

PRIMARY IDEAL REPRESENTATIONS IN NON-COMMUTATIVE RINGS

HIDETOSHI MARUBAYASHI

Introduction. In his paper [4], H. Tominaga has given a necessary and sufficient condition that every ideal in a (non-commutative) ring be represented as the intersection of a finite number of s -right and s -left primary ideals¹⁾. It is the purpose of this paper to present a condition that every ideal in a ring be represented as a finite intersection of s -right primary ideals. After several definitions (§ 1), we shall prove in § 2 the uniqueness theorem for s -right primary representations: in any two s -right primary short representations of an ideal, the number of s -right primary components are the same and their radicals coincide in some order. In § 3, we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition that every ideal have a representation as a finite intersection of s -right primary ideals, which is analogous to that in [4]. In case the maximum condition is satisfied for ideals, the first half of our condition can be excluded (§ 4).

1. Definitions. Let R be a (non-commutative) ring. The term "ideal" in R will always mean "two-sided ideal".

Definition 1. A and B are ideals in R , the ideal consisting of all elements x of R such that $xRB \subseteq A$ is called the *right ideal quotient* of A by B and is denoted by AB^{-1} . Similarly, $B^{-1}A$ consists of all x in R such that $BRx \subseteq A$.

The following properties of quotients are verified:

- (1) $(AB^{-1})C^{-1} = A(CRB)^{-1}$,
- (2) $(\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})B^{-1} = \bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}B^{-1}$,
- (3) $A(\sum_{\alpha} B_{\alpha})^{-1} = \bigcap_{\alpha} AB_{\alpha}^{-1}$, where A, B, C, A_{α} and B_{α} are ideals in R .

Definition 2. An element a is *right non-prime* to an ideal A if there exists an element b not in A such that $bRa \subseteq A$. An ideal B is *right non-prime* to A if $AB^{-1} \supset A$.

For positive integers n we define inductively $AB^{-n} = (AB^{-(n-1)})B^{-1}$. If $AB^{-k} = AB^{-(k+1)}$ for some positive integer k then we say that AB^{-k} is the *right limit ideal* of A by B . The left limit ideal $B^{-k}A$ can be defined in the same way. An ideal P in R is *prime* if $AB \subseteq P$ implies that either $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$, where A and B are ideals in R . It has been shown by McCoy [2] that an ideal P is prime if and only if $aRb \subseteq P$ ($a, b \in R$) implies that

1) "s-right primary" means "strongly right primary".

either a or b belongs to P . The *radical* of an ideal A is understood in the sense of McCoy [2] and denoted by $r(A)$. It has been shown by McCoy [2] that $r(A)$ is the intersection of all minimal prime divisors of A .

Definition 3. An ideal Q is said to be *right primary* if $aRb \subseteq Q$ and $a \notin Q$ imply $b \in r(Q)$, and a right primary ideal Q is defined to be *s-right primary* if $r(Q)$ is nilpotent modulo Q .

One will easily see that an ideal Q is *s-right primary* if and only if it is *s-right primary* in Tominaga's sense, and so the radical of an *s-right primary* ideal is prime by Theorem 1 of [4].

Definition 4. If a prime ideal P is the radical of an *s-right primary* ideal Q , we say that Q *belongs to* P and also that Q is *P-s-right primary*. A prime ideal P is called a *prime ideal associated with* an ideal A if there exists an *s-right primary* ideal Q belonging to P such that $Q = B^{-1}A$ for some ideal B not contained in A .

2. Uniqueness theorem for s-right primary representations.

A representation $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ of an ideal A as the intersection of *s-right primary* ideals Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n will be called *irredundant* if no one of the Q_i contains the intersection of the remaining ones.

Theorem 1. Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be an irredundant representation of $A \subseteq R$, where Q_i is P_i -*s-right primary* ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then an element x is right non-prime to A if and only if $x \in P_j$ for some j , namely, $x \in P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \cdots \cup P_n$.

Proof. If x is right non-prime to A then $bRx \subseteq A$ for some b not in A . But this implies $bRx \subseteq Q_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), while $b \notin Q_j$ for some j . Since Q_j is P_j -*s-right primary*, we obtain $x \in P_j$. Conversely, suppose that x is in P_1 . Since the representation $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ is irredundant, we can choose an element b which is contained in $Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ but not in Q_1 . Noting that $(RP_1)^k \subseteq Q_1$ for some k , we have then $b(RP_1)^k \subseteq A$. Accordingly, there exists the least positive integer k_1 such that $b(RP_1)^{k_1} \subseteq A$. If $k_1 = 1$ then $bRP_1 \subseteq A$. Hence $bRx \subseteq A$. Thus, x is right non-prime to A . If $k_1 > 1$ then the product $b(RP_1)^{k_1-1}$ contains an element b_1 not in A . Since $b_1Rx \subseteq A$, x is right non-prime to A .

Lemma 1. If Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n are P -*s-right primary* ideals then $Q = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ is also a P -*s-right primary* ideal.

Proof. Let k_i be the nilpotency index of P modulo Q_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then, $P^{k_1 + \cdots + k_n} \subseteq Q$. If P_1 is any prime divisor of Q , we have $P^{k_1 + \cdots + k_n} \subseteq P_1$, whence it follows $P \subseteq P_1$. Hence, P is a unique minimal prime divisor of Q and therefore $P = r(Q)$. Moreover, if $aRb \subseteq Q$ and $a \notin Q$ then $aRb \subseteq Q_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), while $a \notin Q_j$ for some j . Since Q_j is P -*s-right primary*, this implies that $b \in P = r(Q)$. Hence, Q is P -*s-right primary*.

By the same argument as in Theorem 14 of [3] we have

Lemma 2. *If $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ is an irredundant representation of A , where Q_i is P_i -s-right-primary ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $P_j \neq P_k$ for some $j \neq k$, then A is not s-right primary.*

Definition 5. An irredundant representation $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ will be called a *short* representation if none of the intersections of two or more of the ideals Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n are s-right primary.

In view of Lemmas 1 and 2, an irredundant representation $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ is a short representation if and only if any two of the radicals of Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n are distinct.

Let M be a non-empty m -system in R . For any ideal A in R the right upper and lower isolated M -components of A (in the sense of [3]) will be denoted by $U(A, M)$ and $L(A, M)$, respectively. If P is a prime ideal ($\neq R$) and $M = C(P)$ is its complement in R then $U(A, M)$ will be denoted by $U(A, P)$.

Theorem 2. *Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be an irredundant representation of A , where Q_i is P_i -s-right primary ($1 \leq i \leq n$). If $M (\subseteq R)$ is a non-empty m -system which does not meet P_1, \dots, P_r but meets P_{r+1}, \dots, P_n , then $U(A, M) = L(A, M) = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$. If M meets every P_i then $U(A, M) = L(A, M) = R$.*

Proof. By the same argument as in Theorem 15 of [3], we can easily see that if M does not meet P_1, \dots, P_r but meets P_{r+1}, \dots, P_n then $U(A, M) = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$ and that if M meets every P_i then $U(A, M) = R$.

We assume first that M does not meet P_1, \dots, P_r but meets P_{r+1}, \dots, P_n . Let b be an element of $L(A, M)$. Then we have $bRm \subseteq A$ for some $m \in M$ and thus $bRm \subseteq Q_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). However, m is not in any P_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Hence $b \in Q_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and thus $L(A, M) \subseteq Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$. We shall prove now the converse inclusion. If $r = n$ then this is trivial by $A \subseteq L(A, M)$. In case $r < n$, since M meets P_j for $j > r$, it follows that M meets Q_j for $j > r$. Hence there exist m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-r} such that $m_i \in Q_{r+i} \cap M$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-r$). Now, since every m_i is in M , there exist $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-r-1}$ such that $m = m_1 x_1 m_2 x_2 \cdots x_{n-r-1} m_{n-r}$ is contained in M . Since it is clear that $m \in Q_{r+1} \cap Q_{r+2} \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$, $qRm \subseteq A$ for every element $q \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$. Thus q is in $L(A, M)$.

If M meets every P_i then the last part of the above proof shows that there is an element $m \in M$ such that $m \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n = A$. Hence $rRm \subseteq A$ for every $r \in R$, that is, $R = L(A, M)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be an irredundant representation of A , where Q_i is P_i -s-right primary ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then the minimal prime divisors of A are exactly those primes which are minimal in the set $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$.*

Proof. This is immediate.

Theorem 4. Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be a short representation of $A \subset R$, where Q_i is P_i -s-right primary ($1 \leq i \leq n$). A prime divisor $P (\neq R)$ of A is one of P_i if and only if every element of P is right non-prime to $U(A, P)$. The ring R is itself one of the P_i if and only if every element of R is right non-prime to A .

Proof. Let $P (\neq R)$ be a prime divisor of A . If P coincides with one of P_i , then by Theorem 2 $U(A, P) = Q_{i_1} \cap Q_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{i_r}$ is a short representation of $U(A, P)$, where $P_{i_1}, P_{i_2}, \dots, P_{i_r}$ are those primes among $\{P_i\}$ which are contained in P (and so P is maximal among them). Hence, by Theorem 1, every element of P is right non-prime to $U(A, P)$. Conversely, assume that every element of P is right non-prime to $U(A, P)$. By Theorem 3, P contains at least one of P_i . Suppose that P contains P_1, \dots, P_r but does not contain P_{r+1}, \dots, P_n . Then, again by Theorem 2, $U(A, P) = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$ is a short representation of $U(A, P)$. Hence, $P \subseteq P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \cdots \cup P_r$ by Theorem 1, and then by Theorem 5 of [1] there exists some i such that $P \subseteq P_i$, namely, $P = P_i$. The latter assertion is also an easy consequence of Theorem 5 of [1] and Theorem 1.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4, we obtain the following:

Theorem 5. Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n = Q'_1 \cap Q'_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q'_m$ be two short representations of A , where Q_i is P_i -s-right primary and Q'_j is P'_j -s-right primary. Then, $m = n$ and it is possible to number the components in such a way that $P_i = P'_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m = n$).

Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be a short representation of A , where Q_i is P_i -s-right primary ($1 \leq i \leq n$). These uniquely determined prime ideals P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n will be called the *prime ideals belonging to A* (cf. Theorem 5). A subset $\{P_{i_1}, P_{i_2}, \dots, P_{i_r}\}$ of these prime ideals is called an *isolated set of prime ideals belonging to A* if every P_j contained in one of the primes $P_{i_1}, P_{i_2}, \dots, P_{i_r}$ is necessarily a member of the subset.

Now, by Theorem 2, one will readily obtain the following:

Theorem 6. Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be a short representation of A , where Q_i is P_i -s-right primary ($1 \leq i \leq n$). If $\{P_{i_1}, P_{i_2}, \dots, P_{i_r}\}$ is an isolated set of prime ideals belonging to A then $Q_{i_1} \cap Q_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{i_r}$ depends only on $\{P_{i_1}, P_{i_2}, \dots, P_{i_r}\}$ and not on the particular short representation considered.

3. A necessary and sufficient condition that every ideal be represented as a finite intersection of s-right primary ideals.

Theorem 7. Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ be a short representation of $A \subset R$, where Q_i is P_i -s-right primary ($1 \leq i \leq n$). If P is a minimal prime

divisor of A then P is right non-prime to A .

Proof. By Theorem 3, we can assume that P is contained in $P_1, \dots, P_r (r \geq 1)$ but not contained in P_{r+1}, \dots, P_n . Then $R = Q_i P^{-k} = Q_i P^{-(k+1)}$ for a sufficiently large positive integer $k (1 \leq i \leq r)$. On the other hand, if $r+1 \leq j \leq n$ then $Q_j = Q_j P^{-h} = Q_j P^{-(h+1)}$ for every positive integer h . Thus $AP^{-k} = AP^{-(k+1)} = Q_{r+1} \cap Q_{r+2} \cap \dots \cap Q_n$. Since $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ is a short representation, we have $AP^{-k} \supset A$, and therefore $AP^{-1} \supset A$.

Lemma 3. *If Q is a P -s-right primary ideal then $B^{-1}Q$ is P -s-right primary for any ideal $B \not\subseteq Q$.*

Proof. Since $BR(B^{-1}Q) \subseteq Q$ and $B \not\subseteq Q$, we have $Q \subseteq B^{-1}Q \subseteq P$, and thus $r(B^{-1}Q) = P$. Suppose that $aRb \subseteq B^{-1}Q$ and $b \notin P$. Then we have $BRaRb \subseteq Q$. Hence, by the definition of s -right primary, $BRa \subseteq Q$, that is, $a \in B^{-1}Q$.

By the same arguments as in Theorems 4 and 6 of [4], we have the following two theorems.

Theorem 8. *Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ be a short representation of A . Then, for any ideal B there exists the right limit ideal of A by B , and the number of ideals which are obtained starting from A by repeating successively the procedure to make right limit ideals is finite and is uniquely determined by A .*

Theorem 9. *Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ be a short representation of $A \subset R$, where Q_i is P_i -s-right primary ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then, a prime divisor P of A is a prime ideal associated with A if and only if P coincides with one of P_i , and every primary component $Q_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ has the following property: $B^{-1}A$ is not P_i -s-right primary for any ideal B such that $B \subset Q_i$ and $B \not\subseteq A$.*

Corollary 1. *Let $A = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ be a short representation of $A \subset R$. If P is a minimal prime divisor of A then P is a prime ideal associated with A .*

Now, we can summarize the above-mentioned results as follows:

Theorem 10. *In order that every ideal in R be represented as the intersection of a finite number of s -right primary ideals, the following conditions are necessary:*

(A) *For any ideals A, B in R there exists the right limit ideal of A by B and there exist a finite number $n(A)$ of ideals which are obtained starting from A by repeating successively the procedure to make right limit ideals, where the number $n(A)$ is uniquely determined by A .*

(B) *Every ideal $A \subset R$ has a minimal prime divisor which is right non-prime to A .*

(C) *Every minimal prime divisor of an arbitrary not s -right primary*

ideal A is a prime ideal associated with A .

(D) If P is an arbitrary prime ideal associated with an ideal A then there exists an s -right primary ideal $Q \supseteq A$ belonging to P such that $B^{-1}A$ is not P - s -right primary for any subideal B of Q not contained in A .

Next, we shall show that these conditions are sufficient, too.

Lemma 4. Assume the conditions (A) and (B) in Theorem 10. If A is an ideal of R then $r(A)$ is nilpotent modulo A .

Proof. Let P be a minimal prime divisor of $A (\subset R)$ which is right non-prime to A . Then $A \subset AP^{-1} \subseteq Ar(A)^{-1}$. If $Ar(A)^{-1}$ is not R itself then we have $Ar(A)^{-1} \subset Ar(A)^{-1}r(Ar(A)^{-1})^{-1} \subseteq Ar(A)^{-2}$. Continuing in this way, we obtain the right limit ideal $Ar(A)^{-k}$ of A by $r(A)$. We have then $Ar(A)^{-k} = R$, whence it follows $r(A)^{2k+1} \subseteq A$.

By the same argument as in Lemma 4 of [4], we have the following:

Lemma 5. Assume the conditions (A), (B) and (C) in Theorem 10. Then the number of prime ideals associated with an ideal which is not s -right primary is finite.

We assume here the conditions (A), (B), (C) and (D) in Theorem 10. Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n be all the prime ideals associated with an ideal A which is not s -right primary, and let Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n be s -right primary divisor of A belonging to P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n with the property cited in (D), respectively (Lemma 5). We set $B = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$. By the condition (C), every minimal prime divisor of A is a prime ideal associated with A , and so $B \subseteq r(A)$. Since $r(A)$ is nilpotent modulo A by Lemma 4, we obtain $B^{-1}A \supset A$. We suppose now that $B \supset A$. If $B^{-1}A$ is not s -right primary then by the condition (C) we have an s -right primary ideal $C_0^{-1}B^{-1}A$ for some $C_0 \not\subseteq B^{-1}A$. So we set $C = BRC_0$. If $B^{-1}A$ is s -right primary, we set $C = B$. Thus, in either case, we have an s -right primary ideal $Q = C^{-1}A$, where $C \not\subseteq A$ and $C \subseteq B$. Since $r(Q)$ is a prime ideal associated with A , $r(Q) = P_i$ for some i . On the other hand, since $C \subseteq B \subseteq Q_i$, the ideal $Q = C^{-1}A$ is not P_i - s -right primary by the condition (D). This contradiction means $A = B$. Hence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 11. In order that every ideal in R be represented as the intersection of a finite number of s -right primary ideals, it is necessary and sufficient that the conditions (A), (B), (C) and (D) be satisfied.

4. Rings with maximum condition for ideals.

Throughout the present section, R be a ring with maximum condition for ideals. Then, needless to say, for any ideals A, B of R there exists the right limit ideal of A by B .

Lemma 6. Every ideal $A \subset R$ has a minimal prime divisor which is right non-prime to A .

Proof. One may assume that A is not prime. By Theorem 10 of [3], we have $P_1RP_2R \cdots RP_s \subseteq A$, where P_1, \dots, P_s are minimal prime divisors of A and $s > 1$. Hence we can assume that $P_1RP_2R \cdots RP_s \subseteq A$ and $P_1RP_2R \cdots RP_{s-1} \not\subseteq A$. If b is an arbitrary element of $P_1RP_2R \cdots RP_{s-1}$ not contained in A then $bRP_s \subseteq A$, and so P_s is right non-prime to A .

From the proof of Lemma 6, the following will be obvious.

Corollary 1. *If A is an ideal of R then $r(A)$ is nilpotent modulo A . In particular, every primary ideal of R is s -right primary.*

Lemma 7. *Assume the condition (C). If an ideal A is not right primary then the number of prime ideals associated with A is finite.*

Proof. Let $\{P_a\}$ be the set of all prime ideals associated with A , and let $Q_a = B_a^{-1}A$ ($B_a \not\subseteq A$) be a P_a -right primary ideal. The set $\{P_a\}$ is not empty by the condition (C). Let $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ be a subset in $\{P_a\}$ such that $P_i \not\subseteq P_j$ for every $i > j$. We define now the ideals B'_1, B'_2, \dots, B'_k in the following way: B'_1 is the right limit ideal of A by P_1 and B'_i is the right limit ideal of B'_{i-1} by P_i ($i=2, \dots, k$). Then, by the analogous argument as in Lemma 4 of [4], we have an ascending chain $A \subset B'_1 \subset B'_2 \subset \dots \subset B'_k$. From this fact, the lemma will be easily seen.

Now, by the validity of Lemmas 6, 7 and Corollary 1 to Lemma 6, the proof of the following theorem proceeds just like that of Theorem 11 did.

Theorem 12. *Let R be a ring with maximum condition for ideals. In order that every ideal in R can be represented as the intersection of a finite number of right primary ideals, it is necessary and sufficient that the conditions (C) and (D) be satisfied.*

REFERENCES

- [1] L. C. A. VAN LEEUWEN: On the zeroid radical of a ring, Nedl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc., A 62- Indag. Math., 21 (1959), 428—433.
- [2] N. H. MCCOY: Prime ideals in general rings, Amer. J. Math., 71 (1949), 823—833.
- [3] D. C. MURDOCH: Contributions to noncommutative ideal theory, Canadian J. Math., 4 (1952), 43—57.
- [4] H. TOMINAGA: On primary ideal decompositions in noncommutative rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 3 (1953), 39—46.

DEPARTMENT of MATHEMATICS,
YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY

(Received December 10, 1966)