ON A PROBLEM IN ADDITIVE NUMBER THEORY

IEKATA SHIOKAWA

Let g > 1 be a fixed integer. Any positive integer n can be uniquely written in the form

$$n = \epsilon_1 g^{k-1} + \epsilon_2 g^{k-2} + \cdots + \epsilon_{k-1} g + \epsilon_k,$$

where $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i(n)$, $1 \le i \le k$, are integers such that $0 \le \varepsilon_i \le g - 1$. We put

$$\alpha(n) = \sum_{i=1}^k \, \epsilon_i \, .$$

R. Bellman and H. Shapiro [1] proved the relation

$$\sum_{n \le x} \alpha(n) = \frac{x \log x}{2 \log 2} + O(x \log \log x)$$

in the case of g = 2. L. Mirsky [2] and S. C. Tang [4] independently extended this result to the general case of $g \ge 2$, by establishing

$$\sum_{n \le x} \alpha(n) = \frac{(g-1) x \log x}{2 \log g} + O(x).$$

In this paper we shall make a refinement on this result for the particular case of g = 2. Indeed, we prove the following

Theorem. We have

(A)
$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\inf\frac{1}{x}\left(\frac{x}{2}\frac{\log x}{\log 2}-\sum_{n\leq x}\alpha(n)\right)=0,$$

and

(B)
$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{x \log x}{2 \log 2} - \sum_{n \le x} \alpha(n) \right) = 1 - \frac{\log 3}{2 \log 2}.$$

1. Preliminaries

For any positive integer x we define

$$k = k(x) = \left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right) + 1,$$

where [z] is the integral part of z. Then it is easy to see that

$$k = \frac{\log x}{\log 2} + \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \frac{2^k}{x}.$$

Put

$$\sigma(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (1 - 2\varepsilon_i(x)),$$

then

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\sigma(n)=\sum_{n\leq x}k(n)-2\sum_{n\leq x}\alpha(n).$$

But clearly

$$\sum_{n \le x} k(n) = xk - 2^k + k + 1$$

$$= \frac{x \log x}{\log 2} + \frac{x}{\log 2} \log \frac{2^k}{x} - 2^k + k + 1,$$

where k = k(x). Hence we have

$$\frac{x \log x}{\log 2} - 2 \sum_{n \le x} \alpha(n)$$

$$= 2^k - \frac{x}{\log 2} \log \frac{2^k}{x} + \sum_{n \le x} \sigma(n) - k - 1$$

$$= x h(x) + S(x) - k - 1,$$

where

$$h(x) = \frac{2^k}{x} - \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \frac{2^k}{x},$$

and

$$S(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \sigma(n)$$
.

It is readily seen that h(x) decreases for $2^{k-1} \le x < 2^k \log 2$ and increases for $2^k \log 2 < x < 2^k$, and that

(1)
$$\min_{\substack{2^{k-1} \le x < 2^k \\ x : \text{integer}}} h(x) > h(2^k \log 2) = \frac{1 + \log \log 2}{\log 2}$$

and

(2)
$$\max_{2^{k-1} < x < 2^k} h(x) < h(2^{k-1}) = 1.$$

In order to estimate S(x) we need some notations and lemmas.

Let $k \ge 1$. Denote by $\{c_{k,j} : 1 \le j \le 2^k\}$ the set consisting of the 2^k possible arrangements $c_{k,j}$ of k digits formed with 0's and 1's and ranked in ascending order of magnitude; $e.\ g.\ c_{2,1} = 00,\ c_{2,2} = 01,\ c_{2,3} = 10$ and $c_{2,1} = 11$. For any 0-1 sequence $\Delta = \delta_1 \delta_2 \cdots \delta_k$ of length l we write

$$\sigma(\Delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (1 - 2\delta_i)$$
.

Now we put

$$T_k(j) = \sum_{i=1}^j \sigma(c_{k,i})$$

and define

$$T_k^* = \max_j T_k(j).$$

Further we set

$$l_k = \min(j; T_k^* = T_k(j))$$

and

$$L_k = \max(j; T_k^* = T_k(j)).$$

Lemma 1. For $k \ge 1$ and j, $1 \le j \le 2^k$, we have

$$T_k(j) = T_k(2^k - j) \ge 0.$$

It will be convenient to understand that $T_k(0) = 0$ for any $k \ge 1$.

Lemma 2. For $k \ge 1$, we have

$$l_{k+1} = L_k = \frac{1}{3} (2^{k+1} - (-1)^{k+1}).$$

Lemma 3. For $k \ge 1$, we have

$$T_k^* = \frac{1}{3} (2^{k+1} - \theta(k)),$$

where

$$\theta(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose $k \ge 5$. We set

$$j_k = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} rac{k-3}{2} & ext{for } k ext{ odd,} \ & & & & & & & \\ rac{k-4}{2} & ext{for } k ext{ even,} \end{array}
ight.$$

and define for $0 \le j \le j_k - 1$

$$egin{aligned} A_{k,j} &= rac{1}{3} \left(2^k - 2^{k-2j}
ight) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{j} 2^{k-2i}, \ B_{k,j} &= A_{k,j} + 2^{k-2j-8} = rac{1}{3} \left(2^k - 5 \cdot 2^{k-2j-3}
ight), \ C_{k,j} &= B_{k,j} + 2^{k-2j-4} = rac{1}{3} \left(2^k - 7 \cdot 2^{k-2j-4}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

and further

$$D_k = A_{k,j_k} = \begin{cases} l_k - 3 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ l_k - 5 & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4. If $A_{k,j} < l \leq B_{k,j}$,

then

$$T_k(l) \leq \frac{1}{3} (2^{k+1} - 3 \cdot 2^{k-2j-2} - \theta(k));$$

if $B_{k,j} < l \leq C_{k,j}$, then

$$T_k(l) \leq \frac{1}{3} (2^{k+1} - 7 \cdot 2^{k-2j-4} - \theta(k+1));$$

and if $C_{k,j} < l < A_{k,j+1}$, then

$$T_k(l) \leq \frac{1}{3} (2^{k+1} 3 \cdot 2^{k-2j-3} - \theta(k)).$$

For proofs of the above four lemmas see [3].

Let x be any integer such that $2^{k-1} \le x < 2^k$. Put $j = x - 2^{k-1} + 1$, $1 \le j \le 2^{k-1}$. Then it is easy to see that the dyadic expansion $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \cdots \epsilon_k$ of x can be written as $|c_{k-1,j}|$. Hence we have

$$\sigma(x) = -1 + \sigma(c_{k-1,j})$$

and so

$$S(x) = S(2^{k-1} - 1) - j + T_{k-1}(j).$$

Especially we have from Lemma 1

$$S(2^{k}-1)=-2^{k}+1.$$

Hence we have

$$S(x) = -2^{k-1} + 1 - j + T_{k-1}(j).$$

Note that $T_{k-1}(j) = j + T_{k-2}(j)$, provided $1 \le j \le 2^{k-2}$. Thus we have also

(5)
$$S(x) = -2^{k-1} + 1 + T_{k-2}(j);$$

we notice that -x < S(x) < 0 for all $x \ge 1$.

Throughout in the rest of this section we suppose that k > 5. Put

$$x_i = 2^{k-1} - 1 + i 2^{k-5}, \quad 0 \le i \le 2^4.$$

Let x be a positive integer such that $x_{i-1} < x \le x_i$, for some i, $1 \le i \le 2^i$, and write $j = x - x_{i-1} + L$, $1 \le j \le 2^{k-\delta}$. Then the dyadic expansion $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \cdots \epsilon_k$ of x is $1c_{i,j} c_{k-\delta,j}$. Hence we have

(6)
$$S(x) = -x + T_4(i-1) 2^{k-5} + j\sigma(c_{4,i}) + T_{k-5,j}.$$

The following table gives the values of the $\sigma(c_{4,i})$ and the $T_4(i)$ for $0 \le i \le 2^4$.

$$i$$
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 $\sigma(c_{4,i})$ 0 4 2 2 0 2 0 0 -2 2 0 0 -2 0 -2 -2 -4 $T_4(i)$ 0 4 6 8 8 10 10 10 8 10 10 10 8 8 6 4 0

2. Proof of (A)

By (3) we have

(7)
$$0 > h(2^{k} - 1) + \frac{S(2^{k} - 1)}{2^{k} - 1} > -\frac{1}{2^{k}}$$

and by (2) and (4)

(8)
$$h(2^{k}) + \frac{S(2^{k})}{2^{k}} = \frac{k-1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

Now we wish to show that if k > 5 and $2^{k-1} + 1 \le x \le 2^k - 2$, then

$$(9) h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} > 0.$$

Case 1. $2^{k-1} + 1 \le x \le x_1$. Let l, $1 \le l \le k - 5$, be an integer such that $2^{k-1} - 1 + 2^{l-1} < x \le 2^{k-1} - 1 + 2^{l}$. Put $j = x - 2^{k-1} + 1$ then $2^{l-1} < j \le 2^{l}$.

Then we have

(10)
$$T_{k-1}(j) = T_{k-1}(2^{l-1}) + (k-l-4)(j-2^{l-1}) + T_{l-1}(j-2^{l-1}) \\ \geq T_{k-1}(2^{l-1}) = (k-l-2)2^{l-1}.$$

From this and (4) we get

$$\frac{S(x)}{x} \ge \frac{-2^{k-1} + 2^{l-1}}{2^{k-1} + 2^{l-1}}.$$

Since h(x) is decreasing we have

$$h(x) > h(2^{k-1} + 2^{l}) > \frac{2^{k}}{2^{k-1} + 2} + \frac{2^{l}}{2^{k-1}}.$$

Accordingly

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} > \frac{2^{t}}{2^{k-1}+2} + \frac{2^{t}}{2^{k-1}} - \frac{2^{t+1}}{2^{k-1}+2} > 0.$$

Case 2. $x_1 \le x \le x_{15}$. Let $x_{i-1} \le x \le x_i$, $2 \le i \le 15$. Then by (6) and the table given in the preceding section we have

$$\frac{S(x)}{x} > -1 + \frac{2^{k-\delta} \min(T_i(i-1), T_i(i))}{2^{k-1} + i 2^{k-\delta}} \ge -\frac{27}{31}.$$

From this and (1) we have

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} > \frac{1 + \log \log 2}{\log 2} - \frac{27}{31} > 0$$
.

Case 3. $x_{15} < x \le 2^k - 2$. Let l, $1 \le l \le k - 5$, be an integer such that $2^k - 1 - 2^l < x < 2^k - 1 - 2^{l-1}$. Put $j = x - 2^{k-1} + 1$, then $2^{l-1} \le 2^{k-1} - j < 2^l$. From (4) and (10) we have

$$\frac{S(x)}{x} \ge \frac{-2^k + (k-l-1)2^{l-1}}{2^k - 2}.$$

Since h(x) is increasing we have

$$h(x) \ge h(2^k - 2^l) > \frac{2^k - 2^{l+1}}{2^k - 2^l}$$
.

Hence

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} > \frac{2^{l-1}}{2^k - 2} (k - 5 - l) \ge 0.$$

From (7), (8) and (9) we obtain

then from (6) and Lemma 3 we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\inf\left(h(x)+\frac{S(x)}{x}\right)=0.$$

This proves (A)

3. Proof of (B)

We estimate the magnitude of the quantity $\max_{2^{k-1} \le x < 2^k} \left(h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} \right)$. In what follows we suppose that k > 7. If $x = x_{t-1} + j - 1$, $1 \le j \le 2^{k-5}$,

(11)
$$\frac{S(x)}{x} \leq -1 + \frac{3 \max (T_{i}(i-1), T_{i}(i)) + 2}{3(15+i)}.$$

Case 1. $x_{i-1} < x \le x_i$, $i \le 2$ or $i \ge 11$. By (2), (11) and the table in § 2 we readily find

$$i = 1$$
 2 11 12 13 14 15 16
 $h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} < \frac{14}{48} \frac{20}{51} \frac{32}{78} \frac{32}{81} \frac{32}{84} \frac{26}{87} \frac{20}{90} \frac{14}{93}.$

Hence we have

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} < \frac{32}{78} < 0.411$$
.

Case 2. $x_{i-1} < x \le x_i$, $7 \le i \le 10$. Since h(x) is increasing in the interval $x_i < x \le x_{10}$ we have

$$(12) h(x) < h(x_i + 1), x_{i-1} < x \le x_i, 8 \le i \le 10.$$

But if $x_{i} < 2^{k} \log 2 < x_{i}$ then

(13)
$$h(x) < \max(h(x_0 + 1), h(x_1 + 1)), x_0 < x \le x_1$$

Here

(14)
$$h(x_t+1) = \frac{32}{16+i} + \frac{\log(16+i)}{\log 2} - 5, \quad 0 \le i \le 2^4.$$

From (11), (12), (13) and (14) we get

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} < \frac{32}{66} + \frac{32}{23} + \frac{\log 23}{\log 2} - 6 \qquad (x_6 < x \le x_7);$$

$$< \frac{32}{69} + \frac{32}{24} + \frac{\log 24}{\log 2} - 6 \qquad (x_7 < x \le x_8);$$

$$< \frac{32}{72} + \frac{32}{25} + \frac{\log 25}{\log 2} - 6 \qquad (x_8 < x \le x_9);$$

$$< \frac{32}{75} + \frac{32}{26} + \frac{\log 26}{\log 2} - 6 \qquad (x_9 < x \le x_{10}).$$

Hence we have

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} < \frac{32}{66} + \frac{32}{23} + \frac{\log 23}{\log 2} - 6 < 0.402$$
.

Case 3. $x_2 < x < 2^{k-1} + l_{k-2}$. From (5) and Lemma 3 we have (noticing that k > 7)

$$\frac{S(x)}{x} \le \frac{-2^{k-1} + 1 + T^*_{k-2}}{2^{k-1} + l_{k-2} - 1}$$

$$< -\frac{4}{7} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{k-2} + 2^{k-3}}$$

$$< -\frac{127}{224}.$$

Since h(x) is decreasing in this interval we have (using (14))

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} < \frac{7}{9} + \frac{2 \log 3}{\log 2} - 3 - \frac{127}{224} < 0.4.$$

Case 4. $2^{k-1} + l_{k-2} \le x < 2^{k-1} + L_{k-2}$. We have from (5)

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} \le \frac{2^k}{x} - \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \frac{2^k}{x} + \frac{-2^{k-1} + 1 + T \frac{*}{k-2}}{x}$$
$$= w(x), \quad \text{say.}$$

Since $x \ge 2^{k-1} + l_{k-2}$ we have

$$w'(x) = \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{1}{\log 2} - \frac{2^{k-1} + 1 + T_{k-2}^*}{x} \right) > 0$$

and so

(15)
$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} \le w(2^{k-1} - 1 + L_{k-2})$$

$$= h(2^{k-1} - 1 + L_{k-2}) + \frac{S(2^{k-1} - 1 + L_{k-2})}{2^{k-1} - 1 + L_{k-2}}$$

$$= 2 - \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right).$$

Case 5. $2^{k-1} + L_{k-2} < x \le x$. Put $l = 2^{k-1} - 1 + 2^{k-2} - x$, so that $2^{k-4} \le l < l_{k-2}$. By (5) and Lemma 1 we have

$$S(x) = -2^{k-1} \div 1 + T_{k-2}(x - 2^{k-1} \div 1)$$

= $-2^{k-1} + 1 + T_{k-2}(l)$.

Now we appeal to Lemma 4. For $A_{k-2,j} < l \le B_{k-2,j}$ we have

$$\frac{S(x)}{x} \leq \frac{-2^{k-1} + 1 + T_{k-2}(I)}{2^{k-1} + 2^{k-2} - A_{k-2, j}}
\leq \frac{-2^{k-1} + 1 + \frac{1}{3} (2^{k-1} - 3 \cdot 2^{k-2j-4})}{2^{k-1} + 2^{k-2} + \frac{1}{3} (2^{k-2} - 2^{k-2j-2})}
= -\frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right).$$

Similarlly we can easily verify that the inequality

$$\frac{S(x)}{x} \le -\frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right)$$

holds for $B_{k-2,j} < l \le C_{k-2,j}$ and for $C_{k-2,j} < l \le A_{k-2,j+1}$. It is also clear that (16) holds true for $D_{k-2} < l < l_{k-2}$, since $D_{k-2} = l_{k-2} + O(1)$. On the other hand, h(x) is decreasing in this interval, and so

$$h(x) < h(2^{k-1} - 1 + L_{k-2})$$

 $< \frac{5}{2} - \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right).$

From this and (16) we obtain

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} \le 2 - \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right).$$

As the result we have

$$h(x) + \frac{S(x)}{x} \le 2 - \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right)$$

for all x, $2^{k-1} < x < 2^k$, since $2 - \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} > 0.415$. But by (15) the equality holds here at least for $x = 2^{k-1} - 1 + L_{k-2}$. Therefore

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\sup\left(h(x)+\frac{S(x)}{x}\right)=2-\frac{\log 3}{\log 2},$$

which proves (B).

This completes the proof of our theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bellman and H. Shapiro: On a problem in additive number theory, Ann. of Math. (2) 49 (1948), 333-340.
- [2] L. MIRSKY: A theorem on representations of integers in the scale of r, Scripta Math. 15 (1949), 11—12.
- [3] I. Shiokawa and S. Uchiyama: On some properties of the dyadic Champernowne numbers, to appear.
- [4] S.C. TANG: An improvement and generalization of Bellman-Shapiro's theorem on a problem in additive number theory, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 199—204.

DEPERTMENT OF THE FOUNDATION OF
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF EEUCATION

(Received April 1, 1973)