

SPECTRAL THEORY OF OPERATOR ALGEBRAS II

MINORU TOMITA

This paper is a continuation of the preceding "Spectral Theory of Operator Algebras I" in this journal and consists of the latter three chapters.

Chapter 3. Extensions of Kaplansky Density Theorem and Gelfand-Naimark Representation Theory.

§ 1. An extension of Kaplansky Density Theorem.

(a). C^* -algebra in general Banach space.

In what follows we consider C^* -algebras whose underlying spaces are not generally Hilbert spaces. Then we first define a C^* -algebra in a general Banach space.

Definition 1.1. A topologico-algebraic homomorphism of a C^* -algebra A in an operator algebra in a certain Banach space \mathfrak{B} is said to be a *representation* of A in \mathfrak{B} .

An operator algebra A on a Banach space \mathfrak{B} is said to be a *C^* -algebra on \mathfrak{B}* if A is isometric and isomorphic to a C^* -algebra.

The above definition is compatible with the ordinary definition of C^* -algebra whenever the underlying Banach space \mathfrak{B} is a Hilbert space. In fact, let A be an operator algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} which contains the identity, where we do not need to assume that A is self-adjoint. If A is isometric and isomorphic to another C^* -algebra, then A is a uniformly closed self-adjoint algebra in the Hilbert space.

Proposition 1.1.¹⁾ *If a C^* -algebra A is represented in a Banach space \mathfrak{B} , then the representative algebra $A\mathfrak{B}$ is topologico-algebraically isomorphic to a C^* -algebra. If the representation $A \rightarrow A\mathfrak{B}$ satisfies $|A\mathfrak{B}| \leq |A|$, where $|A\mathfrak{B}|$ is the operator norm of $A\mathfrak{B}$ in \mathfrak{B} , then $A\mathfrak{B}$ is a C^* -algebra on \mathfrak{B} .*

To prove the proposition we prepare the next lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *Let A be an element of A , and put $B = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then two sequences $\{U_n\}$ and $\{V_n\}$ in A can be so chosen that $|U_n| \leq 1$, $|V_n| \leq 1$, $|U_n A - B| \rightarrow 0$ and $|V_n B - A| \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Assume that the uniform closure $\mathfrak{U}(A)$ of the set $(UA : U \in A$

1) Kaplansky 8).

and $|U| \leq 1$) does not contain \mathfrak{B} . Then a functional $f \in \mathbf{A}$ and a number $\delta > 0$ can be so chosen that $\Re f(B) + \delta \leq \Re f(UA)$ for every $U \in \mathbf{A}$ with $|U| \leq 1$. Consider a positive linear functional p on \mathbf{A} with $f \in L^2(p)$ and a partially isometric operator $U_0 \in \mathbf{A}_p''$ in $L^2(p)$ such that $B = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}} = U_0 A$. U_0 is contained in the strong closure of the unit ball of \mathbf{A}_p , and $f(B) = (Bf, p)_p = (U_0 A f, p)_p$ hold. It is incompatible with the above Klein-Smulian's inequality. Then $\mathfrak{U}(A)$ contains B . Similarly $\mathfrak{U}(B)$ contains A . *Q. E. D.*

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Let $A \rightarrow A\mathfrak{B}$ be the representation of \mathbf{A} in $\mathbf{A}\mathfrak{B}$. Then a number k can be so chosen as $|A\mathfrak{B}| \leq k|A|$. Consider an ideal $\mathbf{N} = (A \in \mathbf{A}; A\mathfrak{B} = 0)$ of \mathbf{A} . Then $|A\mathfrak{B}| \leq \inf_{B \in \mathbf{N}} k|A - B| = |A/\mathbf{N}|$. It is well-known that A/\mathbf{N} is a C^* -algebra. Then to prove the proposition it is sufficient to show the inequality $k|A\mathfrak{B}| \geq |A/\mathbf{N}|$. Let A be a fixed element of \mathbf{A} , and put $B = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Lemma 1.1 we have $k|B\mathfrak{B}| \leq |A\mathfrak{B}| \leq k^{-1}|B\mathfrak{B}|$, and $k = 1$ implies $|B\mathfrak{B}| = |A\mathfrak{B}|$. The smallest C^* -sub-algebra \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{A} which contains I and B is an abelian algebra. Let \mathcal{Q} denote the spectrum of the quotient algebra $\mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{R})$. \mathcal{Q} is the totality of maximal ideals of \mathbf{R} which contains $\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{R}$. And $|X/(\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{R})| = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}} |X/\lambda|$ holds for $X \in \mathbf{R}$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{R}$ is a normed algebra with the norm $\|X/\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{R}\| = |X\mathfrak{B}|$. By the Gelfand-Silov's Theorem we have

$$|X\mathfrak{B}| \geq |X\mathfrak{B}|^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow \sup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}} |X/\lambda| = |X/(\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{R})| \geq |X/\mathbf{N}|.$$

Thus we have $|A/\mathbf{N}| = |B\mathfrak{B}| \leq k|A\mathfrak{B}|$, from which the proposition follows.

(b). A generalization of the Kaplansky Density Theorem.

Consider a fixed C^* -algebra \mathbf{A} and its left ideal \mathbf{N} . The quotient space A/\mathbf{N} is a Banach space with the quotient norm $|A/\mathbf{N}| = \inf_{B \in \mathbf{N}} |A - B|$, and \mathbf{A} is represented as an operator algebra $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}$, so called the regular representation algebra of \mathbf{A} , in A/\mathbf{N} . The represented operator $A_{\mathbf{N}}$ of $A \in \mathbf{A}$ is an operator in A/\mathbf{N} with $A_{\mathbf{N}}x = AX/\mathbf{N}$, where $x = X/\mathbf{N} \in A/\mathbf{N}$. By Proposition 1.1 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}$ is a C^* -algebra on A/\mathbf{N} .

A/\mathbf{N} is a Banach space, and the strong topology is defined in the totality of bounded operators in it. Consider the strong closure \mathbf{H} of the totality of Hermitian elements in $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}$ and the set $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}^q = (X + iY: X, Y \in \mathbf{H})$ of bounded operators in A/\mathbf{N} . If $A = X + iY$ ($X, Y \in \mathbf{H}$) belongs to $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}^q$, the operator $A^* = X - iY$ is called the *adjoint* of A .

As we shall observe below, $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}^q$ is a C^* -algebra on A/\mathbf{N} . The Q^* -topology is defined as the self-adjoint strong topology in $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}^q$. A Q^* -neighbourhood (a quotient strong neighbourhood) of an $X \in \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}^q$ is a set

$$U(X: x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n: \varepsilon) = (Y \in \mathbf{A}_N^q: \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|(X - Y)x_i|, |(X^* - Y^*)x_i|) < \varepsilon),$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are a finite number of elements in \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N} and ε is a positive number. A Hausdorff topology of \mathbf{A}_N^q , whose open base is the totality of Q^* -neighbourhoods of elements of \mathbf{A}_N^q , is said to be the Q^* -topology (quotient strong topology) of \mathbf{A}_N^q divided by \mathbf{N} . And a Q^* -closed $*$ -sub-algebra of \mathbf{A}_N^q is said to be a Q^* -sub-algebra of \mathbf{A}_N^q .

An *urtra- Q^* -neighbourhood* (a quotient urtra-strong neighbourhood) of an $X \in \mathbf{A}_N^q$ is a set $U(X: \{x_i\}, \varepsilon) = (Y \in \mathbf{A}_N^q: \sup (|(X - Y)x_i|, |(X^* - Y^*)x_i|) < \varepsilon)$, where $\{x_i\}$ is a uniformly convergent sequence in \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N} and ε is a positive number. A Hausdorff topology of \mathbf{A}_N^q , whose open base in the totality of urtra- Q^* -neighbourhoods of elements of \mathbf{A}_N^q , is said to be an *urtra- Q^* -topology* (quotient urtra-strong topology) of \mathbf{A}_N^q divided by \mathbf{N} .

The next Theorem 9 is a generalized Kaplansky Density Theorem relative to these Q^* - and urtra- Q^* -topologies. The extension problem of v. Neumann Density Theorem shall be dealt with in the next chapter.

Theorem 9. (Generalized Kaplansky Density Theorem). *Let \mathbf{A} be a C^* -algebra, \mathbf{N} its left ideal and \mathbf{A}_N the representative algebra of \mathbf{A} in \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N} by the regular representation. Then \mathbf{A}_N^q is a C^* -algebra on \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N} , and*

- (1). *The Q^* -closure and the urtra- Q^* -closure of a C^* -sub-algebra of \mathbf{A}_N^q are an identical C^* -algebra.*
- (2). *Let \mathbf{R} be a C^* -subalgebra of \mathbf{A}_N^q and \mathbf{R}^q be its Q^* -closure. Then the urtra- Q^* -closure of the unit ball of \mathbf{R} is the unit ball of \mathbf{R}^q .*

To prove the theorem, we need to prepare four sub-lemmas. The totality $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$ of states on \mathbf{A} which vanish on \mathbf{N} is a regularly convex subset of the dual space $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ of \mathbf{A} , and we have $|\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N}| = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})} \|A_p\|_p$. If s is a state in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$ and t is a state in $L^2(s)$, then t belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$ (cf. Lemma 4.1, 4.2 in Chapter 2), and the left ideal $\mathbf{N}(s) = (A \in \mathbf{A}: s(A^*A) = 0)$ contains the ideal \mathbf{N} .

The dual space of \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N} is the totality $\Phi(\mathbf{N})$ of functionals $\in \mathbf{A}$ which vanish in \mathbf{N} . We define the product of $f \in \Phi(\mathbf{N})$ and $x \in \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N}$ as follows: Let $x = A/\mathbf{N}$ (where $A \in \mathbf{A}$). Then $xf = Af$. xf is uniquely determined because $x = A/\mathbf{N} = B/\mathbf{N}$ imply $Af = Bf$. If X is a bounded operator in \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N} and if $A \in \mathbf{A}$, then we define $X(A) = X(A/\mathbf{N})$.

Sub-lemma 1. *Let X be an operator in the unit ball of \mathbf{A}_N^q and p a state in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$. Then an operator X_p in $L^2(p)$ is determined in such a way that $X_p A_p = X(A)p$ ($A \in \mathbf{A}$) and belongs to \mathbf{A}_p'' .*

Proof. First we assume that p is a finite dimensional state in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$. \mathbf{A}_p' is a finite dimensional algebra. By Lemma 4.2 in Chapter 1 E_p is a finite dimensional projection, and p is a linear sum of finite number of pure states in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$.

By Proposition 2.1 in Chapter 2, E_p is a regular projection in \mathbf{A}_p'' and $A/\mathbf{N}(p) \leftrightarrow Ap$ is an isomorphism between $\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N}(p)$ and $L^2(p)$. Hence, given any $x \in L^2(p)$, we can choose an $A \in \mathbf{A}$ with $x = Ap$.

By the assumption, X belongs to the unit ball of \mathbf{A}_N^q . Then $|X(A)| \leq |A/\mathbf{N}|$. Since \mathbf{A}_N^q is contained in the strong closure of the regular representation \mathbf{A}_N of \mathbf{A} , $A \in \mathbf{N}(p)$ implies $|X(A)| \in \mathbf{N}(p)/\mathbf{N}$ and $|X(A)/\mathbf{N}(p)| \leq |A/\mathbf{N}(p)|$. A bounded operator X_p in $\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{N}(p)$ is so chosen as $X_p(A/\mathbf{N}(p)) = X(A)/\mathbf{N}(p)$, and its operator norm is ≤ 1 . By the isomorphism between $L^2(p)$ and $A/\mathbf{N}(p)$, X_p is regarded as a bounded operator in $L^2(p)$ with $X(A)p = X_p Ap$ (for every $A \in \mathbf{A}$) and belongs to \mathbf{A}_p'' . In fact, given any $A_1 p, A_2 p, \dots, A_n p \in L^2(p)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose a $B \in \mathbf{A}$ with $|(X(A_i) - B(A_i))/\mathbf{N}| < \varepsilon$. Then $\|(X_p - B)A_i p\|_p < \varepsilon$, and X_p belongs to the strong closure of \mathbf{A}_p .

We shall show that the norm of X_p , as an element of \mathbf{A}_p'' , is ≤ 1 .

The state p is extended to that of \mathbf{A}_p'' with $p(A) = (Ap, p)$ (for $A \in \mathbf{A}_p''$). Consider a left ideal $\mathbf{N}''(p) = (X \in \mathbf{A}_p'' : p(X^* X) = 0)$ of the algebra \mathbf{A}_p'' . The algebra \mathbf{A}_p'' is faithfully represented on an operator algebra on $\mathbf{A}_p''/\mathbf{N}''(p)$ by the regular representation. The operator norm of $A \in \mathbf{A}_p''$ and the norm of X_p in $L^2(p)$ are the norms as operators in $\mathbf{A}_p''/\mathbf{N}''(p)$. Since $\mathbf{A}_p''/\mathbf{N}''(p) = \mathbf{A}_p/\mathbf{N}(p)$ holds, the norm of X_p , as an element of \mathbf{A}_p'' , is that of X_p as an operator in $\mathbf{A}_p/\mathbf{N}(p)$ and satisfies $|X_p| \leq 1$.

We shall now define the operator $X_p \in \mathbf{A}_p''$ for every state p in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$. If p is of finite dimensional, then, as we already observed, X_p belongs to \mathbf{A}_p'' and $|X_p| \leq 1$ holds. Notice that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$ contains finite dimensional states everywhere dense in it. Then we obtain

$$p(X(A)^* X(A)) \leq p(A^* A)$$

and

$$X(A)p(B^*) = (X(A)p, Bp)_p = (Ap, X^*(B)p)_p = X^*(B)p(A)$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$ and $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$. Now X_p is an operator in $L^2(p)$ such that $|X_p| \leq 1$ and $X_p(Ap) = X(A)p$ for $A \in \mathbf{A}$. Then it is sufficient to show the relation $X_p \in \mathbf{A}_p''$. Consider a state p in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$ and a definite Hermitian operator $K \in \mathbf{A}_p'$ with $Kp(I) = 1$. Then $q = Kp$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{N})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(X_p(KAp), Bp)_p &= (KAp, X^*(B)p)_p \\
&= (Aq, X^*(B)q)_q = (X(A)q, Bq)_q \\
&= (KX_p(Ap), Bp)_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $X_pK = KX_p$ and $X_p \in A_p''$.

Sub-lemma 2. A_N^q is a C^* -algebra in A/N .

Proof. Consider a product space $\mathfrak{H} = \sum \bigoplus_{p \in S(N)} L^2(p)$. Each $X \in A_N^q$ is represented as an operator $\sum \bigoplus X_p$ on \mathfrak{H} , where $|X| = \sup_{p \in S(N)} |X_p|$ is the operator norm of $\sum \bigoplus X_p$ and the representation $X \rightarrow \sum \bigoplus X_p$ is isometric. Then A_N^q is a C^* -algebra.

Sub-lemma 3. Let R be a C^* -sub-algebra of A_N^q , R^q its Q^* -closure and X an Hermitian element in the unit ball of R^q . Then X belongs to the Q^* -closure of the unit ball of R^q .

Proof. R^q is a C^* -algebra, and the smallest C^* -subalgebra of R which contains X and I is abelian. Then $Y = X(I + (I - X^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}$ is an Hermitian element in the unit ball of R^q , and $X = 2Y(I + Y^2)^{-1}$ holds. If B is an Hermitian element of R , $C = 2B(I + B^2)^{-1}$ belongs to the unit ball of R and we have

$$X - C = 2C(B - Y)X + 2(I + B^2)^{-1}(Y - B)(I + Y^2)^{-1}$$

Notice that $|C| \leq 1$ and $|(I + B^2)^{-1}| \leq 1$. Then when B converges to Y in the Q^* -topology, C converges to X and X belongs to the Q^* -closure of the unit ball of R^q .

Sub-lemma 4. Consider a C^* -sub algebra R of A_N^q , and for each state p in $S(N)$ let R_p denote the representative algebra $(X_p: X \in R)$ of R in $L^2(p)$. If X is an element of A_N^q with $|X| \leq 1$ and each X_p belongs to the strong closure R_p'' of R_p , then X belongs to the ultra- Q^* -closure of the unit ball of R .

Proof. The totality \mathfrak{C} of uniformly convergent sequences in A/N is a Banach space, where the norm of $x = \{x_i\} \in \mathfrak{C}$ is $|x| = \sup |x_i|$. If $x = \{x_n\}$ is an element of \mathfrak{C} , we put $x_\infty = \lim x_n$. The dual Banach space $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}$ of \mathfrak{C} is determined as follows: Consider a sequence $\{f_n\} (n = \infty, 1, 2, \dots)$ of elements in the dual space $\Phi(N)$ of A/N with $\sum |f_i| < \infty$. Then $f(x) = \sum f_i(x_i) + f_\infty(x_\infty)$ is a bounded linear functional on \mathfrak{C} . $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}$ is the totality of these functionals, and the norm of $f \in \overline{\mathfrak{C}}$ is $|f| = |f_\infty| + \sum |f_i|$.

Consider the product space $\mathfrak{C}^2 = \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}$ and its dual space $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}^2 = \overline{\mathfrak{C}} \times \overline{\mathfrak{C}}$, where the norm of $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{C}^2$ is $\max(|x|, |y|)$ and the norm of $(f, g) \in \overline{\mathfrak{C}}^2$ is $|f| + |g|$. Consider moreover the unit ball $U(R)$ of R and a fixed

element $x = \{x_i\}$ of \mathfrak{C} . Then (Xx, X^*x) ($Xx = \{Xx_i\}$, $X^*x = \{X^*x_i\}$) is an element of \mathfrak{C}^2 , and $\mathfrak{B} = (Bx, B^*x) : B \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R})$ is a convex sub-set of \mathfrak{C}^2 .

Now the sub-lemma is reduced to prove that (Xx, X^*x) belongs to the uniform closure, or rather, the weak closure of \mathfrak{B} in \mathfrak{C}^2 . Then it is sufficient to show that, for any given (f, g) (where $f = \{f_i\}$, $g = \{g_i\}$ ($i = \infty, 1, 2, \dots$)) in $\overline{\mathfrak{C}^2}$ and for any given positive number ε , a $B \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R})$ can be so chosen that $|f(Xx - Bx)| < \varepsilon$ and $|g(X^*x - B^*x)| < \varepsilon$.

The absolute variations $p_i = f_i^{*v}$ and $q_i = g_i^{*v}$ of f_i^* and g_i^* belong to $\Phi(\mathbb{N})$ and satisfy :

$$|f| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} |f_i| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} p_i(I) < \infty, \quad |g| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} |g_i| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} q_i(I) < \infty.$$

Then

$$p = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} \alpha(p_i + q_i), \quad (\text{where } \alpha^{-1} = |f| + |g|)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{N})$. Choose definite self-adjoint operators K_i and L_i in \mathbf{A}_p' with $p_i = K_i^2 p$ and $q_i = L_i^2 p$, and choose partially isometric operators U_i and V_i in \mathbf{A}_p'' such that

$$f_i^* = U_i p_i = U_i K_i^2 p, \quad g_i^* = V_i q_i = V_i L_i^2 p$$

respectively. Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} (\|K_i p\|_p^2 + \|L_i p\|_p^2) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} (p_i(I) + q_i(I)) = |f| + |g| < \infty,$$

and for every $B \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(Xx - Bx)| &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} |((X_p - B_p)A_i p, U_i K_i p)_p| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} \|K_i p\|_p^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} \|(X_p - B_p)A_i K_i p\|_p^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ |g(X^*x - B^*x)| &\leq \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} \|L_i p\|_p^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} \|(X_p^* - B_p^*)A_i L_i p\|_p^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of the sub-lemma, X_p belongs to \mathbf{R}_p'' and consequently to the urtra-strong closure of the unit ball of \mathbf{R}_p . If we choose a suitable $B \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R})$, $|f(Xx - Bx)|$ and $|g(X^*x - B^*x)|$ are smaller than the given $\varepsilon > 0$, and (Xx, X^*x) belongs to the uniform closure of \mathfrak{B} .

Proof of Theorem 8. Let \mathfrak{U}_1 denote the urtra- Q^* -closure of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R})$, \mathfrak{U}_2 the totality of $X \in \mathbf{A}_N^q$ with $|X| \leq 1$ and $X_p \in \mathbf{R}_p''$, \mathfrak{U}_3 the unit ball of the Q^* -closure \mathbf{R}^q of \mathbb{R} , \mathfrak{U}_4 the Q^* -closure of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R})$ and \mathfrak{U}_5 the unit ball of the urtra Q^* -closure of \mathbb{R} . $\mathfrak{U}_3, \mathfrak{U}_4$ and \mathfrak{U}_5 contain \mathfrak{U}_1 and are contained in \mathfrak{U}_2 respectively. By Sub-lemma 2, \mathfrak{U}_2 is contained in \mathfrak{U}_1 . Then they are identical with each other. Q. E. D.

We shall now observe some examples of Q^* -topologies.

Example (1). Let A be a C^* -algebra and N the 0-ideal. Then the Q^* -topology divided by N is the uniform topology of A in the ordinary sense.

(2). Let N be a maximal left ideal of A . Then the quotient space A/N is the Hilbert space $L^2(p)$, where p is a suitable pure state of A . The Q^* -topology divided by N is the self-adjoint strong topology in the total operator algebra on $L^2(p)$.

(3). Let A be a C^* -algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , B the total operator algebra on \mathfrak{H} , E a certain one-dimensional projection in \mathfrak{H} and $N(E)$ a left ideal $N(E) = \{A \in B : AE = 0\}$ of B . The quotient space $B/N(E)$ is the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and the Q^* -closure of A is the W^* -closure of A in the ordinary sense.

(4). Consider a C^* -algebra A in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . Let R be a C^* -algebra which contains A and a projection E and $N(E)$ be a left ideal $N(E) = \{A \in R : AE = 0\}$ of R . The Algebra B^q is regarded as a C^* -algebra in the space \mathfrak{H} (See the next sub-section (c)) and the Q^* -topology of B^q is weaker than the uniform topology but stronger than the self-adjoint strong topology. Let A_0 and A'' denote the uniform and the strong closures of the algebra A . Then $A_0 \subseteq A^q \subseteq A''$.

Roughly speaking, these examples show that a Q^* -topology has an intermediate strength between the uniform topology and the self-adjoint strong topology.

(c). Quotient space of a C^* -algebra divided by a projection.

Consider a C^* -algebra A in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , a projection E in \mathfrak{H} and the uniform closure A/E of the set $\{AE : A \in A\}$. A/E is said to be the *quotient space* of A divided by E .

A is represented in an operator algebra in A/E . The represented operator of $A \in A$ in $A/E : X \in A/E \rightarrow AX \in A/E$ is said to be the *regular representation* of A . The represented operator algebra of A in A/E is a C^* -algebra on A/E .

Lemma 1. 1. *Consider a C^* -algebra A in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and a projection E in \mathfrak{H} . Let \mathfrak{H}_0 denote the subspace of \mathfrak{H} generated by E . (Namely, \mathfrak{H}_0 is the smallest uniformly closed linear set which contains the set $\{AEx : A \in A, x \in \mathfrak{H}\}$). Then the representative algebra of A in A/E is the induced algebra A_E of A in \mathfrak{H}_0 .*

Consider the totality $B(A/E)$ of bounded operators X in the space \mathfrak{H}_0 such that $B \in A/E$ implies $XB, X^*B \in A/E$. $B(A/E)$ is a C^* -algebra and contains the regular representation A_E of A in A/E . Now the Q^* -topology is defined in $B(A/E)$.

A Q^* -neighbourhood of an $A \in B(A/E)$ is a set

$$\begin{aligned}
& U(A: X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; \varepsilon) \\
& = (B \in \mathbf{B}(A/E) : \max (|(A-B)X_i|, |(A^*-B^*)X_i|) < \varepsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

where X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are a finite number of elements in A/E and ε is a positive number. A Hausdorff topology of $\mathbf{B}(A/E)$ whose open base is the totality of Q^* -neighbourhoods of elements of A/E is said to be the Q^* -topology of $\mathbf{B}(A/E)$ divided by E .

An ultra- Q^* -neighbourhood of an $A \in \mathbf{B}(A/E)$ is a set

$$\begin{aligned}
& U(A: \{X_n\}, \varepsilon) \\
& = (B \in \mathbf{B}(A/E) : \sup_i (|(A-B)X_i|, |(A^*-B^*)X_i|) < \varepsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{X_n\}$ is a uniformly convergent sequence in A/E and ε is a positive number. A Hausdorff topology of $\mathbf{B}(A/E)$ whose open base is the totality of ultra- Q^* -neighbourhoods of elements of $\mathbf{B}(A/E)$ is said to be the ultra- Q^* -topology of $\mathbf{B}(A/E)$ divided by E .

Lemma 1. 2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , E a projection in \mathfrak{H} and $A \cup E$ the smallest C^* -algebra which contains A and E . Consider a left ideal $N(E) = (A \in A \cup E : AE = 0)$ of $A \cup E$, the algebra $B = \mathbf{B}(A/E)$ and a left ideal $N_B(E) = (A \in B \cup E : AE = 0)$ of $B \cup E$. Then*

$$(A \cup E)/E = (B \cup E)/E = (A \cup E)/N(E) = (B \cup E)/N_B(E).$$

Proof. $B \cup E$ is the smallest uniformly closed linear set which contains those operators $Y = B_1EB_2E \dots B_{n-1}EB_n$ with $B_i \in B$. $B \in B$ implies $BE \in A/E$, and $Y \in B \cup E$ implies $YE \in (A \cup E)/E$. Then $(B \cup E)/E = (A \cup E)/E$. On the other hand $A \cup E$ contains the projection E . Then

$$|A/N(E)| = \inf_{B \in \mathbf{B}(A/E)} |A-B| = |AE| \quad (\text{for every } A \in A \cup E).$$

Identifying $A/N(E)$ with AE , we have $(A \cup E)/N(E) = (A \cup E)/E$ and similarly $(B \cup E)/N_B(E) = (B \cup E)/E$. Q. E. D.

The algebra $B = \mathbf{B}(A/E)$ has two kinds of underlying Banach spaces. One is $B/E = A/E$, another is $(B \cup E)/N_B(E) = (A \cup E)/E$ and then two Q^* -topologies are defined in B . The Q^* -topology of B as an operator algebra on A/E is said to be an E - Q^* -topology, and the Q^* -topology of B as an operator algebra on $(A \cup E)/E = (B \cup E)/N_B(E)$ is said to be an $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -topology.

Proposition 1. 2. (a). *Consider a C^* -algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and a projection E in \mathfrak{H} . Then the algebra $B = \mathbf{B}(A/E)$ is $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -closed as an operator algebra in $(A \cup E)/E = (B \cup E)/N_B(E)$.*

(b). *If R is a $*$ -sub-algebra of B , then E - Q^* -closure of R is identical with the $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -closure of R .*

Proof. (a). It is sufficient to show that, if A is an operator in the $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -closure of B with $|A| \leq 1$, then $A \in B$.

If A is such an operator, A is an operator in the space $(A \cup E)/E$ and belongs to the $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -closure of the unit ball $U(B)$ of B . Then, given any $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \in A \cup E$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, an operator $B \in U(B)$ can be so chosen as $|(A-B)X_i E| < \varepsilon$.

$U(B)$ is a set of bounded operators in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . Its weak closure is weakly compact and contains A . Then A is a bounded operator in \mathfrak{H} and belongs to $B(A/E) = B$.

(b). $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -topology (the Q^* -topology of B as an operator algebra in $(A \cup E)/E$) is clearly stronger than the E - Q^* -topology of B . Then, to prove (b), it is sufficient to show that, if K is an Hermitian element in the unit ball of the E - Q^* -closure Q of the given C^* -sub-algebra R , then it belongs to the $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -closure of R .

Let K be such an Hermitian element in the unit ball of Q . Q^* is a C^* -algebra, and an Hermitian element T in Q is so chosen that $K = 2T(I + T^2)^{-1}$. Then $T = K(I - (I - K^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}$ belongs to the unit ball of Q . Consider a filter of Hermitian elements A in R which converges to T in the E - Q^* -topology. Then $B = 2A(I + A^2)^{-1}$ converges to K because $|(I + A^2)^{-1}| \leq 1$, $|B| \leq 1$ and

$$K - B = 2(I + A^2)^{-1}(T - A)(I + T^2) + 2B(A - T)K.$$

Since $|B| \leq 1$, K belongs to the E - Q^* -closure of the unit ball of R . Let $U(K; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \varepsilon)$ be any $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -neighbourhood of K , where X_i are elements of $(A \cup E)/E$ and ε is a positive number. For each $X_i \in (A \cup E)/E$, a Y_i with $|X_i - Y_i| < \varepsilon/3$ can be so chosen that Y_i is a sum of finite number of operators $B_1 E B_2 E \dots B_{n-1} E B_n E$ with $B_i E \in A/E$. K belongs to the E - Q^* -closure of the unit ball $U(R)$ of R , and an operator B in $U(R)$ can be so chosen that $|(B - K)Y_i| < \varepsilon/3 (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Then

$$|(K - B)X_i| \leq (|K| + |B|)|X_i - Y_i| + |(K - B)Y_i| < \varepsilon$$

and $B \in U(K; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \varepsilon)$. Hence K belongs to the $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -closure of R . Q. E. D.

By Proposition 1.2, the Kaplansky Density Theorem is preserved in any subalgebra of $B(A/E)$.

Theorem 10. Consider a C^* -algebra A in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , a projection E in \mathfrak{H} , and a C^* -sub-algebra R of $B(A/E)$. Then the urtra E - Q^* -closure of the unit ball of R is the unit ball of the E - Q^* -closure R^a of R .

The unit ball of the E - Q^* -closure ($N_B(E)$ - Q^* -closure) of R is the urtra- E - Q^* -closure of the unit ball of R .

The urtra- E - Q^* -topology is weaker than the urtra- $N_B(E)$ - Q^* -topology

but stronger than the E - Q^* -topology. Then the theorem follows.

§ 2. Abelian representations of C^* -algebras.

Consider a C^* -algebra A in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . A projection E is said abelian¹⁾ relative to A if the reduced algebra EAE is abelian.

Definition 2. 1. A representation of A in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} with a fixed generative abelian projection E is said an *abelian representation* of A .

If $A \rightarrow A_\lambda$ is an abelian representation of A whose representative algebra is A_λ and the related abelian projection is E , then we call it an abelian representation $(A_\lambda, E): A \rightarrow A_\lambda$.

A compoundly cyclic representation of a C^* -algebra is an abelian representation (cf. Lemma 4. 9 in Chapter 1), and there is a one-one correspondence between the totality of distributions in the total state space \mathcal{S} and the totality of compoundly cyclic representations up to the unitary equivalence. We shall assert that there is a one-one correspondence between the totality of compact subset of \mathcal{S} and the totality of abelian representations up to the algebraic equivalence²⁾.

(a). Continuous vector fields in a compact set of states.

We use the notations and terms in Chapter 2.

Definition 2. 2. A vector field x in a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on A is said to be *continuous* on \mathcal{W} if it is a weakly continuous A -valued function on \mathcal{W} such that its norm function $\|x_\omega\|_\omega$ of the variable ω is bounded and continuous in \mathcal{W} .

If x is a vector field in \mathcal{W} , we define its norm by $\|x\|_{\mathcal{W}} = \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}} \|x_\omega\|_\omega$. Notice that A, C , and K are C^* -algebras of operator fields in \mathcal{S} . Then $A_{\mathcal{W}}, C_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $K_{\mathcal{W}}$ denote the restrictions of those algebras A, C and K in the space \mathcal{W} respectively.

Theorem 11. Let \mathcal{W} be a compact set of states on A . If x and y are continuous vector fields, then $x + y$ is continuous. The totality $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ of continuous vector fields on \mathcal{W} is a Banach space relative to the norm $\|x\|_{\mathcal{W}}$. It contains the set $(K_\omega : K \in K)$ uniformly dense everywhere.

Proof. Consider the smallest uniformly closed linear set \mathfrak{C} of vector fields on \mathcal{W} which contains $(fA_\omega : f \in C, A \in A)$ relative to the norm $\|x\|_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then \mathfrak{C} contains $(K_\omega : K \in K)$ everywhere dense in it, and every field in \mathfrak{C} is continuous. Hence it is sufficient to observe that \mathfrak{C} contains $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

1) Def. 2.3 in Chapter 2.

2) Def. 2.3 in this Chapter.

Consider a fixed continuous vector field x in \mathcal{W} , a positive number ε and a distribution μ in the set \mathcal{W} . The coordinate field ω is cyclic in $L^2(\mu)$ relative to the algebra \mathbf{K} (cf. Proposition 1.2 in Chapter 2), and $y = \sum f_i A_i \omega$ (, where $f_i \in \mathbf{C}$, $A_i \in \mathbf{A}$) can be so chosen that

$$\|x - y\|_{\mu}^2 = \int \|x_{\omega} - y_{\omega}\|_{\omega}^2 d\mu(\omega) < \varepsilon^2.$$

A numerical function of the variable ω :

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{\omega} - y_{\omega}\|_{\omega}^2 &= \|x_{\omega}\|_{\omega}^2 - 2 \Re(\sum f_i(\omega)x_{\omega}(A_i^*)) \\ &\quad + \sum_i \sum_j f_i(\omega)f_j(\omega)\omega(A_j^* A_i) \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous function in \mathcal{W} . The set $\mathfrak{B} = (\|x_{\omega} - y_{\omega}\|_{\omega}^2 : y \in \mathfrak{E})$ is a subset of the totality $\mathbf{C}\mathcal{W}$ of continuous functions on \mathcal{W} . The weak closure of \mathfrak{B} and consequently the uniform convex span of \mathfrak{B} in $\mathbf{C}\mathcal{W}$ contain the function 0 because the dual space of $\mathbf{C}\mathcal{W}$ is linearly spanned by distributions in \mathcal{W} .

Given any positive number ε , we can choose $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in \mathfrak{E}$ and numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \geq 0$ with

$$\sum a_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum a_i \|x_{\omega} - y_{i\omega}\|_{\omega}^2 < \varepsilon^2.$$

Using the Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{\omega} - (\sum a_i y_{i\omega})\|_{\omega}^2 &\leq (\sum a_i \|x_{\omega} - y_{i\omega}\|_{\omega})^2 \\ &\leq (\sum (a_i^{\frac{1}{2}})^2) (\sum a_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \|x_{\omega} - y_{i\omega}\|_{\omega})^2 \\ &\leq \sum a_i \|x - y_i\|^2 < \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then x belongs to \mathfrak{E} , and we have $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} , a distribution μ on \mathcal{W} and a summable and regularly weakly measurable field t^* on \mathcal{W} . t^* satisfies $\int \|t^*_{\omega}\|_{\omega} d\mu(\omega) < \infty$ and is weakly continuous removing an open set of any small mass from \mathcal{W} . Then

$$t(x) = \int (x_{\omega}, t^*_{\omega}) d\mu(\omega) = (x, t^*)_{\mu}$$

is a bounded linear functional on $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$. The functional t is denoted by

$$t = \int t_{\omega} d\mu(\omega).$$

Theorem 12. Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} and the Banach space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ of vector fields on \mathcal{W} . Then every bounded linear functional t in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a weak integral

$$t = \int t_\omega d\mu(\omega),$$

where μ is a distribution in \mathcal{W} , t^* is a summable and regularly and weakly measurable field in \mathcal{W} with $t^*_\omega \neq 0$ ($\omega \in \mathcal{W}$) and

$$|t|_{\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}} = \int \|t^*_\omega\|_\omega d\mu(\omega)$$

is the functional-norm of t .

The distribution μ and the field t^* are essentially uniquely determined up to those equivalent weak integral representations

$$t = \int s_\omega d\nu(\omega),$$

where $d\mu(\omega) = \varphi(\omega)d\nu(\omega)$, $s_\omega = \varphi(\omega)t_\omega$ and $\varphi > 0$.

Proof. Consider a functional f on $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$ such that there is at least a distribution μ in \mathcal{W} with

$$|f(x)| \leq \int \|x_\omega\|_\omega d\mu(\omega) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}).$$

The totality \mathfrak{C} of such functionals f on $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$ consists of a bounded regularly convex subset of the unit ball \mathfrak{U} of the dual space of $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$. Consider a state $\lambda \in \mathcal{W}$, a functional $y \in L^2(\lambda)$ with $\|y\|_\lambda \leq 1$, and the functional y^* in $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$ with $y^*(x) = (x_\lambda, y)_\lambda$ ($x \in \mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$). Then \mathfrak{U} is the smallest regularly convex set in the dual space of $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$ which contains all those functionals ($y^* : \|y\|_\lambda = 1, \lambda \in \mathcal{W}$). Let δ_λ be the point mass distribution on \mathcal{W} which distributes its total mass 1 at $\lambda \in \mathcal{W}$. Then we have

$$|y^*(x)| \leq \int \|x_\omega\|_\omega d\delta_\lambda(\omega),$$

and y^* belongs to \mathfrak{C} . Now we have $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$, and every functional f in the unit ball \mathfrak{U} of $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$ has at least one distribution μ in \mathcal{W} such that

$$|t(x)| \leq \int \|x_\omega\|_\omega d\mu(\omega) \leq \left(\int \|x_\omega\|_\omega^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We consider a functional t on $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$ with the functional norm 1 and a distribution μ on \mathcal{W} which satisfies the above inequality. Then a vector field t^* in $L^2(\mu)$ can be so chosen that

$$t(x) = (x, t^*)_\mu = \int (x_\omega, t^*_\omega)_\omega d\mu(\omega).$$

t^* is regularly and weakly measurable, and

$$\begin{aligned} |t(fx)| &= \left| \int f(\omega) (x_\omega, t^*_\omega)_\omega d\mu(\omega) \right| \\ &\leq \int |f(\omega)| \|x_\omega\|_\omega d\mu(\omega) \quad (\text{for every } f \in \mathbf{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $|(x_\omega, t^*_\omega)_\omega| \leq \|x_\omega\|_\omega$ ($x \in \mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$) and $\|t^*_\omega\|_\omega \leq 1$ almost everywhere.

On the other hand, the functional norm of f is 1, and

$$\begin{aligned} |t(x)| &= \left| \int (x_\omega, t^*_\omega)_\omega d\mu(\omega) \right| \\ &\leq \int \|x_\omega\|_\omega \|t^*_\omega\|_\omega d\mu(\omega) \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{W}} \int \|t^*_\omega\|_\omega d\mu(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\int \|t^*_\omega\|_\omega d\mu(\omega) \geq 1$ and $\|t^*_\omega\|_\omega = 1$ almost everywhere.

The uniqueness of the integral representation is shown as follows.

Let μ be a distribution in \mathcal{W} and t^*_ω be a summable and regularly and weakly measurable vector field in \mathcal{W} such that $t(x) = \int (x_\omega, t^*_\omega)_\omega d\mu(\omega)$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\|t^*_\omega\|_\omega$ is a constant in the carrier of μ . Then t^* belongs to $L^2(\mu)$ and

$$(K\omega, t^*)_\mu = \int (K\omega, t^*_\omega)_\omega d\mu(\omega) = 0 \text{ for every } K \in \mathbb{K}.$$

By Proposition 1.3 in Chapter 2, t^* vanishes almost everywhere. Hence if we assume $\|t^*_\omega\|_\omega = \text{const}$ almost everywhere, the distribution μ and the field t^* are essentially uniquely determined.

Proposition 2.1. *Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of compact states and a convex subset \mathfrak{X} of $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$. A continuous field x belongs to the uniform closure of \mathfrak{X} if and only if x belongs to each uniform closure of \mathfrak{X} in $L^2(\mu)$ such that μ is a distribution in \mathcal{W} whenever we regard \mathfrak{X} as a subset of $L^2(\mu)$.*

Proof. Assume that x does not belong to the uniform closure of \mathfrak{X} . Then a functional t in $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$ and a positive number δ can be so chosen as

$$t(x) \geq \delta + t(y) \quad (\text{for every } y \in \mathfrak{X}).$$

t is a weak integral $t(x) = \int (x_\omega, t^*_\omega)_\omega d\mu(\omega)$, where t^* belongs to $L^2(\mu)$ and satisfies $\|t^*_\omega\|_\omega = \text{const}$. almost everywhere. $(x, t^*)_\mu \geq \delta + (y, t^*)_\mu$ implies that x does not belong to the uniform closure of \mathfrak{X} in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu)$. Thus the proposition follows.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{W} be compact spaces of states such that $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, \mathfrak{M} a closed linear subspace of $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{W}$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}$ imply $fx \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ the totality of restrictions of fields in \mathfrak{M} as being in \mathcal{U} . Then $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{U}$.*

1) Def. 1.2, Chap. 2.

Proof. The set $\mathfrak{N} = (x \in \mathfrak{M} : x_\omega = 0 \text{ for } \omega \in \mathcal{U})$ is a closed linear subspace of \mathfrak{M} , and we have

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{U}} = \|x/\mathfrak{N}\|_{\mathcal{W}} = \inf_{y \in \mathfrak{N}} \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{W}}.$$

In fact, if x is a field in \mathfrak{M} and f is a function \mathcal{W} such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega) &= 0 \text{ if } \|x_\omega\|_\omega \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{U}} \\ f(\omega) &= 1 - \|x\|_{\mathcal{U}} / \|x_\omega\|_\omega \text{ for } \|x_\omega\|_\omega > \|x\|_{\mathcal{U}}. \end{aligned}$$

then $fx \in \mathfrak{N}$, $1 \geq f(\omega) \geq 0$, and

$$\|x/\mathfrak{N}\|_{\mathcal{W}} \leq \|x - fx\|_{\mathcal{W}} \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Hence

$$\|x/\mathfrak{N}\|_{\mathcal{W}} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

$\mathfrak{M}\mathcal{U}$ is therefore isometric and isomorphic to the quotient Banach space $\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{N}$. Then it is closed in $\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{U}$. D. E. D.

Now the next Lebesgue Extension Theorem of continuous fields follows.

Theorem 13. *Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{W} be compact sets of states on \mathbf{A} with $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Then every continuous field f in \mathcal{U} is extended to a continuous field in \mathcal{W} with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{U}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{W}}$.*

For each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ and each $x_0 \in L^2(\omega)$ there exists a continuous field x in \mathcal{W} with $x_\omega = x_0$ and $\|x\|_{\mathcal{W}} = \|x_0\|_\omega$.

The reduced set $(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})_{\mathcal{U}}$ of $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ in \mathcal{U} contains $(X_\omega : X \in \mathbf{K})$ and is closed in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then we have $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{U}} = (\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{U}})_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{W} be a compact set of states and \mathfrak{M} be a closed linear set of continuous fields on \mathcal{W} such that $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}$ imply $fx \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then \mathfrak{M} contains every continuous field x in \mathcal{W} such that $x_\omega \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega = (y_\omega : y \in \mathfrak{M})$ for each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. Let x be a continuous field in \mathcal{W} with $x_\omega \in \mathfrak{M}_\omega (\omega \in \mathcal{W})$. To see $x \in \mathfrak{M}$, it is sufficient to show that x belongs to the uniform closure of \mathfrak{M} in the space $L^2(\mu)$ whenever μ is a distribution in \mathcal{W} . Let μ be any distribution in \mathcal{W} and E the projection in $L^2(\mu)$ whose range is the uniform closure of \mathfrak{M} in $L^2(\mu)$. Then $z = x - Ex$ is orthogonal to the space \mathfrak{M} and $z_\omega = x_\omega - (Ex)_\omega$ belongs to \mathfrak{M}_ω almost everywhere (\mathfrak{M}_ω is closed). Now for every $y \in \mathfrak{M}$ and every $f \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $fy \in \mathfrak{M}$ and

$$(fy, z)_\mu = \int f(\omega)(y_\omega, z_\omega)_\omega d\mu(\omega) = 0$$

for each fixed $z \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then $(y_\omega, z_\omega)_\omega$ vanishes almost everywhere. Since z is weakly continuous removing an open set of any small open mass from \mathcal{W} and z_ω is orthogonal to \mathfrak{M}_ω almost everywhere, we have $z = 0$. Hence

x belongs to the uniform closure of \mathfrak{M} in $L^2(\mu)$.

(b). **Abelian representation of C^* -algebra and an extension of the Gelfand-Naimark Theorem.**

Definition 2.3. Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} . An operator field X on \mathcal{W} (Definition 1.1 in Chapter 2) is said to be *continuous* if X and its adjoint field X^* are bounded operators in the space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

The reduced algebras $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{W}}$, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}}$ of \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{K} in the space \mathcal{W} are C^* -algebras of continuous operator fields.

Lemma 2.2. *The totality of continuous operator fields in \mathcal{W} is a C^* -algebra in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$, and the norm of a continuous operator field X is $\|X\|_{\mathcal{W}} = \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}} \|X_{\omega}\|_{\omega}$.*

Proof. Let k denote the operator norm of X as an operator in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then $k \leq \|X\|_{\mathcal{W}}$ follows from $\|Xx\|_{\mathcal{W}} \leq \|X\|_{\mathcal{W}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{W}}$ ($x \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$).

On the other hand, given any $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ and any $x_0 \in L^2(\omega)$ with $\|x_0\|_{\omega} = 1$, a continuous field x in \mathcal{W} can be so chosen that $\|x\|_{\mathcal{W}} = \|x_0\|_{\omega} = 1$ and $x_{\omega} = x_0$. Then $k \geq \|Xx\|_{\mathcal{W}} \geq \|X_{\omega}x_0\|_{\omega}$ and $k \geq \|X_{\omega}\|$ for every $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$. Hence $k \geq \sup \|X_{\omega}\| = \|X\|_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} and the coordinate projection field $P^{(1)}$ on \mathcal{W} . Then $(\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{W}}, P)$ determines an abelian representation of \mathbf{A} . (Namely, $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{W}} \cup P$ is regarded as a suitable C^* -algebra in a Hilbert space and P is a generative abelian projection relative to \mathbf{A}).*

$\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the carrier algebra²⁾ of P , and the primitive operator $J_A^{(3)}$ of $A \in \mathbf{A}$ is the primitive function¹⁾ $J_A(\omega) = \omega(A)$ of A in \mathcal{W} .

Proof. P is an operator field in \mathcal{W} with $P_{\omega}x = (x_{\omega}, \omega)_{\omega}$ ($x \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$). Then

$$(PAP)_{\omega} = (A\omega, \omega) P_{\omega} = J_A(\omega)P_{\omega}$$

and $PAP = J_A P$. P is therefore abelian, and J_A is the primitive operator of A . Notice that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{W}}$ (the totality of continuous functions on \mathcal{W}) is the smallest C^* -algebra which contains those primitive functions ($J_A: A \in \mathbf{A}$). Then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the carrier algebra of P in the sense of Definition 4.6 in Chapter 1.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{W} be a compact set of states on \mathbf{A} . Then*

$$X_{\omega} \leftrightarrow XP \quad (X \in \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{W}} \cup P)$$

determines an isometric isomorphism between the space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and the

1) Definition 1.1 in Chapter 2.

2) and 3). Definition 4.6 in Chapter 1.

4) Definition 1.1 in Chapter 2.

quotient space $(\mathbf{A}^{\mathcal{W}} \cup P)/P$.

Proof. $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the uniform closure of $(X\omega : X \in \mathbf{A} \cup P)$, and every $X \in \mathbf{A} \cup P$ satisfies $(PX^*XP)_{\omega} = (X^*X\omega, \omega)_{\omega}P$. Then

$$\|XP\|_{\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}}^2 = \|PX^*XP\|_{\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}} = \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}} (X^*X\omega, \omega) = \|X\omega\|_{\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}}^2,$$

and $X\omega \leftrightarrow XP$ is an isometry between $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $(\mathbf{A} \cup P)/P$.

Definition 2.4. Consider two abelian representations $(\mathbf{A}_{\lambda}, E) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\lambda}$, and $(\mathbf{A}_{\nu}, F) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\nu}$ of \mathbf{A} . These two representations are said to be *algebraically equivalent* if the correspondences

$$A_{\lambda} \leftrightarrow A_{\nu} \text{ (for } A \in \mathbf{A}) \text{ and } E \leftrightarrow F$$

determine an algebraic isomorphism between algebras $\mathbf{A}_{\lambda} \cup E$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\nu} \cup F$.

Theorem 15. *If $(\mathbf{A}_{\lambda}, E) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\lambda}$ is an abelian representation of \mathbf{A} , then it is algebraically equivalent to a representation $(\mathbf{A}^{\mathcal{W}}, P)$ whose \mathcal{W} is a suitable compact set of states on \mathbf{A} . The space \mathcal{W} is uniquely determined and is the spectrum of the carrier algebra \mathbf{C}_E of E . The algebraic equivalence determines an isometric isomorphism between these spaces $(\mathbf{A} \cup E)/E$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.*

Proof. Consider the carrier algebra \mathbf{C}_E and the algebra $\mathbf{K}_E = \mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{C}_E$. If $A \in \mathbf{A}$, then its primitive operator J_A belongs to \mathbf{C}_E and satisfies $J_A E = E A E$. \mathbf{C}_E is the smallest C^* -algebra which contains all those J_A . Consider the spectrum \mathcal{W} of \mathbf{C}_E and let ω_E denote a state on \mathbf{A} with $\omega_E(A) = \omega(J_A)$ for each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$. Notice that any two points in \mathcal{W} are separated by a suitable J_A , then the weakly continuous mapping $\omega \in \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \omega_E$ is a homeomorphism. Identify each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ with the state ω_E on \mathbf{A} , then \mathcal{W} is a compact set of states on \mathbf{A} , and the carrier algebra \mathbf{C}_E is represented as the totality $\mathbf{C}^{\mathcal{W}}$ of continuous functions on \mathcal{W} .

If X is an operator $X = \sum A_i F_i$ with $A_i \in \mathbf{A}$ and $F_i \in \mathbf{C}_E = \mathbf{C}^{\mathcal{W}}$, then we denote by $X\omega$ a field in \mathcal{W} with

$$X\omega = \sum F_i(\omega) A_i \omega.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|XE\|^2 &= \|EX^*XE\| = \|(\sum F_j^* F_j J_{A_j^* A_j})E\| \\ &= \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}} (X\omega, X\omega) = \|X\omega\|_{\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The correspondence $XE \leftrightarrow X\omega$ is extended to an isometry between the quotient spaces \mathbf{K}_E/E and $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}} = \mathbf{K}^{\mathcal{W}}/P$. The isometry determines a spatial isomorphism between algebras \mathbf{K}_E and $\mathbf{K}^{\mathcal{W}}$. Then the remainder of the theorem is reduced to prove the next lemma.

Lemma. *Consider two abelian representations $(\mathbf{A}_{\lambda}, E) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\lambda}$*

and $(A_\nu, F): A \rightarrow A_\nu$. Assume that the correspondences $A_\lambda \leftrightarrow A_\nu$, $J_{A_\lambda} \leftrightarrow J_{A_\nu}$ are extended to an algebraic isomorphism $X_\lambda \leftrightarrow X_\nu$ between algebras K_E and K_F , and assume $|X_\lambda E| = |X_\nu F|$ for every $X \in K_E$. Then these two representations are algebraically equivalent.

Proof of the lemma. The algebra $K_E \cup E$ is the uniform closure of the set $(X_0 + X_1 E + E X_2: X_i \in K_E)$. Then $(K_E \cup E)/E = K_E/E = (A_E \cup E)/E$ and $(K_F \cup F)/F = K_F/F = (A_F \cup F)/F$.

Consider two elements:

$W_\lambda = X_\lambda + Y_\lambda E + E Z_\lambda \in K_E \cup E$ and $W_\nu = X_\nu + Y_\nu E + E Z_\nu \in K_F \cup F$ such that $X_\lambda, Y_\lambda, Z_\lambda \in K_E$ and $X_\nu, Y_\nu, Z_\nu \in K_F$. Then

$$W_\lambda E \in K_E/E, \quad W_\nu F \in K_F/F \text{ and } |W_\lambda E| = |W_\nu F|.$$

The isometry $W_\lambda E \leftrightarrow W_\nu F$ ($W_\lambda \in K_E \cup E$) determines a spatial isomorphism between algebras $K_E \cup E$ and $K_F \cup F$ as operator algebras on K_E/E and K_F/F . The same spatial isomorphism determines the isomorphism between their subalgebras $A_\lambda \cup E$ and $A_\nu \cup F$. The lemma and the theorem are thus proved.

Corollary 1. Consider an abelian representation $(A_\lambda, E): A \rightarrow A_\lambda$ of A and the spectrum \mathcal{W} of the carrier algebra C_E .

If \mathcal{U} is a compact subset of \mathcal{W} , then $N(\mathcal{U}) = (X \in A_\lambda \cup E: \omega(J_{X^* X}) = 0 \text{ for every } \omega \in \mathcal{U})$ is a left ideal of $A_\lambda \cup E$ which contains the left ideal $N(E) = (X \in A_\lambda \cup E: XE = 0)$.

Conversely, any left ideal N of $A_\lambda \cup E$ which contains $N(E)$ is a left ideal $N = N(\mathcal{U})$, where \mathcal{U} is a suitable compact subset of \mathcal{W} .

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that the given abelian representation is $(A_{\mathcal{W}}, P)$ and E is the projection field P . Let N be a left ideal of $A_{\mathcal{W}} \cup P$ which contains $N(P) = (X \in A_{\mathcal{W}} \cup P: AP = 0)$. The reduced algebra $PA_{\mathcal{W}}P$ is a subalgebra of $A_{\mathcal{W}} \cup P$, and $N \cap PA_{\mathcal{W}}P$ is an ideal of $PA_{\mathcal{W}}P$. The induction $f \in C_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow fP \in PA_{\mathcal{W}}P$ is an isomorphism between $C_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $PA_{\mathcal{W}}P$. Then $\mathfrak{N} = (f \in C_{\mathcal{W}}: fP \in N \cap PA_{\mathcal{W}}P)$ is an ideal of $C_{\mathcal{W}}$. Consider a compact subset

$$\mathcal{U} = (\omega \in \mathcal{W}: f(\omega) = 0 \text{ for } f \in \mathfrak{N})$$

of \mathcal{W} . Then $f \in C_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $X \in A_{\mathcal{W}} \cup P$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N} &= (f \in C_{\mathcal{W}}: f(\omega) = 0 \text{ for } \omega \in \mathcal{U}), \\ |f/\mathfrak{N}| &= \inf_{g \in \mathfrak{N}} \|f - g\| = \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{U}} |f(\omega)| = \|f|_{\mathcal{U}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|X/N|^2 = \inf_{Y \in N} \|(X - Y)P\|^2 = \inf_{Y \in N} \|P(X^* - Y^*)(X - Y)P\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \inf_{Z \in N} |PX^*XP - PZ| = |PX^*XP / (N \cap PA_{\mathcal{W}}P)| \\ &= \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{U}} (X^*X\omega, \omega)_{\omega} = \|X\omega\|_{\mathcal{U}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Couversely

$$\begin{aligned} \|X\omega\|_{\mathcal{U}} &= \inf (\|(1 - f(\omega))X\omega\|_{\mathcal{W}} : 0 \leq f \leq 1, f \in C_{\mathcal{W}} \text{ and} \\ &\quad f(\omega) = 0 \text{ for } \omega \in \mathcal{U}) \\ &= \inf (|(I - F)XP| : 0 \leq FP \leq I, FP \in N \cup PA_{\mathcal{W}}P) \\ &\geq \inf_{Y \in N} |X - Y| = |X/N|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$|X/N(\mathcal{U})| = \|X\omega\|_{\mathcal{U}} = |X/N| \text{ (for } X \in A_{\mathcal{U}} \cup P)$$

and $N(\mathcal{U}) = N$. Q. E. D.

When the algebra A is an abelian C^* -algebra, the identity I is an abelian projection. Apply the corollary to the algebra $A \cup I = A$ and the ideal $N(I) = \{0\}$. Then we obtain the well-known correspondence between ideals of A and closed subspaces of the spectrum of A .

§ 3. Non-commutative extension of the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem.

If a property of an abelian representation of A is invariant under the algebraic equivalence, then the property may be characterized as a property of the corresponding compact set of states on A .

Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of pure states on A . If the algebra A is abelian, then for any continuous function f on \mathcal{W} , we can choose an element A of A with $f(\omega) = \omega(A)$ on \mathcal{W} .

Definition 3.1. A compact set \mathcal{W} of pure states on a C^* -algebra A is said to be a *subspectrum* if every continuous vector field x on \mathcal{W} is a uniform limit of a sequence of fields $A_n\omega$ with $A_n \in A$.

If the algebra is non-commutative, a compact set of pure states on A is not generally a subspectrum.

Definition 3.2. A compact set \mathcal{W} of states on A is said to be a *prespectrum* if the totality $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{W}}$ of continuous fields on \mathcal{W} is the uniform closure of $(A\omega : A \in A)$.

In Theorem 23 in Chapter 4 we shall assert that every compact set of pure traces is a prespectrum. This result may be a sort of non-commutative extension of the Gelfand-Stone-Weierstrass Theorem. We now observe some elementary properties of prespectrums and subspectrums.

Lemma 3.1. *A compact set of states on A is a prespectrum if and only if, for any given positive number ε and any (numerical) continuous*

function f on \mathcal{W} , we can choose an $A \in \mathbf{A}$ such that

$$\|f(\omega) - A\omega\|_{\omega}^2 = |f(\omega)|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(f(\omega)\omega(A^*)) + \omega(A^*A) < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 14. (1). *Every distribution in a subspectrum is a spectral distribution. Conversely, if \mathcal{W} is a compact set of states and if every distribution in \mathcal{W} is spectral, then \mathcal{W} is a subspectrum.*

(2). *Every distribution in a prespectrum is a prespectral distribution. Conversely, if \mathcal{W} is a compact set of states and if every distribution in \mathcal{W} is prespectral, then \mathcal{W} is a prespectrum.*

Proof. We shall first prove (2). Consider a prespectrum \mathcal{W} . Then for every distribution μ in \mathcal{W} , the set $(A\omega : A \in \mathbf{A})$ is dense everywhere in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu)$ and μ is a prespectral distribution (cf. Lemma 1.8 in Chapter 2).

Conversely, assume that every distribution μ on \mathcal{W} is prespectral. Then for every distribution μ in \mathcal{W} the set $(A\omega : A \in \mathbf{A})$ is uniformly dense everywhere in $L^2(\mu)$. By Proposition 2.1 $(A\omega : A \in \mathbf{A})$ is uniformly dense everywhere in $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{W}}$, and \mathcal{W} is a prespectrum.

Proof of (1). If \mathcal{W} is a subspectrum, every state in \mathcal{W} is pure and every distribution in \mathcal{W} is spectral. Conversely, assume that every distribution μ in \mathcal{W} is spectral. Then every point-mass distribution δ_λ in \mathcal{W} is spectral and every point in \mathcal{W} is a pure state.

Theorem 15. *When \mathbf{A} is a uniformly separable C^* -algebra, the carrier of a spectral distribution on the total state space \mathcal{S} is a subspectrum removing an open set of any small mass from it.*

Proof. Consider a fixed spectral distribution μ on \mathcal{S} . If x is a field in $L^2(\mu)$, a sequence $A_n \in \mathbf{A}$ can be so chosen as $\int \|A_n\omega - x_\omega\|_{\omega}^2 d\mu(\omega) < 2^{-n}$. Removing an open set of any small mass from \mathcal{S} , the sequence of numerical functions $\|A_n\omega - x_\omega\|_{\omega}$ of the variable ω tends to 0 uniformly. Then

$$\|A_n\omega - x\|_{\mathcal{W}-\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Notice that the space $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is uniformly separable and contains a countable subset $\{x_n\}$ which is dense everywhere in $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{W}}$. Removing an open set \mathcal{U} of any small mass from \mathcal{W} , $x_n (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ belong to the uniform closure of the set $(A\omega : A \in \mathbf{A})$. Then $\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{U}$ is a prespectrum. Every state in the carrier of \mathcal{W} is pure almost everywhere. Hence the theorem follows.

Chapter 4. Commutator Theory of Q^* -algebra and the v. Neumann's Density Theorem.

§ 1. Q^* -topologies in the algebra of continuous operator fields.

(a). C^* -algebra on the Banach space of continuous vector fields.

Consider an abelian representation $(A_\lambda, E): A \rightarrow A_\lambda$ of A in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . The algebra $B((A_\lambda \cup E)/E)$ is the totality of bounded operators X in \mathfrak{H} such that X and X^* are both bounded operators in the space $(A_\lambda \cup E)/E$. We shall first observe that the algebra $B(A_\lambda \cup E)/E$ is invariant under the algebraic equivalence of the representation.

Definition 1.1. Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on A . A bounded operator X on the Banach space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is said to be *adjointable* if there exists another bounded operator X^* (the adjoint of X) in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$, which satisfies

$$((Xx)_\omega, y_\omega) = (x_\omega, (X^*y)_\omega) \quad (\text{where } x, y \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}, \omega \in \mathcal{W}).$$

We denote by $B(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$ the totality of adjointable operators in the space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Proposition 1.1. Consider an abelian representation $(A_\lambda, E): A \rightarrow A_\lambda$ of A in a Hilbert space and the corresponding compact space \mathcal{W} of states on A . Then the representation is algebraically equivalent to the representation $(A_{\mathcal{W}}, P)$ and the algebraic equivalence determines an isometry between $(A \cup E)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

The isometry between $(A \cup E)/E$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ determines a spatial isomorphism between algebras $B((A \cup E)/E)$ and $B(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$.

To prove the proposition we need to prepare some sub-lemmas.

*Sub-lemma 1.*¹⁾ A C^* -algebra A is linearly spanned by its unitary element.

In fact, if A is an Hermitian element in A with $|A| \leq 1$, then

$$A = \frac{U + U^*}{2}, \quad U = A + i(I - A^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad U^* = A - i(I - A^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where U and U^* are unitary elements in A .

Sub-lemma 2. $B(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$ is a C^* -algebra.

Proof. It is sufficient to show $|X^*X| = |X|^2$ ($X \in B(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$), where $|X|$ denotes the operator norm of X in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |((X^*x)_\omega, y_\omega)| &= |(x_\omega, (Xy)_\omega)| \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{W}} \|Xy\|_{\mathcal{W}} \\ &\leq |X| \|x\|_{\mathcal{W}} \|y\|_{\mathcal{W}}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $y = X^*x$. Then

$$\|X^*x\|_{\mathcal{W}}^2 \leq |X| \|x\|_{\mathcal{W}} \|X^*x\|_{\mathcal{W}},$$

and

1) Dixmier (1).

$$|X^*| \leq |X|, \quad |X| = |X^{**}| \leq |X^*| \text{ and } |X| = |X^*|.$$

Now

$$\|Xx\|_{\omega}^2 = |((X^*Xx)_{\omega}, x_{\omega})_{\omega}| \leq \|X^*Xx\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

and

$$\|Xx\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \|X^*X\| \|x\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

Then

$$|X|^2 \leq |X^*X|$$

and

$$|X|^2 = |X^*X|.$$

$\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{H}})$ is a *-Banach algebra with the identity and with the norm condition $|X|^2 = |X^*X|$. Then it is a C*-algebra.

Proof of the proposition. Two abelian representations (A_{λ}, E) and $(A_{\mathcal{H}}, P)$ are algebraically equivalent. Then $A_{\lambda} \cup E$ and $A_{\mathcal{H}} \cup P$ are algebraically isomorphic. We consider these two algebras to be the same algebra. Therefore $A_{\mathcal{H}} \cup P$ is an operator algebra in a suitable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , and P is a generative abelian projection in \mathfrak{H} . Let A be any bounded operator in \mathfrak{H} such that $X \in (A_{\mathcal{H}} \cup P)/P$ implies $AX, A^*X \in (A_{\mathcal{H}} \cup P)/P$. If $x, y \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then $X, Y \in (A_{\mathcal{H}} \cup P)/P$ can be so chosen that

$$x = X\omega, \quad y = Y\omega, \quad (Y^*X)\omega = (x_{\omega}, y_{\omega})_{\omega}.$$

Define $(AX)_{\omega} = Ax$. Since $Y^*(AX) = (A^*Y)^*X$ and

$$((AX)_{\omega}, y_{\omega}) = (x_{\omega}, (A^*y)_{\omega}) \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{H}).$$

A is an adjointable operator in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Conversely, let A be any adjointable operator in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then A is considered to be a bounded operator in $(A_{\mathcal{H}} \cup P)/P$ with

$$Y^*(AX) = (A^*Y)^*X$$

for every $X, Y \in (A_{\mathcal{H}} \cup P)/P$.

Consider an unitary element U in $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{H}})$. Then $U^*U = UU^* = I$ and

$$(U(XP))^*(U(YP)) = (XP)^*(YP)$$

hold for every $X, Y \in (A_{\mathcal{H}} \cup P)$.

Consider $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \in A_{\mathcal{H}} \cup P$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_i U(X_i P)x_i \right\|^2 &= \sum_{i,j} ((U(X_j P))^*(U(X_i P))x_i, x_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} ((X_j P)^*(X_i P)x_i, x_j) \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i,j} X_i P x_i \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

P is generative in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , and \mathfrak{H} is the smallest uniformly closed linear set which contains $(APx : A \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{W}}, x \in \mathfrak{H})$. Then an isometric operator U in \mathfrak{H} is so determined that

$$(U(XP))x = U(XPx) \text{ (where } X \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{W}} \cap P, x \in \mathfrak{H}\text{)}.$$

Since $U^*U = UU^* = I$, U is an unitary operator in \mathfrak{H} and belongs to $\mathbf{B}((\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{W}} \cup P)/P)$. Q. E. D.

(b). **C^* -algebra of operator fields and its commutators.**

In the algebra $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$ of adjointable operators in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$, the Q^* - and ultra- Q^* -topologies are defined as in (c) of § 1.

Definition 1.2. A Q^* -neighbourhood $U(X : x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n ; \varepsilon)$ of an adjointable operator X in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a set $(Y \in \mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}) : \max(\|(X - Y)x_i\|_{\mathcal{W}}, \|(X^* - Y^*)x_i\|_{\mathcal{W}}) < \varepsilon)$, where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are elements in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and ε is a positive number. The Hausdorff topology of $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$ which is determined by these Q^* -neighbourhoods of elements of $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$ is said to be the Q^* -topology of $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$.

An ultra- Q^* -neighbourhood $U(X : \{x_i\}, \varepsilon)$ of an adjointable operator X in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a set $(Y \in \mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}) : \sup(\|(X - Y)x_i\|_{\mathcal{W}}, \|(X^* - Y^*)x_i\|_{\mathcal{W}}) < \varepsilon)$, where $\{x_i\}$ is a uniformly convergent sequence in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and ε is a positive number.

A Q^* -closed $*$ -algebra of adjointable operators in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is said to be a Q^* -algebra in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$. The Q^* -closure of a $*$ -algebra \mathbf{R} in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is denoted by \mathbf{R}^q .

Definition 1.3. If \mathbf{R} is a C^* -algebra of adjointable operators in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$, then the totality of adjointable operators in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ which commutes with every element of \mathbf{R} is denoted by \mathbf{R}' and said to be the commutator of \mathbf{R} . \mathbf{R}' is a Q^* -algebra.

Lemma 1.1. *The totality of continuous operator fields on \mathcal{W} is a C^* -algebra of adjointable operators in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and is the commutator $\mathbf{C}'_{\mathcal{W}}$ of the algebra $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{W}}$ (the totality of continuous functions on \mathcal{W}).*

Proof. A continuous operator field is adjointable in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and belongs to $\mathbf{C}'_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then it is sufficient to show that every adjointable operator in $\mathbf{C}'_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a continuous operator field.

If X is an adjointable operator in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ which commutes with $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{W}}$, then

$$f(\omega)(Xx)_{\omega} = (Xfx)_{\omega} \quad (f \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{W}}, \text{ and } x \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$$

and

$$\sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}} |f(\omega)| \|(Xx)_{\omega}\|_{\omega} \leq |X| \|fx\|_{\mathcal{W}},$$

where $|X|$ is the operator norm of X in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Put

$$f_n(\omega) = \min(n, \|x_\omega\|_\omega^{-1}) \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{W}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n x\|_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}} &\leq 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ |f_n(\omega)| \| (Xx)_\omega \|_\omega &\leq |X| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\| (Xx)_\omega \| \leq |X| \|x_\omega\|_\omega \quad (x \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}} \text{ and } \omega \in \mathcal{W}).$$

$x_\omega = y_\omega(x, y \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}})$ implies $(Xx)_\omega = (Xy)_\omega$. Then for each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ a bounded operator X_ω in $L^2(\omega)$ with $|X_\omega| \leq |X|$ is determined in such a way that

$$(Xx)_\omega = X_\omega x_\omega \quad (x \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}}).$$

Then X is a continuous operator field in \mathcal{W} . Q. E. D.

By Theorem 10 the Kaplansky Density Theorem holds in every C^* -algebra of adjointable operators in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}}$. The remained problem is the extension of the v. Neumann Density Theorem which involves us in the study of the commutator theory of operator algebras in the Banach space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}}$. In what follows we shall devote ourselves to study the following two questions.

Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} and a C^* -algebra \mathbf{R} of continuous operator fields on \mathcal{W} with $C_{\mathcal{W}'} \supseteq \mathbf{R} \supseteq C_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then its commutator \mathbf{R}' is a Q^* -algebra of continuous operator fields on \mathcal{W} with $C_{\mathcal{W}'} \supseteq \mathbf{R}' \supseteq C_{\mathcal{W}}$.

- (1). If μ is a distribution in \mathcal{W} , is the W^* -closures of \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{R}' (regarding as operator algebras) in $L^2(\mu)$ a commutator pair?
- (2). Is the bicommutator \mathbf{R}'' of \mathbf{R} the Q^* -closure \mathbf{R}^a of \mathbf{R} ?

Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} and a C^* -algebra \mathbf{R} of continuous operator fields in \mathcal{W} . For each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$, we denote by \mathbf{R}_ω the C^* -algebra $\mathbf{R}_\omega = (\mathbf{A}; \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{R})$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\omega)$.

If μ is a distribution in \mathcal{W} , then we denote by \mathbf{R}_μ the representative algebra of \mathbf{R} in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu)$.

Theorem 15. Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} and a C^* -algebra \mathbf{R} of continuous operator fields on \mathcal{W} with $C_{\mathcal{W}'} \supseteq \mathbf{R} \supseteq C_{\mathcal{W}}$. Assume that for each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ W^* -algebras $(\mathbf{R}_\omega)''$ and $(\mathbf{R}'_\omega)''$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\omega)$ are a commutator pair. Then given any distribution μ in \mathcal{W} , the W^* -algebras $(\mathbf{R}_\mu)''$ and $(\mathbf{R}'_\mu)''$ on the space $L^2(\mu)$ are a commutator pair.

To prove the theorem we prepare two sub-lemmas.

Sub-lemma 1. Consider a C^* -algebra \mathbf{R} of continuous operator fields as in Theorem 15 and a closed linear subset \mathfrak{M} of $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}}$ which is invariant under the algebra \mathbf{R} . For each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$, let $[\mathfrak{M}_\omega]_\omega$ denote the uniform closure of the set $\mathfrak{M}_\omega = (x_\omega : x \in \mathfrak{M})$ and E_ω the projection in $L^2(\omega)$ whose range is $[\mathfrak{M}_\omega]_\omega$. Then the projection field E is a measurable operator field in $L^2(\mu)$ (cf. Def. 1.4 in Chapter 2), and it is a projection in $L^2(\mu)$

whose range is the uniform closure $[\mathfrak{M}]_\mu$ of \mathfrak{M} in $L^2(\mu)$.

Proof of the sub-lemma. It is sufficient to show the following

- (1). If $x \in [\mathfrak{M}]_\mu$, then $E_\omega x_\omega = x_\omega$ ($\omega \in \mathcal{W}$) almost everywhere.
- (2). If x is a field in $L^2(\mu)$ which is orthogonal to $[\mathfrak{M}]_\mu$, then $E_\omega x_\omega = 0$ ($\omega \in \mathcal{W}$) almost everywhere.

By Lemma 1.4 in Chapter 2, the set $(x \in L^2(\mu) : x_\omega \in [\mathfrak{M}_\omega]_\omega)$ is a uniformly closed linear subspace of $L^2(\mu)$ and contains \mathfrak{M} . Then it contains $[\mathfrak{M}]_\mu$ and hence (1) follows.

Next, let x be a field in $L^2(\mu)$ which is orthogonal to \mathfrak{M} . Then

$$(x, fz)_\mu = \int f(\omega)(x_\omega, z_\omega)d_\mu(\omega) = 0,$$

where $z \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $f \in C^{\mathcal{W}}$. Now (x_ω, z_ω) vanishes almost everywhere for each fixed $z \in \mathfrak{M}$. x_ω is regularly and weakly measurable, and removing an open set of any small mass, it is weakly continuous. Then x_ω is orthogonal to $[\mathfrak{M}_\omega]_\omega$ almost everywhere and hence (2) follows.

Sub-lemma 2. Consider the compact space \mathcal{W} and the algebra \mathbf{R} as in Theorem 15 and Sub-lemma 1. If \mathfrak{M} is a closed linear subspace of $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ which is invariant under \mathbf{R} , we denote by $E\mathfrak{M}$ the projection field in \mathcal{W} such that each value $(E\mathfrak{M})_\omega$ is a projection in $L^2(\omega)$ with the range $[\mathfrak{M}_\omega]_\omega$. If μ is a distribution in \mathcal{W} , then the commutor $(\mathbf{R}_\mu)'$ of \mathbf{R}_μ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu)$ is the smallest W^* -algebra which contains all those projections $E\mathfrak{M}$.

Proof. For each $x \in L^2(\mu)$ we consider the cyclic projection $E_x^{\mathbf{R}}$. It is a projection in $L^2(\mu)$ whose range is the uniform closure $[\mathbf{R}x]_\mu$ of the set $(Ax : A \in \mathbf{R})$. The algebra $(\mathbf{R}_\mu)'$ becomes the smallest W^* -algebra in $L^2(\mu)$ which contains all those projections $(E_x^{\mathbf{R}} : x \in L^2(\mu))$. Then it is sufficient to show that each $E_x^{\mathbf{R}}(x \in L^2(\mu))$ is a strong limit of a sequence of projection fields $E_{y_n}^{\mathbf{R}}(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ with $y_n \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$. Consider a fixed $x \in L^2(\mu)$. x is regularly and weakly measurable. Then it is continuous removing an open set of any small mass from \mathcal{W} . Now given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a compact subset \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{W} with $\mu(\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{U}) < \varepsilon$ such that x is continuous in \mathcal{U} . By the Lebesgue extension theorem (Theorem 13), this restricted field in \mathcal{U} is extended to a continuous field y in \mathcal{W} and, when the mass $\mu(\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{U})$ tends to 0, the projection $E_y^{\mathbf{R}}$ tends to $E_x^{\mathbf{R}}$ strongly. Hence the smallest W^* -algebra which contains $(E_y^{\mathbf{R}} : y \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}})$ contains $(E_x^{\mathbf{R}} : x \in L^2(\mu))$ and its W^* -envelop $(\mathbf{R}_\mu)'$.

Proof of Theorem 15. Consider a fixed distribution μ in \mathcal{W} , and two closed linear subspaces \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{N} in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ which are invariant under \mathbf{R} and its commutor \mathbf{R}' respectively.

Projection fields $E_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $E_{\mathfrak{N}}$ are measurable operator fields in $L^2(\mu)$ and belong to $(\mathbf{R}_\mu)'$ and $(\mathbf{R}'_\mu)'$ respectively. The values $(E_{\mathfrak{M}})_\omega$ and $(E_{\mathfrak{N}})_\omega$ of $E_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $E_{\mathfrak{N}}$ at $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ are projections in $L^2(\omega)$ whose ranges are $[\mathfrak{M}_\omega]_\omega$ and $[\mathfrak{N}_\omega]_\omega$ respectively. Then we have $(E_{\mathfrak{M}})_\omega \in (\mathbf{R}_\omega)'$ and $(E_{\mathfrak{N}})_\omega \in (\mathbf{R}'_\omega)'$.

By the assumption in the theorem every pair of W^* -algebras $(\mathbf{R}_\omega)''$ and $(\mathbf{R}'_\omega)''$ are a commutor pair in $L^2(\mu)$. Then $(E_{\mathfrak{M}})_\omega \in (\mathbf{R}_\omega)' = (\mathbf{R}'_\omega)''$, $(E_{\mathfrak{N}})_\omega \in (\mathbf{R}'_\omega)' = (\mathbf{R}_\omega)''$, $(E_{\mathfrak{M}})_\omega (E_{\mathfrak{N}})_\omega = (E_{\mathfrak{N}})_\omega (E_{\mathfrak{M}})_\omega$ and $E_{\mathfrak{M}} E_{\mathfrak{N}} = E_{\mathfrak{N}} E_{\mathfrak{M}}$. By Sub-lemma 2, the algebra $(\mathbf{R}_\mu)'$ is spanned by the projections $E_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Similarly the algebra $(\mathbf{R}'_\mu)'$ is spanned by $E_{\mathfrak{N}}$ and hence $(\mathbf{R}_\mu)''$ and $(\mathbf{R}'_\mu)''$ are a commutor pair in $L^2(\mu)$.

By Theorem 15 we obtain an extension of the v. Neumann's Density Theorem.

Theorem 16. *Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} and a $*$ -algebra \mathbf{R} of continuous operator fields in \mathcal{W} with $\mathbf{C}^{\mathcal{W}} \supseteq \mathbf{R} \supseteq \mathbf{C}^{\mathcal{W}}$. Assume that each pair of W^* -algebras $(\mathbf{R}_\omega)''$ and $(\mathbf{R}'_\omega)''$ ($\omega \in \mathcal{W}$) is a commutor pair in $L^2(\omega)$. Then the bicommutator \mathbf{R}'' of \mathbf{R} is the Q^* -closure \mathbf{R}^q of \mathbf{R} in the space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.*

Proof. Let μ be any distribution in \mathcal{W} . Then the representative algebra $(\mathbf{R}'')_\mu$ of \mathbf{R}'' in $L^2(\mu)$ is contained in $(\mathbf{R}'_\mu)'$ and consequently in the W^* -closure $(\mathbf{R}_\mu)''$ of \mathbf{R}_μ in $L^2(\mu)$.

Consider a fixed continuous operator field X in \mathbf{R}'' . Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, any x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and any distribution μ in \mathcal{W} , an operator field A in \mathbf{R} can be so chosen that

$$\|(X-A)x_i\|_\mu^2 = \int \|(X_\omega - A_\omega)x_{i\omega}\|_\omega^2 d\mu(\omega) < \varepsilon^2,$$

where

$$\varphi_A(\omega) = \sum_i \|(X_\omega - A_\omega)x_{i\omega}\|_\omega^2$$

is a continuous function of the variable ω . The weak closure of the set of those functions $(\varphi_A : A \in \mathbf{A})$ and consequently its uniform convex span in the space $\mathbf{C}^{\mathcal{W}}$ contain the function 0. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose positive numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and elements B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n in \mathbf{R} such that

$$\sum_j a_j \left(\sum_i \|(X_\omega - B_{j\omega})x_{i\omega}\|_\omega^2 \right) < \varepsilon$$

for every $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$. Using the Schwarz's inequality we have

$$\sum_i \sum_j \|X_\omega x_{i\omega} - (\sum_j a_j B_{j\omega})x_{i\omega}\|_\omega^2 < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|Xx_i - (\sum_j a_j B_j)x_i\|_{\mathcal{W}} < \varepsilon \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Hence X belongs to the Q^* -closure R^0 of R . Q. E. D.

§ 3. Extension Theorem of continuous operator fields.

Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} . A vector field p on \mathcal{W} is said to be a *positive field* if each value p_ω is a positive definite functional in \mathbf{A} .

Definition 3.1. If x is a vector field in \mathcal{W} , then we denote by x^v a vector field in \mathcal{W} whose each value $(x^v)_\omega$ is the absolute variation of the value x_ω of x . We call it the *absolute variation* of the field x .

Definition 3.2. A continuous vector field x in \mathcal{W} is said to be *absolutely continuous* if the function $|x_\omega|$ of the variable ω (where $|x_\omega|$ denotes the functional norm of the value x_ω as a bounded linear functional in \mathbf{A}) is a continuous function in \mathcal{W} .

Proposition 3.1. A continuous vector field x in a compact state space \mathcal{W} is absolutely continuous if and only if its absolute variation x^v is a continuous field in \mathcal{W} .

Proof. If x is a continuous field in \mathcal{W} such that x^v is continuous, then $|x_\omega| = x^v(I)$ is continuous and x is absolutely continuous.

Conversely, assume that x is absolutely continuous in \mathcal{W} but x^v is not continuous at a point λ in \mathcal{W} . Since $\|x^v_\omega\|_\omega (= \|x_\omega\|_\omega)$ is continuous in \mathcal{W} , x^v is not weakly continuous at λ . x^v is bounded in \mathcal{W} and there is at least a filter F in \mathcal{W} which converges to λ and induces the weak convergence of the value x^v_ω to a positive functional $y \neq x^v_\lambda$, $\omega \rightarrow \lambda$. Since $|x_\omega| (= x^v_\omega(I))$ is continuous and $|x_\omega| x^v_\omega(A^*A) \geq |x_\omega(A^*)|^2$ holds in \mathcal{W} , we have $y(I) = |x_\lambda|$ and $y(A^*A)|x_\lambda| \geq |x_\lambda(A^*)|^2$.

By the corollary of Theorem 1 in Chapter 1 we have $y = x^v_\lambda$. Hence x^v is continuous in \mathcal{W} .

Definition 3.3. A compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} is said to be *absolutely continuous* if every field $A_\omega (A \in \mathbf{A})$ is absolutely continuous in \mathcal{W} .

Lemma 3.1. If a compact state space \mathcal{W} is absolutely continuous, then every continuous field in \mathcal{W} is absolutely continuous.

Proof. Consider a field

$$x = \sum f_i A_i \omega \quad (f_i \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{W}} \text{ of } A_i \in \mathbf{A}).$$

We shall show that x is absolutely continuous. Let λ be a fixed point in \mathcal{W} and A an element $A = \sum f_i(\cdot) A_i$ of \mathbf{A} . Then

$$x_\omega - A\omega = \sum (f_i(\omega) - f_i(\lambda)) A_i \omega$$

and

$$\|x_\omega\| - \|A\omega\| \leq \sum |f_i(\omega) - f_i(\lambda)| \|A_i\|.$$

$\|A\omega\|$ is a continuous function and $\|x_\omega\| - \|A\omega\|$ tends to 0 when $\omega (\in \mathcal{W})$ tends to λ . Then $\|x_\omega\|$ is continuous at λ .

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of absolutely continuous fields in \mathcal{W} which converges to a continuous field x in \mathcal{W} . Then

$$\|x_{n\omega}\| - \|x_\omega\| \leq \|x_{n\omega} - x_\omega\|_\omega \leq \|x_n - x\|_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow 0$$

and $\|x_\omega\|$ is a continuous function in \mathcal{W} . Hence x is absolutely continuous.

Lemma 3. 2. *Consider an absolutely continuous compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} and its compact subset \mathcal{U} . Then every continuous positive field p in \mathcal{U} is extensible to a continuous positive field in \mathcal{W} .*

Proof. p is extensible to a continuous field f in \mathcal{W} . Then its absolute variation $q = f^v$ is a desired positive extension of p .

Lemma 3. 3. *Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} . Let q be a positive continuous field in \mathcal{W} such that each $q_\omega + \omega$ is a cyclic element in the space $L^2(\omega)$ (for each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$).*

Let Q_ω denote a definite self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\omega)$ which $\tau_{\mathbf{A}_\omega}'$ and which is determined by $q_\omega = Q_\omega \omega$, and let f be any real continuous function in the half real-line $0 \leq x \leq \infty$, where we assume that f is continuous at ∞ (i. e., $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = f(\infty)$). Then the operator field $f(Q)$, whose value at $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ is $f(Q_\omega)$, is a continuous operator field in \mathcal{W} and commutes with every operator in $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{W}} \cup \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Proof. Consider the set $\mathfrak{M} = (K(q + \omega) : K \in \mathbf{K})$. $q_\omega + \omega$ is cyclic in $L^2(\omega)$ (for each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$) and $\mathfrak{M}_\omega (= (A(q_\omega + \omega) : A \in \mathbf{A}) = (x_\omega : x \in \mathfrak{M}))$ is uniformly dense everywhere in $L^2(\omega)$.

Then by Proposition 2. 2 in Chapter 3, the uniform closure $[\mathfrak{M}]_{\mathcal{W}}$ of \mathfrak{M} in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

Notice that

$$\|X(q + \omega)\|_{\mathcal{W}} \geq \|X\omega\|_{\mathcal{W}} \quad (X \in \mathbf{K}).$$

Then $X(q + \omega) \rightarrow X\omega$ is extended to a bounded operator T in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ with

$$T(X(q + \omega)) = X\omega \quad (X \in \mathbf{K}).$$

T is a continuous Hermitian operator field in \mathcal{W} . Its value T_ω at $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ is determined by $T_\omega = (Q_\omega + I)^{-1}$ and belongs to \mathbf{A}_ω' . T is a definite Hermitian in $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'$ and $|T| \leq 1$. Let f be a real continuous function in the half-line $0 \leq x \leq \infty$. $g(x) = f((1-x)/x)$ is a continuous function in the closed interval $0 \leq x \leq 1$, the operator $g(T)$ is a continuous operator field in $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'$ and we have $g(T_\omega) = f(Q_\omega)$ ($\omega \in \mathcal{W}$). Hence the lemma follows.

Lemma 3. 4. *Let p be a state and A an element of \mathbf{A}_p'' . Then $p +$*

$(Ap)^n$ is cyclic in $L^2(p)$.

Proof. Set $(Ap)^n = UAp = Kp$, where U is a partially isometric operator in \mathbf{A}_n'' and K is a definite self-adjoint operator in $L^2(p)$ with $K \eta \mathbf{A}_n'$. Notice that $(UA)^m p = K^m p \in L^2(p)$ and $(1 + \alpha UA)^{-1} p = (1 + \alpha K)^{-1} p \in L^2(p)$ for $|\alpha| < |A|^{-1}$. Then the uniform closure of $(A(1 + K)p : A \in \mathbf{A})$ in $L^2(p)$ contains $(1 + \alpha K)^{-1}(1 + K)p$ and the range of the operator $(1 + \alpha K)^{-1}(1 + K)$ which is invertible in $L^2(p)$. Q. E. D.

Lemma 3.5. *Let p be a state and x any element of $L^2(p)$. If A_n is a sequence in \mathbf{A} with $\|A_n p - x\|_p \rightarrow 0$, then $\|(A_n p)^n - x^n\|_p \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 in Chapter 1, $(A_n p)^n$ converges to x^n in the point weak topology of \mathbf{A} . Since $\|(A_n p)^n\|_p = \|A_n p\|_p^n \rightarrow \|x\|_p^n = \|x^n\|_p$, $(A_n p)^n$ converges to x^n in the weak topology of $L^2(p)$. Hence

$$\|(A_n p)^n - x^n\|_p^2 = \|A_n p\|_p^{2n} - \|x\|_p^{2n} - 2\operatorname{Re}((A_n p)^n - x^n, x^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 3.6. *Let μ be a distribution in the total state space S and x an element of $L^2(\mu)$. Regard x as a functional on \mathbf{K} such that $x(K) = (Kx, \omega)_\mu$. Then the absolute variation x^n of x is a field in S which satisfies $(x^n)_\omega = (x_\omega)^n (\omega \in S)$.*

Proof. Choose two sequences U_n and V_n of operators in \mathbf{K} such that $|U_n| \leq 1$, $|V_n| \leq 1$, $\|U_n x - x^n\|_\mu \leq 2^{-n}$ and $\|V_n(x^n) - x\|_\mu \leq 2^{-n}$. Then we have $\|U_n \omega x_\omega - (x^n)_\omega\|_\omega \rightarrow 0$, $\|V_n \omega(x^n)_\omega - x_\omega\|_\omega \rightarrow 0$ and consequently $(x^n)_\omega = (x_\omega)^n$ almost everywhere.

Lemma 3.7. *Let \mathcal{W} be any absolutely continuous compact set of states, x a continuous field in \mathcal{W} and K_n a sequence in \mathbf{K} with $\|K_n \omega - x\|_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow 0$. Then $(K_n \omega)^n$ converges to x^n in the point weak topology of $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.*

Proof. Let μ be any distribution in \mathcal{W} . Then $(K_n \omega)^n$ converges to x^n in $L^2(\mu)$, from which the lemma follows.

Lemma 3.8. *Let \mathcal{W} be an absolutely continuous compact set of states, \mathcal{U} its closed subset and q a continuous positive field in \mathcal{U} . If $\omega - q$ is positive in \mathcal{U} , then q is extended to a continuous positive field in \mathcal{W} preserving the positivity of $\omega - q$.*

Proof. q is extended to a continuous positive field r in \mathcal{W} . We choose a sequence $r_n (= K_n \omega$ with $K_n \in (\mathbf{A}_\omega)''$) of continuous positive fields in \mathcal{W} which converges to r uniformly in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and which is contained in the linear span of the set $((K\omega)^n : K \in \mathbf{K})$. By Lemma 3.4 each $r_n \div \omega (\omega \in \mathcal{W})$ is cyclic in $L^2(\omega)$. Let R_n denote the operator field in \mathcal{W} with $R_n \omega = r_n$ and Q the continuous operator field in \mathcal{U} with $Q\omega = q$. Now $(I + R_n)^{-1}$ are continuous operator fields in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and the following relations hold in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$.

$$((I + R_n)^{-1} - (I + Q)^{-1})(q + \omega) = (I + R_n)^{-1}(r_n - q) \rightarrow 0.$$

$(K(q + \omega) : K \in K) = (K(I + Q)\omega : K \in K)$ is uniformly dense everywhere in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Then $(I + R_n)^{-1}$ converges to $(I + Q)^{-1}$ in the Q^* -topology of $B(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}})$. Consider the function $f(x) = \min(x, 1)$ ($0 \leq x \leq \infty$) and set $q_n = f(R_n)\omega$. Then q_n and $\omega - q_n$ are positive and continuous in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\|q_n - q\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow 0$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\|q_n - q\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq 2^{-n-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) holds. Choose a sequence f_n of continuous functions in \mathcal{W} which satisfies the next conditions

- (1). $1 = f_0 \geq f_1 \geq f_2 \dots \geq 0$.
- (2). $\|f_n(\omega)\| \|q_{n+1} - q_n\|_{\omega} \leq 2^{-n}$ ($\omega \in \mathcal{W}$).
- (3). $f_n(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{U}$.

Then $q' = q_1 + \sum f_n(\omega)(q_{n+1} - q_n)$ converges uniformly in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. q' is the desired positive continuous extension of q in \mathcal{W} because $\omega - q'$ is positive in \mathcal{W} .

Theorem 17. Consider an absolutely continuous compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} and its closed subset \mathcal{U} . If K is a continuous operator field in $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{U}})'$, then K is extended to a continuous operator field in $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'$.

Proof. If K is a definite Hermitian in $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{U}}'$ with $|K| \leq 1$, then $K\omega$ and $\omega - K\omega$ are positive continuous fields in \mathcal{U} and $K\omega$ is extensible to a positive continuous field q in \mathcal{W} preserving the positivity of $\omega - q$. Choose a definite Hermitian Q in $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'$ with $q = Q\omega$. Then Q is a desired extension of K .

Corollary 1. Consider an absolutely continuous compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} and the commutor $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'$ of the algebra $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}}$. Then

$$(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'_{\omega} = (X_{\omega} : X \in (\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})')$$

is a W^* -algebra which is the commutor $(\mathbf{A}_{\omega})'$ of the representative algebra \mathbf{A}_{ω} of \mathbf{A} in $L^2(\omega)$.

By Theorem 15, 16 and 17 we obtain :

Theorem 18. Consider an absolutely continuous compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} . Then given any distribution μ in \mathcal{W} , W^* -closures \mathbf{K}_{μ}'' and $((\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'_{\mu})''$ of algebras \mathbf{K}_{μ} and $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'_{\mu}$ in $L^2(\mu)$ are a commutor pair in $L^2(\mu)$.

Theorem 19. Let \mathcal{W} be given as in Theorem 18. Then the bi-commutor $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})''$ of $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}}$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the Q^* -closure $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})^{\circ}$ of $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

§ 4. Absolute continuity of states and the Reduction Theory.

Definition 4.1. (1). A state p is said to be absolutely continuous if

every numerical function $|A\omega|$ ($A \in \mathbf{A}$) of the variable ω is continuous at p in the total state space \mathcal{S} .

(2). Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of states on \mathbf{A} . A state p in \mathcal{W} is said to be absolutely continuous in \mathcal{W} if every numerical function $|A\omega|$ ($A \in \mathbf{A}$) of the variable ω is continuous at p in the space \mathcal{W} .

(3). Let p be any state. An absolute weak neighbourhood $V(p : A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \varepsilon)$ of p is a subset of \mathcal{S} :

$$(q \in \mathcal{S} : \max(|p(A_i) - q(A_i)|, \|A_i p| - |A_i q|\|) < \varepsilon).$$

A Hausdorff topology of the total state space \mathcal{S} which is determined by the absolute weak neighbourhood of elements of \mathcal{S} is said to be the *absolute weak topology* of \mathcal{S} .

Theorem 20. *Every pure state is absolutely continuous. The totality \mathcal{S}_p of pure states is the totality of absolutely continuous states in the weak closure of \mathcal{S}_p .*

Proof. Consider a fixed pure state p . If q is any state, then $|Aq| \leq \|Aq\|_q$ holds. Let F be any filter in the total state space \mathcal{S} which converges to p . Then

$$\overline{\lim}_{q \in F} |Aq| \leq \|Ap\|_p \quad (A \in \mathbf{A}).$$

p is a pure state, and every $A \in \mathbf{A}$ satisfies $\|Ap\|_p = |Ap|$. Since $|Aq| = \sup_{|U| \leq 1} |q(AU)|$ is lower semicontinuous on \mathcal{S} , we have

$$\underline{\lim}_{q \in F} |Aq| \geq |Ap| \quad (A \in \mathbf{A}).$$

Then we have $\lim_{q \in F} |Aq| = |Ap|$, and p is an absolutely continuous state.

Using the similar arguments of Proposition 3.1, we obtain

Proposition 4.1. *Consider a compact set of states on \mathbf{A} and a continuous field x in \mathcal{W} . Then the absolute variation x^v is weakly continuous at every absolutely continuous state in \mathcal{W} .*

In the following propositions 4.2—4.5 we assume that the algebra \mathbf{A} is separable.

Proposition 4.2. *Let \mathbf{A} be a separable C^* -algebra and \mathcal{S} its total state space. Choose a countable subset $\{A_n\}$ of the unit ball \mathbf{U} of \mathbf{A} which is everywhere dense in \mathbf{U} and consider a numerical function on \mathcal{S} :*

$$d^v(\omega) = \sum_n 2^{-n} |A_n \omega|.$$

Then d^v is a lower semicontinuous function on \mathcal{S} . A state p is absolutely continuous in a compact subset \mathcal{W} of \mathcal{S} if and only if d^v (as a function in \mathcal{W}) is continuous at p .

Proposition 4.3. *Consider a metric m in \mathcal{S} which induces the weak topology of \mathcal{S} . Then the absolute weak topology of \mathcal{S} is the topology induced by the metric*

$$v(p, q) = m(p, q) + |d^o(p) - d^o(q)|.$$

The totality \mathcal{S}_p of pure states in \mathbf{A} is its closed subspace.

Proposition 4.4. *A weakly compact set of states in \mathbf{A} contains absolutely continuous states in it everywhere dense.*

Proposition 4.5. *Let μ be a distribution in the total state space \mathcal{S} .*

Then, removing an open set \mathcal{U} of any small mass from \mathcal{S} , the set $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{U}$ is absolutely continuous.

Consider a sequence $\{s_n\}$ of states in \mathbf{A} which converges to a state s by the absolute weak topology. Then $\mathcal{W} = \{s_n, s\}$ is an absolutely continuous compact set of states in \mathbf{A} and given any bounded operator K in \mathbf{A}'_s we can choose a sequence K_n of bounded operators in \mathbf{A}'_{s_n} such that $|K_n| \leq |K|$ and $K_n s_n$ converges weakly to K_s . Roughly speaking, the algebra \mathbf{A}'_s is approximated by a sequence of algebras $\{\mathbf{A}'_{s_n}\}$.

Finally we notice that Propositions 4.5 and Theorems 18, 19 include completely the v. Neumann's reduction theorem.

Let \mathbf{A} be a C^* -algebra \mathbf{A} in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , \mathbf{A}' its commutator and \mathbf{A}'' its bicommutator. Consider the center $\mathbf{Z} (= \mathbf{A}'' \cap \mathbf{A}')$ of \mathbf{A}'' and its commutator \mathbf{Z}' . It is well-known that :

Lemma.

(1). *\mathbf{Z} is abelian and \mathbf{Z}' contains at least a generative abelian projection E .*

(2). *If the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} is separable, then the algebras \mathbf{A}'' and \mathbf{A}' are products $\mathbf{A}'' = \mathbf{A}_1 \times \mathbf{A}_2$, $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A}'_1 \times \mathbf{A}'_2$ of algebras $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2$ and their commutators $\mathbf{A}'_1, \mathbf{A}'_2$ respectively, where $(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}'_1)$ and $(\mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}'_2)$ are commutator-pairs on closed subspaces \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2 of \mathfrak{H} such that the projection E on \mathfrak{H} with range $E = \mathfrak{H}_1$ belongs to the center \mathbf{Z} of \mathbf{A}'' . The algebra $\mathbf{Z}'_1 = (\mathbf{A}_1 \cup \mathbf{A}'_1)''$ contains at least an abelian projection E_1 which is generative in \mathfrak{H}_1 relative to the algebra \mathbf{A}_1 and the algebra $\mathbf{Z}'_2 = (\mathbf{A}_2 \cup \mathbf{A}'_2)''$ contains at least one abelian projection E_2 which is generative in \mathfrak{H}_2 relative to the algebra \mathbf{A}_2 .*

Even if \mathbf{A} is inseparable and E is an abelian generative projection in the algebra \mathbf{Z}' , we consider the smallest uniformly closed linear space \mathfrak{H}_1 which contains $(AE_x : A \in \mathbf{A}, x \in \mathfrak{H})$. The induction of the algebra \mathbf{A}'' in \mathfrak{H}_1 is an isomorphism and E is generative in \mathfrak{H}_1 relative to the algebra \mathbf{A} .

Consider an abelian representation $(\mathbf{A}_\lambda, E) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_\lambda$ of \mathbf{A} in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} whose projection E is abelian relative to the commutator $\mathbf{Z}' (= (\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{A}')''$) of the center \mathbf{Z} of \mathbf{A}'' . We call such an abelian representation a central abelian representation. Then the v. Neumann's reduction theory is essentially the reduction theory of central abelian representations.

Assume that the algebra \mathbf{A} and the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} are separable. A central abelian representation $(\mathbf{A}_\lambda, E): A \rightarrow A_\lambda$ in the space \mathfrak{H} has a suitable compoundly cyclic element g relative to the algebra \mathbf{A} and the center \mathbf{Z} . Then g is cyclic relative to \mathbf{A} . This compoundly cyclic representation is unitary equivalent to the representation of \mathbf{A} in $L^2(\mu)$ which is defined by a suitable pre-spectral distribution μ in the total state space \mathcal{S} , where the carrier of μ is the carrier of the projection E . Apply Theorem 20 to this distribution μ , then a reformed v. Neumann reduction theorem follows.

Theorem. *If $(\mathbf{A}_\lambda, E): A \rightarrow A_\lambda$ is a central abelian representation of a separable C^* -algebra \mathbf{A} , then there is a sequence Z_n of projections in the center \mathbf{Z} of \mathbf{A}' such that Z_n converges to I strongly and the carrier of each $Z_n E$ is absolutely continuous.*

Next we observe the central abelian representation of a W^* -algebra.

Theorem 21. *Consider a W^* -algebra \mathbf{A} in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} with an generative abelian projection E , which is abelian relative to the algebra $\mathbf{Z}' = (\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{A}')''$. Then the center \mathbf{Z} is the carrier algebra of \mathbf{A} reduced by E , and the spectrum \mathcal{W} of \mathbf{Z} , as a compact state space on \mathbf{A} , is absolutely continuous.*

To prove the theorem we first observe the next lemma.

Lemma 4. 1. *Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Z}', E$ and \mathfrak{H} be given as in Theorem 21. Then for every $A \in \mathbf{A}$ a definite self-adjoint operator $K_\gamma A'$ and a partially isometric operator $U \in \mathbf{A}$ can be so chosen as $AE = KUE$.*

Proof. Consider a fixed $A \in \mathbf{A}$, $g \in \text{Range } E$ and the uniform closure $[Ag]$ of the set $(Bg : B \in \mathbf{A})$. By Lemmas 2. 2, 2. 3 in Chapter 1, a partially isometric operator U_g in \mathbf{A} and a definite self-adjoint operator $K_{g\gamma} A'$ can be so chosen that

$$Ag = U_g K_{g\gamma} g, \text{ Range } K_{g\gamma} \subseteq [Ag] \text{ and } U_g = U_g Z_g,$$

where Z_g is the least projection in the center \mathbf{Z} of \mathbf{A} whose range contains $[Ag]$. $U_g, K_{g\gamma}$ and Z_g are uniquely determined by A and g . $Z_g E$ is a projection whose range is the uniform closure of the set $(Fg : F \in \mathbf{Z})$. Notice that $AFg = U_g K_{g\gamma} Fg$ ($F \in \mathbf{Z}$). Then we have

$$AZ_g E = U_g K_{g\gamma} E.$$

The system $(Z_g : g \in \text{Range } E)$ is a directed system of projections and converges strongly to the identity I . Since $h \in \text{Range } Z_g E$ implies $U_h = U_g Z_h$ and $K_h = K_{g\gamma} Z_h$, the system $(U_g : g \in \text{Range } E)$ converges strongly to a suitable partially isometric operator U in \mathbf{A} when Z_g converges to I . Similarly the system $(K_{g\gamma} : g \in \text{Range } E)$ determines a definite self-adjoint operator $K_\gamma A'$ with $K_\gamma = K Z_g$ ($g \in \text{Range } E$).

Proof of the theorem. (\mathbf{A}, E) is a faithful abelian representation of \mathbf{A} and algebraically equivalent to an abelian representation $(\mathbf{A}\mathcal{W}, P)$ which is determined by a compact state space \mathcal{W} . The projection E is therefore identified with the coordinate projection field P in \mathcal{W} , where $\mathbf{C}\mathcal{W}$ is the center of the algebra $\mathbf{A}\mathcal{W}$.

Now given any $A \in \mathbf{A}$, a partially isometric operator U in \mathbf{A} and a definite self-adjoint operator K in \mathbf{A}' can be so chosen that $AP = UKP$ and $U^*AP = KP$. Then we obtain

$$A\omega = U(K\omega) \text{ and } U^*A\omega = K\omega.$$

$K\omega$ is a positive field in \mathcal{W} . In fact $\mathbf{K}\mathcal{W}$ and \mathbf{A} are identical,

$$P(B^*B)^*KP \geq 0 \quad (B \in \mathbf{A}),$$

and

$$(B^*B\omega, K\omega)_\omega \geq 0 \text{ for every } B \in \mathbf{A}.$$

Hence $K\omega$ is the absolute variation of the field $A\omega$ and is continuous.

§ 5. A non-commutative extension of the Gelfand-Stone-Weierstrass Theorem in a compact space of pure traces.

(a). Absolute continuity of compact spaces of traces.

A state t on \mathbf{A} is a *trace* if $t(AB) = t(BA)$ holds.

Lemma 5.1. *Consider a fixed trace t on \mathbf{A} . Then*

(1). $L^2(t)$ is a two-sided invariant self-adjoint subspace of the dual space $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ of \mathbf{A} .

(2). $x \rightarrow x^*$ is a conjugate linear and isometric automorphism of $L^2(t)$.

(3). Let \mathbf{A}_t denote the algebra of left multipliers $(A_t: A \in \mathbf{A})$ of \mathbf{A} in \mathbf{A} where $(A_t x)(B) = x(AB)$ holds for every $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$ and $x \in \mathbf{A}$. Then \mathbf{A}_t'' and $(\mathbf{A}_t)_t''$ are a commutor pair in $L^2(t)$.

We denote by \mathcal{I} the totality of traces on \mathbf{A} . If \mathcal{W} is a compact space of traces on \mathbf{A} and x is a vector field in \mathcal{W} , then we denote by x^* a field $(x^*)_\omega = (x_\omega)^*$ on \mathcal{W} . If X is an operator field in \mathbf{K} , each value X_ω of X belongs to \mathbf{A} . We denote by X_t an operator field in \mathcal{W} with $(X_t)_\omega = (X_\omega)_t$ ($\omega \in \mathcal{W}$).

Lemma 5.2. *Consider a fixed distribution μ in \mathcal{I} . Then:*

(1). $x \in L^2(\mu)$ and $K \in \mathbf{K}$ imply $Kx, K_t x \in L^2(\mu)$.

(2). $x \rightarrow x^*$ is a reflexive, conjugate linear and isometric automorphism of the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu)$.

(3). Strong closures of the representative algebras $(\mathbf{K}_\mu)''$ and $(\mathbf{K}_{t\mu})''$ are a commutor pair in $L^2(\mu)$.

These two lemmas follow immediately from the well-known trace theory. Further we obtain the next proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of traces on \mathbf{A} . Then*

- (1). \mathcal{W} is absolutely continuous.
- (2). $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is $*$ -invariant and invariant under algebras \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K}_i .
- (3). $x \rightarrow x^*$ is reflexive, conjugate linear and isometric automorphism of the Banach space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.
- (4). Q^* -closures $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})_q$ and $(\mathbf{K}_i \mathcal{W})_q$ of $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\mathbf{K}_i \mathcal{W}$ in the Banach space $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ are a commutor pair.

Proof. (1). Consider a trace t and an element A of \mathbf{A} . Then $At = U(A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}t$, where U is a partially isometric operator in \mathbf{A}_i'' and $(A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}t$ is a positive definite functional. The absolute variation of At is $(A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}t$ and the field A_ω is absolutely continuous in the total trace space \mathcal{I} .

Hence (1) follows.

Since (2) and (3) are obvious, we prove (4) only. \mathcal{W} is absolutely continuous and $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})^q$ is the bicommutor of $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}}$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$. The conjugate linear isometric automorphism $x \leftrightarrow x^*$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ determines a conjugate linear spatial isomorphism $X \leftrightarrow (X^*)_i$ because $(Xx^*)^* = (X^*)_i x$. Then $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}_i})^q$ is the bicommutor of $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}_i}$. Since $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})^q$ and $(\mathbf{K}_i \mathcal{W})^q$ commute with each other, to prove (4) it is sufficient to show that $(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'$ and $(\mathbf{K}_i \mathcal{W})'$ commute with each other. Let $X \in (\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})'$ and $Y \in (\mathbf{K}_i \mathcal{W})'$. X is a continuous operator field which commutes with $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and whose each value X_ω ($\omega \in \mathcal{W}$) belongs to $(\mathbf{K}_\omega)' = (\mathbf{A}_\omega)' = (\mathbf{A}_\omega)_i''$. Similarly Y is a continuous operator field whose each value Y_ω belongs to \mathbf{A}_ω'' and which satisfies $X_\omega Y_\omega = Y_\omega X_\omega$. Then we have $XY = YX$ and hence $((\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{W}})^q, (\mathbf{K}_i \mathcal{W})^q)$ is a commutor pair in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

(b). \sharp -mapping in the dual space of \mathbf{C}^* -algebra.

Hereafter we shall use the following notations.

(1). If $A \in \mathbf{A}$, then \mathbf{U}_A is the smallest uniformly closed convex subset of \mathbf{A} which contains $(U^*AU : U \text{ are unitary operators in } \mathbf{A})$.

(2). \mathbf{U} denotes the smallest uniformly closed convex set of bounded operators in the dual space \mathbf{A} of \mathbf{A} which contains the set $(U^*_i U : U \text{ are unitary operators in } \mathbf{A})$, where $y = U^*_i Ux$ implies $y(A) = x(U^*AU)$.

(3). If x is a functional in \mathbf{A} , then $[Ux]$ is the uniform closure of the set $(Tx : T \in \mathbf{U})$ in the dual space of \mathbf{A} .

(4). If μ is a distribution in the total trace space \mathcal{I} and x is a field in $L^2(\mu)$, then $[Ux]_\mu$ is the uniform closure of the set $(Tx : T \in \mathbf{U})$ in $L^2(\mu)$.

(5). If \mathcal{W} is a compact set of traces in \mathbf{A} and x a continuous field in \mathcal{W} , then $[Ux]_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the uniform closure of the set $(Tx : T \in \mathbf{U})$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$.

we first prepare some lemmas and sub-lemmas to define the \sharp -applications of functionals in \mathbf{A} .

Sub-lemma 1. $S, T \in \mathbf{U}$ implies $ST \in \mathbf{U}$.

Sub-lemma 2. Let t be a trace and x an element of $L^2(t)$. Then $[Ux]$ is the uniform closure of $(Tx : T \in U)$ in $L^2(t)$.

Lemma 5. 3. Consider a fixed trace t on A .

(1). $x \in L^2(t)$ and $y \in [Ux]$ imply $[Uy] \subseteq [Ux]$.

(2). If $x, y \in L^2(t)$ and $x_0 \in [Ux]$, then a $y_0 \in [Uy]$ can be so chosen that

$$\|x_0 - y_0\|_t \leq \|x - y\|_t \text{ and } x_0 + y_0 \in [U(x + y)].$$

(3). Let x_n and y_n be two sequences in $L^2(t)$ such that

$$\|x_n - x\|_t \rightarrow 0, \|y_n - y\|_t \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } y_n \in [Ux_n].$$

Then $y \in [Ux]$

Proof. (1). $T \in U$ implies $T[Ux] \subseteq [Ux]$. Then $y \in [Ux]$ implies $(Ty : T \in U) \subseteq [Ux]$ and $[Uy] \subseteq [Ux]$. Hence (1) follows.

(2). If $x, y \in L^2(t)$ and $x_0 \in [Ux]$, then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ ($x_n = T_n x$) with $T_n \in U$ can be so chosen that $\|x_n - x_0\|_t \rightarrow 0$. Now $\{y_n\}$ ($y_n = T_n y$) is a sequence in $[Uy]$ and has at least a sub-sequential weak limit y_0 because of the weak compactness of $[Uy]$. Since $x_n + y_n \in [U(x + y)]$ and

$$\|x_n - y_n\|_t = \|T_n(x - y)\|_t \leq \|x - y\|_t,$$

the desired relations in (2) are satisfied.

(3) follows immediately from (2).

Similarly as Lemma 5. 3, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5. 4. Consider a fixed distribution μ in the total trace space \mathcal{I} . Then

(1). $x \in L^2(\mu)$ and $y \in [Ux]_\mu$ imply $[Uy]_\mu \subseteq [Ux]_\mu$.

(2). If $x, y \in L^2(\mu)$ and $x_0 \in [Ux]_\mu$, then a $y_0 \in [Uy]_\mu$ can be so chosen that

$$\|x_0 - y_0\|_\mu \leq \|x - y\|_\mu \text{ and } x_0 + y_0 \in [U(x + y)]_\mu.$$

(3). Let x_n and y_n be two sequences in $L^2(t)$ such that

$$\|x_n - x\|_\mu \rightarrow 0, \|y_n - y\|_\mu \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } y_n \in [Ux_n]_\mu.$$

Then $y \in [Ux]_\mu$.

Proposition 5. 2. Consider a trace t and a functional x in $L^2(t)$.

Then there is one and only one functional x^\sharp in $L^2(t)$ such that

$$x^\sharp \in [Ux] \text{ and } x^\sharp(AB) = x^\sharp(BA) \quad (A, B \in A).$$

Proof. A_i'' is a W^* -algebra of finite type in which the Dixmier's \mathcal{L} -application is defined. The A^\sharp of $A \in \mathbf{A}$ is contained in the common part of the center of A_i'' and the strong closure of U_A in A_i'' . In fact, A^\sharp is contained in the uniform convex span of the set $(U^*AU: U \text{ are unitary operators in } A_i'')$. Each U is a strong limit of unitary operators in \mathbf{A} . Since $U^*U(At) = UAU^*t(A, U \in \mathbf{A})$, $[U(At)]$ is the uniform closure of the set $(Tt: T \in U_A)$ and contains $A^\sharp t$.

Hence putting $x = At$ and $x^\sharp = A^\sharp t$ we have the relations

$$x^\sharp(AB) = x^\sharp(BA) \text{ and } (U^*Ux)^\sharp = x^\sharp \in [Ux] \text{ (for unitary } U \text{ in } A).$$

The mapping $At \rightarrow (At)^\sharp = A^\sharp t$ is extended to a bounded linear mapping $x \rightarrow x^\sharp$ in $L^2(t)$ which preserves above relations in virtue of Lemma 5.3. Finally we prove the uniqueness of x^\sharp in the proposition. Suppose that there is another functional y in $[Ux]$ such that $y(AB) = y(BA)$. Since we have $(Tx)^\sharp = x^\sharp(T \in U)$, $y \in [Ux]$ implies $y^\sharp = x^\sharp$. Hence $Ty = y(T \in U)$ implies $y = y^\sharp = x^\sharp$ and the uniqueness of x^\sharp . Q. E. D.

Now we observe the \mathcal{L} -application of pure traces. A trace t is said *pure* if the algebra A_t' is a factor. We have immediately :

Lemma 5. 5. *A trace t is pure if and only if $x \in L^2(t)$ implies $x^\sharp = x(I)t$.*

We next define the \mathcal{L} -applications of vector fields and operator fields.

(1). If \mathcal{W} is a compact set of traces and x is a vector field in \mathcal{W} , then we denote by x^\sharp the field $(x^\sharp)_\omega = (x_\omega)^\sharp$ in \mathcal{W} .

(2). If t is a trace, then the \mathcal{L} -application of $A \in \mathbf{A}$ in A_t'' is denoted by $(A_t)^\sharp$.

(3). Consider the totality \mathcal{I} of traces in A . If $A \in \mathbf{A}$, then we denote by A^\sharp the operator field in \mathcal{I} such that $(A^\sharp)_\omega = (A_\omega)^\sharp$ ($\omega \in \mathcal{I}$).

(4). If μ is a distribution in \mathcal{I} , then $(A_\mu)''$ is a W^* -algebra of finite type in $L^2(\mu)$. The \mathcal{L} -application of $A \in \mathbf{A}$ in $(A_\mu)''$ is denoted by $(A_\mu)^\sharp$.

Lemma 5. 6. *Consider a distribution μ in the total trace space \mathcal{I} . Then the A^\sharp of each $A \in \mathbf{A}$ is a measurable operator field in $L^2(\mu)$ and identical with the operator $(A_\mu)^\sharp$.*

Proof. (A^\sharp) is contained in the common part of the center of $(A_\mu)''$ and the strong closure of U_A in $(A_\mu)''$. If f is a continuous function in \mathcal{I} , $(A_\mu)^\sharp f$ belongs to the center of K_μ'' . Then

$$(BC(A_\mu)^\sharp f \omega, \omega)_\mu = (CB(A_\mu)^\sharp f \omega, \omega) \quad (B, C \in \mathbf{A}).$$

and

$$\int f(\omega)((A)^\sharp_{\mu\omega})_\omega(BC) d\mu(\omega) = \int f(\omega)((A_\mu)^\sharp_{\omega})_\omega(CB) d\mu(\omega).$$

For each fixed B, C in \mathbf{A} the equality

$$((A_\mu)^\sharp \omega)_\omega (BC) = ((A'_\mu)^\sharp \omega)_\omega (CB)$$

holds almost everywhere. $(A_\mu)^\sharp \omega$ is regularly and weakly measurable. Then, removing an open set of any small mass from \mathcal{I} , it is weakly continuous. Hence the above equality is valid for every B, C in \mathbf{A} removing a fixed null sup-set of \mathcal{I} .

On the other hand $(A_\mu)^\sharp$ is contained in the strong closure of \mathbf{U}_A in $(\mathbf{A}_\mu)''$ and a sequence T_n in \mathbf{U}_A can be so chosen that

$$\|T_n \omega - (A_\mu)^\sharp \omega\|_\mu^2 = \int \|T_n \omega - ((A_\mu)^\sharp \omega)_\omega\|_\omega^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq 4^{-n}.$$

Now we have

$$\|T_n \omega - ((A_\mu)^\sharp \omega)_\omega\|_\omega \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \text{ and } ((A_\mu)^\sharp \omega)_\omega \in [\mathbf{U}(A\omega)]$$

almost everywhere, By these relations we have $((A_\mu)^\sharp \omega)_\omega = (A^\sharp \omega)$ almost everywhere, and the equality in $L^2(\mu)$:

$$(A_\mu)^\sharp x = A^\sharp x$$

is valid for every $x = \sum_1^n f_i A_i$ with $f_i \in \mathbf{C}$ and $A_i \in \mathbf{A}$. Then the same equality is valid for every $x \in L^2(\mu)$ and hence A^\sharp is a measurable operator field which is identical with $(A_\mu)^\sharp$ in $L^2(\mu)$. Hence the lemma follows.

We now obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *Consider a distribution μ in the total trace space \mathcal{I} and a vector field x in $L^2(\mu)$. Then $[\mathbf{U}x]_\mu$ contains the field x^\sharp .*

Proof. Consider a subset of $L^2(\mu)$:

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{x \in L^2(\mu) : y \in [\mathbf{U}x]_\mu \text{ implies } x^\sharp = y^\sharp \in [\mathbf{U}y]_\mu\}.$$

We show that \mathfrak{M} satisfies the following (1)–(4).

- (1). \mathfrak{M} is uniformly closed.
- (2). $(A\omega : A \in \mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$.
- (3). $f \in \mathbf{C}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ imply $fx \in \mathfrak{M}$.
- (4). $x, y \in \mathfrak{M}$ imply $x + y \in \mathfrak{M}$.

(1) follows from (3) of Lemma 5.4. (2) follows from what $T \in \mathbf{U}_A$ implies $T^\sharp = A^\sharp$ and $(A^\sharp \omega) = (T^\sharp \omega) \in [\mathbf{U}(T\omega)]_\mu$. We now prove (3). Let $f \in \mathbf{C}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{M}$. Every $y = Tfx$ with $T \in \mathbf{U}$ satisfies the relation:

$$y^\sharp = fx^\sharp \in f[\mathbf{U}Tx]_\mu \subseteq [\mathbf{U}(y)]_\mu.$$

Then the same relations are satisfied by every $y \in [\mathbf{U}(fx)]_\mu$ and hence $fx \in \mathfrak{M}$. We finally prove (4). Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{M}$. By $x^\sharp \in [\mathbf{U}x]_\mu$ we can choose a suitable $y_0 \in [\mathbf{U}y]_\mu$ such that $x^\sharp + y_0 \in [\mathbf{U}(x+y)]_\mu$. Now

$$x^\sharp + [\mathbf{U}y_0]_\mu \subseteq [\mathbf{U}(x+y)]_\mu$$

and

$$(x^\sharp + y)^\sharp = x^\sharp + y_0^\sharp \in x^\sharp + [\mathbf{U}y_0]_\mu \subseteq [\mathbf{U}(x+y)]_\mu.$$

Notice that $T \in \mathbf{U}$ implies $(Tx)^\sharp = x^\sharp$, $(Ty)^\sharp = y^\sharp$ and $Tx, Ty \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then every $z = T(x+y)$ ($T \in \mathbf{U}$) satisfies the relations:

$$(x+y)^\sharp = z^\sharp \in [\mathbf{U}z]_\mu.$$

The same relations are satisfied for every $z \in [\mathbf{U}(x+y)]_\mu$ and hence $x+y$ belongs to \mathfrak{M} .

By (1)⋯(4) \mathfrak{M} contains the set $(Af: A \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } f \in \mathbf{C})$ and its uniform linear span $L^2(\mu)$, from which the proposition follows.

(c). A generalized Stone-Weierstruss Theorem in a pure state space.

To study the problem which is mentioned in the section 3 of Chapter 3, we introduce here the following notations.

(1). We denote by \mathbf{A}^\sharp the smallest C^* -algebra of operator fields in the total trace space \mathcal{T} which contains the set $(A^\sharp: A \in \mathbf{A})$.

(2). If μ is a distribution in the total trace space \mathcal{T} , then we denote by $[\mathbf{A}\omega]_\mu$ the uniform closure of the set $(A\omega: A \in \mathbf{A})$ in $L^2(\mu)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Consider a distribution μ in the total trace space \mathcal{T} . Then the set $(X\omega: X \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp)$ is contained in $[\mathbf{A}\omega]_\mu$.*

Proof. Let E denote the projection in $L^2(\mu)$ whose range is $[\mathbf{A}\omega]_\mu$. Then E commutes with every operator in the strong closure $(\mathbf{A}_\mu)''$ of \mathbf{A} in $L^2(\mu)$. The A^\sharp of each $A \in \mathbf{A}$ belongs to $(\mathbf{A}_\mu)''$ and commutes with E . Hence every operator X in \mathbf{A}^\sharp commutes with E . Since ω is contained in the range of E , we have

$$(X\omega: X \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp) \subseteq \text{Range } E = [\mathbf{A}\omega]_\mu. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Consider the totality \mathcal{T}_p of pure traces on \mathbf{A} . If the algebra \mathbf{A} is abelian, then \mathcal{T}_p is the spectrum of \mathbf{A} and there is a one-one correspondence between the totality \mathcal{T} of traces and the totality of distributions in \mathcal{T}_p .

Consider a prespectral distribution μ in \mathcal{T}_p in the sense of Definition

1.7 in Chapter 2 and set $p = \int \omega d\mu$. Then the Fourier induction

$$x \in L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \int x_\omega d\mu(\omega)$$

is an isometry between $L^2(\mu)$ and $L^2(p)$. If \mathbf{A} is abelian, every distribution μ in \mathcal{T}_p is prespectral because $L^2(\mu)$ is the Hilbert space of square summable and measurable functions in \mathcal{T}_p . We now show that these es-

sential properties of distributions in \mathcal{I}_p are preserved even if \mathbf{A} is non-abelian.

Theorem 22. *Let μ be a distribution in the total trace space \mathcal{I} whose carrier \mathcal{W} consists of pure traces except for a null set. Then μ is prespectral and the center of $(\mathbf{A}_\mu)''$ is the totality $\mathbf{M}(\mu)$ of bounded measurable functions in \mathcal{I}_p .*

Proof. Notice that the operator field A^\natural of $A \in \mathbf{A}$ satisfies

$$(A^\natural)_\omega = (A_\omega)^\natural = \omega(A)I \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{I}_p).$$

Then ζ -application $(A_\mu)^\natural$ of A in $L^2(\mu)$ is the primitive function J_A of A in \mathcal{W} which is defined by $J_A(\omega) = \omega(A)$ in \mathcal{W} . The smallest C^* -algebra of operator fields in \mathcal{W} which contains $(J_A : A \in \mathbf{A})$ is the totality $\mathbf{C}\mathcal{W}$ of continuous functions in \mathcal{W} . Then we have $\mathbf{A}^\natural = \mathbf{C}\mathcal{W}$ and $(f_\omega : f \in \mathbf{C}\mathcal{W}) \subseteq [\mathbf{A}_\omega]_\mu$. By Lemma 1.8 in Chapter 2 μ is a prespectral distribution. The center of $(\mathbf{A}_\mu)''$ is the strong closure of $\mathbf{A}^\natural (= \mathbf{C}\mathcal{W})$ in $L^2(\mu)$ and is the algebra $\mathbf{M}(\mu)$.

The space \mathcal{I}_p is not generally compact, but its compact subspaces have the following properties.

Theorem 23. *A compact set \mathcal{W} of pure traces is a prespectrum. If x is a continuous field in \mathcal{W} , then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose a $T = \sum \alpha_i U_{ii}^* U_i \in \mathbf{U}$ such that*

$$\sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}} \|Tx_\omega - (x_\omega)(I)\omega\|_\omega < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Every distribution μ in \mathcal{W} is prespectral, and by Theorem 14 \mathcal{W} is a pre-spectrum. Next, consider a fixed $x \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ and the set $[Ux]_{\mathcal{W}}$ (=the uniform closure of the set $(Tx : T \in \mathbf{U})$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$). If μ is a distribution in \mathcal{W} , the field x is contained in its uniform closure $[Ux]_\mu$ in $L^2(\mu)$. Since $x^\natural (= x_\omega(I)\omega$ in $\mathcal{W})$ belongs to $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$, by Proposition 2.1 in Chapter 3 $x_\omega(I)\omega$ belongs to $[Ux]_{\mathcal{W}}$ and hence the theorem follows.

Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of traces in \mathbf{A} . A trace $t \in \mathcal{W}$ is said ζ -continuous in \mathcal{W} if every numerical function $\|A^\natural \omega\|_\omega (A \in \mathbf{A})$ of the variable ω is continuous in \mathcal{W} .

Proposition 5.3. *Consider a compact set \mathcal{W} of traces on A and a continuous field in \mathcal{W} . If x is ζ -continuous in \mathcal{W} , then x^\natural and the numerical function $\|(x^\natural)_\omega\|_\omega$ of the variable ω are weakly continuous in \mathcal{W} respectively.*

Proof. If $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$, then the function of the variable ω :

$$A^\natural \omega(B) = (B\omega, A^\natural \omega)_\omega = (B^\natural \omega, A^\natural \omega)_\omega$$

is continuous in \mathcal{W} . Therefore every $A^\natural \omega (A \in \mathbf{A})$ is weakly continuous in

\mathcal{W} . Consider a field $x = \sum f_i A_i \omega$ ($f_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $A_i \in \mathbf{A}$ and its \mathcal{L} -application $x^{\mathcal{L}} = \sum f_i A_i^{\mathcal{L}} \omega$). Then $x^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the numerical function of the variable ω :

$$\|x^{\mathcal{L}}\|_{\omega}^2 (= \sum \overline{f_j(\omega)} f_i(\omega) (A_j^{\mathcal{L}} \omega)(A_i))$$

are weakly continuous and continuous in \mathcal{W} respectively.

Proposition 5.4. *Every pure trace is \mathcal{L} -continuous in \mathcal{I} . The totality \mathcal{I}_p of pure traces is the totality of \mathcal{L} -continuous points in the weak closure of \mathcal{I}_p . If the algebra \mathbf{A} is separable, then \mathcal{I}_p is a G_{δ} -subspace of \mathcal{I} and has a complete metric which induces the weak topology.*

Proof. If $A \in \mathbf{A}$, then the function of the variable ω :

$$\|A^{\mathcal{L}}\omega\|_{\omega} (= \inf_{T \in \mathcal{U}} \|T(A\omega)\|_{\omega})$$

is upper semicontinuous in \mathcal{I} , and \mathcal{E}_p is the set

$$\mathcal{I}_p = \bigcap_{A \in \mathbf{A}} (t \in \mathcal{I} : \|A^{\mathcal{L}}t\|_t = |t(A)|).$$

Let \mathcal{I}_p be the weak closure of \mathcal{I}_p . Then a trace in \mathcal{I}_p is \mathcal{L} -continuous in \mathcal{I}_p if and only if it belongs to \mathcal{I}_p .

Assume that \mathbf{A} is separable and choose a countable subset $\{A_n\}$ of \mathbf{A} which is dense everywhere in the unit ball of \mathbf{A} . Then we have

$$\mathcal{I}_p = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (t \in \mathcal{I} : \|A_n^{\mathcal{L}}t\|_t = |t(A_n)|)$$

and \mathcal{I}_p is a G_{δ} -subset of \mathcal{I} . Q. E. D.

Assume that \mathbf{A} is separable and consider the sequence A_n in the unit ball of \mathbf{A} which is everywhere dense in it. Then

$$d^{\mathcal{L}}(t, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} | \|A_n^{\mathcal{L}}t\|_t - \|A_n^{\mathcal{L}}s\|_s |$$

is a metric in \mathcal{I} . We call it a \mathcal{L} -metric in \mathcal{I} and its induced topology a \mathcal{L} -weak topology of \mathcal{I} .

If a distribution μ in \mathcal{I} vanishes outside of \mathcal{I}_p , it is regarded as a distribution in \mathcal{I}_p such that every Borel set in \mathcal{I}_p is measurable and, removing a suitable set of any small mass from \mathcal{I}_p , each measurable set is compact. Such a distribution in \mathcal{I}_p is said merely a distribution in \mathcal{I}_p . Consider the totality $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{I}_p)$ of distributions in \mathcal{I}_p and the totality $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{I}_p)$ of continuous functions in \mathcal{I}_p . $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{I}_p)$ is a subset of the dual space of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{I}_p)$ in which the weak topology is defined.

Theorem 24. *If \mathbf{A} is separable, every trace t on \mathbf{A} is a mean*

$$t = m_{\mu} = \int \omega d\mu(\omega)$$

of a suitable distribution μ in \mathcal{I}_p . The mapping

$$\mu \in D(\mathcal{I}_p) \rightarrow m_\mu \in \mathcal{I}$$

is a homeomorphism between $D(\mathcal{I}_p)$ and \mathcal{I}_p in their weak and \mathcal{L} -weak topologies.

Proof. Let t be a trace and Z the center of $(A_t)''$. By Proposition 1.7 in Chapter 2, a prespectral distribution μ in the total state space \mathcal{S} is so uniquely chosen that two representations $(A_t, Z, L^2(t))$ and $(A_\mu, M(\mu), L^2(\mu))$ are unitary equivalent. It is easy to show that the carrier of μ is contained in \mathcal{I} and consists of pure traces almost everywhere. Since every distribution in \mathcal{I}_p is prespectral, the mapping $\mu \rightarrow m_\mu$ is one-one between $D(\mathcal{I}_p)$ and \mathcal{I} . We show that the mapping is a homeomorphism. Since $(A_\omega)^\sharp = \omega(A)I = J_A(\omega)I$ holds for $A \in \mathbf{A}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{I}_p$, $C(\mathcal{I}_p)$ is regarded as the smallest C^* -algebra of operator fields in \mathcal{I}_p which contains $(A^\sharp : A \in \mathbf{A})$. Let $\mu \in D(\mathcal{I}_p)$, $x \in L_2(\mu)$, $t = m_\mu = \int \omega d\mu$ and $m_x = \int x_\omega d\mu(\omega) \in L^2(t)$. Then we have

$$(A_t)^\sharp m_x = \int (A_\omega)^\sharp x_\omega d\mu(\omega) = \int J_A(\omega) x_\omega d\mu(\omega).$$

For every operator field $X \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp$ in \mathcal{I} a continuous function f_x in \mathcal{I}_p is so determined that

$$(X_t, t)_t = \int f_x(\omega) d\mu(\omega).$$

By the mapping $\mu \rightarrow m_\mu$ the weak topology in $D(\mathcal{I}_p)$ is induced to the weakest topology in \mathcal{I} such that each numerical function $(X_t, t)_t$ (where $X \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp$) of the variable t is continuous in \mathcal{I} . The \mathcal{L} -weak topology of \mathcal{I} is the weakest topology such that each $((A_t)^\sharp t, (A_t)^\sharp t)_t$ ($A \in \mathbf{A}$) is continuous. Then it is weaker than the former induced weak topology. Conversely, let t_n be a sequence in \mathcal{I} which converges to $t \in \mathcal{I}$ in the \mathcal{L} -weak topology. Then $(A^\sharp t_n)(B) = (B^\sharp t_n, A^\sharp t_n)_{t_n} \rightarrow (A^\sharp t)(B)$ and $t_n(A) = (A^\sharp t_n, I^\sharp t_n)_{t_n} \rightarrow t(A)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\mathcal{W} = \{t, t_1, t_2, \dots\}$ is a weakly compact sub-set of \mathcal{I} in which every $A^\sharp \omega$ ($A \in \mathbf{A}$) is a continuous field. Now $A^\sharp(K\omega) = KA^\sharp \omega \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{W}}(K \in \mathbf{K})$ imply that every A^\sharp ($A \in \mathbf{A}$) and consequently every X ($X \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp$) are continuous operator fields in \mathcal{W} , so that we have $(X_{t_n t_n}, t_n)_{t_n} \rightarrow (X_t, t)_t$ ($X \in \mathbf{A}^\sharp$) and t_n converges to t by the induced weak topology. Hence $\mu \leftrightarrow m_\mu$ is a homeomorphism between $D(\mathcal{I}_p)$ and \mathcal{I} .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. DIXMIER : Les Algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien (1955).
- [2] _____ : Application \mathcal{I} dans les anneaux d'opérateurs, Comps. Math., 10, (1952), 1—55.
- [3] _____ : Algèbres quasi-unitaires, Comm. Math. Helv., 26 (1952), 275—322.
- [4] I. GEFAND and M. NAIMARK : On the imbedding of normed rings in the ring of operators in Hilbert space. Mat. Sbornik., 12 (1943), 197—213.
- [5] I. GRIFFINE : Some contributions to the theory of rings of operators II. Traas. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1955), 389—400.
- [6] A. GROTHENDIEK : Un résultat sur le dual d'une C^* -algèbre. J. Math., 36 (1957), 97—108.
- [7] R. v. KADISON : Unitary invariants for representations of operator algebras, Ann. Math., 57 (1957), 304—379.
- [8] I. KAPLANSKIY : Quelques résultats sur les anneaux d'opérateurs, C. R. Acad. Sci., 231 (1950), 485—486.
- [9] _____ : A theorem of rings of operators. Paci. J. Math., 1 (1951), 227—232.
- [10] F. J. MURRAY and J. v. NEUMANN : On rings of Operator. Ann. Math., 37 (1936), 116—229.
- [11] J. v. NEUMANN : On rings of operators. Reduction. theory, Ann. Math., 37 (1936).
- [12] MANTNER : Irreducible Representations of locally compact groups, Ann. Math., 51 (1950), 401—485.
- [13] Z. TAKEDA : Conjugate spaces of Operator algebras, Proc. Jap. Acad., 30 (1954), 40—95.
- [14] I. E. SEGAL : Irreducible representations of operator algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 13 (1947), 73—88.
- [15] _____ : A noncommutative extension of the abstract integration, Ann. Math., 57 (1953), 401—457.
- [16] M. TOMITA : Harmonic analysis in locally compact groups, Math. J. Okayama Univ., (1956), 133—193.
- [17] _____ : Spectral theory of operator algebras I. Math. J. Okayama Univ., (1959), 63—98.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received July 1, 1960)