\xi^{(j)} = (1, \xi_1^{(j)}, \dots, \xi_n^{(j)})$  be the zero point of  $J(j=1, \dots, k)$ , and assume  $\xi^{(j)} \in Q^{n+1}$ . Let  $I_j$  be the homogeneous ideal generated by the coefficients of  $g(t, x_1 + \xi_1^{(j)}t, \dots, x_n + \xi_n^{(j)}t)$  ( $g \in J$ ) with respect to t, and  $m_j = \dim_Q Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, k$ ). Let  $q_j$  be the homogeneous ideal such that  $g \in q_j$  is equivalent to  $g(t, x_1 + \xi_1^{(j)}t, \dots, x_n + \xi_n^{(j)}t) \in I_j[t]$ . Then  $q_j$  is a primary ideal. The u-resultant R(u) of n forms  $p_i - tf_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) is decomposed as follows:

$$R(u) = c \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} (u + \xi_1^{(j)} u_1 + \dots + \xi_n^{(j)} u_n)^{\rho_j}$$

where  $u, u_1, \dots, u_n$  are indeterminates, c a constant and  $\rho_j$  the multiplicity of  $\xi^{(j)}[6]$ . Then we have the following

Theorem 1.2. The following conditions are equivalent;

- (1)  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_j = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \deg p_i$ .
- (2)  $J = \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} q_j$  (a reduced primary decomposition).
- (3)  $m_j = \rho_j$   $(j=1, \dots, k)$ .

*Proof.* (3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1) is immediate from Bezout's theorem. To prove (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3), let  $^a: Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  denotes a homomorphism defined by

$$^{a}f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) = f(1, x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})$$

for every  $f \in Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then we see that  $\dim_Q Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/^a q_j = \rho_j$  [6]. (3) follows from the equalities:

$$\dim_{Q} Q[x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}]/^{a}q_{j} = \dim_{Q} Q[x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}]/^{a}I_{j}[t]$$

$$= \dim_{Q} Q[x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}]/I_{j} = m_{j}.$$

Finally, suppose that (1) holds. Since  $\sqrt{J}=\bigcap_{j=1}^k (x_1-\xi_1^{(j)}t,\cdots,x_n-\xi_n^{(j)}t)$  by the assumption, and J is unmixed by (1.1), we have the reduced primary decomposition  $J=\bigcap_{j=1}^k \overline{q}_j$  where  $\sqrt{\overline{q}_j}=(x_1-\xi_1^{(j)}t,\cdots,x_n-\xi_n^{(j)}t)$ . By the definition of  $q_j$  we see  $\bigcap_{j=1}^k q_j \supset J$ , and  $\sqrt{q_j}=(x_1-\xi_1^{(j)}t,\cdots,x_n-\xi_n^{(j)}t)$ , and hence  $q_j \supset \overline{q}_j$  ( $j=1,\cdots,k$ ). Then we have  ${}^aq_j \supset {}^a\overline{q}_j$ , this implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \dim_{Q} Q[x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}] / {}^{\alpha}q_{j}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \dim_{Q} Q[x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}] / {}^{\alpha}\bar{q}_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \rho_{j}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \deg p_{i}.$$

It follows that  ${}^aq_j = {}^a\overline{q}_j$   $(j=1, \dots, k)$ . Since  $t \notin \sqrt{J}$  this implies that  $q_j = \overline{q}_j$   $(j=1, \dots, k)$ .

We say a commutative graded algebra  $A = A_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_m$  over Q satisfies duality if  $A_m \cong Q$  and the multiplication  $A_i \otimes A_{m-i} \longrightarrow A_m \cong Q$  is a duality pairing  $(0 \leq i \leq m)$ .

**Remark.** For a graded algebra  $Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{A}$  where  $\mathfrak{A} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  and deg  $x_i = 1$   $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\sqrt{91} = (x_1, \dots, x_n).$
- (2)  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  is a prime sequence.
- (3)  $Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies duality.

If one of the conditions of (1.2) is satisfied, we have the following

Proposition 1.3.  $Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_j$  satisfies duality  $(j=1, \dots, k)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \phi_j$ :  $Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]/J \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^k Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_j \otimes Q[t]$ , where  $\phi_j$ :  $Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]/J \longrightarrow Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_j \otimes Q[t]$  is defined by

$$\phi_{j}(f) = f(t, x_{1} + \xi_{1}^{(j)}t, \dots, x_{n} + \xi_{n}^{(j)}t)$$

for every  $f \in Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . If  $\phi(f) = 0$ ,  $\phi_j(f) = 0$   $(j = 1, \dots, k)$ . Then  $f \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k q_j = J$ . Thus we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]/J \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^k Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_j \otimes Q[t].$$

Since  $Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies duality it is only necessary to show that  $\phi$  is surjective in high degrees [2]. We show that for each homogeneous polynomial  $f \in Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , there exists  $g \in Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $\phi_J(g) = t^N f \pmod{I_J}$ , and  $\phi_h(g) = 0 \pmod{I_h}$ , for  $h \neq j$  for sufficiently large N which is not depend on f and g. Since  $\sqrt{I_j} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , if deg f is sufficiently large, then  $f = 0 \pmod{I_J}$ , and we can take g = 0. So we suppose that, if deg f > l there is  $g \in Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  satisfying the above condition. Let deg f = l, we define  $g \in Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by

$$g = f(x_1 - \xi_1^{(j)}t, \dots, x_n - \xi_n^{(j)}t) \cdot \prod_{h \neq j} (x_{i(h)} - \xi_{i(h)}^{(h)})^{N_0}$$

where i(h) is such that  $\xi_{i(h)}^{(h)} \neq \xi_{i(h)}^{(f)}$ , and  $N_0$  a sufficiently large number. Then we have  $\phi_h(g) = 0 \pmod{I_h}$  for  $h \neq j$ , and

$$\phi_{j}(g) = f \cdot \prod_{h \neq j} (x_{i(h)} + (\xi_{i(h)}^{(j)} - \xi_{i(h)}^{(h)}) t)^{N_{0}}$$

$$= ct^{(h-1)N_{0}} \cdot f + \sum_{i=1}^{(h-1)N_{0}-1} c_{i} t^{i} f_{i}$$

where  $c, c_i$   $(i=1, \dots, (k-1)N_0-1)$  are constants, and  $\deg f_i > l$ . Now we complete the proof by the induction on  $\deg f$ . q. e. d.

- 2. Let G be the circle group  $S^1$  and X a closed (topological) manifold with a  $S^1$ -action. We assume the following:
- (2.1)  $H^*(X;\Lambda) \cong \Lambda[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  ( $\Lambda = Q$  or Z) where  $\deg \alpha_i = 2$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $p_i$  is a homogeneous polynomial of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ). Then we have the following

Lemma 2.2.  $H_{\sigma}^*(X; \Lambda) \cong \Lambda[t, x_1, \dots x_n]/J$ , where  $J=(p_1-tf_1, \dots, p_m-tf_m)$  and  $f_i \in \Lambda[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a homogeneus polynomial such that  $\deg f_i = \deg p_i - 1$   $(i=1, \dots, m)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\xi: X \times E_G \times C^1/G \longrightarrow X \times_G E_G$  be the associated complex line bundle of the principal  $S^1$ -bundle  $X \times E_G \longrightarrow X \times_G E_G$ . Consider the Gysin sequence

 $\longrightarrow H^q_G(X;\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cup t} H^{q+2}_G(X;\Lambda) \longrightarrow H^{q+2}_G(X;\Lambda) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}_G(X;\Lambda) \longrightarrow$  where  $\cup t$  is the cup product of the Euler class of  $\xi$ . Since  $H^{odd}(X;\Lambda)$  = 0, X is totally non-homologous to zero in  $X \times_{\sigma} E_{\sigma} \longrightarrow B_{\sigma}$ , and hence  $H^{odd}_G(X;\Lambda)$ =0, so the given exact sequence reduces to

(2.3)  $0 \longrightarrow H_{\sigma}^{2q}(X;\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cup t} H_{\sigma}^{2q+2}(X;\Lambda) \xrightarrow{t*} H^{2q+2}(X;\Lambda) \longrightarrow 0$ , where  $i:X \longrightarrow X \times_{\sigma} E_{\sigma}$  is the inclusion of a fiber. For each  $i=1, \dots, n$ , let  $x_i \in H_{\sigma}^2(X;\Lambda)$  be such that  $i^*(x_i) = \alpha_i$ . Then  $H_{\sigma}^*(X;\Lambda)$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and t. By (2.3), the ideal J of relations contains m relations  $p_1 - tf_1, \dots, p_m - tf_m$ , where  $\deg f_i = \deg p_i - 1$   $(i=1, \dots, m)$ . If f = 1

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in J$$
, then  $f(0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = i^*(f) = 0$ , and  $f(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = g_1 p_1 + \dots + g_m p_m$ 

for some  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \Lambda[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . We have

$$f-g_1p_1-\cdots-g_mp_m=th$$

for some  $h \in \Lambda[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and hence

$$f = t(g_1 f_1 + \dots + g_m f_m + h) \pmod{J}$$

Deviding by t we have

$$g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_m f_m + h \in J$$
.

It is shown by the induction with respect to  $\deg f$  that

$$g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_m f_m + h = k_1 (p_1 - tf_1) + \cdots + k_m (p_m - tf_m)$$

for some  $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \Lambda[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Thus we have

$$f = G_1(p_1 - tf_1) + \cdots + G_m(p_m - tf_m),$$

where 
$$G_i=g_i+tk_i$$
  $(i=1, \dots, m)$ .

q. e. d.

Let  $F = X^{\sigma}$  be the fixed point set of the  $S^1$ -action on X, and let  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  be connected components of F. There is an exact sequence (2.4)  $0 \longrightarrow H_{\sigma}^*(X;Q) \xrightarrow{\phi*} H_{\sigma}^*(F;Q) \longrightarrow H^*(X/G,F;Q) \longrightarrow 0$  where  $\phi$  is the inclusion of  $F \times_{\sigma} E_{\sigma}$  into  $X \times_{\sigma} E_{\sigma}[2]$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we set

$$\phi^*(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k (b_{ii} + c_{ii}t).$$

where  $b_{ij} \in H^2(F_j; Q)$  and  $c_{ij} \in Q(j=1, \dots, k)$ . Since  $\dim_Q H^*(X/G; Q)$  and  $\dim_Q H^*(F; Q)$  are finite, there is an integer N such that  $H^q(X/G, F; Q) = 0$  for q > N. It follows that  $H^*(F_j; Q)$  is generated by  $b_{1j}, \dots, b_{nj}$ , and that  $(c_{1j}, \dots, c_{nj}) = (c_{1l}, \dots, c_{nl})$  if  $j \neq l$ . We denote by  $I_j$  the ideal generated in  $Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by the coefficients of  $f(t, x_1 + c_{1j}t, \dots, x_n + c_{nj}t)$  ( $f \in J$ ) with respect to t. We define  $q_j$  similarly as in § 1.

## Proposition 2. 5.

- (1)  $H^*(F_j; Q) \cong Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_j$   $(j=1, \dots, k).$
- (2)  $J = \bigcap_{j=1}^k q_j$  is the reduced primary decomposition, where  $\sqrt{q_j} = (x_1 c_{1j}t, \dots, x_n c_{nj}t)$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $I_j$ , it is obvious that  $I_j$  is contained in the ideal of relations. Let f be a relation. Since  $(c_{1j}, \dots, c_{nj}) \neq (c_{1l}, \dots, c_{nl})$  if  $j \neq l$ , there is an integer i(l) such that  $c_{i(l)j} \neq c_{i(l)l}$ , for each  $l \neq j$ . We set

$$g = f(x_1 - c_{1j}t, \dots, x_n - c_{nj}t) \cdot \prod_{l \neq j} (x_{i(l)} - c_{i(l)l}t)^{\vee}$$

where N is an integer such that  $H^q(F; Q) = 0$  for  $q \ge 2N$ . Then we have  $\phi^*(g(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 0$ , and hence  $g \in J$ . The coefficient of the highest degree with respect to t in the polynomial  $g(t, x_1 + c_{1,j}t, \dots, x_n + c_{n,j}t)$  is a multiple of f by some non-zero constant. Thus we have  $f \in I_j$ . This complete the proof of (1).

Since  $\dim_Q Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_j = \dim_Q H^*(F_j; Q) < \infty$ , we have  $\sqrt{I_j} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and hence  $I^j$  is primary. It follows that  $I_j[t]$  is also primary and  $\sqrt{I_j[t]} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . By the definition of  $q_j$ , it follows that  $q_j$  is primary with radical  $(x_1 - c_{1j}t, \dots, x_n - c_{nj}t)$ . On the other hand we have  $J = \bigcap_{j=1}^k q_j$ , since  $\phi^*$  is a monomorphism.

By (1.2) and (2.5) we obtain the following

**Theorem 2.6.** Let X be a closed manifold with a  $S^1$ -action, and assume that  $H^*(X;Q) \cong Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  where  $\deg x_i = 2$  and  $p_i$  is a homogeneous polynomials  $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ . Then, there is a 1-1 correspondence between the zero points of J and the connected components of the fixed point set F, in such a way that, the multiplicity of a zero point of J equals to the dimension of the cohomology ring over Q of the corresponding component of F.

3. In this section we assume that the cohomology ring of X satisfies (2.1) with A = Z.

Let  $p: E \longrightarrow X$  be the principal  $T^n$ -bundle induced from a universal principal  $T^n$ -bundle over  $B_0^n$  by  $\mu = \mu_1 \times \cdots \times \mu_n$  where  $\mu_i: X \longrightarrow B_0$  denotes the map such that  $\mu_i^*(t) = \alpha_i (i = 1, \cdots, n)$ . There is a bundle lifting  $\Phi: G \times E \longrightarrow E$  of the given  $S^1$ -action on X [3]. For each  $g \in p^{-1}(F_j)$  and  $s \in G$  there is  $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in T^n$  such that  $\Phi(s, g) = g.t.$  The correspondence  $s \longrightarrow t$  defines a continuous homomorphism  $h_g: G \longrightarrow T^n$ . Since  $\operatorname{Hom}(G, T^n) = Z^n$  has the discrete topology,  $h_g$  do not depend on the choices of  $g \in p^{-1}(F_j)$ . Thus, for each  $j = 1, \cdots, k$ , we have a homomorphism  $h_j: G \longrightarrow T^n$ . We set  $A_j = (a_{1j}, \cdots, a_{nj})$  where  $h_j(s) = (s^{a_{1j}}, \cdots, s^{a_{nj}}) \in T^n$  for every  $s \in G(j = 1, \cdots, k)$ .

Let  $\eta_i : E \times_{T^n} C^1 \longrightarrow X$  be complex line bundle, where  $(t_1, \dots, t_n \in T^n)$  acts on  $C^1$  by the multiplication of  $t_i (i=1, \dots, n)$ . Similarly, we define  $\xi_i : (E \times_G E_G) \times C^1 / T^n \longrightarrow X \times_G E_G$ . By the definition of p it is easy to see that the Euler class of  $\eta_i$  is  $\alpha_i$   $(i=1, \dots, n)$ . Let  $x_i \in H^2_G(X; Q)$  be the Euler class of  $\xi_i$ . Since  $\eta_i$  is the induced bundle  $i^*(\xi_i)$ , where i: X

 $\subset X \times_G E_G$ , we have  $i^*(x_i) = \alpha_i$ . Let  $\tau$  be the canonical line bundle over  $B_G$ . Then we have

$$\xi_i | F_j \times B_G = p_1^*(\tau^{a_{ij}}) \bigotimes p_2^*(\eta_i | F_i)$$

where  $p_1: F_j \times B_G \longrightarrow B_{\theta}$  and  $p_2: F_j \times B_{\theta} \longrightarrow F_j$  are projections, and  $\tau^{a_{ij}}$  denotes  $a_{ij}$  times tensor product of  $\tau(i=1, \dots, n: j=1, \dots, k)$ . It follows that

$$\phi^*(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k (b_{ij} + a_{ij}t),$$

where  $\phi: F \times B_G \subset X \times_G E_G$ , and  $b_i$ , is the Euler class of  $\eta_i | F_j$ . By (2.2) we see that  $H_G^*(X; Z)$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and t. From (2.6), we have the following:

**Proposition 3.1.** Under the above notations, the zero points of the ideal J of relations are  $(1, a_{11}, \dots, a_{n1}), \dots, (1, a_{1k}, \dots, a_{nk})$ .

The system of vectors  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  is determined up to the translations by integral vectors. That is, if  $\phi': G \times E \longrightarrow E$  is another bundle lifting of the given  $S^1$ -action on X with corresponding coordinates  $A'_1, \dots, A'_k$ , there is an integral vector  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  such that

$$\psi'(s,g)=\psi(s,g)\cdot(s^{b_1},\cdots,s^{b_n})$$

for every  $s \in G$  and every  $g \in E$  [3]. This implies that  $A'_j = A_j + (b_1, \dots, b_n)$   $(j = 1, \dots, k)$ .

Let p be a prime. We consider the restricted  $Z_p$ -action on X, where  $Z_p \subset S^1$ . Since  $E_{Z_p}$  can be taken to coincide with  $E_G$ , there is a commutative diagram:

$$X \times_{Z_p} E_G \longrightarrow X \times_G E_G$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \pi$$

$$B_{Z_p} \longrightarrow B_G$$

where  $q: X \times_{Z_p} E_G \longrightarrow X \times_G E_G$  is a principal  $S^1$ -bundle. Let  $\nu: (X \times_{Z_p} E_G) \times C^1/G \longrightarrow X \times_G E_G$  be the complex line bundle associated with q, where  $S^1$  acts on  $C^1$  by the multiplication. Then  $\nu = \pi^*(\tau_p)$  and hence the Euler class of  $\nu$  equals to zero mod p. Hence the Gysin sequence associated with  $\nu$  reduces to

$$0 \longrightarrow H^q_{\mathcal{C}}(X; Z_p) \xrightarrow{q^*} H^q_{Z_p}(X; Z_p) \longrightarrow H^{q-1}_{\mathcal{C}}(X; Z_p) \longrightarrow 0$$

Now we suppose that  $H^*(X;Z)$  has no p-torsion. Then X is totally non-homologous to zero in  $X\times_{\mathcal{G}}E_{\mathcal{G}}$ , and hence  $H^{odd}_{\mathcal{G}}(X;Z_p)=0$ . Thus we have  $q^*:H^{even}_{\mathcal{G}}(X;Z_p)\cong H^{even}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}(X;Z_p)$ . Then it is clear that  $H^{even}_{\mathcal{Z}_p}(X;Z_p)\cong Z_p[t,x_1,\cdots,x_n]/J$  for odd p, where  $x_i$  means mod p reduction of  $x_i\in H^2_{\mathcal{G}}(X;Z)$ . If p=2, we replace t by  $t^2$ . Let F',  $F'_{\mathcal{G}}(j=1,\cdots,h)$  be the fixed point set of the  $Z_p$ -action on X and its connected components respectively, and  $\phi':F'\times_{Z_p}E_{\mathcal{G}}\longrightarrow X\times_{Z_p}E_{\mathcal{G}}$  the inclusion map. There is an exact sequence:

$$(3.2) 0 \longrightarrow H_{Z_p}^*(X; Z_p) \xrightarrow{(\phi')^*} H_{Z_p}^*(F'; Z_p) \longrightarrow H^*(X/Z_p, F'; Z_p) \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $(\phi')^*$  is epimorphic in high degrees [2]. Since  $H^{odd}(F'; Z_p) = 0$  [2], we set

$$(\phi')^*(x_i) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^h (b'_{ij} + a'_{ij}t) & (p : \text{odd}) \\ \sum_{j=1}^h (b'_{ij} + a'_{ij}t^2) & (p = 2) \end{cases}$$

where t (resp.  $t^2$ ) means  $\overline{q}^*(t)$ . Let  $I_j'$  be the homogeneous ideal generated by the coefficients of  $g(t, x_1 + a_1't, \dots, x_n + a_n't)$  ( $g \in I$ ) with respect to t (if p=2, we replace t by  $t^2$  similarly as in the above). By the similar argument as in the proof of (2.5), we have the following

Theorem 3.3. If  $H^*(X; Z) \cong Z[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_m)$  has no p-torsion, then

$$H^*(F_j'; Z_p) \cong Z_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_j'$$
  $(j = 1, \dots, h).$ 

Remark. By a slightly delicate argument as in (2.2) we see that

$$H_{Z_p}^*(X; Z_p) \cong \begin{cases} Z_p[s, t, x_1, \dots, x_n]/(s^2, p_1 - tf_1, \dots, p_m - tf_m) & (p : odd) \\ Z_2[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]/(p_1 - t^2f_1, \dots, p_m - t^2f_m) & (p = 2). \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have the following proposition corresponding [2], Ch. VII, Proposition 5.3.

**Proposition 3.4.** Under the condition of (3.3), two components  $F_i$  and  $F_j$  of F are contained in the same component of F' if and only if  $(a_{1i}, \dots, a_{ni}) \equiv (a_{1j}, \dots, a_{nj}) \pmod{p}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $F'_1$  is the component of F' which contains  $F_i$ . Then there is a commutative diagram:

$$H^{2}_{\sigma}(X; Z) \xrightarrow{\phi_{j}^{*}} H^{2}_{\sigma}(F_{i}; Z)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^{2}_{Z_{p}}(X; Z_{p}) \xrightarrow{(\phi_{1}^{\prime})^{*}} H^{2}_{Z_{p}}(F_{1}^{\prime}; Z_{p}) \rightarrow H^{2}_{Z_{p}}(F_{i}; Z_{p})$$

where the vertical maps denotes the mod p reductions. We have  $\phi_i^*(x_l) = b_{ll} + a_{ll}t$  and  $(\phi_1')^*(x_l) = b_{l1}' + a_{l1}'t$   $(l=1, \dots, n)$ . It follows that  $a_{ll} \equiv a_{l1}'$  mod p  $(l=1, \dots, n)$ . This implies that  $(a_{1l}, \dots, a_{nl}) \equiv (a_{1l}, \dots, a_{nl})$  (mod p) if  $F_i$  and  $F_j$  are comtained in the same component of F'. The converse follows by (3.3).

4. Let X be a complex flag manifold  $U(n)/T^n$ , where U(n) is the *n*-th unitary group and  $T^n$  is a maximal torus. We denote by  $p:U(n)\longrightarrow X$  the natural projection. Then p is a principal  $T^n$ -bundle. Let  $\eta_i:U(n)\times_{T^n}C^1\longrightarrow X$  be the complex line bundle as in §3  $(i=1,\cdots,n)$ . We see that

$$H^*(X; Z) \cong Z[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]/(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$$

where  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is the *i*-th fundamental symmetric function, and  $\alpha_i$  is the Euler class of  $\eta_i (i=1, \dots, n)$  [1]. There are following examples of complex analytic  $S^1$ -actions on X.

Let  $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be an integral vector, and let  $h_A : G \longrightarrow T^n$  be the homomorphism defined by

$$h_{A}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} s^{a_{1}} & & 0 \\ & \cdot & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for every  $s \in G$ . Then we have an  $S^1$ -action  $\psi_A: G \times U(n) \longrightarrow U(n)$  by taking  $\psi_A(s,g) = h_A(s) \cdot g$  for every  $s \in G$  and every  $g \in U(n)$ . Since  $\psi_A$  commutes with the right action of  $T^n$  on U(n), there is an  $S^1$ -action  $\overline{\psi}_A: G \times X \longrightarrow X$  on X, such that  $\Phi_A$  is a bundle lifting of  $\overline{\Phi}_A$ . Then we have

$$\xi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \xi_n = \pi^* (\tau^{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau^{a_n})$$

where  $\xi_i$ :  $(U(n) \times_{\sigma} E_{\sigma}) \times C^1 / T^n \longrightarrow X \times_{\sigma} E_{\sigma}$  denotes the complex line bundle defined similarly as in §3  $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ . This implies that, for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 

$$\sigma_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c_i(\xi_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \xi_n)$$

$$= \pi^*(c_i (\tau^{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \tau^{a_n}))$$

$$= \pi^*(\sigma_i(a_1t, \dots, a_nt))$$

$$= t^i\sigma_i(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

where  $c_i$  denotes the *i*-th chern class of corresponding bundles. According to the proof of (2.2), we see  $H_G^*(X; Z) \cong Z[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]/J$  where

$$J=(\sigma_1-t\sigma_1(a_1,\,\cdots,\,a_n),\,\cdots,\,\sigma_n-t^n\sigma_n(a_1,\,\cdots,\,a_n)).$$

Let S(n) be the symmetric group of permutations of n symbols. Then the zero point set of J is  $\{(1, a_{\pi(1)}, \dots, a_{\pi(n)}) \mid \pi \in S(n)\}$ .

Now let

$$a_1 = \cdots = a_{n_1} < a_{n_1+1} = \cdots = a_{n_1+n_2} < \cdots$$
  
 $< a_{n_1+\dots+n_{m-1}+1} = \cdots = a_{n_1+\dots+n_{m-1}+n_m},$ 

where  $n_1 + \cdots + n_m = n$ . It is easily seen that the fixed point set is a disjoint union of  $n!/(n_1! \times \cdots \times n_m!)$  copies of  $U(n_1)/T^{n_1} \times \cdots \times U(n_m)/T^{n_m}$ .

Now we consider the fixed point set of  $S^1$ -actions on  $U(3)/T^3$ . In this case J has three homogeneous generators  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - tf_1$ ,  $x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_1 - tf_2$  and  $x_1x_2x_3 - tf_3$  where  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are suitable homogeneous polynomials of degree 0, 1 and 2 respectively. Let  $A_J = (a_{1J}, a_{2J}, a_{3J})$   $(j=1, \dots, k)$  be the zero points of  ${}^aJ$ . By the remark following (3.1) we assume that  $A_1 = (0, 0, 0)$ . Then after suitable substitution we may assume that J posseses three generators

$$\begin{cases} g_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ g_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2 - t(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) \\ g_3 = x_1^3 - t(ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + t(cx_2 + dx_2)) \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , a, b, c and d are constants. Then we have

$$I_1 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3, x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2, \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2, cx_1 + dx_2, ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2).$$

Consider the matrix

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \beta \\ c & d \end{array}\right)$$

There are following cases:

i) Assume that M is non-singular. Then we have  $I_1=(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , and hence  $H^*(F_1; Q)\cong Q$ . Thus we have  $F_1\sim_Q \operatorname{pt}^{10}$ .

<sup>1)</sup>  $X \sim_Q Y$  means that  $H^*(X; Q)$  and  $H^*(Y; Q)$  are isomorphic.

ii) Assume that rk M=1. Then without loss of generality we may suppose that  $x_1-\gamma x_2$  is contained in  $I_1$  for some  $\gamma \in Q$ . It follows that

$$(1+\gamma+\gamma^2)x_2^2=x_1^2+x_1x_2+x_2^2-(x_1+x_2+\gamma x_2)\ (x_1-\gamma x_2)\in I_1.$$

Since  $1+\gamma+\gamma^2>0$ , this is equivalent to  $x_2^2 \in I_1$ , and hence

$$H^* (F_1; Q) \cong Q[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(x_1 + x_2 + x_3, x_1 - \gamma x_2, x_2^2)$$
  
$$\cong Q[x]/(x^2).$$

Thus we have  $F_1 \sim {}_{\varrho}S^2$ .

iii) Assume that M=0. Since  $x_1^2+x_1x_2+x_2^2=0$  has no root other than (0, 0), this implies that F is connected. Hence  $F_1=F=X$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathcal{O}} H^*(F; \mathcal{Q})=\dim_{\mathcal{O}} H^*(X; \mathcal{Q})=6$ , we obtain

**Theorem 4.1.** There are the following possibilities of the fixed point set of non-trivial  $S^1$ -actions on  $U(3)/T^3$ .

- (1)  $F \sim_0 S^2 + S^2 + S^2$  (disjoint union).
- (2)  $F \sim_q S^2 + S^2 + 2$  points.
- (3)  $F \sim_Q S^2 + 4$  points.
- (4)  $F \sim_0 6$  points.

**Remark.** We have shown the examples for (1) and (4). For the type of (2) or (3), we do not know whether corresponding  $S^1$ -actions exist or not. But there are examples of J which satisfy the corresponding algebraic conditions for (2) or (3). For instance, let

$$J=(x_1+x_2+x_3, \ x_1^2+x_1x_2+x_2^2-t(2x_1+x_2), \ x_1^3-tx_1^2),$$

then the zero points of J are (0,0,0), (0,1,-1), (1,1,-2) and (1,-1,0) where corresponding multiplicities are 2, 2, 1 and 1 respectively. Similarly, if we set

$$J = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3, x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2 - t (5x_1 + x_2), x_1^3 - t (5x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2)),$$
 it is easy to see that the zero points of  ${}^aJ$  are  $(0, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1, -1)$ ,  $(1, -2, 1)$ ,  $(2, -3, 1)$  and  $(5, 0, -5)$  with multiplicaties 2, 1, 1, 1 and 1.

5.  $S^1$ -actions on the connected sum  $X = CP(3) \sharp CP(3)$  gives another examples in our case. Since  $H^*(X; Z) \cong Z[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] / (\alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_1^3 - \alpha_2^3)$ , by (2. 2) we may assume that J has two generators

$$\begin{cases} g_1 = x_1x_2 - t(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) \\ g_2 = x_1^3 - x_2^3 - t(\alpha x_1^2 + bx_2^2 + t((cx_1 + dx_2))) \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , a, b, c and d are integers. Let  $F_1$  be the connected compo-

nent of F which corresponds to the zero point (0, 0) of  ${}^{a}J$ , and consider the matrix

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \beta \\ c & d \end{array}\right).$$

There are three possibilities for the rank of M.

- i) M is non-singular; that is, rk M=2. In this case we have  $I_1=(x_1,\ x_2)$ , and hence  $H^*(F_1;\ Q)\cong Q$ . Thus we have  $F_1\sim_Q$  pt.
- ii) rk M=1. In this case we may suppose that  $\alpha = c = 0$  without loss of generality.

If  $a \neq 0$ ,  $I_1 = (x_2, x_1^2)$ , hence  $H^*(F_1; Q) \cong Q[x_1, x_2]/(x_2, x_1^2) \cong Q[x_1, x_2]/(x_2, x_1^2)$  $Q[x]/(x^2)$ , i. e.  $F_1 \sim_Q S^2$ .

If a = 0,  $I_1 = (x_2, x_1^3)$ , hence  $H^*(F_1; Q) \cong Q[x_1, x_2]/(x_2, x_1^3) \cong$  $Q[x]/(x^3)$ , i. e.  $F_1 \sim {}_{Q}CP(2)$ .

iii) M = 0; that is, rk M = 0.

If a = b = 0. Then  $I_1 = (x_1x_2, x_1^3 - x_2^3)$ , and hence  $F_1 = F = X$ .

Then  $I_1 = (ax_1^2 + bx_2^2, x_1x_2)$ , and hence  $\dim_0 H^*(F_1; Q)$ If  $ab \neq 0$ .

If a=0,  $b\neq 0$  (or  $a\neq 0$ , b=0). Then  $I_1=(x_2^2, x_1^3)$  (resp.  $I_1=(x_1^2, x_1^3)$ )  $(x_2^3)$ ), and hence  $\dim_Q H^*(F_1; Q) = 4$ .

Similarly as (4.1) we obtain the following

Theorem 5.1. There are the following possibilities of the fixed point set of non-trivial  $S^1$ -actions on  $CP(3) \not\equiv CP(3)$ .

- (1)  $F \sim {}_{Q}F_{1} + 2$  points,
- where  $H^*(F_1; Q) \cong Q[x_1, x_2]/(x_1x_2, ax_1^2 + bx_2^2)$  for some  $0 \neq a, b \in Q$ 
  - (2)  $F \sim_Q CP(2) + CP(2)$ .
  - (3)  $F \sim_Q CP(2) + S^2 + pt$ .
  - (4)  $F \sim_Q CP(2) + 3$  points.
  - (5)  $F \sim_Q S^2 + S^2 + S^2$ .
  - (6)  $F \sim_0 S^2 + S^2 + 2$  points.
  - (7)  $F \sim_0 S^2 + 4$  points.
  - (8)  $F \sim_0 6$  points.

*Proof.* Since  $\dim_{\mathcal{Q}} H^*(F; \mathcal{Q}) = \dim_{\mathcal{Q}} H^*(X; \mathcal{Q}) = 6$  [2], it suffices to show that, if a component  $F_1$  of F has cohomological dimension 4 over Q, it follows that F is in the case (1). Suppose that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^*(F_1; \mathbb{Q})$ = 4.Then we have

$$J = (x_1x_2, x_1^3 - x_2^3 - t(ax_1^2 + bx_2^2))$$

for some  $a, b \in Q$ , and there is another component  $F_2$  of F. Let  $(a_1, a_2)$  be the zero point of  ${}^aJ$  which corresponds to  $F_2$ . Since  $(a_1, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$  is a root of the equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 x_2 = 0 \\ x_1^3 - x_2^3 - a x_1^2 - b x_2^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

we see that, only one of  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  equals to zero. Let  $a_1 = 0$ , then  $a_2 \neq 0$ , and  $a_2^3 + ba_2^2 = 0$  implies that  $b = -a_2 \neq 0$ . It is easy to see that  $I_1 = (x_1, x_2)$ , and there is another component  $F_3$  of F. Let  $(b_1, b_2)$  be the zero point of  $^aJ$  which corresponds to  $F_3$ . As above, we see that  $b_2 = 0$  and  $a = b_1 \neq 0$ . The case  $a_2 = 0$  is similar.

Now we construct examples of  $S^1$ -actions on CP(3) # CP(3).

Let  $S^7$  be the unit sphere in  $C^4$ , and let  $p_1: S^7 \longrightarrow CP(3)$  be the natural projection. We set  $D^6 = \{p_1(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \mid (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in S^7, |z_4|^2 \ge 1/2\}$ , and define the diffeomorphism  $g: p_1^{-1}(\partial D^6) \times S^1 \longrightarrow p_1^{-1}(\partial D^6) \times S^1$  by  $g(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, s)$ 

$$= (s\bar{z}_1/(\sqrt{2} \ \bar{z}_4), \ s\bar{z}_2/(\sqrt{2} \ \bar{z}_4), \ s\bar{z}_3/(\sqrt{2} \ \bar{z}_4), \ s/(\sqrt{2} \ \bar{z}_4))$$

for every  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in p_1^{-1}(\partial D^6)$  and every  $s \in S^1$ , which induces the orientation reversing diffeomorphism  $g: \partial D^6 \longrightarrow \partial D^6$ . Then we may consider  $CP(3) \sharp CP(3)$  to be the attaching space  $(CP(3) - \operatorname{Int} D^6) \cup_{\overline{g}} (CP(3) - \operatorname{Int} D^6)$  which is covered by the attaching space  $E_1 = (S^7 - p_1^{-1} (\operatorname{Int} D^6)) \times S^1 \cup_{\overline{g}} (S^7 - p_1^{-1} (\operatorname{Int} D^6)) \times S^1$ . Let  $f_1, f_2: (S^7 - p_1^{-1} (\operatorname{Int} D^6)) \times S^1 \longrightarrow S^5 \times S^3$  be the map defined by

$$f_{1}(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}, s) = \left(\frac{\bar{s}z_{1}}{\sqrt{1 - |z_{4}|^{2}}}, \frac{\bar{s}z_{2}}{\sqrt{1 - |z_{4}|^{2}}}, \frac{\bar{s}z_{3}}{\sqrt{1 - |z_{4}|^{2}}}, z_{4}, \sqrt{1 - |z_{4}|^{2}}s\right)$$

$$f_{2}(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}, s) = \left(\frac{s\bar{z}_{1}}{\sqrt{1 - |z_{4}|^{2}}}, \frac{s\bar{z}_{2}}{\sqrt{1 - |z_{4}|^{2}}}, \frac{s\bar{z}_{3}}{\sqrt{1 - |z_{4}|^{2}}}, \sqrt{1 - |z_{4}|^{2}}s, z_{4}\right)$$

respectively for every  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in S^7 - p_1^{-1}$  (Int $D^6$ ) and every  $s \in S^1$ . It is easy to see that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  defines a diffeomorphism  $f: E_1 \longrightarrow S^5 \times S^3$ . Now define  $T^2$ -actions on the two copies of  $(S^7 - p_1^{-1} (\operatorname{Int} D^6)) \times S^1$  by

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, s) \cdot (t_1, t_2) = (t_1 z_1, t_1 z_2, t_1 z_3, t_1 z_4, t_2 s)$$

and

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, s) \cdot (t_1, t_2) = (t_2z_1, t_2z_2, t_2z_3, t_2z_4, t_1s)$$

for every  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, s) \in (S^7 - p_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}D^6)) \times S^1$  and every  $(t_1, t_2) \in T^2$  respectively. Then it is clear that f induces the  $T^2$ -action on  $S^5 \times S^3$  defined by

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5) \cdot (t_1, t_2)$$
  
=  $(t_1t_2^{-1}z_1, t_1t_2^{-1}z_2, t_1t_2^{-1}z_3, t_1z_4, t_2z_5)$ 

for every  $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in S^5$ , every  $(z_4, z_5) \in S^3$  and every  $(t_1, t_2) \in T^2$ . By the  $T^2$ -action,  $p: S^5 \times S^3 \longrightarrow X$  is a principal  $T^2$ -bundle, and plays the role of the bundle p defined in §3 for the suitable generators in  $H^2(X; Z)$ .

Let  $a_i$  be an integer  $(i=1, \dots, 5)$ , and let  $\psi_A: G \times S^5 \times S^3 \longrightarrow S^5 \times S^3$  be the  $S^2$ -action on  $S^5 \times S^3$  defined by

$$\psi_{A}(s, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}, z_{5}) 
= (s^{a_{1}}z_{1}, s^{a_{2}}z_{2}, s^{a_{3}}z_{3}, s^{a_{4}}z_{5}, s^{a_{5}}z_{5})$$

for every  $s \in G$  and every  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5) \in S^5 \times S^3$ . Since  $\emptyset_A$  commutes with the  $T^2$ -action on  $S^5 \times S^3$ , there is the induced  $S^1$ -action on X. We denote by  $\xi_1, \xi_2 : (S^5 \times S^3 \times_G E_G) \times C^1/T^2 \longrightarrow X \times_G E_G$  the complex line bundle defined similarly as in §3. Then we see that the bundle  $(\xi_1 \otimes \pi^* (\tau^{-a_4})) \oplus (\xi_2 \otimes \pi^* (\tau^{-a_5}))$  has everywhere non-zero cross-section, and hence the Euler class

 $c_2((\xi_1 \otimes \pi^* (\tau^{-a_4})) \oplus (\xi_2 \otimes \pi^* (\tau^{-a_5}))) = 0$ , i. e.  $(x_1 - a_4t) (x_2 - a_5t) \in J$  where  $H_\sigma^*(X; Z) = Z[t, x_1, x_2]/J$ . Similarly we have  $(x_1 - x_2 - a_1t) (x_1 - x_2 - a_2t) (x_1 - x_2 - a_3t) \in J$ . Thus we see  $J = ((x_1 - a_4t) (x_2 - a_4t), (x_1 - x_2 - a_1t) (x_1 - x_2 - a_2t) (x_1 - x_2 - a_3t)$ . There are following types:

- i) Suppose that  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 a_5$ . Then  $\psi_A$  induces the trivial action on X.
- ii) Suppose that  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 \neq a_4 a_5$ . Then the fixed point set F consists of disjoint union of two copies of CP(2). The corresponding zero points of  ${}^aJ$  are  $(a_4, a_4 a_1)$  and  $(a_1 + a_5, a_5)$  respectively.
- iii) Suppose that  $a_1=a_2=a_4-a_5\neq a_3$ . Then we have  $F\approx S^2\times S^2+2$  points, and corresponding zero points of  ${}^aJ$  are  $(a_4, a_5)$ ,  $(a_4, a_4-a_3)$  and  $(a_3+a_5, a_5)$  respectively.
- iv) Suppose that  $a_1 = a_2 \neq a_3 = a_4 a_5$ . Then we have  $F \approx S^2 + S^2 + S^2 + S^2$ , and corresponding zero points are  $(a_4, a_4 a_1)$ ,  $(a_1 + a_5, a_5)$  and  $(a_4, a_4 a_3)$ .
- v) Suppose that  $a_1=a_2\neq a_3$  and  $a_4\neq a_i+a_5$  (i=1,3). Then we have  $F\approx S^2+S^2+2$  points, and corresponding zero points are  $(a_4, a_4-a_1)$ ,  $(a_1+a_5, a_5)$ ,  $(a_4, a_4-a_3)$  and  $(a_3+a_5, a_5)$  respectively.
- vi) Suppose that  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  are mutually distinct and  $a_4 = a_1 + a_5$ . Then we have  $F \approx S^2 + 4$  points, and corresponding zero points are  $(a_4, a_5)$ ,  $(a_4, a_4 a_2)$ ,  $(a_4, a_4 a_3)$ ,  $(a_2 + a_5, a_5)$  and  $(a_3 + a_5, a_5)$  respectively.
- vii) Suppose that  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  are mutually distinct and  $a_i \neq a_i + a_5$  (i = 1,

2, 3). Then F consists of 6 isolated points. The corresponding zero points are  $(a_4, a_4-a_1)$ ,  $(a_4, a_4-a_2)$ ,  $(a_4, a_4-a_3)$ ,  $(a_1+a_5, a_5)$ ,  $(a_2+a_5, a_5)$  and  $(a_3+a_5, a_5)$ .

Thus we have shown the examples except (3) and (4). A similar construction shows that there are some examples for (3) where the attaching map g above is changed. But, we can not construct the examples for (4) in this manner.

- 6. Concluding remarks. Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (p_1, \cdots, p_n) \subset Q[x_1, \cdots x_n]$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{A}} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ , and J a homogeneous ideal of  $Q[t, x_1, \cdots, x_n]$  which has a generator system  $p_1 tf_1, \cdots, p_n tf_n$  for some  $f_1, \cdots, f_n \in Q[t, x_1, \cdots, x_n]$  and satisfies the conditions of (1.2). Let  $\xi^{(1)}, \cdots, \xi^{(k)}$  be the zero points of J with multiplicities  $m_1, \cdots, m_k$ . There are several cases where  $\xi^{(j)}, m_j$   $(j=1, \cdots, k)$  determine J uniquely up to certain equivalence.
- i) Let n=3, and  $p_i=\sigma_i$  (i=1,2,3). Then it is shown that, for a fixed  $\{(\xi^{(j)},m_j)\mid j=1,\cdots,k\}$ , corresponding J is determined uniquely.
- ii) Let n=4 or 5, and  $p_i=\sigma_i$   $(i=1,\cdots,n)$ . We put  $P=\{(1,a_{\pi(1)},\cdots,a_{\pi(n)})\mid \pi\in S(n)\}$  for a fixed integral vector  $(a_1,\cdots,a_n)$ , where S(n) denotes the symmetric group of permutations of n symbols. Then the ideal J above, whose zero point set is P with constant multiplicities, is determined uniquely.
- iii) Let n=2,  $p_1=x_1x_2$  and  $p_2=x_1^3-x_2^3$ . Then easy calculation shows that, if J' is another ideal whose zero points and their multiplicities are that of J and satisfies the above conditions, then either J'=J or J' is mapped onto J by the permutation  $(x_1, x_2) \longrightarrow (x_2, x_1)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \subset Z[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{A}} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , and J (resp.  $\overline{J}$ ) a homogeneous ideal generated by  $p_j - tf_J(j = 1, \dots, n)$  in  $Z[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  (resp.  $Q[t, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ). It is not difficult to show that, if  $Z[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{A}$  is a free Abelian, then  $\overline{J} \cap Z[t, x_1, \dots, x_n] = J$ .

It follows that, if  $X = U(3)/T^3$  or  $X = CP(3) \sharp CP(3)$ , then equivariant cohomology rings  $H_G^*(X; Z)$  (and hence  $H^*(F; Q)$ ) are determined by  $A_J$  and  $\dim_Q H^*(F_J; Q)$   $(j = 1, \dots, k)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.Borel: Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts, Ann. cf Math. 57 (1953), 115—207.
- [2] G. E. Bredon: Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups, Academic Press., 1972.

- [3] A. HATTORI and T. YOSIDA: Lifting compact group actions in fiber bundles, Japanese J. Math. 2 (1976), 13—25.
- [4] K. HOKAMA: On the fixed point set of  $S^1$ -actions on  $CP^m \times CP^n$ , Math. J. Okayama Univ. 17 (1975), 181—186.
- [5] W.Y. HSIANG: On some fundamental theorems in cohomology theory of topological transformation groups, Taita J. Math. 2 (1970), 61—87.
- [6] W. KRULL: Idealtheorie, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1948.
- [7] P. TOMTER: Transformation groups on cohomology product of spheres, Ivent. Math. 23 (1974), 79-88.
- [8] O. ZARISKI and P. SAMUEL: Commutative Algebra II, D. Van Nostrand Company, 1960.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received June 20, 1977)