

# ON PERVIN'S QUASI UNIFORMITY

NORMAN LEVINE

## 1. Introduction

In [1], Pervin introduced a quasi uniformity  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  determined by a topology  $\mathfrak{X}$  on the set  $X$  by taking sets of the form  $O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$ ,  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$  as subbase. He proved that the topology induced by  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  is in fact the original topology  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Thus every topological space is quasi-uniformizable.

It is the purpose of this paper to explore more fully the relationships that exist between  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . In §2, the following topological properties are characterized in terms of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ :  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{C}$  ( $\mathfrak{C}$  denoting the class of all closed sets),  $\mathfrak{X}$  is discrete,  $\mathfrak{X}$  is indiscrete,  $\mathfrak{X}$  has three or less elements,  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is disconnected,  $X$  is finite and  $\mathfrak{X}$  is discrete. In §4, relationships between continuity and uniform continuity are determined and compactness is characterized in terms of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . In §5, we give an example to show that  $\mathcal{U}(\times \mathfrak{X}_i) \neq \times \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X}_i)$ .

## 2. Topological properties

**Theorem 2.1.**  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is a (i)  $T_0$ -space iff  $\Delta = \bigcap \{U \cap U^{-1} : U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})\}$  (ii)  $T_1$ -space iff  $\Delta = \bigcap \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})\}$  and (iii)  $T_2$ -space iff  $\Delta = \bigcap \{cU : U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})\}$ .

*Proof of (i).* Suppose that  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is a  $T_0$ -space and that  $(x, y) \in U \cap U^{-1}$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . We must show that  $x = y$ . Suppose on the contrary that  $x \neq y$ . Case 1. There exists an  $O^* \in \mathfrak{X}$  such that  $x \in O^*$  and  $y \notin O^*$ . Then  $(x, y) \notin O^* \times O^* \cup \mathcal{C}O^* \times X = U^*$ . Thus  $(x, y) \notin U^* \cap U^{*-1}$ . Case 2. There exists an  $O^\# \in \mathfrak{X}$  such that  $x \notin O^\#$  and  $y \in O^\#$ . Then  $(y, x) \notin O^\# \times O^\# \cup \mathcal{C}O^\# \times X = U^\#$ . Hence  $(x, y) \notin U^\# \cap U^{\#-1}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\Delta = \bigcap \{U \cap U^{-1} : U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})\}$  and suppose that  $x \neq y$ . Then  $(x, y) \notin U \cap U^{-1}$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . Case 1.  $(x, y) \notin U$ . Then  $(x, y) \notin O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$  for some  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$  and it follows that  $x \in O$  and  $y \notin O$ . Case 2.  $(x, y) \notin U^{-1}$ . Then  $(y, x) \notin U$  and case 1 may be applied.

*Proof of (ii).* Let  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  be a  $T_1$ -space and suppose that  $x \neq y$ . We will show that  $(x, y) \notin U$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . In fact, we may take  $U = \mathcal{C}\{y\} \times \mathcal{C}\{y\} \cup \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}\{y\} \times X$ .

Conversely, let  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ . Then  $(x, y) \notin U^*$  for some  $U^* \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  and hence  $(x, y) \notin O^* \times O^* \cup \mathcal{C}O^* \times X$  for some  $O^* \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Hence  $x \in O^*$  and  $y \notin O^*$ .

*Proof of (iii).* If  $\Delta = \bigcap \{cU : U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})\}$ , then  $\Delta$  is closed in  $X \times X$  and  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$  is  $T_2$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$  is a  $T_2$ -space and that  $x \neq y$ . There exist disjoint open sets  $O_x$  and  $O_y$  such that  $x \in O_x$  and  $y \in O_y$ . Hence  $(x, y) \notin cU$  where  $U = O_x \times O_x \cup \mathcal{C}O_x \times X$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  denote the family of closed sets in  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$  iff  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $\Delta$  whenever  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$  is an open neighborhood of the diagonal for each  $O \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Thus  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  implies that  $U$  is a neighborhood of the diagonal.

Conversely, suppose that  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  implies that  $U$  is a neighborhood of the diagonal. Let  $O \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  and hence there exists a  $G \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X \supseteq G \supseteq \Delta$ . Then  $O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times \mathcal{C}O \supseteq G \cap G^{-1} \supseteq \Delta$  and  $G \cap G^{-1} \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{C}O$ . Then  $x \in G \cap G^{-1}[x] \subseteq (O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times \mathcal{C}O)[x] = \mathcal{C}O$  and  $\mathcal{C}O$  is open. It follows then that  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$ .

**Corollary 2.3.**  *$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  is a uniformity iff  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  is a uniformity, then  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  implies that  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $\Delta$  and hence by Theorem 2.2,  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$ . It suffices to show that  $(O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X)^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  when  $O \in \mathfrak{A}$ . But  $(O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X)^{-1} \supseteq O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times \mathcal{C}O = (O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X) \cap (\mathcal{C}O \times \mathcal{C}O \cup O \times X) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *The following are equivalent: (i)  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$  is discrete (ii)  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$  and  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$  is a  $T_0$ -space (iii)  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$  is a uniformity and  $\Delta = \bigcap \{U \cap U^{-1} : U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})\}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) clearly implies (ii) and (ii) is equivalent to (iii) by corollary 2.3 and (i) of theorem 2.1. To show that (ii) implies (i), it suffices to show that  $\{x\}$  is closed for each  $x \in X$ . But  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$  and  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$  a  $T_0$ -space clearly implies that  $(X, \mathfrak{A})$  is a  $T_2$ -space and hence a  $T_1$ -space.

**Theorem 2.5.**  *$(X, \mathfrak{A})$  is trivial iff  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A}))$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Exercise for the reader.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $B \subseteq X$ ,  $B \neq X$ . If  $A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times X \subseteq B \times B \cup \mathcal{C}B \times X$ , then  $A \supseteq B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $b \in B$  and suppose that  $b \notin A$ . Take  $q \notin B$ . Then  $(b, q) \in A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times X$ , but  $(b, q) \notin B \times B \cup \mathcal{C}B \times X$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq X$  and suppose that  $A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times X \subseteq B \times B \cup \mathcal{C}B \times X$ . Then  $A \subseteq B$ .*

*Proof.* Case 1.  $B = X$ . Then  $A \subseteq B$ . Case 2.  $B \neq X$ . Then by Lemma 2.6,  $A \supseteq B$ . Now suppose that  $A \not\subseteq B$ . Take  $a \in A$ ,  $a \notin B$  and  $b \in B$ . Then  $(b, a) \in A \times A \subseteq A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times X$ , but  $(b, a) \notin B \times B \cup \mathcal{C}B \times X$ , a contradiction.

**Corollary 2.8.** *If  $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq X$  and  $A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times X \subseteq B \times B \cup \mathcal{C}B \times X$ , then  $A = B$ .*

**Theorem 2.9.**  *$\{O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X : O \in \mathfrak{X}\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  iff  $\mathfrak{X}$  consists of at most three sets.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{X} = \{\emptyset, X\}$  or if  $\mathfrak{X} = \{\emptyset, O, X\}$ , then  $\{X \times X\}$  or  $\{O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X, X \times X\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\emptyset \neq O_i \neq X$  for  $i=1, 2$  and that  $\{O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X : O \in \mathfrak{X}\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . Then  $(O_1 \times O_1 \cup \mathcal{C}O_1 \times X) \cap (O_2 \times O_2 \cup \mathcal{C}O_2 \times X) \supseteq O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$  for some  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ . By Corollary 2.8,  $O_1 = O = O_2$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{X}$  consists of at most three sets.

**Theorem 2.10.**  *$(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is disconnected iff there exists an  $A$  such that  $\emptyset \neq A \neq X$  and  $A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times \mathcal{C}A \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is disconnected, let  $A$  be both open and closed and  $\emptyset \neq A \neq X$ . Then  $A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times \mathcal{C}A = (A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times X) \cap (\mathcal{C}A \times \mathcal{C}A \cup A \times X) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\emptyset \neq A \neq X$  and that  $A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times \mathcal{C}A \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . We will show that  $A$  is open (and by symmetry,  $\mathcal{C}A$  is open). Let  $a \in A$ . Then  $(A \times A \cup \mathcal{C}A \times \mathcal{C}A)[a] = A$ .

**Theorem 2.11.**  *$(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X}))$  is totally bounded ( $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  implies that  $U[A] = X$  for some finite set  $A$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . Then  $U \supseteq \bigcap \{O_i \times O_i \cup \mathcal{C}O_i \times X : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . Consider the  $2^n$  sets of the form  $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_n$  where  $A_i = O_i$  or  $A_i = \mathcal{C}O_i$ . Pick  $q \in A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_n$  whenever  $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$  and let  $A$  be the set of  $q$ -points thus picked. Clearly,  $A$  is finite and we show now that  $U[A]$

$=X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Let  $A_i = O_i$  if  $x \in O_i$  and let  $A_i = \mathcal{C}O_i$  if  $x \in \mathcal{C}O_i$ . Then  $\bigcap A_i \neq \emptyset$ . There exists a  $q$  in  $A$  such that  $q \in \bigcap A_i$ . Then  $(q, x) \in U$  or  $x \in U[A]$ . If  $(q, x) \notin U$ , then  $(q, x) \notin O_j \times O_j \cup \mathcal{C}O_j \times X$  for some  $j$  and hence  $q \in O_j$  and  $x \in \mathcal{C}O_j$ . Then  $A_i = \mathcal{C}O_j$  and  $q \in A_j = \mathcal{C}O_j$ , a contradiction.

**Corollary 2.12.**  $\Delta \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  iff (i)  $X$  is finite and (ii)  $\mathfrak{X}$  is discrete.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . By Theorem 2.11, there exists a finite set  $A$  such that  $X = \Delta[A] = A$ . Thus,  $X$  is finite and (i) holds. (ii) follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  is a discrete uniform space when  $\Delta \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

Conversely, suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. Then  $\Delta = \bigcap \{ \{x\} \times \{x\} \cup \mathcal{C}\{x\} \times X : x \in X \} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

**Theorem 2.13.** If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is countable, then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  has a countable base. If  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  has a countable base, then  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is a second axiom space.

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{X} = \{O_i : i \in P\}$ , then  $\{O_i \times O_i \cup \mathcal{C}O_i \times X : i \in P\}$  is a countable subbase for  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  and hence  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  has a countable base.

Suppose  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  has a countable base  $\{U_i : i \in P\}$ . Now  $U_i \supseteq \bigcap \{O_{ij} \times O_{ij} \cup \mathcal{C}O_{ij} \times X : 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$  for each  $i \in P$ . We will show that the  $\{O_{ij}\}$  forms a subbase for  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $x \in O \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Then  $U[x] \subseteq O$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . But  $U \supseteq U_i \supseteq \bigcap \{O_{ij} \times O_{ij} \cup \mathcal{C}O_{ij} \times X : 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$  and hence  $\bigcap \{O_{ij} \times O_{ij} \cup \mathcal{C}O_{ij} \times X\}[x] \subseteq O$ . But  $(O_{ij} \times O_{ij} \cup \mathcal{C}O_{ij} \times X)[x] = O_{ij}$  or  $X$ . Thus  $x \in O^* \subseteq O$  where  $O^*$  is an intersection of sets from the collection  $\{O_{ij} : 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$ .

**Theorem 2.14.** (i) If  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is regular, then  $c(\Delta) \subseteq O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$  for each  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ . (ii) If  $c(\Delta) \subseteq O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$  for each  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ , then  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is an  $R_0$ -space ( $x \in O \in \mathfrak{X}$  implies that  $c(x) \subseteq O$ ). (iii) If  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is  $T_2$  then  $c(\Delta) \subseteq O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$  for each  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose  $(x, y) \notin O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$  for some  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Then  $x \in O$  and  $y \notin O$ . But  $x \in O^* \subseteq cO^* \subseteq O$  for some  $O^* \in \mathfrak{X}$  since  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is regular. Hence  $(x, y) \in O^* \times \mathcal{C}cO^*$  and  $O^* \times \mathcal{C}cO^* \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(x, y) \notin c\Delta$ .

(ii) Let  $x \in O \in \mathfrak{X}$  and suppose that  $c(x) \not\subseteq O$ . Then take  $y \in c(x) \cap \mathcal{C}O$ . Thus  $(x, y) \in c(x) \times c(y) \subseteq c(x) \times c(x) \subseteq c\Delta \subseteq O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$ . Hence  $(x, y) \in O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$ . But  $x \in O$  and  $y \in \mathcal{C}O$ , a contradiction.

(iii) If  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is  $T_2$ , then  $c\Delta = \Delta \subseteq O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$  for each  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

The converse of (i) is false; take  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  any  $T_2$ -space that is not regular. The converse of (iii) is false; take any regular space that is not

$T_2$ . The converse of (ii) is false; take  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  an infinite space with the cofinite topology.

### 3. Subspaces

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X', \mathfrak{X}')$  be a subspace of  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X}') = X' \times X' \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ .*

*Proof.* If  $O' = O \cap X'$  where  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ , then  $O' \times O' \cup \mathcal{C}O' \times X' = X' \times X' \cap (O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X)$ .

### 4. Transformations

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$  and  $(Y, \mathfrak{X}')$  be topological spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a transformation. Then  $f$  is continuous relative to  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}'$  iff  $f$  is uniformly continuous relative to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$  and  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X}')$ .*

*Proof.* Only the necessity requires proof. Let  $O' \times O' \cup \mathcal{C}O' \times Y$  be subbasic in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X}')$ . Then  $(f \times f)^{-1}(O' \times O' \cup \mathcal{C}O' \times Y) \supseteq (f^{-1}O' \times f^{-1}O') \cup \mathcal{C}f^{-1}O' \times X$ . Since  $f^{-1}O' \in \mathfrak{X}$ , it follows that  $(f \times f)^{-1}(O' \times O' \cup \mathcal{C}O' \times Y) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *A net  $S: D \rightarrow X$  is  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ -Cauchy iff  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$  implies that  $S$  is eventually in  $O$  or  $S$  is eventually in  $\mathcal{C}O$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S: D \rightarrow X$  be a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ -cauchy net and suppose that  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Then there exists an  $N$  in  $D$  such that  $m, n \geq N$  implies that  $(S(m), S(n)) \in O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$ . Suppose  $S$  is not eventually in  $O$  nor eventually in  $\mathcal{C}O$ . Take  $m^* \geq N$  and  $S(m^*) \notin O$ . Take  $n^* \geq N$  and  $S(n^*) \notin \mathcal{C}O$ . Then  $m^*, n^* \geq N$ , but  $(S(n^*), S(m^*)) \notin O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$ , a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose  $S: D \rightarrow X$  is a net with the property that  $S$  is eventually in  $O$  or eventually in  $\mathcal{C}O$  for each  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ . We will show that  $S$  is then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ -cauchy. Let  $O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$  be subbasic in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ . If  $S$  is eventually in  $O$ , then  $S \times S$  is eventually in  $O \times O \subseteq O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$ . If  $S$  is eventually in  $\mathcal{C}O$ , then  $S \times S$  is eventually in  $\mathcal{C}O \times X \subseteq O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $S: D \rightarrow X$  be a net. Then  $S$  is  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{X})$ -cauchy iff  $S$  frequently in  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$  implies that  $S$  is eventually in  $O$ .*

In a space  $(X, \mathfrak{X})$ , a net  $S: D \rightarrow X$  is called an  $O$ -net iff for  $O \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $S$  frequently in  $O$  implies that  $S$  is eventually in  $O$ . In [2], the following theorem is proved.

**Theorem 4.4.**  *$(X, \mathfrak{X})$  is compact iff every  $O$ -net in  $X$  converges.*

**Theorem 4.5.**  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is compact iff  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I}))$  is complete.

*Proof.*  $(X, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I}))$  is complete iff every  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I})$ -cauchy net converges iff every  $O$ -net converges (Corollary 4.3) iff  $(X, \mathfrak{I})$  is compact (Theorem 4.4).

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a transformation and  $\mathfrak{I}'$  a topology for  $Y$ . Let  $\mathfrak{I}$  be the weak topology for  $X$  determined by  $f$  and  $\mathfrak{I}'$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the weak quasi uniformity for  $X$  induced by  $f$  and  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I}')$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I})$ .

*Proof.*  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\mathfrak{I}$ - $\mathfrak{I}'$  continuous and by Theorem 4.1,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I})$ - $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I}')$  uniformly continuous. Thus  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I})$ . We show now that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Let  $O' \in \mathfrak{I}'$ . Then  $f^{-1}O' \times f^{-1}O' \cup \mathcal{C}f^{-1}O' \times X$  is subbasic in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I})$ . But  $f^{-1}O' \times f^{-1}O' \cup \mathcal{C}f^{-1}O' \times X \subseteq (f \times f)^{-1}(O' \times O' \cup \mathcal{C}O' \times X) \in \mathcal{U}$ .

## 5. Products

**Example 5.1.** For each positive integer  $i$ , let  $(X_i, \mathfrak{I}_i)$  be the two point space  $\{0, 1\}$  with the discrete topology and let  $(X, \mathfrak{I}) = \times \{(X_i, \mathfrak{I}_i) : i \in P\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I}) \neq \times \{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I}_i) : i \in P\}$ . For, let  $O = \cup \{P_i^{-1}[o] : i \in P\}$ . Then  $O \in \mathfrak{I}$  and  $O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I})$ . But  $O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X \not\subseteq (P_1 \times P_1)^{-1}\Delta \cap \dots \cap (P_n \times P_n)^{-1}\Delta$  for every integer  $n$  and hence  $O \times O \cup \mathcal{C}O \times X \notin \times \{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{I}_i) : i \in P\}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] W.J. PERVIN: Quasi Uniformization of Topological Spaces, Math. Ann. 147 (1962).
- [2] L.C. ROBERTSON and S.P. FRANKLIN: O-sequences and O-nets, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 72, Number 5, May 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

(Received November 18, 1969)