

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF QUATERNION ALGEBRAS

HISAO TOMINAGA

In the present note, we shall prove the following theorem with a quite elementary proof.

**Theorem.** *Let  $A(\cong 1)$  be a central simple (Artinian) algebra over  $C$  whose characteristic is different from 2. If  $A' = \{x \in A \mid C \text{ (complement of } C \text{ in } A); x^2 \in C\} \cup \{0\}$  forms a non-zero additive group then  $A$  is a quaternion algebra (and conversely).*

*Proof.* We claim first that  $A' \oplus C$  is a subring of  $A$ . In fact, if  $x, y$  are in  $A'$  then  $c(x, y) = xy + yx = (x + y)^2 - x^2 - y^2 \in C$ , and then  $(xy)^2 = x(c(x, y) - xy)y = c(x, y) \cdot xy - x^2y^2$ . Hence,  $(xy - c(x, y)/2)^2 \in C$ , whence it follows  $xy \in A' \oplus C$ . As a direct consequence of this fact, we have  $(xz)y + y(xz) \in C \oplus Cy$  for  $x, y, z \in A'$ . Now, let  $A = \sum_1^n D e_{ij}$ , where  $E = \{e_{ij}\}$  is a system of matrix units and  $D = V_A(E)$  (centralizer of  $E$  in  $A$ ) a division ring. We shall distinguish here between two cases.

Case I.  $n=1$ : To be easily seen,  $A' \oplus C$  is algebraic over  $C$ , and hence a division ring. Evidently, there exist some  $x \in A'$  and  $u_0 \in A$  such that  $xu_0 \neq u_0x$ . If  $u$  is an arbitrary element of  $A$  such that  $x \neq uxu^{-1} = x_1$  ( $\in A'$ ) then  $x \neq (u+1)x(u+1)^{-1} = x_2$  ( $\in A'$ ). We have then  $x - x_2 = (x_2 - x_1)u$ , whence it follows  $u = (x - x_2)(x_2 - x_1)^{-1} \in A' \oplus C$ . On the other hand, if  $v$  is an arbitrary element of  $V_A(x)$  then  $x \neq (u_0 + v)x(u_0 + v)^{-1}$ . Accordingly, by the above,  $v = (u_0 + v) - u_0 \in A' \oplus C$ . We have seen thus  $A' \oplus C = A$ .<sup>1)</sup> Evidently, there exists then an element  $y \in A' \setminus C[x]$  and there holds  $C[x, y] = C \oplus Cx \oplus Cy \oplus Cxy$ . Now, suppose  $A \neq C[x, y]$ , and take an arbitrary element  $z$  from  $A' \setminus C[x, y]$ . Then,  $C[x, y, z] = C \oplus Cx \oplus Cy \oplus Cz \oplus Cxy \oplus Cyz \oplus Czx \oplus Cxyz$ . However, as  $(xz)y + y(xz) \in C \oplus Cy$  by the remark stated at the opening of this proof,  $xyz = x(c(y, z) - zy) = c(y, z) \cdot x - (xz)y$  and  $xyz = (c(x, y) - yx)z = c(x, y) \cdot z - y(xz)$  yield a contradiction  $xyz \in C \oplus$

1) Then, as was noted in [1; p. 578],  $A$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $(xy - yx)^2x - x(xy - yx)^2 = 0$ , and so  $A$  is a quaternion division algebra by [2; Th. 6.2]. Another elementary proof is given in [3].

$Cx \oplus Cy \oplus Cz$ . Hence,  $A = C[x, y]$  and  $[A : C] = 4$ .

Case II.  $n > 1$ : We have  $n = 2$ . In fact, if  $n > 2$  then  $(e_{12} + e_{23})^2 = e_{13}$  does not belong to  $C$ . Next, suppose  $D \neq C$ , and choose two elements  $a, b \in D$  such that  $ab \neq ba$ . We have then  $(ae_{12} + be_{21})^2 = abe_{11} + bae_{22} \notin C$ . This contradiction proves our assertion  $A = \sum_1^n Ce_{ij}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] I. KAPLANSKY: Rings with a polynomial identity, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1948), 575—580.
- [ 2 ] M. HALL: Projective planes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1943), 229—277.
- [ 3 ] P. VAN PRAAG: Une caractérisation des corps de quaternions, Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique, 20 (1968), 283—285.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

*(Received June 6, 1969)*