ON A GENERALIZED p-VECTOR CURVATURE AND ITS APPLICATIONS

TOSHIO NASU

Introduction

Let (M, g) be an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric g, and R be its curvature tensor $(n \ge 3)$. In this paper, we shall consider a special curvature structure of order p given by

$$\phi_r = \frac{1}{r!} g^r \wedge \omega$$
 $(0 \le r \le n-2),$

where ω is a curvature structure of order 2 and p=r+2. This was suggested to us by the work of S. Tachibana. Especially, if $\omega=R$, ϕ_r is nothing else but E. Cartan's notion of "p-vector curvature", which was formulated in the present form by R. S. Kulkarni.

In Theorem 1, we shall find a main property of this curvature structure. As simple application of this theorem, we shall give in Theorem 2 a sufficient condition for a Riemannian manifold with non-vanishing constant $2p^{\text{th}}$ sectional curvature to be of constant curvature in usual sense. In the last section, we shall study somewhat in detail the mean curvature ρ for p-plane, which was introduced by Tachibana [7] in connection with the work in K. Yano and S. Bochner [10]. As second application of Theorem 1, we shall prove in Theorem 3 that this curvature ρ generally determines the metric g itself of (M, g).

We shall assume, throughout this paper, that all manifolds are connected and all objects are of differentiability class C^{∞} . For the terminology and notation, we generally follow [4].

1. Preliminaries on curvature structures

In this section, let us recall some basic facts on the ring of double forms for later use (for the details, see [4]).

Let (M, g) be an *n*-dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold and let $\mathscr{F}(M)$ be the ring of smooth functions on M. Let $\Lambda^p(M)$ and $\Lambda^{*p}(M)$ denote the bundles of p-vectors and of p-forms on M, respectively. For simplicity, we denote the space of sections of a bundle by the same notation as the bundle space. We consider the spaces

$$\mathscr{D}^{p,q} = \Lambda^{*p}(M) \otimes \Lambda^{*q}(M), \ 0 \leq p, \ q \leq n, \ \mathscr{D} = \sum_{p,q} \mathscr{D}^{p,q},$$

where the tensor product is taken over $\mathscr{F}(M)$. An element ω of $\mathscr{D}^{p,q}$ is an $\mathscr{F}(M)$ -linear map $\omega: \Lambda^p(M) \times \Lambda^q(M) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}(M)$ and the value of ω on decomposable elements $u = x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p$ and $v = y_1 \wedge y_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_q$ is denoted by

$$\omega(u \otimes v) = \omega(x_1 \ x_2 \cdots x_p \otimes y_1 \ y_2 \cdots y_q),$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q$ are vector fields on M. \mathscr{D} forms an associative ring with respect to the natural "exterior product" as follows: for $\omega \in \mathscr{D}^{p,q}$ and $\theta \in \mathscr{D}^{r,s}$, we define

$$(1. 1) \sum_{\tau \in Sh(p,r)}^{(\omega \wedge \theta)(x_1 \cdots x_{p+r} \otimes y_1 \cdots y_{q+s})} \varepsilon_{\tau} \varepsilon_{\mu} \omega(x_{\tau_1} \cdots x_{\tau_p} \otimes y_{\mu_1} \cdots y_{\mu_q}) \theta(x_{\tau_{p+1}} \cdots x_{\tau_{p+r}} \otimes y_{\mu_{q+1}} \cdots y_{\mu_{q+s}})$$

for any vector fields $x_1, \dots, x_{p+r}, y_1, \dots, y_{q+s}$. Here, Sh(p, r) denotes the set of all (p, r)-shuffles

$$Sh(p, r) = \{ \tau \in S_{p+r}; \tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_p \text{ and } \tau_{p+1} < \cdots < \tau_{p+r} \},$$

where S_{p+r} is the symmetric group of degree p+r. Then, we have

$$\omega \wedge \theta = (-1)^{pr+qs} \theta \wedge \omega$$

for any $\omega \in \mathscr{D}^{p,q}$ and $\theta \in \mathscr{D}^{r,s}$. A symmetric element of $\mathscr{D}^{p,p}$ is called the *curvature structure of order p* and the set of such elements is denoted by \mathscr{C}^p . $\mathscr{C} = \sum_p \mathscr{C}^p$ is a commutative subring of \mathscr{D} called the ring of curvature structures on M.

The first Bianchi sum \otimes maps $\mathscr{D}^{p,q}$ into $\mathscr{D}^{p+1,q-1}$ and is defined as follows. Let $\omega \in \mathscr{D}^{p,q}$. If q=0, we set $\otimes \omega = 0$. If $q \geq 1$, then we set

$$\mathfrak{S}\omega(x_1\cdots x_{p+1}\otimes y_1\cdots y_{q-1})=\sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^j\,\omega(x_1\cdots\widehat{x}_j\cdots x_{p+1}\otimes x_j\,y_1\cdots y_{q-1})$$

for any vector fields $x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{q-1}$, where as usual $^{\wedge}$ denotes omission. Then, for any $\omega \in \mathscr{D}^{p,q}$ and $\theta \in \mathscr{D}^{r,s}$ we have

$$\mathfrak{S}(\omega \wedge \theta) = \mathfrak{S}\omega \wedge \theta + (-1)^{p+q}\omega \wedge \mathfrak{S}\theta$$
.

We define $\mathscr{C}_{1}^{p} = \mathscr{C}^{p} \cap \text{kernel } \mathfrak{S}$ and set $\mathscr{C}_{1} = \sum_{p} \mathscr{C}_{1}^{p}$. Then, owing to the above formula, \mathscr{C}_{1} is a subring of \mathscr{C} .

The contraction c maps $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ into $\mathcal{D}^{p-1,q-1}$ and is defined as follows. If $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ and p=0 or q=0, we set $c\omega=0$. If both p, $q\geq 1$, then for any vector fields $x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{q-1}$, we set

$$c\omega(x_1\cdots x_{p-1}\otimes y_1\cdots y_{q-1})=\sum_{k=1}^n\omega(e_k\ x_1\cdots x_{p-1}\otimes e_k\ y_1\cdots y_{q-1}),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a locally defined orthonormal frame field with respect to the metric g. Then, we have

$$\mathfrak{S} \cdot c = c \cdot \mathfrak{S}$$

on and

$$(1.2) c(g \wedge \omega) = g \wedge c\omega + (n - p - q)\omega$$

for any $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$.

Let ω^p denote the exterior product of $\omega \in \mathscr{C}$ with itself p times. Then, by the formula (1,1) we find

$$\omega^p(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \bigotimes y_1 y_2 \cdots y_p) = p! \det \| \omega(x_i \bigotimes y_j) \|$$

for any $\omega \in \mathscr{C}^1$. Particularly, the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of a *p*-vector induced by the metric g can be written as

(1.3)
$$||x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p||^2 = \frac{1}{p!} g^p(x_1 \mid x_2 \cdots x_p \otimes x_1 \mid x_2 \cdots x_p)$$

for the decomposable p-vector $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p$.

Let G_p denote the Grassmann bundle of p-planes on M, and $\pi: G_p \longrightarrow M$ be its projection. For $\omega \in \mathscr{C}^p$, we define the corresponding curvature function $K_\omega: G_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows: for any $\sigma \in G_p$

(1.4)
$$K_{\omega}(\sigma) = \frac{\omega(x_1 \cdots x_p \otimes x_1 \cdots x_p)}{\|x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p\|^2},$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ is a base of σ . The value $K_{\omega}(\sigma)$ depends only on σ . We say a point $m \in M$ is *isotropic* with respect to ω if K_{ω} is identically constant on the fibre $\pi^{-1}(m)$; otherwise, we call *m non-isotropic*.

The curvature function K_{ω} generally determines ω , that is, if $K_{\omega} = K_{\theta}$ on $\pi^{-1}(m)$, then we have $\omega = \theta$ at $m \in M$, for any ω , $\theta \in \mathscr{C}_{1}^{p}$. In particular, from (1.3) we have

Lemma. $K_{\omega} \mid \pi^{-1}(m) \equiv const. \ \kappa \ if and only if <math>\omega = \frac{\kappa}{p!} g^p \ at \ m$, for any $\omega \in \mathscr{C}_p^p$.

Finally, let R_{xy} be the curvature operator defined by

$$R_{xy} = [\nabla_x, \nabla_y] - \nabla_{[x,y]}$$

for any vector fields x and y, where ∇ denotes the covariant differentia-

tion with respect to the metric g. The curvature tensor R of type (0, 4) is defined by the formula

$$R(xy \otimes uv) = \langle R_{xv} u, v \rangle$$

for any vector fields x, y, u and v. It is well-known that $R \in \mathcal{C}_1^2$. Also, $-cR \in \mathcal{C}_1^1$ and $-c^2R \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ are the Ricci tensor Ric and the scalar curvature Sc of (M, g), respectively.

2. Generalized p-vector curvature structures

In this section, let us consider the generalized p-vector curvature structure

$$\phi_r = \frac{1}{r!} g^r \wedge \omega$$
 $(0 \le r \le n-2),$

where ω is an element of \mathscr{C}_1^2 and p=r+2. It is easy to see that for any p-plane σ we have

(2.1)
$$K_{\phi_r}(\sigma) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p} \omega(e_i \ e_j \otimes e_i \ e_j)$$

from (1.1) and (1.4), where $\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$ is an orthonormal base of σ . Thus, the value $K_{i_r}(\sigma)$ differs by constant factor from the average value of K_{ω} over all 2-planes spanned by e_i and e_j . Similarly, for any (n-1)-plane σ we have

$$(2.2) \frac{1}{2}c^2\omega = K_{\phi_{n-3}}(\sigma) + K_{c\omega}(v),$$

where v is the normal vector of σ in the tangent space $T_{\pi(\sigma)}(M)$.

One of the principal properties of the curvature structure ϕ_r is the following theorem, whose proof is essentially due to Kulkarni [4].

Theorem 1. Suppose that $K_{\bullet_r} \mid \pi^{-1}(m) \equiv const.$ a for some point $m \in M$ and for some fixed integer r such that $0 \le r \le n-4$. Then we have

$$\omega = \frac{\kappa}{2n(n-1)} g^2 \qquad \text{at } m,$$

where $\kappa = 2an(n-1)/(r+1)(r+2)$. The converse is also true.

Proof. If r=0, Theorem 1 is trivial. Hence, we suppose $r \ge 1$. The assumption $K_{\theta_r} \mid \pi^{-1}(m) \equiv \text{const. } a \text{ implies}$

(2.3)
$$\phi_r = \frac{\kappa}{2n(n-1)(r!)} g^{r+2} \quad \text{at } m$$

by Lemma, from which we obtain easily

$$K_{r_s}|\pi^{-1}(m) \equiv \frac{\kappa(s+1)(s+2)}{2n(n-1)}$$

for any s satisfying $r \le s \le n-2$. Especially, we get

$$K_{\bullet_{n-3}}|\pi^{-1}(m)\equiv \frac{\kappa(n-2)}{2n}$$
,

from which we find $K_{c\omega} \mid \pi^{-1}(m) \equiv \text{const.}$, by (2.2). Hence, we have by Lemma

$$(2.4) c\omega = \frac{\kappa}{n} g at m.$$

On the other hand, from the identity (1.2) we have inductively

$$c(g^r \wedge \omega) = g^r \wedge c\omega + r(n-r-3) g^{r-1} \wedge \omega \qquad (r \ge 1).$$

Accordingly, we get by (2.4)

(2.5)
$$c\phi_r = \frac{\kappa}{n(r!)} g^{r+1} + (n-r-3)\phi_{r-1} \quad \text{at } m.$$

Since $r \le n-4$, by substituting (2.3) into (2.5) and then using the identity

$$cg^{t}=t(n-t+1)g^{t-1}$$
 for any $t\geq 1$,

we obtain

$$\phi_{r-1} = \frac{\kappa}{2n(n-1)\{(r-1)!\}} g^{r+1}$$
 at m

It is easy to check that, continuing this way, we have finally

$$\phi_0 = \frac{\kappa}{2n(n-1)} g^2 \quad \text{at } m.$$

It will be easily seen that the converse is true.

q. e. d

Suppose a = 0 in Theorem 1. Then we have immediately a certain cancellation law in the ring \mathcal{C}_1 of curvature structures as follows (cf. Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 in Tachibana [7]):

Corollary. Suppose that $\omega \in \mathscr{C}_1^*$. If $g^r \wedge \omega = 0$ at $m \in M$ for some

r such that $0 \le r \le n-4$, then we have $\omega = 0$ at m.

3. Application to the Riemannian manifold with constant $2p^{\text{th}}$ sectional curvature

The $2p^{th}$ sectional curvature γ_{2p} of Thorpe [8] is given by the formula

$$(3.1) \qquad \gamma_{2p}(\sigma) = \frac{(-1)^p}{2^p \{(2p)!\}} \sum_{r, \mu \in S_{2p}} \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_\mu R(e_{r_1} e_{r_2} \otimes e_{\mu_1} e_{\mu_2}) \cdots R(e_{r_{2p-1}} e_{r_{2p}} \otimes e_{\mu_{2p-1}} e_{\mu_{2p}})$$

for any 2p-plane $\sigma \in G_{2p}$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2p}\}$ is an orthonormal base of σ . In the case p=1, γ_2 is the usual sectional curvature of (M,g). Since we have from the formula (1,1)

$$\omega^{p}(x_{1}\cdots x_{2p}\otimes y_{1}\cdots y_{2p})$$

$$=\frac{1}{2^{2p}}\sum_{\tau, \mu\in S_{2p}}\varepsilon_{\tau}\varepsilon_{\mu}\omega(x_{\tau_{1}}x_{\tau_{2}}\otimes y_{\mu_{1}}y_{\mu_{2}})\cdots\omega(x_{\tau_{2p-1}}x_{\tau_{2p}}\otimes y_{\mu_{2p-1}}y_{\mu_{2p}})$$

for any $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{2,2}$ and any vector fields $x_1, \dots, x_{2p}, y_1, \dots, y_{2p}$, it follows that the formula (3.1) reduces to the expression

$$\gamma_{2p}(\sigma) = (-2)^p \{(2p)!\}^{-1} R^p(e_1 \cdots e_{2p} \otimes e_1 \cdots e_{2p}),$$

that is to say, γ_{2p} is the curvature function K_{ω} corresponding to the curvature structure

$$\omega = (-2)^p \{(2p)!\}^{-1} R^p$$
.

Since $R^p \in \mathscr{C}_1^{2p}$, we have from Lemma

(3.2)
$$\gamma_{2p} \equiv \text{const. } \kappa_{2p} \text{ iff } R^p = (-2)^{-p} \kappa_{2p} g^{2p},$$

for any $p \ge 1$.

Now, the condition $\gamma_{2p} \equiv \text{const.}$ $(p \ge 2)$ does not always imply $\gamma_2 \equiv \text{const.}$ (e. g. see A. Stehney [6, §2]). However, we have

Theorem 2. Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with non-vanishing constant $2p^{th}$ sectional curvature. If $0 < 2p \le n-4$ and its $2(p+1)^{th}$ sectional curvature is also identically constant, then (M, g) is of constant curvature in usual sense.

Proof. The assumption $\gamma_{2p} \equiv \text{const. } \kappa_{2p} \ (\neq 0)$ in Theorem 2 implies

$$(3.3) R^{p} = (-2)^{-p} \kappa_{2p} g^{2p}$$

by (3, 2). Furthermore, suppose $\gamma_{2(p+1)} \equiv \text{const. } \kappa_{2(p+1)}$. Then we have

similarly

(3.4)
$$R^{p+1} = (-2)^{-(p+1)} \kappa_{2(p+1)} g^{2(p+1)}.$$

Substituting (3. 3) into the left hand side of (3. 4) and applying Corollary to Theorem 1, we obtain $R = -\{\kappa_{2(p+1)}/2\kappa_{2p}\}\ g^2$. Hence, we find $\gamma_2 \equiv \kappa_{2(p+1)}/\kappa_{2p}$, that is, (M, g) is of constant curvature. q. e. d.

4. Application to the mean curvature for p-plane

Let p be an integer such that 1 , and we put

$$\omega = 2R - \frac{1}{p-1} g \wedge cR.$$

We consider, throughout this section, the generalized *p*-vector curvature structure ϕ_r defined by this $\omega \in \mathscr{C}_1^2$:

$$\phi_r = \frac{1}{r!} g^r \wedge \omega \qquad (r = p - 2).$$

The mean curvature ρ for p-plane of Tachibana [7] is given by the formula

(4.1)
$$\rho(\sigma) = \frac{1}{p(n-p)} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=n+1}^{n} \gamma_{2}(e_{i}, e_{j})$$

for any $\sigma \in G_p$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal base of the tangent space $T_{\pi(\sigma)}(M)$ such that e_1, \dots, e_p span σ , and $\gamma_2(e_i, e_j)$ denotes the sectional curvature of the 2-plane spanned by e_i and e_j . On the other hand, we get

$$K_{\boldsymbol{e}_{r}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{p} R(e_{i}e_{j} \otimes e_{i}e_{j}) - \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (g \wedge cR)(e_{i}e_{j} \otimes e_{i}e_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{p} R(e_{i}e_{j} \otimes e_{i}e_{j}) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{n} R(e_{i}e_{k} \otimes e_{i}e_{k})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{2}(e_{i}, e_{j})$$

by the formula (2.1). Hence, ρ is a curvature function corresponding to the curvature structure $\{p(n-p)\}^{-1} \phi_r \in \mathscr{C}_p^p$, that is,

$$(4.2) \rho = \frac{1}{p(n-p)} K_{\theta_r} \colon G_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

From (4.1) and Theorem 1, we have the following proposition,

which has been obtained by Tachibana (cf. Theorem in [7]).

Proposition. Let $1 . Each point of M is isotropic with respect to the mean curvature <math>\rho$ for p-plane if and only if

- (i) (M, g) is Einsteinian for p=n-1,
- (ii) (M, g) is of constant curvature, for $1 and <math>2p \neq n$,
- (iii) (M, g) is conformally flat, for 1 and <math>2p = n.

Remark 1. It is interesting to compare this proposition in the case (iii) with the following (cf. Theorem 3.2 in Kulkarni [3]): (M, g) is conformally flat if and only if at every point of M we have

$$\gamma_2(e_1, e_2) + \gamma_2(e_3, e_4) = \gamma_2(e_1, e_4) + \gamma_2(e_2, e_3)$$

for every quadruple of orthogonal vectors $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$.

Now, let us assume $1 and show the mean curvature <math>\rho$ for p-plane generally determines the metric g. Let $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ be an another Riemannian manifold and $f: (M, g) \longrightarrow (\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ be a diffeomorphism. We indicate the corresponding quantities with respect to the metric \overline{g} or the induced metric $g^* = f^*\overline{g}$ by bar overhead or asterisking, respectively. Suppose that f is K_{p} -preserving, that is, for every $\sigma \in G_p$ we have

$$(4.3) \overline{K}_{\bar{\theta}_r}(f_*\sigma) = K_{\theta_r}(\sigma).$$

Furthermore, if

(*) the set of non-isotropic points w.r.t. ϕ_r is dense in M, then f is conformal, that is, we have

$$(4.4) g^* = e^{2\psi}g (\psi \in \mathscr{F}(M))$$

by the well-known theorem of Kulkarni (see General Theorem 5.1 in [4]). Under these circumstances, we shall prove f is an isometry, that is, $\psi \equiv 0$.

First of all, we remark that the assumption (*) means

(*)' the set of non-isotropic points $w.r.t.\omega$ is dense in M, by Theorem 1. Also, under the conformal change (4.4) of metric we have

$$(4.5) R^* = e^{2\psi} \{R + g \wedge \kappa(\psi)\},$$

 $\kappa(\psi)$ being an element of \mathscr{C}_{1}^{1} , which depends on ψ . From (4.5) we obtain

(4.6)
$$c^*R^* = cR + (n-2)\kappa(\psi) + \text{Trace } \kappa(\psi) \ g.$$

Substituting (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) into ϕ_r^* , we have

(4.7)
$$\phi_r^* = \frac{1}{r!} e^{2(r+1)\psi} g^r \wedge \{\omega + \frac{2p-n}{p-1}g \wedge \kappa(\psi) - \frac{1}{p-1} \text{ Trace } \kappa(\psi) g^2\}.$$

On the other hand, the condition (4.3) can be written as

$$K_{\phi} = e^{2p\psi} K_{\phi}$$

which implies

$$\phi_r^* = e^{ip\psi} \phi_r,$$

because we have ϕ_r , $\phi_r^* \in \mathscr{C}_1^p$. By (4.4), (4.8) and Corollary to Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\omega^* = e^{4\psi} \omega.$$

Eliminate ϕ_r^* from two equations (4.7) and (4.8). Then we have similarly

$$(4.10) (p-1)(e^{2\psi}-1)\omega = (2p-n) g \wedge \kappa(\psi) - \text{Trace } \kappa(\psi) g^2.$$

Case (i) n=2p. Suppose that $M'=\{m\in M: \psi(m)\neq 0\}$ has non-empty interior. Then, each point of M' is isotropic w.r.t. ω by the equation (4.10) and Lemma. But this contradicts the assumption (*)'. Hence, we have $\psi\equiv 0$.

Case (ii) $n \neq 2p$. In the case, it will be easily seen that the assumption (*)' means

(*)'' the set of non-isotropic points w.r.t. R is dense in M. By operating the contraction c to the equation (4.10), we have

(4.11)
$$(e^{2\psi}-1)\{(2p-n)\ cR-c^2R\ g\}$$

$$= (2p-n)(n-2)\ \kappa(\psi)+(2p-3n+2)\ \text{Trace}\ \kappa(\psi)\ g.$$

Furthermore, operating c to (4.11) we get

$$(e^{2\psi}-1) c^2 R = 2(n-1) \text{ Trace } \kappa(\psi).$$

Substitute this into the left hand side of (4.11). Then owing to $n \neq 2p$ we have

$$(e^{2\psi}-1) cR = (n-2) \kappa(\psi) + \text{Trace } \kappa(\psi) g$$

which implies

$$(4. 12) c*R* = e^{2\psi} cR$$

by (4.6). Substitute (4.4) and (4.12) into (4.9). Then we find $R^* = e^{4*}R$.

Thus, f is K_R -preserving. Since we assume (*)'' and n > 3, f is an isometry by Theorem 7.1 in [4]. Thus, we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Let (M, g) and $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ be two Riemannian manifolds of dimension n. Let $f: (M, g) \longrightarrow (\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ be a diffeomorphism which preserves the mean curvature for p-plane, where 1 . Suppose that the set of non-isotropic points with respect to the mean curvature for p-plane is dense in M. Then f is an isometry.

Remark 2. Theorem 3 is not true when p = n - 1. In fact, if p = n - 1, then the formula (4.1) reduces to the expression

$$\rho(\sigma) = \frac{1}{n-1} K_{Ric}(e_n).$$

The present author found a counterexample for corresponding local statement for the Ricci curvature K_{Ric} (cf. [5]).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Cartan: Leçon sur les géométri des espaces de Riemann, 2nd ed., Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1951.
- [2] R.S. KULKARNI: Curvature and metric, Ann. of Math., 91 (1970), 311-331.
- [3] R.S.Kulkarni: Curvature structures and conformal transformations, J. Differential Geometry, 4 (1970), 425—451.
- [4] R.S. KULKARNI: On the Bianchi identities, Math. Ann., 199 (1972), 175-204.
- [5] T.Nasu: On Ricci curvatures and equivalence of Riemannian manifolds, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 17 (1974), 1—18.
- [6] A. STEHNEY: Courbure d'ordre p et les classes de Pontrjagin, J. Differential Geometry, 8 (1973), 125—134.
- [7] S. Tachibana: The mean curvature for p-plane, J. Differential Geometry, 8 (1973), 47-52.
- [8] J. A. THORPE: Sectional curvatures and characteristic classes, Ann. of Math., 80 (1964), 429—443.
- [9] J. A. THORPE: On the curvatures of Riemannian manifolds, Ill. J. Math., 10 (1966), 412
 —417.
- [10] K. YANO & S. BOCHNER: Curvature and Betti numbers, Annal of Math. Studies, No. 32, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1953.

FACULTY OF GENERAL EDUCATION
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received May 7, 1974)