## SOME REMARKS ON INVARIANT SUBRINGS

## KAORU MOTOSE and HISAO TOMINAGA

In this paper, we shall treat with subrings of a ring which are setwise invariant relative to all inner automorphisms.

Throughout our study, we use the following conventions: U will represent a ring with 1, and B a subdirectly irreducible subring of U whose unique minimal ideal T is not nilpotent. If S is a ring with 1 then  $\Re(S)$  and  $(S)_A$  will mean the Jacobson radical of S and the ring of all row-finite matrices  $(x_{ij})$   $(x_{ij} \in S, i, j \in A)$ , respectively. A unit S of S is called a biregular element if 1-S is also a unit. Following [4], the set of all biregular elements of S will be denoted by  $S^*$ , and S is defined to be biregulary generated (resp. regulary generated) if every element of S is a sum of biregular elements (resp. of units) in S. Finally, A will represent a unital subring of  $U^{(1)}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $A/\Re(A) \neq GF(2)$ ,  $(GF(2))_2$ .
- (2) A is (isomorphic to)  $(D)_A$  with a local ring (or a completely primary ring in the sense of [5]) D. Here,  $e_{\lambda\mu}$  will represent the matrix of A with 1 in the  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -position and 0's elsewhere. We set  $E = \{\sum_{\lambda,\mu} d_{\lambda\mu} e_{\lambda\mu} | d_{\lambda\mu} \in D\}$  (almost all  $d_{\lambda\mu}$ 's are 0), and  $F = \sum_{\lambda} A e_{\lambda\lambda}$ .

As to other notations and terminologies used in this paper, we follow the previous one [3].

1. In this section, we shall give a generalization of [3; Theorem 2].

**Proposition 1.** If  $B\widetilde{A} \subset B^{2}$  and the right annihilator  $r_A(T)$  of T in A is 0 then either  $TE \subset T$  or  $E \subset V_v(B)$ .

*Proof.* If a is a unit of A and  $\{a, 1\}$  is not left B-free then  $b_1a - b_2 = 0$  with some  $b_1 \neq 0$ ,  $b_2 \in B$ , and then, noting that T is the least non-zero ideal of B and  $B\widetilde{A} = B$ , one will easily see  $Ta \subset T$ . Next, if a is biregular and  $\{a, 1\}$  is left B-free, then for every  $b \in B$ , ab = b'a and

<sup>1)</sup> A unital subring of U means a subring containing the identity element of U.

<sup>2)</sup>  $\vec{A}$  represents the multiplicative group of all inner automorphisms of U induced by units of A.

(1-a)b=b''(1-a)  $(b', b'' \in B)$  yield b=b''=b', whence it follows that a is contained in  $V_v(B)$ . Accordingly, we have  $A^* \subset A_0 \cup V_v(B)$  where  $A_0 = \{a \in A \mid Ta \subset T\}$ . Now, we shall distinguish between two cases:

- (1)  $\sharp A=1$ : Suppose that  $A \not\subset V_v(B)$  and  $A \not\subset A_0$ . Then there exist some  $a_1 \in A^* \setminus V_v(B)$  and  $a_2 \in A^* \setminus A_0$ . By the above remark,  $a_1 \in A_0$ ,  $a_2 \in V_v(B)$  and then we can easily see that  $\{a_2, a_1\}$  is left B-free. If  $a_1+a_2$  is a unit of A then for every  $b \in B$   $(a_1+a_2)b=b'(a_1+a_2)$   $(b' \in B)$  and  $a_2b=ba_2$  yield  $(b'-b)a_2+(b'-a_1ba_1^{-1})a_1=0$ , which means b'=b. On the other hand, if  $a_1 \div a_2$  is not a unit then  $1-(a_1 \div a_2)=(-a_1)\div(1-a_2)$  is a unit of A and the above argument proves again  $a_1+a_2 \in V_v(B)$ . But this contradicts  $a_1 \notin V_v(B)$  and  $a_2 \in V_v(B)$ .
- (2)  $\sharp A > 1$ : If R is an arbitrary subring of U with  $R\widetilde{A} \subset R$  and  $Re_{\mathcal{U}} \subset R$  for some  $l \in A$  then  $RE \subset R$ . In fact, for every  $\lambda \neq l$  and every  $d \in D$  we obtain  $(1-de_{l\lambda})Re_{\mathcal{U}}(1-de_{l\lambda})^{-1}=R(e_{\mathcal{U}}+de_{l\lambda})$  and  $(1+de_{\lambda l})Re_{\mathcal{U}}(1+de_{\lambda l})^{-1}=R(e_{\mathcal{U}}+de_{\lambda l})$ . Combining these with  $Re_{\mathcal{U}} \subset R$ , we readily obtain  $Rde_{l\lambda}$ ,  $Rde_{\lambda l} \subset R$ , whence it follows  $RE \subset R$ . If  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are biregular elements of A such that  $a_1a_2 \neq a_2a_1$  and  $a_1-a_2=e_{\mathcal{U}}$  for some  $l \in A$ , then by  $r_A(T)=0$  and  $A^* \subset A_0 \cup V_{\mathcal{U}}(B)$  we see that either (both  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  and hence)  $a_1-a_2=e_{\mathcal{U}}$  is in  $A_0$  or in  $V_{\mathcal{U}}(B)$ , and hence, recalling that  $T\widetilde{A}=T$  and  $V_{\mathcal{U}}(B)\widetilde{A}=V_{\mathcal{U}}(B)$ , the remark stated just above proves that  $E \subset A_0$  or  $E \subset V_{\mathcal{U}}(B)$ . In what follows, we shall show that we can find such biregular elements  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ . To this end, we shall distinguish between four cases:
- (i)  $D^*$  is non-empty and  $\sharp A < \aleph_0$ : Let d be an arbitrary element of  $D^*$ . Then, the following elements are requested ones:

(ii)  $D^*$  is non-empty and  $\sharp A \geqslant \aleph_0$ : One may regard  $(D)_A$  as  $((D)_2)_A$ . Now, let d be an arbitrary element of  $D^*$ , and choose an arbitrary index  $l \in A$ . We set  $a_1 = (x_{\lambda\mu})$  where  $x_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $x_{\lambda\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\lambda \neq l$  and  $x_{\lambda\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , and  $a_2 = (y_{\lambda\mu})$  where  $y_u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $y_{\lambda\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\lambda \neq l$  and  $y_{\lambda\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$ . Then,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are elements requested.

(iii)  $D^*$  is empty (i. e.  $D/\Re(D) = GF(2)$ ) and  $2 < \sharp \Lambda < \aleph_0$ : In this case, we can take

(iv)  $D^*$  is empty and  $\sharp A \geqslant \aleph_0$ : Let l be an arbitrary index in A. Regarding  $(D)_A$  as  $((D)_3)_A$  we consider  $a_1 = (x_{\lambda\mu})$  where  $x_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$   $x_{\lambda\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\lambda \neq l$  and  $x_{\lambda\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , and  $a_2 = (y_{\lambda\mu})$  where  $y_{\lambda\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $y_{\lambda\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$ . Then,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are elements requested.

Corollary 1. Let a unital subring R of U be strongly primary (or primary, semi-perfect in the sense of [1]). If  $B\widetilde{R} \subset B$  and  $r_A(T)=0$  then either  $TA \subset T$  or  $A \subset V_{\overline{v}}(B)$ .

The next contains evidently [3; Theorem 2].

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $\mathfrak{R}(D)$  is left T-nilpotent (or right vanishing in the sense of [6]). If  $B\widetilde{A} \subset B$  and  $r_A(T) = 0$  then either  $TA \subset T$  or  $A \subset V_U(B)$ .

Proof. By Proposition 1,  $TE \subset T$  or  $E \subset V_v(B)$ . As  $\Re(A) = (\Re(D))_A$  by [6: Theorem 1], [7: Theorem] enables us to see that A is regularly generated. Especially, every element of F is a sum of units in A and  $T\widetilde{A} \subset T$  implies TA = AT. If u is an arbitrary unit of A then for every  $\lambda \in A$  we can find an element  $e_{\lambda} \in E$  such that  $ue_{\lambda\lambda} = e_{\lambda\lambda}\widetilde{u} \cdot u = e_{\lambda\lambda}\widetilde{u} \cdot e_{\lambda}$ , where  $e_{\lambda\lambda}\widetilde{u} = ue_{\lambda\lambda}u^{-1} \in F$ . If  $TE \subset T$  then  $T\widetilde{A} \subset T$  implies  $Tue_{\lambda\lambda} = T \cdot e_{\lambda\lambda}\widetilde{u} \cdot e_{\lambda} = (Te_{\lambda\lambda})\widetilde{u} \cdot e_{\lambda} \subset Te_{\lambda} \subset T$ . Hence,  $0 \neq TF = T \cdot \sum_{\lambda} Fe_{\lambda\lambda} \subset T$ . Accordingly, we have TFT = T and TA = TFTA = TFAT = TFT = T. On the other hand, if  $E \subset V_v(B)$  then by  $V_v(B)\widetilde{A} \subset V_v(B)$  we have  $ue_{\lambda\lambda} = e_{\lambda\lambda}\widetilde{u} \cdot e_{\lambda} \in V_v(B)$ , which implies  $F = \sum_{\lambda} Fe_{\lambda\lambda} \subset V_v(B)$ . Now, for every unit a of A,  $b \in B$  and  $f \in F$  there holds  $f(b - aba^{-1}) = fb - (fa)ba^{-1} = fb - b(fa)a^{-1} = 0$ . Noting that  $TF \neq 0$  implies  $r_B(F) = 0$ , we obtain ba = ab. Since A is regularly generated, the last means  $A \subset V_v(B)$ .

2. In this section, we shall give a slight improvement of [5; § 42] (cf. [2]).

**Theorem 2.** Let S be a biregularly generated ring with 1, and R a two-sided simple unital subring of S. If  $\widetilde{RS} \subset R$  then R = S or  $R \subset V_S(S)$ .

*Proof.* As was noted in the proof of Proposition 1,  $S^* \subset R \cup V_s(R)$ . Hence,  $S = R + V_s(R) = R \cdot V_s(R) = R \otimes_z V_s(R)$ , where Z is the center of R. Noting that Z is a field, one will readily see that R = Z or  $V_s(R) = Z$ , namely,  $S = V_s(R)$  or S = R.

The argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 suggests the following:

**Theorem 3.** Let R be a two-sided simple unital subring of A. If  $\widetilde{RA} \subset R$  then either R = A or  $R \subset V_A(A)$ .

*Proof.* As evidently  $r_A(R)=0$ , Proposition 1 implies  $E\subset R$  or  $E\subset V_A(R)$ . In case  $\sharp A=1$ , there is nothing to prove. Thus, in what follows, we may restrict our attention to the case  $\sharp A>1$ . Now, let  $f=(a_{\lambda\mu})$  be an arbitrary element of F. Then there exists a finite subset I of A such that  $\sharp I>1$  and  $a_{\lambda\mu}=0$  for all  $\mu\in A\setminus I$ . By [7]; Theorem,  $f'=(a_{\lambda\mu})$  ( $\lambda,\mu\in I$ ) is a sum of two units in  $(D)_I:f'=(a'_{\lambda\mu})+(a''_{\lambda\mu})$  ( $\lambda,\mu\in I$ ). We consider here the elements  $u=(u_{\lambda\mu})$  and  $v=(v_{\lambda\mu})$  in A which are defined as follows:

$$u_{\lambda\mu} = \begin{cases} a'_{\lambda\mu} & \text{if } \lambda, \mu \in I \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \mu \in \Lambda \setminus I \\ a_{\lambda\mu} & \text{if } \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus I \text{ and } \mu \in I \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_{\lambda\mu} = \begin{cases} a_{\lambda\mu}^{''} & \text{if } \lambda, \mu \in I \\ -1 & \text{if } \lambda = \mu \in A \setminus I \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

To be easily seen, u and v are units of A and f=u+v. If  $E \subset R$  then, as was noted in the proof of Theorem 1, there holds  $RF \subset R$ . Accordingly, A=RA=RFRA=RFA=R. On the other hand, if  $E \subset V_A(R)$  then in the proof of Theorem 1 we have seen that  $F \subset V_A(R)$ . Moreover, if  $f \in F$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $b \in R$  then f(ba-ab)=fba-(fa)b=b(fa-fa)=0. Noting that  $r_A(F)=0$ , it follows ab=ba. We have proved therefore  $R \subset V_A(A)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let S be a biregularly generated ring with 1, and R an artinian semi-simple subring of S. If  $R\widetilde{S} \subset R$  then  $V_R(R) = R \cap V_S(S)$ .

Proof. Let  $V_R(R) = Z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_t$ , where  $Z_i$  is a field with the identity element  $e_i$ . Taking an arbitrary element  $s \in S^*$ ,  $se_1 = e_i s$  and  $(1-s)e_1 = e_i (1-s)$  for some i, j, whence it follows  $(e_i - e_i)s = e_1 - e_j$ . If  $e_1 \neq e_i$  then  $e_i \neq e_j$  and hence  $e_i s = e_i (e_i - e_j)s = e_i (e_1 - e_j) = 0$ . This contradiction means  $e_1 \tilde{s} = e_1$ . It follows therefore  $Z_1 \tilde{s} = Z_1 \tilde{s} \cdot e_1 \tilde{s} = Z_1 \tilde{s} \cdot e_1$ , namely,  $Z_1 \tilde{s} = Z_1$ . Accordingly, for an arbitrary element  $z \in Z_1$  there hold sz = z's and (1-s)z = z''(1-s) with some  $z', z'' \in Z_1$ , and so (z'-z'')s = z-z''. If  $z \neq z'$  then  $z' \neq z''$ , and hence  $se_1 = e_1 s = (z'-z'')^{-1}(z-z'') \in Z_1$ . But this implies a contradiction  $z\tilde{s} = (e_1z)\tilde{s} = se_1 \cdot z \cdot s^{-1} = z \cdot e_1\tilde{s} = ze_1 = z$ . We have seen therefore sz = zs, i. e.  $Z_1 \subset V_s(s)$ . Similarly, we have  $Z_i \subset V_s(s)$   $(i=1, \dots, t)$ . Hence,  $V_R(R) \subset \bigcap_{s \in s^*} V_S(s) = V_S(S)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let S be an artinian simple ring with 1 different from  $(GF(2))_2$ , and  $R \neq 0$  a left perfect subring of S. 3) If  $R\widetilde{S} \subset R$  then either R=S or  $R \subset V_S(S)$ .

*Proof.* Noting that all the primitive idempotents of S are mutually conjugate with respect to inner automorphisms, we can easily see that R is a unital subring of S. In case S=GF(2), there is nothing to prove. Thus, in below we may assume that S is biregularly generated ([4; Theorem]). As  $R\widetilde{S} \subset R$ , we have  $\Re(R)S=S\Re(R)$ . Now, let S be an arbitrary element of  $\Re(R)S$ . Then  $I=\{x-xs \mid x\in S\}$  is a left ideal of S and evidently  $S=I+\Re(R)S$ . By making use of the same argument as in [1; pp. 473—474], we can prove that I=S, namely,  $\Re(R) \subset \Re(R)S \subset \Re(S)=0$ . Hence, R being simple by  $V_R(R)=R\cap V_S(S)$  (Lemma 1), Theorem 2 proves that either R=S or  $R\subset V_S(S)$ .

Evidently, Theorem 4 contains [5; Theorem 42.4] and yields Corollaries 42.5 and 42.6 of [5], which are stated as follows:

Corollary 2. Let S be an artinian semi-simple ring:  $S = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_t$ , where each  $S_t$  is a simple ring different from  $(GF(2))_2$ . If R is a right artinian subring of S and  $R\widetilde{S} \subset R$  then  $R = S_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{i_k} \oplus C'$  with suitable  $S_t$ 's and a subring C' of the center of S.

Corollary 3. Let S be a right artinian primary ring with 1 such

<sup>3)</sup> A ring R with 1 is called a left perfect ring if  $R/\Re(R)$  is artinian and  $\Re(R)$  is left T-nilpotent (cf. [1]).

that  $S/\Re(S) \neq (GF(2))_2$ . If R is an artinian semi-simple subring of S and  $R\widetilde{S} \subset R$  then  $\Re(S)$  is contained in  $V_s(R)$  and either  $S = R \oplus \Re(S)$  (us module) or  $R \subset V_s(S)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass: Finistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 95 (1960), 466—488.
- [2] A. HATTORI: On invariant subrings, Japanese J. Math., 21 (1951), 121-129.
- [3] K. Motose: On Cartan-Brauer-Hua theorem, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, 20 (1967), 27-30.
- [4] T. NAKAMOTO and H. TOMINAGA: On biregularly generated rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 14 (1970), 119—122.
- [5] T. NAKAYAMA and G. AZUMAYA: Algebra II (Theory of rings), Tokyo, 1954 (in Japanese).
- [6] E. M. PATTERSON: On the radical of rings of row-finite matrices, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sec. A, 66 (1961—62), 42—46.
- [7] D. Zelinsky: Every linear transformation is a sum of nonsingular ones, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954), 627—630.

DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS, SHINSHU UNIVERSITY OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received March 31, 1970)