ON GENERALIZATIONS OF P_1 -RINGS AND asr-RINGS

Dedicated to Professor Yukiyosi Kawada on his 60th birthday

KATSUO CHIBA and HISAO TOMINAGA

In his papers [5], [6] and [7], F. Szász considered several generalizations of strongly regular rings: A ring R is called a P_1 -ring (resp. gsr-ring) if aR = aRa (resp. $aRa = a^2Ra^2$) for any $a \in R$. As was shown in [5, Theorem 6], [6, Theorem 9] and [7, Theorem 9], there holds the following:

- (I) A ring R is strongly regular if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:
 - 1) R is a semi-prime P_1 -ring.
 - 2) R is semi-prime and $aR = a^2R$ for any $a \in R$.
 - 3) R is a semi-prime gsr-ring.

More recently, S. Ligh and Y. Utumi [4, Theorems 1, 3] and K. Chiba and H. Tominaga [2, Theorem 2] have characterized a P_1 -ring R as follows:

- (II) R is a P_1 -ring if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:
 - 1) R is a direct sum of a strongly regular ring and a zero ring.
 - 2) $aR \subseteq Ra^2$ for any $a \in R$.
 - 3) l(R) = r(R) and R/l(R) is strongly regular.
 - 4) $aR = a^2R$ and $Ra = Ra^2$ for any $a \in R$.
 - 5) R/l(R) and R/r(R) are strongly regular.

Concening gsr-rings, by the aid of Nagata-Higman theorem, F. Szász [7, Theorem 7] proved also the following:

(III) If a gsr-ring R with prime radical N is an algebra over a field whose characteristic is 0 or a prime $p \ge 5$, then $N^7 = 0$.

In this note, we shall introduce the notion of a P'_n -ring (resp. Q_n -ring) as a generalization of that of a P_1 -ring (resp. gsr-ring), and prove three theorems which contain (I), (II) and (III) respectively.

Throughout R will represent an associative ring (with or without 1), and n a positive integer. As for notations and terminologies used here, we follow [2].

If $aR^n = aR^n a$ (resp. $aR^n a = a^2 R^n a^2$) for any $a \in R$, R is called a P_n -ring

(resp. Q_n -ring). Obviously, the notion of a P'_1 -ring (resp. Q_1 -ring) coincides with that of a P_1 -ring (resp. gsr-ring). If R is a P'_n -ring or if $aR^n = a^2R^n$ for any $a \in R$, then it is easy to see that the set N of all nilpotent elements of R equals $l(R^n)$. While, if $aR^n \subseteq R^na^2$ for any $a \in R$, then one can prove $N = l(R^{2n})$ (cf. the proof of [4, Theorem 3]). Finally, in case R is a Q_n -ring, for any $a \in N$ we have $aR^na = 0$, so that $(aR)^{2n} \subseteq (aR^n)^2 = 0$, which means that N coincides with the prime radical of R.

Our first theorem is a generalization of (I).

Theorem 1. The following are equivalent:

- 1) R is strongly regular.
- 2) R is a semi-prime P'_n -ring.
- 3) R is semi-prime and $aR^n = a^2R^n$ for any $a \in R$.
- 4) R is semi-prime and $aR^n \subseteq R^n a^2$ for any $a \in R$.
- 5) R is a semi-prime Q_n -ring.

Proof. It is easy to see that 1) implies 2)-5). Suppose 2). In any rate, R is a reduced ring. Given $a \in R$, $a^{n+1} - ab_0 a = 0$ for some $b_0 \in R^n$. Since $(a^n - ab_0)^2 = 0$, it follows $a^n - ab_0 = 0$ and $a^n - ab_1 a = 0$ for some $b_1 \in R^n$. Repeating the same procedure, we obtain eventually $a - ab_n a = 0$ for some $b_n \in R^n$, proving 1). Next, noting that in a reduced ring xy = 0 if and only if yx = 0, the implications $3) \Longrightarrow 1$ and $4) \Longrightarrow 1$) will be shown quite similarly. Finally, suppose 5). If a is an arbitrary element of R then

$$a^{n+2} \in aR^n a = a^2R^n a^2 = \cdots = a^{2(n+2)}R^n a^{2(n+2)}$$

Hence, by [1, Lemma 1] there exists an element $b \in R$ such that $a^{n+2} = a^{2(n+2)}b$ and ab = ba. Then it is easy to see that $(a-a^{n+3}b)^{n+2} = 0$. Since R is seen to be a reduced ring, we obtain $a = a^2(a^{n+1}b)$, which proves 1) (cf. also [1, Lemma 4]).

If e is an idempotent of R such that ea=ae for any $a \in R$ wth $a^2=0$ then e is central. To see this, it is enough to recall that $(ex-exe)^2=0=(xe-exe)^2$ for any $x \in R$. Following A. Kertész and O. Steinfeld [3], R is called a Z-ring if every idempotent of R is central. For instance, any ring whose nilpotent elements are central is a Z-ring (cf. [4, Theorem 1]).

Lemma 1. (1) If R is a P'_n -ring then it is a Z-ring.

- (2) If $aR^n \subseteq R^n a^2$ for any $a \in R$ then R is a Z-ring.
- (3) If $l(R^n)=r(R^n)$ and $R/l(R^n)$ is strongly regular, then R is a Z-ring.

- *Proof.* (1) Let $e=e^2$ and $a^2=0$ in R. Obviously, $ae=ae^n=0$ and $ea=e\cdot e^{n-1}a \equiv eR^ne$, whence it follows ea=eae=0. Hence, R is a Z-ring. Similarly, we can prove (2).
- (3) Let $e=e^2$ in R. Since $R/l(R^n)$ is strongly regular, $ae-ea \in l(R^n)$ for any $a \in R$, so that $ae-eae=(ae-ea)e^n=0$, and similarly ea-eae=0. Hence ae=eae=ea.

Now, we are at the position to prove our principal theorem, which contains (II).

Theorem 2. The following are equivalent:

- 1) R is a direct sum of a strongly regular ring and a nilpotent ring of nilpotency index at most n+1.
 - 2) R is $\alpha P'_n$ -ring.
 - 3) $aR^n \subseteq R^n a^2$ for any $a \in R$.
 - 4) R is a Z-ring and $R/l(R^n)$ is regular.
 - 5) $l(R^n) = r(R^n)$ and $R/l(R^n)$ is strongly regular.
 - 6) $aR^n = a^2R^n$ and $R^na = R^na^2$ for any $a \in R$.
 - 7) $R/l(R^n)$ and $R/r(R^n)$ are strongly regular.
 - 2')-4') The left-right analogues of 2)-4).

Proof. It is easy to see that 1) implies 2)—7).

- 2) \Longrightarrow 4) By Lemma 1 (1) and Theorem 1.
- $6) \Longrightarrow 7) \Longrightarrow 5) \Longrightarrow 4)$ By Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 (3).
- 4) \Longrightarrow 1) By the regularity of $R=R/l(R^n)$ we have $R=R^{n+1}+l(R^n)$. Now, let $x=\sum x_i^{(1)}x_i^{(2)}\cdots x_i^{(n+1)}$ be an arbitrary element of $R^{n+1}\cap l(R^n)$. Then, by the regularity of \overline{R} and the nilpotency of $l(R^n)$ we can find a central idempotent e such that $x_i^{(1)}-x_i^{(1)}e\in l(R^n)$ for all i. Hence,

$$x = \sum_{i} (x_i^{(1)} - x_i^{(1)}e)x_i^{(2)} \cdots x_i^{(n+1)} + \sum_{i} x_i^{(1)}ex_i^{(2)} \cdots x_i^{(n+1)} = xe = xe^n = 0,$$
 whence it follows $R = R^{n+1} \oplus l(R^n)$.

3) \Longrightarrow 1) By Lemma 1 (2) and Theorem 1, R is a Z-ring such that $R/l(R^{2n})$ is strongly regular. Hence, the proof of 4) \Longrightarrow 1) shows that $R=R^{2n+1}\oplus l(R^{2n})$. Since $R^{n+1}=R^{n+2}$, we readily see $R=R^{n+1}\oplus l(R^{2n})$. If $aR^{2n}=0$ then $aR^n\subseteq R^{n+1}\cap l(R^{2n})=0$, which means $l(R^{2n})=l(R^n)$. Thus $R=R^{n+1}\oplus l(R^n)$, proving 1).

Remark. By Theorem 2, any P'_n -ring is a Q_n -ring. However, the converse is not true in general: Let R be the subring of $(GF(2))_2$ consisting of 0, e_{11} , e_{12} and $e_{11} + e_{12}$, where e_{ij} 's are matrix units of $(GF(2))_2$. Then, $aR = a^2R$ and $aRa = a^2Ra^2$ for any $a \in R$, $Re_{12} \neq 0 = Re_{12}^2$, $I(R) \neq 0 = r(R)$.

and R/l(R) is isomorphic to GF(2).

Finally, we shall present a sharpening of (III).

Lemma 2. Let R be a Q_n -ring. If a_1 , a_2 , a_4 , $a_5 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_3 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then $2a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5=0$.

Proof. If a, b are in N then $aR^na=0$, $bR^nb=0$ and $(a+b)R^n(a+b)=0$. Hence, one will easily see that axb=-bxa for any $x \in R^n$. By making use of this relation, we obtain

 $a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5=-a_4a_5a_3a_1a_2=a_4a_2a_3a_1a_5=-a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5,$ namely, $2a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5=0.$

By Lemma 2, without making use of Nagata-Higman theorem, we readily obtain the following:

Theorem 3. Let R be an algebra over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. If R is a Q_n -ring then $N^{n+4}=0$. Especially, if R is a gsr-ring then $N^5=0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Azumaya: Strongly π-regular rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, 13 (1954), 34-39.
- [2] K. Chiba and H. Tominaga: Note on strongly regular rings and P₁-rings, Proc. Japan Acad. 51 (1975), 259—261.
- [3] A. Kertész and O. Steinfeld: Über reguläre Z-ringe, Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie 3 (1974), 7—10.
- [4] S.LIGH and Y.UTUMI: Direct sum of strongly regular rings and zero rings, Proc. Japan Acad. 50 (1974), 589—592.
- [5] F. SZÁSZ: Some generalizations of strongly regular rings I, Math. Japonicae 17 (1972), 115-118.
- [6] F. Szász: Some generalizations of strongly regular rings II, Math. Japonicae 18 (1973), 87-90.
- [7] F. SZASZ: On generalized subcommutative regular rings, Monatshefte für Math. 77 (1973), 67-71.

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received February 28, 1976)