

ON DECOMPOSITIONS INTO SIMPLE RINGS

Dedicated to Professor Kiiti Morita on the occasion
of his 60th birthday

HISAO TOMINAGA

It is the purpose of this paper to give the conditions for a (non-zero) ring to be a direct sum of complete rings of linear transformations of finite rank of vector spaces over division rings, which are motivated by the results in [4], [5] and [6] (Theorem 1). Moreover, we shall give several equivalent conditions for a ring to be a direct sum of division rings (Theorem 2).

A ring R is defined to be *left* (resp. *right*) *s-unital* if $RI = I$ (resp. $IR = I$) for every left (resp. right) ideal I of R . Needless to say, if R is left *s-unital* then the left R -module ${}_R R$ is unital (or the right R -module R_R is faithful). Every ring with left identity is left *s-unital* and every regular ring is left and right *s-unital*.

Lemma 1. *Let R be a left *s-unital* ring.*

(a) *If A is a proper (two-sided) ideal of R then A is contained in a maximal left ideal, in particular, R contains a maximal left ideal.*

(b) *If every maximal left ideal of R is a direct summand of ${}_R R$ then ${}_R R$ is completely reducible, and conversely.*

Proof. (a) let u be an arbitrary element of R not contained in A . Then, $eu = u$ with some $e \in R$, and by Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal member M in the family of left ideals B of R with $B \supseteq \{x \in R \mid xu \in A\}$ ($\supseteq A$) and $B \not\ni e$. One will easily see that M is a maximal left ideal of R .

(b) Suppose that the socle S of ${}_R R$ does not coincide with R . Then, by (a) the ideal S is contained in some maximal left ideal M , and by hypothesis $R = M \oplus N$ with a minimal left ideal N . However, this is a contradiction.

Lemma 2. *The following conditions are equivalent :*

(1) *R is left non-singular (i. e., the left singular ideal of R is 0).*

(2) *${}_R R$ is unital and every left annihilator is closed in ${}_R R$ i. e., every left annihilator has no proper essential extension in ${}_R R$).*

Proof. Let Z be the left singular ideal of R . If $Z = 0$ then ${}_R R$ is

obviously unital. Let T be an arbitrary subset of R . If J is a left ideal of R in which the left annihilator $l(T)$ is essential, then for every $b \in J$ the left ideal $\{x \in R \mid xb \in l(T)\}$ is essential. Hence, $bT \subseteq Z = 0$, namely, $b \in l(T)$. This proves that $l(T) = J$. The converse will be almost evident.

Now, we can state our main theorem.

Theorem 1. *The following conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$, where R_λ is the complete ring of linear transformations of finite rank of a vector space over a division ring.
- (2) R is a left s -unital semi-prime ring and every left ideal of R is a left annihilator.
- (3) R is a regular ring and every left ideal of R is a left annihilator.

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious, and (1) \Rightarrow (3) is a direct consequence of [3, Theorem IV. 16. 3].

(2) \Rightarrow (1). We shall prove first that the left singular ideal Z of R is 0. To see this, we assume $Z \neq 0$. As is well-known, there exists a left ideal I of R such that $Z \cap I = 0$ and $Z + I$ is essential. By hypothesis, $Z \oplus I = l(T)$ with a subset T of R . If $T = 0$ then $R = Z \oplus I$. Since $I = RI = (Z + I)I = ZI + I^2 \subseteq Z \oplus I$, we obtain $ZI = 0$. Then $(IZ)^2 = 0$, so that $IZ = 0$, which implies that I is an ideal of R . By Lemma 1 (a), there exists then a maximal left ideal M containing I . Again by hypothesis, $M = l(u)$ with some u in the right annihilator $r(I) = Z$. Noting here that Ru is isomorphic to R/M as left R -module, Ru is a minimal left ideal and generated by some non-zero idempotent $e \in Z$. But, this yields a contradiction $Re \cap l(e) = 0$. Hence, $T \neq 0$. Now, let t be an arbitrary non-zero element of T . Then, recalling that $t \in Z$ by $Z \oplus I \subseteq l(t)$, one will readily see that $(Rt)^2 \subseteq Zt = 0$. This contradiction shows that $Z = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2, R has no proper essential left ideals. Accordingly, every maximal left ideal is a direct summand of ${}_R R$, and hence ${}_R R$ is completely reducible by Lemma 1 (b). Now, let R_λ be an arbitrary homogeneous component of R . Then, as is well-known, R_λ is a (non-trivial) simple ring and every left ideal of R_λ is a left annihilator in R_λ . Hence, again by [3, Theorem IV. 16. 3], R_λ is the complete ring of linear transformations of finite rank of a vector space over a division ring.

Combining Theorem 1 with [3, Theorem IV. 16. 4], we obtain at once

Corollary 1. *The following conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) R is a direct sum of artinian simple rings.
- (2) R is a left (or right) s -unital semi-prime ring such that every left ideal is a left annihilator and every right ideal is a right annihilator.
- (3) R is a regular ring such that every left ideal is a left annihilator and every right ideal is a right annihilator.

The next contains all the results in [4, § 5], [5, Theorem II] and [6].

Corollary 2. *Let R be a ring with 1. Then the following conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) R is artinian semi-simple.
- (2) Every maximal left ideal of R is a direct summand of ${}_R R$.
- (3) R is left non-singular and every essential left ideal of R is a left annihilator.
- (4) R is semi-prime and every essential left ideal of R is a left annihilator.
- (5) R is a regular ring and every essential left ideal of R is a left annihilator.
- (6) R is semi-prime and every left ideal of R is a left annihilator.
- (7) R has no proper essential left ideals.
- (2')—(7') The left-right analogues of (2)—(7).

Proof. Obviously, (6) \Rightarrow (4), (5) \Rightarrow (4), and (1) \Rightarrow (5), (6). Moreover, (2) \Rightarrow (1) is contained in Lemma 1 (b), and (3) \Rightarrow (7) \Rightarrow (2) is easy by Lemma 2. Finally, the argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 will enable us to see that (4) \Rightarrow (3).

A ring without non-zero nilpotent elements is called a *reduced ring*. If R is a reduced ring then the left annihilator $l(T)$ of a subset T of R coincides with $r(T)$ and every idempotent in R is central.

The next is [2, Lemma 2], and is essentially due to R. Yue Chi Ming.

Lemma 3. *The following conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) R is a left non-singular ring and every closed left ideal of R is two-sided.
- (2) R is a reduced ring and $I \oplus l(I)$ is essential in ${}_R R$ for every left ideal I of R .
- (3) R is a reduced ring and every closed left ideal of R is the annihilator of a left ideal.

Proof. For the sake of completeness, we shall give here the proof.

- (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose there exists a non-zero element b with $b^2 = 0$.

Then, there exists a non-zero left ideal K which is maximal with respect to $l(b) \cap K = 0$. Since K is closed, K is an ideal by hypothesis. Thus $Kb \subseteq K \cap l(b) = 0$, which implies a contradiction $K \subseteq l(b)$. Hence, R is a reduced ring and $I \cap l(I) = 0$ for every left ideal I . Now, let L be a left ideal of R containing $l(I)$ which is maximal with respect to $I \cap L = 0$. Since the closed left ideal L is an ideal, we have then $L \subseteq l(I)$. This proves that $I + l(I) = I + L$ is essential in ${}_R R$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let J be a closed left ideal. If $J \subset l(r(J))$, then there exists a non-zero left subideal K of $l(r(J))$ such that $J \cap K = 0$. We have then $l(J) = r(J) = r(l(r(J))) \subseteq r(K \oplus J) \subseteq r(J) = l(J)$, that is, $l(J) = l(K \oplus J)$. But, this yields a contradiction $(K \oplus J) \oplus l(K \oplus J) = K \oplus J \oplus l(J) \supset J \oplus l(J)$. Hence, $J = l(r(J))$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let b be an arbitrary element of the left singular ideal of R . Since $Rb \cap l(b) = Rb \cap r(b) = 0$, b has to be 0.

Finally, we shall extremely specialize Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *The following conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) *R is a direct sum of division rings.*
- (2) *R is a left s-unital, reduced ring without proper essential left ideals.*
- (3) *R is a left s-unital, reduced ring and every maximal left ideal is a direct summand of ${}_R R$.*
- (4) *R is a left s-unital, reduced ring and every maximal left ideal has a non-zero annihilator.*
- (5) *R is a strongly regular ring and every maximal left ideal has a non-zero annihilator.*
- (6) *R is a left V-ring (i. e., $R^2 = R$ and every left ideal is an intersection of maximal left ideals) and every maximal left ideal is the left annihilator of a left ideal.*
- (7) *R is a reduced ring and every left ideal is an annihilator.*
- (8) *R is a reduced ring and $l(l(I)) = I$ for every left ideal I of R .*
- (9) *$I \cap J = IJ$ and $l(l(I)) = I$ for all left ideals I, J of R .*
- (10) *R is a left non-singular ring and every left ideal is the left annihilator of a left ideal.*
- (11) *R is a regular ring and every left ideal is the left annihilator of a left ideal.*
- (12) *Every left ideal of R is the left annihilator of a left ideal and idempotent.*
- (2')—(12') *The left-right analogues of (2)—(12).*

Proof. We shall give the proof without making use of Theorem 1.

Obviously, $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$, $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$, and $(8) \Rightarrow (7)$. By [1, Theorem], R is a strongly regular ring if and only if R is a left V -ring and a left duo ring (i. e., a ring having no strictly left-sided ideals). Hence, $(1) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (11) \Rightarrow (10)$. Moreover, [1, Theorem] also enables us to see that $(11) \Leftrightarrow (12)$ and $(1) \Rightarrow (9) \Rightarrow (8)$.

$(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. By Lemma 1 (b), ${}_R R$ is completely reducible. Since every minimal left ideal in the reduced ring R is generated by a central idempotent, it is a two-sided ideal, and itself a division ring.

$(4) \Rightarrow (3)$. If M is a maximal left ideal then $l(M) \neq 0$ and $M \cap l(M) = 0$, so that $R = M \oplus l(M)$.

$(7) \Rightarrow (10)$. Let I be an arbitrary left ideal, and $I = l(T)$ with a subset T of R . Let T' be the left ideal generated by T . Then, $I = r(T) = r(T') = l(T')$, and by Lemma 3 R is left non-singular.

$(10) \Rightarrow (5)$. Since R is a left duo ring, by Lemmas 2 and 3 we see that R is a reduced ring and $R = I \oplus l(I)$ (and $I = l(l(I))$) for every left ideal I of R . Now, let a be an arbitrary element of R . Then, considering I as the left ideal generated by a^3 , we have $a = u + v$, $u \in I$, $v \in l(I)$. Since $u^3 + v^3 = a^3 \in I$, it follows then $v^3 = 0$, and hence $v = 0$. This proves that $a \in I$ and R is strongly regular.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. CHIBA and H. TOMINAGA: On strongly regular rings, Proc. Japan Acad. **49** (1973), 435—437.
- [2] K. CHIBA and H. TOMINAGA: On strongly regular rings. II, Proc. Japan Acad. **50** (1974), 444—445.
- [3] N. JACOBSON: Structure of rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **37**, Providence, 1956.
- [4] J. K. LUEDEMAN: On orders in principal left ideal rings and left elemental annihilator rings, Math. Ann. **185** (1970), 309—314.
- [5] C. R. YOHE: On rings in which every ideal is the annihilator of an element, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1346—1348.
- [6] R. YUE CHI MING: On elemental annihilator rings, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **17** (1970), 187—188.

OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received July 27, 1974)