

CONTINUITY OF ADDITIVE FUNCTIONALS

OSAMU KATSUMATA AND SHOZO KOSHI

1. Let E be a measure space with positive finite measure μ , and S be the space of all essentially finite measurable functions on E . A set X of S will be called *normal* if $x \in X$, $|x| \geq |y|$ imply $y \in X$.

In the following, we assume X is a normal sublattice of S . A functional T defined on X is called additive if $x, y \in X$; $|x| \cap |y| = 0$ imply $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y)$.

For the integral representation of T , the continuity condition is important.

Recently, L. Drewnowski and W. Orlicz have proved that if T is (uc_∞) and (uac) , then T can be represented by $f(r, t)$ such that

$$T(x) = \int_E f(x(t), t) d\mu$$

where $f(0, t) = 0$ a. e., $f(r, t)$ satisfies the generalized Carathéodory conditions and $f(x(t), t)$ is integrable on E for each $x \in X$. [1]

In this note, we shall prove that the continuity conditions of Drewnowski and Orlicz (cf. also Friedman and Katz [2]) is replaced by apparently weaker condition: order continuity. Here we do not assume any "uniform" property.

The proof of Theorem 7 in [4] is not sufficient, so the assumption of Theorem must be replaced by order-continuity instead of (CIII) (semi-continuity).

A sequence $x_n \in X (n=1, 2, \dots)$ is *order-convergent* to $x \in X$, if there exists $y \in X$, $|x_n| \leq y$ and $x_n(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ a. e. in E .

2. For an additive functional T on X , we shall consider the following conditions:

(uc_∞) T is *uniformly continuous* by $L_\infty(\mu)$ -norm (essential supremum norm) on every set $\{y; |y| \leq |x|\}$ with $x \in X$, $\|x\|_\infty < \infty$.

(uac) T is *uniformly absolutely continuous* on every set $\{y; |y| \leq |x|\}$, $x \in X$; that is for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that $|T(y\chi_e)| \leq \varepsilon$ for $|y| \leq |x|$ and a measurable set e with $\mu(e) < \delta$ where χ_e is a characteristic function of e .

(o) If $x_n \in X (n=1, 2, \dots)$ is order-convergent to $x \in X$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = T(x)$.

Theorem (o) is equivalent to (uc_∞) and (uac) .

Proof. Since (uc_∞) and (uac) imply (o) obviously, we shall prove the converse.

(o) \Rightarrow (uac) This can be proved by the same method used in the proof of theorem 1.3 of Drewnowski and Orlicz [1].

(o) \Rightarrow (uc_∞) It is sufficient to prove the case $X = \{x; |x(t)| \leq 1 \text{ a. e. } t \in E\}$. Let denote by A the set of all dyadic numbers in $[-1, 1]$ i. e. if $\lambda \in A$, then $\lambda = m/2^n$ for some integers $n (> 0)$, m with $-2^n \leq m \leq 2^n$ and let $h_\lambda(t)$ ($\lambda \in A$) be Radon-Nikodym's derivative of $T(\lambda \mathcal{X}_e)$ i. e. $T(\lambda \mathcal{X}_e) = \int_e h_\lambda(t) d\mu$ where \mathcal{X}_e is a characteristic function of a measurable set $e \subset E$. Now we take an arbitrary $\delta > 0$ and fix it for a while. For integers n and $m = -2^n + 1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$, we consider measurable sets:

$$e_m^n = \{t; |h_\lambda - h_\mu|(t) \geq \delta \text{ for some } \lambda, \mu \in A \text{ with } (m-1)/2^n \leq \lambda, \mu \leq m/2^n\} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots; m = -2^n + 1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 2^n)$$

and

$$b_n = \bigcup_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} e_m^n. \quad \text{Note that } e_m^n \text{ is written by } e_m^n = \bigcup_{\lambda, \mu} \{t; h_\lambda(t) - h_\mu(t) \geq \delta\}$$

where $\lambda, \mu (\in A)$ are countable. By definition of b_n , we have $b_1 \supset b_2 \supset \dots \supset b_n \supset \dots$, and let us denote their limit $b = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$.

(i) Let $\mu(b) = 0$ for every $\delta > 0$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, by (uac) , there exists $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $|T(y \mathcal{X}_e)| < \varepsilon$ for all $y \in X$ and for $\mu(e) < \varepsilon'$. At the same time we see that there is n with $\mu(b_n) < \varepsilon'$ such that

$$|h_\lambda(t) - h_\mu(t)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } |\lambda - \mu| \leq 1/2^n \text{ with } \lambda, \mu \in A, t \in E \sim b_n.$$

This will be seen if we put $\delta = \varepsilon/2$. Let $\|x(t) - x'(t)\|_\infty < 1/2^{n+1}$. By (o) we can find $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in A$ (i : finite set) and mutually disjoint measurable sets e_i such that

$$\|x - \sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i}\|_\infty \leq 1/2^{n+2}, \quad \|x' - \sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i}\|_\infty \leq 1/2^{n+2}$$

and

$$|T(x) - T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| < \varepsilon, \quad |T(x') - T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| < \varepsilon.$$

We have $|\lambda_i - \mu_i| < 1/2^n$ for each i and

$$|T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i}) - T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| \leq \sum_i \int_{e_i \sim b_n} |h_{\lambda_i} - h_{\mu_i}| d\mu$$

$$+ |T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i} \mathcal{X}_{b_n})| + |T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i} \mathcal{X}_{b_n})| \leq \varepsilon \mu(E) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |T(x) - T(x')| &\leq |T(x) - T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| + |T(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i}) - T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| \\ &\quad + |T(x') - T(\sum_i \mu_i \mathcal{X}_{e_i})| \leq \varepsilon \mu(E) + 4\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(uc_∞) follows from this.

(ii) Let $\mu(b) > 0$ for some $\delta > 0$. It will be shown that this case can not occur. For every n , we shall define a partition of b by induction, that is

$$b = b_{-2^{n+1}} \cup \dots \cup b_{2^n},$$

$b_m^n (m = -2^n + 1, \dots, 2^n)$ are mutually disjoint measurable sets and for every $p \geq n$, $b_m^n \subset e_m^{n,p}$ where $e_m^{n,p} = \{t; t \in e_{m'}^p \text{ for some } m' \text{ with } (m-1)/2^n < m'/2^p \leq m/2^n\}$. We take a note that the sequence $e_m^{n,p} (p = n, n+1, \dots)$

is monotone decreasing with respect to p and that $\bigcup_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} e_m^{n,p} = b_p$.

Let us define $b_m^1 (m = -1, 0, 1, 2)$, at first we put

$$a_m^1 = b \cap e_m^{1,1} \cap e_m^{1,2} \cap \dots, \quad (m = -1, 0, 1, 2).$$

Then, we have $b = \bigcup_{m=-1}^2 a_m^1$, since $b \sim \bigcup_{m=-1}^2 a_m^1 = \bigcap_{m=-1}^2 \bigcup_{p=1}^\infty (b \sim e_m^{1,p}) \subset \bigcup_{p=1}^\infty \bigcap_{m=-1}^2 (b \sim e_m^{1,p}) \subset \bigcup_{p=1}^\infty (b \sim \bigcup_{m=-1}^2 e_m^{1,p}) = \phi$.

Hence we define, $b_{-1}^1 = a_{-1}^1$, $b_0^1 = a_0^1 \sim a_{-1}^1$, $b_1^1 = a_1^1 \sim a_0^1 \sim a_{-1}^1$, etc., then by definition $b_m^1 \subset a_m^1 \subset e_m^{1,p}$ for all $p \geq 1$. Assuming we have defined b_m^n , we shall define $b_s^{n+1}; s = -2^{n+1} + 1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n+1}$. It suffices to define b_{s-1}^{n+1}, b_s^{n+1} of the form $s = 2m$ with some $m \in \{-2^n \div 1, \dots, 0, \dots, 2^n\}$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} b_s^{n+1} &= b_m^n \cap e_s^{n+1, n+1} \cap e_s^{n+1, n+2} \cap \dots, \\ b_{s-1}^{n+1} &= b_m^n \sim b_s^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We must check $b_{s-1}^{n+1} \subset e_{s-1}^{n+1, p}$ for $p \geq n+1$. This will be done, since $t \in b_{s-1}^{n+1} \Rightarrow t \notin b_s^{n+1} \Rightarrow t \notin e_s^{n+1, p}$ for some $p \geq n+1 \Rightarrow t \notin e_s^{n+1, k}$ for all $k \geq p \Rightarrow t \in e_{s-1}^{n+1, k}$ for all $k \geq p \Rightarrow t \in e_{s-1}^{n+1, p}$ for all $p \geq n+1$, since $e_s^{n+1, k} \cup e_{s-1}^{n+1, k} = e_m^{n, k}$ by definition. For n , we define measurable functions $f_n, g_n \in X$ such that

$$f_n(t) = \sum_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} \frac{m-1}{2^m} \chi_{b_m^n}(t),$$

$$g_n(t) = \sum_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} \frac{m}{2^m} \chi_{b_m^n}(t).$$

We have by definition $f_n(t) \leq f_{n+1}(t) \leq g_{n+1}(t) \leq g_n(t)$, and $|f_n(t) - g_n(t)| \leq 1/2^n$. Hence, there exists $x \in X$ with $f_n \rightarrow x$, $g_n \rightarrow x$ (order convergence). For $m = -2^n + 1, \dots, 2^n$, we can decompose b_m^n into

$$b_m^n = c_{m,1}^n \cup c_{m,2}^n \cup \dots \quad (\text{mutually disjoint})$$

where $c_{m,i}^n \subset \{t; h_{\lambda_{m,i}^n}^n(t) - h_{\mu_{m,i}^n}^n(t) \geq \delta\}$ for every pair $\lambda_{m,i}^n, \mu_{m,i}^n \in A$ with $(m-1)/2^n \leq \lambda_{m,i}^n, \mu_{m,i}^n \leq m/2^n$, since $b_m^n \subset e_m^n = \bigcup_{\lambda, \mu} \{t; h_\lambda(t) - h_\mu(t) \geq \delta\}$ where $(m-1)/2^n \leq \lambda, \mu \leq m/2^n$ with $\lambda, \mu \in A$. If we define f'_n, g'_n as follows:

$$f'_n(t) = \sum_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} \sum_i \lambda_{m,i}^n \chi_{c_{m,i}^n}(t)$$

$$g'_n(t) = \sum_{m=-2^n+1}^{2^n} \sum_i \mu_{m,i}^n \chi_{c_{m,i}^n}(t),$$

then $f_n(t) \leq f'_n(t)$, $g'_n(t) \leq g_n(t)$ and

$$T(f'_n) - T(g'_n) \geq \delta \mu(b)$$

with $f'_n \rightarrow x$ and $g'_n \rightarrow x$ (order convergence). This contradicts to the condition (o).

Remark. In above Theorem, the condition (o) is replaced by the condition that T is continuous by measure convergence.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. DREWNOWSKI and W. ORLICZ: On representation of orthogonally additive functionals, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 17 (1969).
- [2] N. FRIEDMAN and M. KATZ: Additive functionals on L_p -spaces, Canad. J. Math. 18 (1966).
- [3] —————: On additive functionals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969).
- [4] S. KOSHI: On additive functionals of measurable function spaces, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 13 (1968).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received October 30, 1969)