

ON THE FIXED POINT SETS OF DIFFERENTIABLE G_2 ACTIONS ON A EUCLIDEAN SPACE

KENJI HOKAMA

Recently, W. C. Hsiang and W. Y. Hsiang [5] investigated the fixed point sets of differentiable actions of compact simple Lie groups on Euclidean spaces and dealt with some cases such that the fixed point sets are non-empty. In this paper we deal with the case of the compact exceptional simple Lie group G_2 of rank 2, which was left out in the above.

1. Subgroups of G_2

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and H a closed connected maximal rank subgroup of G . We denote the Weyl groups of G and H by $W(G)$ and $W(H)$ respectively. Then we have $W(G) = N_T/T$ and $W(H) = N_T \cap H/T$ where T is a maximal torus of H and N_T is the normalizer of T in G .

Proposition 1.1. *Let g be an element of G . If $g \in N_T$ and $(gT)W(H)(gT)^{-1} = W(H)$ then $gHg^{-1} = H$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{G} , \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{T} be the Lie algebras of G , H and T respectively and \mathfrak{G}^c , \mathfrak{H}^c and \mathfrak{T}^c their complexifications (i. e. $\mathfrak{G}^c = \mathfrak{G} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{G}$ etc.). We denote the sets of non-zero roots of \mathfrak{G}^c and \mathfrak{H}^c with respect to \mathfrak{T}^c by Δ and Δ' respectively. For any $\alpha \in \Delta$ we define $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{T}^c$ by the relation $(H, H_\alpha) = \alpha(H)$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{T}^c$, where the inner product is the Killing form of \mathfrak{G}^c . Then, $\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta'$ generate \mathfrak{T} . Let s_α be the reflexion of \mathfrak{T} with respect to the hyperplane orthogonal to $\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha$. Then we can identify the Weyl group $W(G)$ with the group generated by s_α , $\alpha \in \Delta$ and similarly the Weyl group $W(H)$ also has the same property. Now we consider the automorphism $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{G}}(g)$ of \mathfrak{G} . By the assumption we have $A = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{G}}(g)|_{\mathfrak{T}} \in W(G)$ and $As_\alpha A^{-1} \in W(H)$, $\alpha \in \Delta'$. Since any reflexion of $W(H)$ has a form of s_α , $\alpha \in \Delta'$, there exists $\beta \in \Delta'$ such that $As_\alpha A^{-1} = s_\beta$. Let H ($\in \mathfrak{T}$) be orthogonal to $\sqrt{-1}H_\beta$. Then $s_\beta A^{-1}(H) = A^{-1}(H)$, that is, $A^{-1}(H)$ is orthogonal to $\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha$. Since A is an isometry, this implies that $A(\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha)$ is orthogonal to H and hence $A(\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha) = \sqrt{-1}cH_\beta$ for some real number c . Thus $\alpha A^{-1} = c\beta$ and

since αA^{-1} and β are roots in \mathcal{A} we have $c = \pm 1$. Then it follows that H is invariant under $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(g)$ and hence $gHg^{-1} = H$. q. e. d.

For the later we note the following

Proposition 1.2. *Let G, H and T be as above. If K is the normalizer of $W(H)$ in $W(G)$, then $N_H/H \cong K/W(H)$ where N_H is the normalizer of H in G .*

Proof. Let $\tilde{K} = \{g \in N_T \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}$. There is an exact sequence: $\{1\} \rightarrow \tilde{K} \cap H \rightarrow N_H/H \rightarrow \{1\}$. Hence $N_H/H \cong \tilde{K}/\tilde{K} \cap H \cong (K/T)/W(H)$. Therefore it is sufficient to show that $\tilde{K}/T = K$. Clearly $\tilde{K}/T \subseteq K$. On the other hand $g \in N_T$, $(gT)W(H)(gT)^{-1} = W(H)$ for any $gT \in K$. Then $gHg^{-1} = H$ by the proposition 1.1. Thus $g \in \tilde{K}$, that is $\tilde{K}/T \supseteq K$. q. e. d.

In the remainder of this section we denote the exceptional compact Lie group of rank 2 by G . The maximal subgroups of maximal rank of G are known to be isomorphic to $SO(4)$ or $SU(3)$ and the subgroups, which are isomorphic, are conjugate [2].

Proposition 1.3. (a) *Let L be the normalizer of $SU(3)$ in G . Then $L/SU(3) \cong Z_2$.* (b) *The normalizer of $SO(4)$ coincides with $SO(4)$ itself.* (c) *Let H be a subgroup of G isomorphic to $SU(2)$. Then the normalizer of H is conjugate to $SO(4)$.*

Proof. The Weyl group $W(G)$ is a dyhedral group of order 12, and $W(SU(3))$ and $W(SO(4))$ are isomorphic to the permutation group S_3 of 3 letters and $Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ respectively. Then $W(SU(3))$ is a normal subgroup of $W(G)$ and the normalizer of $W(SO(4))$ in $W(G)$ coincides with $W(SO(4))$ itself. Hence (a) and (b) follow from the proposition 1.2.

Now we consider the case (c). Let a ($\neq 1$) be the element of the center of H . Then $a^2 = 1$. On the other hand the elements of order 2 in G are conjugate. In fact an element of order 2 is contained in a torus T ($SU(3)$) and the elements of order 2 in $SU(3)$ are conjugate. Then, since subgroups which are isomorphic to $SU(3)$ are conjugate in G , it follows that the elements of order 2 in G are conjugate. Let K be the normalizer of a . Then clearly $H \subseteq K$ and $K \neq G$, since G has no center. The center of $SO(4)$ is $Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ and hence K contains a subgroup isomorphic to $SO(4)$. Then it is clear that H is a normal subgroup of K , since H is isomorphic to $SU(2)$. Hence the normalizer of H in G is conjugate to $SO(4)$. q. e. d.

Remark. It is easy to see that the subgroups of G isomorphic to $SU(2)$ are conjugate.

2. A property of a differentiable action

For the later we prove a theorem with respect to a differentiable action of a compact Lie group G of which a principal isotropy subgroup is a maximal torus of G .

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a compact Lie group, and φ a real representation of G . If a principal isotropy subgroup of φ is a maximal torus of G and there is no exceptional orbit, then G is connected.*

Proof. Let G^0 be the connected component of the identity of G . Then $\varphi|_{G^0} = \text{Ad}_{G^0} \oplus \text{trivial part}$ [4]. Let V be a representation space of φ and T a maximal torus of G . We denote the set $V - (\text{the singular set})$ by V_0 . Since V_0 is the principal orbit bundle of $\varphi|_{G^0}$ it is easily seen that V_0 is G^0 -equivariantly homeomorphic to $G^0/T \times V_0/G^0$. G/G^0 acts naturally on V_0/G^0 . Let $g \in G/G^0$ be a prime order element. By the theorem of P. A. Smith in [1], $g \in G/G^0$ has a fixed point in V_0/G^0 , since V_0/G^0 is homeomorphic to a Weyl chamber and hence V_0/G^0 is contractible. Hence there is a point $x \in V_0$ such that $g \cdot G^0 x = G^0 x$. Now by taking an element $g_0 \in G^0$ satisfying $g x = g_0 x$ we have $g_0^{-1} g \in G_x$. Since G_x is a maximal torus of G by the assumption we get $g \in G^0$. This is a contradiction. q. e. d.

Theorem 2.2. *Let ϕ be a differentiable action of a compact connected Lie group G on a simply connected differentiable manifold M . If the connected component of the identity of a principal isotropy subgroup is a maximal torus of G , then the isotropy subgroups of ϕ are connected.*

Proof. Let T be a maximal torus of G , $A = F(T, M)^1$ and $M_0 = M - (\text{the singular set})$. Then the Weyl group $W(G)$ acts on A . We easily see $M_0 = G/T \times_{W(G)} (A \cap M_0)$ and $\pi_1(M_0) = 0$, since the singular set has at least codimension 3. By the homotopy exact sequence of a fibration: $A \cap M_0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow G/N_T$ we know that the number of the components of $A \cap M_0$ is equal to order of $W(G)$. Then, since M_0 is connected, $W(G)$ acts simply transitively on the components of $A \cap M_0$. Hence ϕ has no exceptional orbit and a principal isotropy subgroup is a maximal torus of G . Let $x \in M$. Then the slice representation at x of G_x satisfies the assumption of the proposition

1) $F(T, M)$ is the set of fixed points of T in M .

2. 1 and hence G_x is connected.

q. e. d.

3. Weight systems

Let ϕ be a differentiable G action on a Euclidean space and T be a maximal torus of G . Then by the theorem of P. A. Smith in [1] the local representation of T at a fixed point of T is well defined. The weight system of the local representation of T is defined to be the weight system of ϕ and denoted by $\Sigma\phi$. We see in [5] that for each simple Lie group, weight systems of actions with a principal isotropy subgroup of a positive dimension are classified and also the fixed point sets are determined with few exceptions.

Now on we consider the case where G is the exceptional compact simple Lie group of rank 2. Then the non-zero root system of G is given by

$$\Delta'(G) = \{\pm\theta_i, \pm(\theta_i - \theta_j), i < j, i, j = 1, 2 \text{ and } 3\}.$$

Let ϕ be a differentiable G action on a Euclidean space E^m with a principal isotropy subgroup H_ϕ of positive dimension. Then it is known by [5] that

- (1) $\Sigma'(\phi) = \Delta'(G)$ and $H_\phi^0 =$ a maximal torus of G ,
- (2) $\Sigma'(\phi) = \{\pm\theta_i, i = 1, 2 \text{ and } 3\}$ and $H_\phi^0 = SU(3)$ or
- (3) $\Sigma'(\phi) = \{\pm\theta_i, i = 1, 2 \text{ and } 3: \text{each weight has the multiplicity } 2\}$ and $H_\phi^0 = SU(2)$.

In the following sections we investigate the fixed point set for each of those cases.

4. Fixed point sets

First we consider the case where H_ϕ^0 is a maximal torus of G .

Proposition 4.1. $F(G, E^m)$ is Z_p -acyclic for $p=2$ and 3.

Proof. We suppose $F(G, E^m)$ is empty and then show that we arrive at a contradiction. Since the isotropy subgroups of ϕ are connected by the theorem 2. 1, the possible isotropy subgroups are maximal tori and subgroups which are isomorphic to $U(2)$, $SO(4)$ or $SU(3)$. Let T be a maximal torus of G and $A = F(T, E^m)$. Then the Weyl group $W(G)$ acts on A and $W(G)$ is a group of order 12 defined by the relations: $t^6 = 1, s^2 = 1$ and $sts = t^{-1}$. Since $W(G)_a = W(G_a)$ for any $a \in A$, the possible isotropy subgroups of $W(G)$ -action on A are isomorphic to 1, $Z_2, Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ or S_3 . Because A is

Z -acyclic, we see by the theorem of P. A. Smith that they are exactly isotropy subgroups. Let $C = \{a \in A \mid W(G)_a \cong Z_2 \oplus Z_2\}$. Then if $c \in C$, $G_c \cong SO(4)$ and the slice representation at c is $\text{Ad}_{G_c} \oplus (m-14)\theta$, where θ is a trivial 1-dimensional representation of G_c [4]. Hence C is a submanifold of A of codimension 2. On the other hand there are three subgroups isomorphic to $Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ and generated by $\{s, st^3\}$, $\{st, st^4\}$ and $\{st^2, st^5\}$ respectively. Moreover they are conjugate. Therefore we see that C is the disjoint union of three Z_2 -acyclic submanifolds of the same dimension. Also C is the fixed point set of t^3 and hence Z_2 -acyclic. This contradicts the above. Thus we see $F(G, E^m)$ is non-empty.

Now let us take the subgroup Z_3 of $W(G)$ and consider a Z_3 -acyclic submanifold $F(Z_3, A)$. Then the possible isotropy subgroups on $F(Z_3, A)$ are $SU(3)$ and G . Considering the slice representation of G we see that the fixed point set of G is open and closed in $F(Z_3, A)$. Thus, because of the connectedness of $F(Z_3, A)$, we see that $SU(3)$ is not an isotropy subgroup. Hence $F(G, E^m) = F(Z_3, A)$. Similarly $SO(4)$ is not an isotropy subgroup and hence we have $F(G, E^m) = F(Z_2 \oplus Z_2, A)$ which is Z_2 -acyclic. q. e. d.

Next we consider the case where H_3^0 is isomorphic to $SU(3)$. Then ϕ has a fixed point of G , since, if not, ϕ has the uniform dimensional orbits, but this is impossible [3]. Let $E_0 = E^m - (\text{the fixed set of } G)$ and $F = F(SU(3), E^m) \cap E_0$. Then we see $E_0 = G/SU(3) \times_{L/SU(3)} F$, where L is the normalizer of $SU(3)$ and $L/SU(3) \cong Z_2$ by the proposition 1.3. E_0 admits a fibering $F \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow G/L$. It is well known that $G/SU(3) = S^8$ and hence $G/L = P^8$ (real projective space). Then from the homotopy exact sequence of the fibering we know that F has 2 connected components, since E_0 is simply connected. Thus Z_2 acts simply transitively on the components of F and hence there is no exceptional orbit. Then as in the proof of the proposition 4.1 we have the following

Proposition 4.2. $F(G, E^m)$ is Z_2 -acyclic.

5. The case $H_3^0 = SU(2)$

Let G_x , $x \in E^m$ be an isotropy subgroup of the rank 2. Then the set of the complementary weights of G_x i. e. $\mathcal{A}'(G) - \mathcal{A}'(G_x)$ is contained in $\Sigma'(\phi)$. Thus $\mathcal{A}'(G) - \mathcal{A}'(G_x) \subseteq \{\pm\theta_i : i=1, 2 \text{ and } 3\}$ and hence $\mathcal{A}'(G_x) \supseteq \{\pm(\theta_i - \theta_j) : i < j\}$. Hence G_x has at least dimension 8. Therefore the possible isotropy subgroups of rank 2 of ϕ are G , L (=the normalizer of $SU(3)$) and $SU(3)$.

Let T be a maximal torus of $SU(3) \subset G$. Then we have

Proposition 5.1. $F(SU(3), E^m)$ is identical with $F(T, E^m)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $G_a \cong SU(3)$ for any $a \in F(T, E^m)$. It is clear if $G_a = G$. Hence we may suppose that $G_a^0 = gSU(3)g^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. Since $G_a^0 \cong T$ and $W(SU(3))$ is normal in $W(G)$ we can assume that $g \in N_T$ and $W(G_a^0)$ then equals $(gT)W(SU(3))(gT)^{-1}$. Hence by the proposition 1.2 we have $G_a^0 = SU(3)$.

From now on we assume $F(G, E^m)$ is empty and show that we then arrive at a contradiction. Let us denote the singular set by E_s and put $E_0 = E^m - E_s$. Then we have $E_s = G/SU(3) \times_{Z_2} F(T, E^m)$ by the proposition 5.1. Now we prove the following

Proposition 5.2. $H_c^{p+m-12}(E_s; Z_2) = Z_2$ if $0 \leq p \leq 6$, and 0 otherwise²⁾.

Proof. Since E_s admits a fibering $F(T, E^m) \rightarrow E_s \rightarrow G/L = P^0$, there is a spectral sequence which converges to $H_c^*(E_s; Z_2)$ and whose E_2 terms are $E_2^{p,q} (= H_c^p(P^0; H_c^q(F(T, E^m); Z_2)))$. $F(T, E^m)$ is an $(m-12)$ dimensional acyclic manifold and hence $H_c^q(F(T, E^m); Z_2) = 0$ if $q \neq m-12$, and Z_2 if $q = m-12$. Thus $E_2^{p, m-12} = Z_2$ for $0 \leq p \leq 6$ and otherwise $E_2^{p,q} = 0$. This proves the proposition. q. e. d.

Then, by the exact sequence of the pair (E^m, E_s)

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^i(E^m; Z_2) \rightarrow H_c^i(E_s; Z_2) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(E_0; Z_2) \rightarrow \dots$$

we have

Proposition 5.3. $H_c^i(E_0; Z_2) = Z_2$ if $i = m$ or $m - 11 \leq i \leq m - 5$, and 0 otherwise.

Let us put $A = F(SU(2), E^m)$ where $SU(2)$ is considered as a subgroup of $SU(3)$. Then we have the following

Proposition 5.4. $A \cap E_s = S^1 \times_{Z_2} F(T, E^m)$, where Z_2 acts on S^1 antipodally.

Proof. Since $E_s = G/SU(3) \times_{Z_2} F(T, E^m)$ it is clear that $A \cap E_s = F(SU(2), G/SU(3)) \times_{Z_2} F(T, E^m)$. $G/SU(3) = S^0$ and G acts orthogonally on S^0 . Then, since the isotropy representation of $SU(3)$ is the standard representation μ_3 of $SU(3)$, it follows that $F(SU(2), G/SU(3)) = S^1$.

2) We use the Alexander-Spanier cohomology with compact supports.

Let S be a torus of $SU(2)$. Then $A \subseteq F(S, E^m)$. Since the local representation of $SU(3)$ at a fixed point of $SU(3)$ is $2(\mu_3)_R \oplus (m-12)$ we have $\dim A = \dim F(S, E^m) = m - 8$. Thus $\bar{A} = F(S, E^m)$ since $F(S, E^m)$ is connected. Hence A is acyclic.

Let $A_0 = A - E_s$. Then we have the following

Proposition 5.5. $H_i^c(A_0; Z_2) = Z_2$ if $i = m - 8, m - 10$ or $m - 11$ and 0 otherwise.

Proof. As in the proposition 5.2 we have $H_c^{p+m-12}(A \cap E_s; Z_2) = Z_2$ for $p = 0$ or 1. and 0 otherwise. Hence by the exact sequence of the pair $(A, A \cap E_s)$ we get the proposition. q. e. d.

Now we show that our assumption i. e. $F(G, E_m) \neq \emptyset$ leads to a contradiction. Let N be the normalizer of $SU(2)$. Then we have $E_0 = G/SU(2) \times_{N/SU(2)} A_0$ and hence E_0 admits a fibering $A_0 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow G/N$. Now consider the spectral sequence of the fibering whose E_2 terms are $E_2^{p,q} = H_c^p(G/N; H_c^q(A_0; Z_2))$ and that converges to $H_c^{p+q}(E_0; Z_2)$. Since N is isomorphic to $SO(4)$ by the proposition 1.3 it is known that the Poincaré polynomial of mod 2 of G/N is $1 + t^2 + t^3 + t^4 + t^5 + t^6 + t^8$. Let us denote $s = \max\{q \mid H_c^q(A_0; Z_2) \neq 0\}$. Then $E_2^{8,s} = H_c^8(G/N; H_c^s(A_0; Z_2)) \cong H_c^8(A_0; Z_2) \neq 0$. We have $H_c^8(A_0; Z_2) \cong H_c^{8+s}(E_0; Z_2)$, since $E_2^{8,s} (\cong E_3^{8,s} \cong \dots \cong E_\infty^{8,s})$ is the only non-zero term in degree $8+s$. Since $8+s$ is the highest dimension with non zero cohomology, it must be $8+s = m$ by the proposition 5.3 and $H_c^{m-8}(\bar{A}_0; Z_2) = Z_2$. Now we consider the differential $d_2: E_2^{8,s} \rightarrow E_2^{8,s-1}$. If $E_2^{8,s-1} = 0$ then $E_2^{8,s} \cong E_3^{8,s} \cong \dots \cong E_\infty^{8,s} \cong H_c^8(A_0; Z_2) \neq 0$ and this implies that $H_c^{m-2}(E_0; Z_2) \neq 0$. By the proposition 5.4 this is impossible and hence $E_2^{8,s-1} \neq 0$. Hence we have $H_c^{m-9}(A_0; Z_2) \neq 0$, which contradicts the proposition 5.5. We see from this that $F(G, E^m)$ is not empty.

Next we prove the following

Proposition 5.6. $F(G, E^m)$ is Z_2 -acyclic.

Proof. Let T be a maximal torus of G and $F = F(T, E^m)$. Then the Weyl group $W(G)$ acts on F . Take a subgroup $Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ of $W(G)$ and consider $F(Z_2 \oplus Z_2, F)$. Then the possible isotropy subgroups of ϕ on $F(Z_2 \oplus Z_2, F)$ are G and the normalizer L of $SU(3)$. Considering the slice representation of G , it is easily known that L is not an isotropy subgroup. Hence $F(G, E^m) = F(Z_2 \oplus Z_2, F)$ and Z_2 -acyclic. q. e. d.

We thus get our main theorem by summarizing the above as follows

Theorem 5.7. *Let G be the exceptional compact Lie group of rank 2 and ϕ a differentiable G action on a Euclidean space with a principal isotropy subgroup H_ϕ of a positive dimension. Then, if H_ϕ^0 is a maximal torus of G , the fixed point set of G is Z_p -acyclic for $p=2$ and 3 and, if H_ϕ^0 is $SU(3)$ or $SU(2)$, the fixed point set of G is Z_2 -acyclic.*

Acknowledgment. The author is indebted to Professor T. WATABE for indicating that Theorem 5.7 was already obtained in a report of W. C. Hsiang at the congress, 1970.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BOREL : Seminar on transformation groups, Annals of Mathematics Study **46** (1960).
- [2] A. BOREL and J. de SIEBENTHAL : Les sous-groupes fermés de rang maximum des groupes de Lie clos, Comm. Math. Helv. **23** (1949), 200—221.
- [3] P. CONNER : Orbits of uniform dimension, Mich. J. Math. **6** (1959), 25—32.
- [4] W. Y. HSIANG : On the principal orbit type and P. A. Smith theory of $SU(p)$ actions, Topology **6** (1967), 125—135.
- [5] W. C. HSIANG and W. Y. HSIANG : Differentiable actions of compact connected classical groups : II, Ann. of Math. **92** (1970), 189—223.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received October 19, 1972)