

# NOTE ON THE MAXIMAL QUOTIENT RING OF A GALOIS SUBRING

YOSHIMI KITAMURA

Let  $A$  be a ring with identity,  $G$  a finite group of automorphisms of  $A$ , and  $A^G$  the subring of  $A$  consisting of all elements of  $A$  left fixed by all elements of  $G$ . When  $A$  has a classical left quotient ring  $Q_{cl}(A)$  and the extension of  $G$  to  $Q_{cl}(A)$  is identified with  $G$ ,  $A^G$  has  $Q_{cl}(A)^G$  as its classical left quotient ring under suitable hypotheses (cf. [2], [3], [4], [8] and [9]). In stead of classical left quotient rings, we shall consider here maximal left quotient rings in the sense of Utumi-Lambek. As was shown by Utumi [10], a ring  $A$  always has its maximal left quotient ring  $Q_{max}(A)$  determined uniquely up to isomorphism over  $A$  and every ring automorphism of  $A$  can be extended uniquely to that of  $Q_{max}(A)$ . We shall now identify the unique extension of  $G$  to  $Q_{max}(A)$  with  $G$ . As was noted in [2], in general it is not true that  $Q_{max}(A)^G = Q_{max}(A^G)$ . The purpose of this note is to prove the last equality under the hypothesis that  $A$  is a  $G$ -Galois extension of  $A^G$ , namely, there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n \in A$  such that  $\sum_i x_i \sigma(y_i) = \delta_{1,\sigma}$  for all  $\sigma \in G$  (cf. [7]).

Throughout the present note, it is always assumed that every ring has an identity, every subring of a ring contains the same identity and that every module as well as every ring homomorphism is unital. Furthermore,  $A$  will represent a ring, and  $G$  a finite group of automorphisms of  $A$ , which will be identified with the unique extension of  $G$  to the maximal left quotient ring  $Q_{max}(A)$  of  $A$ .

**1. Lemmas.** We shall recall here several terminologies which will be used in the sequel. Let  ${}_R M \subset {}_R N$  be left  $R$ -modules. If  $M$  has nonzero intersection with every nonzero  $R$ -submodule of  $N$ , then  $M$  is an *essential submodule* of  $N$  (or  $N$  is an *essential extension* of  $M$ ). If, for each  $x, 0 \neq y \in N$  there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $ax \in M$  and  $ay \neq 0$ , then  $N$  is a *rational extension* of  $M$  (or  $M$  is a *dense submodule* of  $N$ ). If a ring extension  $S$  of  $R$  is a rational extension of  $R$  as a left  $R$ -module, then  $S$  is called a *left quotient ring* of  $R$ . For the notion and information about maximal left quotient rings see [10] or [6, § 4.3].

The next lemma is well known. However, for the sake of completeness, we shall give here the proof.

**Lemma 1.** Let  ${}_R M$  and  ${}_R N$  be left  $R$ -modules, and let  ${}_R \hat{N}$  be the injective hull of  ${}_R N$ . Then the following statements are equivalent :

- 1)  $\text{Hom}_R(M, \hat{N}) = 0$ .
- 2) For each  $x \in M$ ,  $0 \neq y \in N$ , there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $ax = 0$  and  $ay \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* 1)  $\implies$  2): Let  $x \in M$ ,  $0 \neq y \in N$ . We may assume  $x \neq 0$ . Let  $I$  be the left annihilator of  $x$  in  $R$ . Then the right multiplication map of  $x$  from  $R$  to  $Rx$  induces an  $R$ -isomorphism of  $R/I$  to  $Rx$ . If  $Iy = 0$ , then the right multiplication map of  $y$  induces a nonzero  $R$ -homomorphism of  $R/I$  to  $N$ , and so,  ${}_R \hat{N}$  being injective,  $\text{Hom}_R(M, \hat{N}) \neq 0$ , contradicting 1).

2)  $\implies$  1): If there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $f$  of  $M$  to  $\hat{N}$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for some  $x \in M$ , then,  $N$  being essential in  $\hat{N}$ , there exists  $a \in R$  with  $0 \neq af(x) \in N$ , and so we have  $a' \in R$  such that  $a'(ax) = 0$  and  $a'(af(x)) \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $S/R$  be a ring extension,  $\hat{S}$  the injective hull of  ${}_S S$ , and  $\hat{R}$  that of  ${}_R R$ . Let  $\alpha: \text{Hom}_R(S, \hat{R}) \rightarrow \hat{S}$  be an  $S$ -isomorphism. Then, for an arbitrary left  $S$ -module  ${}_S X$ , the map

$$\alpha'(X): \text{Hom}_R(X, \hat{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(X, \hat{S})$$

defined by

$$[\alpha'(X)(g)](x) = \alpha(g \cdot \rho_x) \quad (g \in \text{Hom}_R(X, \hat{R}), x \in X)$$

is bijective, where  $\rho_x: S \rightarrow X$  is defined by  $(\rho_x)(s) = sx$  ( $s \in S$ ).

*Proof.* To be easily seen,  $\alpha'(X)$  is the composite of the following isomorphisms :

$$\text{Hom}_R(X, \hat{R}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(S \otimes_S X, \hat{R}) \cong \text{Hom}_S(X, \text{Hom}_R(S, \hat{R})) \cong \text{Hom}_S(X, \hat{S}).$$

Following F. Kasch [5], a ring extension  $S/R$  is called a *Frobenius extension* if  ${}_R S$  is finitely generated projective and  ${}_S S_R \cong {}_S \text{Hom}({}_R S, {}_R R)_R$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(A; G)$  be the trivial crossed product of  $A$  with  $G$ , that is,  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} Au_\sigma$ ;  $\{u_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G}$  is a free generator for  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $A$ ,  $au_\sigma \cdot bu_\tau = a\sigma(b)u_{\sigma\tau}$  ( $a, b \in A$ ;  $\sigma, \tau \in G$ ). Then the map

$$h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A, \quad h(\sum_{\sigma \in G} a_\sigma u_\sigma) = a_1 \quad (a_\sigma \in A)$$

induces a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -, right  $A$ -bimodule isomorphism

$$\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Hom}({}_A \mathcal{A}, {}_A A), \quad (\Phi(d))(x) = h(xd) \quad (d, x \in \mathcal{A})$$

whose inverse is given by

$$\Phi^{-1}(f) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(f(u_{\sigma^{-1}}))u_{\sigma} \quad (f \in \text{Hom}({}_A J, {}_A A)).$$

Therefore,  $J/A$  is a Frobenius extension.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{J}$  be the injective hulls of  ${}_A A$  and  ${}_A J$ , respectively. Then there exists a left  $J$ -module isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_A(J, \hat{A}) \cong \hat{J}$ .*

*Proof.* At first, we shall show that  $J \otimes_A \hat{A}$  is an essential extension of  $J$  ( $\cong J \otimes_A A$ ) as left  $J$ -modules. To see this, let  $x = \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_{\sigma} \otimes x_{\sigma}$  ( $\{x_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in G} \subset \hat{A}$ ) be an arbitrary nonzero element of  $J \otimes_A \hat{A}$ . We have then  $x_{\sigma} \neq 0$  for some  $\sigma$ . However,  ${}_A \hat{A}$  is an essential extension of  ${}_A A$ , and so there exists some  $a_{\sigma} \in A$  such that  $0 \neq \sigma^{-1}(a_{\sigma})x_{\sigma} \in A$ . Since

$$a_{\sigma}x = \sum_{\tau \in G} u_{\tau} \cdot \tau^{-1}(a_{\sigma}) \otimes x_{\tau} = u_{\sigma} \otimes \sigma^{-1}(a_{\sigma})x_{\sigma} + y$$

with  $y = \sum_{\tau \neq \sigma} u_{\tau} \otimes \tau^{-1}(a_{\sigma})x_{\tau}$ , if  $y$  is nonzero then we can choose similarly some  $a_{\tau} \in A$  ( $\tau \neq \sigma$ ) with  $0 \neq \tau^{-1}(a_{\tau})\tau^{-1}(a_{\sigma})x_{\tau} \in A$ . Repeating the same argument, we have eventually  $a \in A$  such that  $\sigma^{-1}(a)x_{\sigma} \in A$  for all  $\sigma \in G$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(a)x_{\sigma} \neq 0$  for some  $\sigma \in G$ . Since  $\{u_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in G}$  is a free generator for  $J$  over  $A$ , we have then  $0 \neq ax \in J$ , and so  $J \otimes_A \hat{A}$  is an essential extension of  $J$  as left  $A$ - and hence as left  $J$ -modules. Next,  $J/A$  being a Frobenius extension, we have  $J \otimes_A \hat{A} \cong \text{Hom}_A(J, \hat{A})$  as left  $J$ -modules by [5, (II), p. 15]. The latter is clearly an injective  $J$ -module. Hence, noting the mention cited above, the uniqueness of the injective hull up to isomorphism yields the conclusion.

Now, we shall denote by  $t$  the trace map

$$t : A \longrightarrow A^{\sigma}, \quad t(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(x) \quad (x \in A),$$

and say that  $t$  is *left nondegenerate* if  $t(Aa) \neq 0$  for all nonzero  $a \in A$ , or equivalently, if  $t(I) \neq 0$  for all nonzero left ideals  $I$  of  $A$ . The *right nondegeneracy* of  $t$  is defined symmetrically.

**Lemma 4.** *Assume that the trace map  $t$  is left nondegenerate.*

1) *If  $I$  is a dense left ideal of  $A$ , then  $t(I)$  and  $I \cap A^{\sigma}$  are both dense left ideals of  $A^{\sigma}$ .*

2)  $Q_{\max}(A)^{\sigma}$  *is a left quotient ring of  $A^{\sigma}$ .*

*Furthermore, assume that for every dense left ideal  $D$  of  $A^{\sigma}$  the left ideal  $AD$  of  $A$  is dense. Then*

3)  $Q_{\max}(A)^{\sigma}$  *is the maximal left quotient ring of  $A^{\sigma}$ .*

*Proof.* 1): Let  $I$  be a dense left ideal of  $A$ . Let  $x, 0 \neq y$  be elements of  $A^{\sigma}$ . Then, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $ax \in I$  and  $ay \neq 0$ .

But,  $t$  being left nondegenerate, there exists  $a' \in A$  such that  $0 \neq t(a'ay) = t(a'a)y \in A^G$ . It follows therefore that  $t(I)$  is a dense left ideal of  $A^G$ . Noting that the intersection of a finite number of dense left ideals is a dense left ideal and  $\sigma(I)$  is dense in  $A$  for each  $\sigma \in G$ , we see that  $I_0 = \bigcap_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(I)$  is dense in  $A$ , and so  $t(I_0)$  is dense by the above. Therefore  $I \cap A^G$  is dense by  $t(I_0) \subset I_0 \subset I$ .

2): Let  $x, 0 \neq y$  be elements of  $Q_{\max}(A)^G$ . Then there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $ax, ay \in A$  with  $ay \neq 0$ . Then, in the same way as in 1), we can find an element  $a' \in A$  such that  $t(a'a)x \in A^G$  and  $t(a'a)y \neq 0$ , which yields 2).

3): In this proof, we shall use freely [6, Corollary to Prop. 8, p. 99] and write left module homomorphisms on the right side. Let  $f: D \rightarrow A^G$  be an arbitrary left  $A^G$ -module homomorphism of a dense left ideal  $D$  of  $A^G$  to  $A^G$ . Then the map

$$\bar{f}: AD \rightarrow A$$

defined by

$$(\sum_k a_k d_k) \bar{f} = \sum_k a_k \cdot (d_k) f \quad (a_k \in A, d_k \in D)$$

is well-defined. Indeed, let assume  $\sum_k a_k d_k = 0$  ( $a_k \in A, d_k \in D$ ). Since  $t(a \sum_k a_k \cdot (d_k) f) = \sum_k t(aa_k) (d_k) f = (\sum_k t(aa_k) d_k) f = (t(a \sum_k a_k d_k)) f$  for all  $a \in A$ , the left nondegeneracy of  $t$  yields  $\sum_k a_k \cdot (d_k) f = 0$  as desired. Now  $AD$  is dense in  $A$  by the assumption, and so there exists  $q \in Q_{\max}(A)$  such that  $(x) \bar{f} = xq$  for all  $x \in AD$ . Especially, we have  $(d) f = dq$  for all  $d \in D$ . It remains to prove  $q \in Q_{\max}(A)^G$ . Since  $d(q - \sigma(q)) = (d) f - \sigma((d) f) = 0$  ( $d \in D, \sigma \in G$ ), this follows from the density of  $AD$ .

**Lemma 5.** *If  $A$  is a  $G$ -Galois extension of  $A^G$ , then  $AD$  is a dense left ideal of  $A$  whenever  $D$  is a dense left ideal of  $A^G$ .*

*Proof.* Let us set  $B = A^G$ , and  $C = \text{End}(A_B)$ . There exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n \in A$  such that  $\sum_i x_i \sigma(y_i) = \delta_{\sigma, 1}$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ . Then the map

$$j: \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(A; G) \rightarrow C$$

defined by

$$j(\sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma} u_{\sigma})(x) = \sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma} \sigma(x) \quad (x \in A)$$

is a ring isomorphism whose inverse is given by

$$j^{-1}(c) = \sum_{\sigma} (\sum_i c(x_i) \sigma(y_i)) u_{\sigma} \quad (c \in C).$$

Moreover, if  $i_1: A \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  is the natural injection and  $i_2: A \rightarrow C$  is the left multiplication map then  $j i_1 = i_2$ . Therefore, we may and shall identify

$C$  with  $\mathcal{J}$  via  $j$ . Since  $x = \sum_i t(xx_i)y_i = \sum_i x_i t(y_i x)$  for all  $x \in A$ ,  $t$  is left and right nondegenerate. Let  $D$  be a dense left ideal of  $B$ . We shall show that  $\text{Hom}_A(A/AD, \hat{A}) = 0$ , which will complete the proof by Lemma 1. Using Lemmas 2 and 3, it is sufficient to show  $\text{Hom}_C(A/AD, \hat{C}) = 0$ . Let  $x \in A$  and  $0 \neq c \in C$ . We have then  $c(x') \neq 0$  for some  $x' \in A$ . Since  $t$  is left nondegenerate, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $t(ac(x')) \neq 0$ . Further,  $D$  being dense in  $B$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $bt(ac(x')) \neq 0$  and  $bt(ax) \in D \subset AD$ . Then  $c' = i_2(b) \cdot t \cdot i_2(a)$  is an element of  $C$  such that  $c' \cdot x \in AD$  and  $c' \cdot c \neq 0$ , and so  $\text{Hom}_C(A/AD, \hat{C}) = 0$  by Lemma 1.

**2. Main theorem.** We are now ready for proving our main theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -Galois extension of  $A^G$ . Then  $Q_{\max}(A)^G = Q_{\max}(A^G)$ , and moreover  $Q_{\max}(A) = A$  if and only if  $Q_{\max}(A^G) = A^G$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $Q = Q_{\max}(A)$ . There exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n \in A$  such that  $\sum_i x_i \sigma(y_i) = \delta_{\sigma, 1}$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ . In the proof of Lemma 5 we have seen that the trace map  $t$  is nondegenerate. Therefore by Lemmas 4 and 5 we have  $Q^G = Q_{\max}(A^G)$ . It is easy to see that  $x = \sum_i x_i t(y_i x) = \sum_i t(xx_i)y_i$  for all  $x \in Q$ , where  $t$  is the trace map of  $Q$  to  $Q^G$ . It follows then that  $Q = A \cdot Q^G = Q^G \cdot A = A \cdot Q_{\max}(A^G) = Q_{\max}(A^G) \cdot A$ , and so  $Q = A$  if and only if  $Q_{\max}(A^G) = A^G$ .

Obviously the maximal left quotient ring of a ring has no proper left quotient rings (see [6, Corollary to Prop. 2, p. 95]). Hence the following is an easy combination of our theorem and Lemma 4.

**Proposition.** *If  $Q = Q_{\max}(A)$  is a  $G$ -Galois extension of  $Q^G$  such that the trace map  $t: A \rightarrow A^G$  is left nondegenerate, then  $Q^G$  is the maximal left quotient ring of  $A^G$ .*

**Remark 1.** If  $A$  is a semiprime ring without  $|G|$ -torsion, then the trace map  $t$  is left and right nondegenerate. If in addition the left singular ideal of  $A$  is zero, then  $Q_{\max}(A)^G = Q_{\max}(A^G)$ . In fact,  $I = \{a \in A \mid t(Aa) = 0\}$  is clearly a  $G$ -invariant left ideal of  $A$  such that  $t(I) = 0$ . Thus  $I$  is nilpotent by [1, Proposition 2. 3]. However,  $A$  is semiprime, and so  $I = 0$ . Hence,  $t$  is left nondegenerate. Similarly,  $t$  is right nondegenerate. Since the left singular ideal of  $A$  is zero,  $Q = Q_{\max}(A)$  is a regular, left self-injective ring. Hence,  $Q$  is injective as a left  $A$ -module. Moreover, the left quotient ring  $Q$  of  $A$  has no  $|G|$ -torsion. Thus we can apply the above argument to see that the trace

map  $t: Q \rightarrow Q^G$  is left and right nondegenerate. Now, let  $D$ ,  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  be same as in the proof of Lemma 4 3). The injectivity of  ${}_A Q$  implies the existence of  $q \in Q$  such that  $(x)\bar{f} = xq$  for all  $x \in AD$ , and so the proof enables us to see that  $d(q - \sigma(q)) = 0$  for all  $d \in D$ ,  $\sigma \in G$ . However,  $Q^G \cdot D$  is a dense left ideal of  $Q^G$  by Lemma 4 2). Hence, the right nondegeneracy of  $t: Q \rightarrow Q^G$  implies that the right annihilator of  $Q^G \cdot D$  in  $Q$  is zero, which yields  $q \in Q^G$ . It follows therefore  $Q^G = Q_{\max}(A^G)$ .

**Remark 2.** If  $A$  is commutative and the trace map  $t$  is nondegenerate then  $Q_{\max}(A)^G = Q_{\max}(A^G)$ . In fact, the nondegeneracy of  $t$  implies that if  $J$  is an ideal of  $A^G$  whose annihilator in  $A^G$  is zero then the annihilator of  $J$  in  $A$  is zero. However, in a commutative ring, a dense ideal is nothing but an ideal whose annihilator is zero. Now, the assertion is a consequence of Lemma 4.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. M. BERGMAN and I. M. ISAACS: Rings with fixed point free group actions, Proc. London Math. Soc. **27** (1973), 69—87.
- [2] C. FAITH: Galois extensions of commutative rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **18** (1976), 113—116.
- [3] M. COHEN: Semiprime Goldie centralizers, Israel J. Math. **20** (1975), 37—45; Addendum **24** (1976), 89—93.
- [4] V. K. HARCHENKO: Galois extensions and quotient rings, Algebra i Logika **13** (1974), 460—484 (in Russian).
- [5] F. KASCH: Projective Frobenius Erweiterungen, Sitzungsber. Heiderberger Akad. Wiss. 1960/61, 89—109.
- [6] J. LAMBEK: Lectures on Rings and Modules, Blaisdell, 1966.
- [7] Y. MIYASHITA: Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, **19** (1966), 114—134.
- [8] Y. MIYASHITA: Locally finite outer Galois theory, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, **20** (1967), 1—26.
- [9] H. TOMINAGA: Note on Galois subrings of prime Goldie rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **16** (1973), 115—116.
- [10] Y. UTUMI: On quotient rings, Osaka Math. J. **8** (1956), 1—18.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY

(Received November 12, 1976)