

# ON GENERATING ELEMENTS OF GALOIS EXTENSIONS OF DIVISION RINGS V

TAKASI NAGAHARA

1°. Let a division ring  $K$  be Galois over a division subring  $L$ . In case  $L$  is infinite over the center of  $L$ , we have proved, in a previous paper [8]<sup>1)</sup>, that if  $D$  is an arbitrary intermediate subring of  $K/L$  which is left finite over  $L$  then  $D$  is simple over  $L$ . In this paper, for an arbitrary  $L$ - $L$ -submodule  $X$  of  $K$  which is left finite over  $L$ , we shall prove that  $X$  has a single generating element over  $L$ , that is,  $X = LaL$  for some  $a$  (Theorem 1).

In 3°, our interest will be directed to Kurosch's problem for algebraic Galois extensions of division rings. And, we shall prove the following: Every (left) algebraic Galois extension  $K$  of  $L$  is locally finite over  $L$  if either  $L$  is infinite over the center of  $L$  or the centralizer of  $L$  in  $K$  is finite over the center of  $K$  (Theorem 2 and Theorem 3). Moreover, if  $K$  is Galois, left algebraic and of bounded degree over  $L$ , then  $K$  is finite over  $L$  (Theorem 4).

Finally, as to notations and terminologies used in this paper, we follow the previous ones [6], [7] and [8].

## 2°. Generating elements of $L$ - $L$ -submodules of $K$ .

Throughout this paper,  $K$  will be a division ring and  $L$  a division subring of  $K$ .  $C$  and  $Z$  will be the centers of  $K$  and  $L$  respectively, and  $V$  will mean  $V_x(L)$ . Moreover, in this section, we shall use the following conventions:  $X$  be a  $L$ - $L$ -submodule of  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  the  $L_r$ - $K_r$ -module consisting of all the (module) homomorphisms of  $X$  into  $K$ . And, we set  $\mathfrak{Y} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{X} \mid \alpha l_r = l_r \alpha \text{ for all } l_r \in L_r\}$ .

The following lemma contains [7, Lemma 1] and [8, Lemma 1] as special cases. However, as the proof proceeds just as in the proof of [8, Lemma 1], the proof may be omitted.

**Lemma 1.** *For any subset  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}$  is linearly independent over  $V_r$  if and only if it is linearly independent over  $K_r$ .*

Often the next corollary will be very convenient.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $K$  be Galois over  $L$ , and  $\mathfrak{G}$  a Galois group of  $K/L$ , that is, the fixing of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is  $L$ . If  $\mathfrak{G}_x$  means the restriction of  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $X$  then:*

---

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of this note.

- (1)  $[\mathbb{G}_X V_r : V_r]_r = [\mathbb{G}_X K_r : K_r]_r \approx [X : L]_l^{(2)}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_X K_r \cap \mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{G}_X V_r$ .  
 (2) If  $X = LaL^{(3)}$  for some  $a \in X$ , then  $[a\mathbb{G}_X V_r : V_r]_r \approx [X : L]_l$ .

*Proof.* The first part of our corollary will be proved by making use of the same method as in the proof of [8, Corollary 2]. Thus, we shall prove here the second part only. Noting that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{G}_X V_r$  annihilates  $a$  when and only when  $X\alpha = L(a\alpha)L = 0$ , we obtain  $[a\mathbb{G}_X V_r : V_r]_r = [\mathbb{G}_X V_r : V_r]_r$ . Hence, (2) is an easy consequence of (1).

In the rest of this note, we denote by  $\mathbb{G}$  a Galois group of  $K/L$  when  $K$  is Galois over  $L$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $K$  be Galois and finite over  $L$ . If  $V \subset L$  then there exists some  $a$  such that  $K = a\mathbb{G}L_r$  ([3, Satz 9]). And then, we have  $[K : L]_r = [a\mathbb{G}L_r : L]_r \leq [a\mathbb{G}V_r : V_r]_r = [LaL : L]_l$  by Corollary 1(2). It follows that  $K = LaL = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus Ll_i a l_i^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus l_i' a l_i'^{-1} L^{(4)}$  with  $l_i$ 's and  $l_i'$ 's of  $L$ .

In order to prove Theorem 1 which has been cited in 1°, one more lemma will be required.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $K$  be Galois over  $L$ ,  $M$  a (commutative) subfield of  $L$  which is algebraic and infinite over  $Z$ ,  $N$  a right  $V$ -submodule of  $K$  which is (right) finite over  $V$ , and  $d$  an element of  $K$ . If  $d\mathbb{G}V_r = \sum_{u=1}^r d_u V$  and  $\sum_{u=1}^s d_u M_0 = \sum_{u=1}^s \oplus d_u M_0$ , where  $M_0 = M[V] = M \times_Z V (\subset L \times_Z V)$ , then there exist an element  $m \in M$  and a division subring  $M^*$  of  $M_0$  containing  $V$  such that  $[M^* : V]_r < \infty$ ,  $N + \sum_{u=1}^s d_u m M^* = N \oplus \sum_{u=1}^s d_u m M^* = N \oplus (\sum_{u=1}^s d_u M^*)m$  and that  $d\mathbb{G}V_r \subset \sum_{u=1}^s d_u M^*$ .

*Proof.* We set  $d_i = \sum_{u=1}^s d_u m_{iu}$  with  $m_{iu}$ 's of  $M_0 (i = s+1, \dots, r)$  and denote by  $R$  the intersection of  $N$  and  $\sum_{u=1}^s d_u M_0$ . Clearly,  $R$  is a right  $V$ -submodule of  $K$  which is finite over  $V$ .

Now we shall distinguish two cases: Firstly in case  $R = 0$ , we set  $M^* = V[\{m_{iu}\}]$ . Since  $M_0 = M \times_Z V$ , we can choose a finite subset  $F$  of  $M$  such that  $M^{*'} = V[F] \supset M^*$ . Then, noting that  $M$  is a commutative field which is algebraic over  $Z$ , we have  $[M^* : V]_r \leq [M^{*'} : V]_r < \infty$ . Further, we obtain  $N + \sum_{u=1}^s d_u M^* = N \oplus \sum_{u=1}^s d_u M^*$  since  $R = \{0\}$ . It is clear that  $d\mathbb{G}V_r \subset \sum_{u=1}^s d_u M^*$ .

Secondly, we consider the case  $R \neq \{0\}$ . As  $R$  is a right  $V$ -module which is finite over  $V$ , we denote by  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  a right  $V$ -basis of  $R$ . Then

$$(1) \quad x_h = \sum_{u=1}^s d_u y_{hu} \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

where  $y_{hu}$ 's are all in  $M_0$ . We set here  $M^* = V[\{m_{iu}\}, \{y_{hu}\}]$ . Noting that  $M_0 = M \times_Z V$ , we can take some finite subset  $F$  of  $M$  such that  $V[F] \supset M^*$ . Since  $M$  is algebraic over  $Z$ , we have  $[V[F] : V] < \infty$ , which means that

2)  $[\ : ]_l$  and  $[\ : ]_r$  denote the left and right dimensions respectively. And in case  $[X : L]_l = [X : L]_r$ , they are denoted as  $[X : L]$ . If either  $[\mathbb{G}_X V_r : V_r]_r = [X : L]_l$  or  $[\mathbb{G}_X V_r : V_r]_r = \infty$  and  $[X : L]_l = \infty$ , then we write  $[\mathbb{G}_X V_r : V_r]_r \approx [X : L]_l$ .

3)  $LaL$  is the two-sided  $L$ -module generated by  $a$  over  $L$ .

4) Given a collection  $\{A_i\}$  of modules,  $\sum \oplus A_i$  denote the direct sum of the  $A_i$ .

$[M^*: V] < \infty$ . Then, from  $[M: Z] = [M_0: V] = \infty$ , we obtain  $M^* \subseteq M_0$ , and so  $M \not\subseteq M^*$ . Hence, there exists an element  $m \in M \setminus M^*$ . Suppose that  $N \cap \sum_{u=1}^s d_u m M^* \neq \{0\}$ . Let

$$(2) \quad \sum_{h=1}^n x_h v_h = \sum_{u=1}^s d_u m y_u'$$

be a non-zero element of  $N \cap \sum_{u=1}^s d_u m M^* \subset R$ , where  $v_h$ 's are all in  $V$  and  $y_u$ 's are all in  $M^*$ . Then, from (1) and (2), we obtain

$\sum_{u=1}^s \oplus d_u (m y_u' - \sum_{h=1}^n y_{hu} v_h) = 0$ , whence  $m y_u' - \sum_{h=1}^n y_{hu} v_h = 0$  ( $u = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ). This leads to the contradiction  $m \in M^*$ . Hence we have  $N + \sum_{u=1}^s d_u m M^* = N \oplus \sum_{u=1}^s d_u m M^*$  and  $d \otimes V_r \subset \sum_{u=1}^s d_u m M^*$ .

Now we are at the position to prove the following which contains [8, Theorem 1\*].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $K$  be Galois over  $L$ , and let  $[L: Z] = \infty$ . If  $X$  is a  $L$ - $L$ -submodule of  $K$  which is left finite over  $L$ , then  $X = LaL$  for some  $a \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $[X: L]_i = n$ . Then, from Corollary 1(2), we have  $[a \otimes V_r: V]_r = [LaL: L]_i \leq [X: L]_i = n$  for any element  $a$  in  $X$ . Hence, it suffices to prove that there exists an element  $a \in X$  such that  $[a \otimes V_r: V]_r = [X: L]_i = n$ .

We set  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n L d^{(i)}$  and  $\otimes_x V_r = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \sigma_{ix} V_r$  (Corollary 1(1)). Then, by Corollary 1(2), we have  $[d^{(i)} \otimes V_r: V]_r < \infty$ . We shall distinguish two cases:

Case I.  $L$  is not algebraic over  $Z$ . Let  $x \in L$  be transcendental over  $Z$ . If we set  $M' = \sum_{i=1}^n d^{(i)} \otimes V_r$ , then, by [8, Lemma 3], there exists some positive integer  $k$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M' y^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \oplus M' y^i$  for  $y = x^k$ . If  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ix} v_{ir}$  is a non-zero element of  $\otimes_x V_r$ , then  $0 \neq X\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n L(d^{(i)}\alpha)$ , so that, there exists an element  $d^{(i)}$  such that  $d^{(i)}\alpha \neq 0$ . We set here  $a = \sum_{i=1}^n d^{(i)} y^i$ . Noting that  $d^{(i)}\alpha \in M'$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n M' y^i = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus M' y^i$ , we obtain  $a\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n (d^{(i)}\alpha) y^i \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\{a\sigma_1, \dots, a\sigma_n\}$  is right  $V$ -independent. There holds therefore  $[a \otimes V_r: V]_r = [\otimes_x V_r: V_r]_r = n$ .

Case II.  $L$  is algebraic over  $Z$ . Let  $M$  be a maximal subfield of  $L$ . Then it is clear that  $[M: Z] = \infty$ . As to notations used in the rest of our proof, we shall follow Lemma 2. In case  $n = 1$ , our assertion is trivial, and so we may restrict our proof to the case  $n > 1$ . We set  $d^{(i)} \otimes V_r = \sum_{u=1}^r d_{iu} V$  ( $i = 2, \dots, n$ ), and  $\sum_{u=1}^r d_{iu} M_0 = \sum_{u=1}^r \oplus d_{iu} M_0$ . Applying Lemma 2 to  $N = d^{(i)} \otimes V_r$  and  $d = d^{(2)}$ , we obtain an element  $m_1 \in M$  and a division ring  $M_1$  of  $M_0$  containing  $V$  such that  $[M_1: V]_r < \infty$ ,  $d^{(i)} \otimes V_r + \sum_{u=1}^{s_2} d_{2u} m_1 M_1 = d^{(i)} \otimes V_r \oplus \sum_{u=1}^{s_2} d_{2u} m_1 M_1$ , and that  $d^{(2)} \otimes V_r \subset \sum_{u=1}^{s_2} d_{2u} M_1$ . Repeating the same procedure to  $N = d^{(i)} \otimes V_r \oplus \sum_{u=1}^{s_2} d_{2u} m_1 M_1$  and  $d = d^{(3)}$ , and so on, we have eventually  $n-1$  elements  $m_i$ 's of  $M$  and  $n-1$  subfields  $M_i$  of  $M_0$  containing  $V$  such that  $d^{(i)} \otimes V_r + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\sum_{u=1}^{s_{i+1}} d_{i+1u} m_i M_i) = d^{(i)} \otimes V_r \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \oplus (\sum_{u=1}^{s_{i+1}}$

$d_{i+1u}m_i M_i) = d^{(1)} \otimes V_r \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \oplus (\sum_{u=1}^{s_{i+1}} d_{i+1u} M_i) m_i$  and that  $d^{(t+1)} \otimes V_r \subset \sum_{u=1}^{s_{i+1}} d_{i+1u} M_i (i = 1, \dots, n-1)$ . Setting here  $a = d^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d^{(t+1)} m_i$ , the same argument as in the latter part of case I will show that  $[a \otimes V_r : V]_r = n$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1, for each subring  $D$  of  $K$  which is left finite over  $L$ ,  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus L l_i a l_i^{-1}$  with some  $a \in D$ .*

### 3°. Algebraic Galois extensions.

In [1, VII, §6], N. Jacobson gave the following definition :

**Definition.** An element  $a$  of a division ring  $K$  is called left algebraic over a division subring  $L$  if and only if  $[L[a] : L]_l < \infty$ .  $K$  is left algebraic over  $L$  if and only if every  $a \in K$  is left algebraic over  $L$ .

We denote by  $N$  the set of all elements of  $K$  such that  $[LaL : L]_l < \infty$ . Let  $a_1, a_2$  be elements of  $N$ . Then, noting that  $L(a_1 + a_2)L \subset La_1L + La_2L$  and  $La_1a_2L \subset La_1La_2L$ , we obtain  $[L(a_1 + a_2)L : L]_l \leq [La_1L : L]_l + [La_2L : L]_l < \infty$  and  $[La_1a_2L : L]_l \leq [La_1La_2L : L]_l \leq [La_1L : L]_l [La_2L : L]_l < \infty$ . Hence, both  $a_1 + a_2$  and  $a_1a_2$  are contained in  $N$ ; this shows that  $N$  is a subring of  $K$ . Moreover, one will easily see that  $N$  contains all the elements which are left algebraic over  $L$ . Under this convention, there holds the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $K$  be Galois over  $L$ , and let  $[L : Z] = \infty$ . If  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  is a finite subset of  $N$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n La_iL = LaL$  for some  $a \in N$ , and so,  $[L[a_1, \dots, a_n] : L] = [L[a] : L]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $[La_iL : L]_l$  is finite for each  $a_i$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n La_iL$  is left finite over  $L$ . Hence, our assertion is a consequence of Theorem 1.

Noting that if  $K$  is left algebraic over  $L$  then  $K = N$ , Lemma 3 yields at once the following.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $K$  be Galois and left algebraic over  $L$ . If  $[L : Z] = \infty$ , then  $K$  is left locally finite over  $L$ <sup>5)</sup>.*

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $K$  be Galois over  $L$ . If  $K$  is left algebraic over  $L$ , then  $K$  is right algebraic over  $L$ .*

*Proof.* In case  $[L : Z] = \infty$ ,  $K$  is left locally finite over  $L$ . Hence, by [5, Corollary 1],  $K$  is right locally finite over  $L$ , accordingly,  $K$  is right algebraic over  $L$ . Let  $[L : Z] < \infty$ , and  $a$  an element of  $K$ . Then, by [1, Theorem 7.9.1], we have  $[L[a] : L]_r \leq [L[a] : Z]_r = [L[a] : Z]_l < \infty$ .

**Remark 2.** We set  $H = V_K(V)$ . If  $K$  is Galois and left algebraic over

5) If  $K$  is Galois and left locally finite over  $L$ , then  $K$  is right locally finite too ([5, Theorem 2]).

$L$ , then one will easily see that  $K$  is left algebraic over  $H$  (Cf. [9, Lemma 2]). Further, we can prove that if  $K$  is Galois over  $L$  and left algebraic over  $H$  then, for each intermediate subring  $D$  of  $K/L$  which is left finite over  $L$ ,  $[D : L]_l = [D : L]_r$ . In fact, in case  $[L : Z] < \infty$ , the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 3 will give our assertion. On the other hand, in case  $[L : Z] = \infty$ ,  $L[V] = L \times_z V \supset (L \times_z V) \cap H \supset L \times_z V_H(H)$  implies  $[H : V_H(H)] = \infty$ . Accordingly, our assertion is a consequence of Theorem 2 and [5, Theorem 2].

Our next theorem will enable us to restate [4, § 3] in a similar form as in [1, VII, § 6]<sup>6)</sup>.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $K$  be Galois, and left algebraic over  $L$ . If  $[V : C] < \infty$ , then  $K$  is left locally finite over  $L$ .*

*Proof.* By the light of Theorem 2, we may, and shall, restrict our proof to the case  $[L : Z] < \infty$ . Since  $L[V] = L \times_z V$ , we have  $[L[V] : C] = [L[V] : V][V : C] < \infty$ , whence  $K$  is inner Galois over  $L[V]$ . Then, noting that  $V_{\kappa}(L[V]) \subset V_{\kappa}(L) = V$ , we obtain  $H = V_{\kappa}(V) \subset V_{\kappa}(V_{\kappa}(L[V])) = L[V]$ , and so  $[K : L[V]] \leq [K : H] = [V : C] < \infty$ . Thus, we get  $[K : C] = [K : L[V]][L[V] : C] < \infty$ .

On the other hand, noting that  $L[V]$  is left algebraic over  $L$ , we see that  $V$  is algebraic over  $Z$ , so that, the subfield  $Z[C]$  is ( $\mathfrak{G}$ -normal<sup>7)</sup> and locally finite over  $Z$ . And then, for any finite subset  $F$  of  $C$ , a similar argument as in the proof of [6, Lemma 3 (3)] enables us to prove that  $Z[F\mathfrak{G}] = Z \times_{Z \cap C} (Z \cap C)[F\mathfrak{G}]$ , and so we have  $Z[C] = Z \times_{Z \cap C} C$ . Hence, there holds that  $L[C] = L \times_z Z[C] = L \times_z (Z \times_{Z \cap C} C) = L \times_{Z \cap C} C$ , whence we obtain  $[L : (Z \cap C)] = [L[C] : C]$ . It follows therefore that for any  $k \in K$ ,  $[(Z \cap C)[k] : (Z \cap C)] \leq [L[k] : (Z \cap C)] = [L[k] : L][L : (Z \cap C)] = [L[k] : L][L[C] : C] \leq [L[k] : L][K : C]$ . Thus, recalling that  $[K : C] < \infty$ , we see that  $K$  is algebraic over  $Z \cap C$ . Then, by [1, Proposition 10.12.3],  $K$  is locally finite over  $Z \cap C$ . Consequently, from  $[L : (Z \cap C)] (= [L[C] : C]) \leq [K : C] < \infty$ , our assertion is immediate.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $L$  be a subfield of  $K$  containing the center  $C$  of  $K$ . If  $K/L$  is left algebraic and of bounded degree then  $[K : L] < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in L$  is transcendental over  $C$ . Then,  $\{1, x_r, x_r^2, \dots\} (\subset \text{Hom}_{L_r}(K, K)^{\text{8)})$  is linearly independent over  $L_l (\subset \text{Hom}_{L_l}(K, K))$ . Now, let  $X$  be an arbitrary  $L$ - $L$ -submodule of  $K$  with  $[X : L]_l < \infty$ , and

6) See [8, Remark 2] and the remarks of [9, Theorem 2].

7) For any subring  $D$  of  $K$ , we say that  $D$  is  $\mathfrak{G}$ -normal when  $D^\sigma = D$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$ .

8)  $\text{Hom}_{L_l}(K, K)$  denotes the module consisting of all the left  $L$ -homomorphisms of  $K$  into  $K$ .

$\mu_x(\cdot)$  a minimal polynomial of  $(x_r)_x$  (which may be considered as an element of  $\text{Hom}_{L_1}(X, X)$ ) over  $L_1(\subset \text{Hom}_{L_1}(X, X))$  with the degree  $n(X)$ . We can find here an element  $k \in K$  such that  $k\mu_x(x_r) \neq 0$ , and then  $X_1 = X + LkL$  is an  $L$ - $L$ -submodule with  $[X_1 : L]_i < \infty$ . Since  $X_1\mu_x(x_r) \neq 0$ , we readily see that  $n(X_1) > n(X)$ . And, this enables us to choose an  $L$ - $L$ -submodule  $Y$  with  $[Y : L]_i < \infty$  such that  $n(Y) > m$ , where  $m$  is an integer such that  $[L[a] : L]_i \leq m$  for all  $a \in K$ . Then, by [2, p. 69, Theorem 1], there exists some  $y \in Y$  such that  $\{y, yx_r, \dots, yx_r^{n(Y)}\}$  is linearly left independent over  $L$ . But, recalling that  $x \in L$ , this gives a contradiction  $n(Y) \leq [LyL : L]_i \leq [L[y] : L]_i \leq m$ . Thus, we see that  $L$  is algebraic over  $C$ .

Secondly, we shall prove  $[L : C] < \infty$ . If, otherwise,  $[L : C] = \infty$ , then there exists a subfield  $L_1$  of  $L$  with  $m < [L_1 : C] = s < \infty$ . Evidently,  $K$  is finite and Galois over  $V_\kappa(L_1)$  and  $L_1 \subset V_\kappa(L_1)$ . Hence, by [3, Satz 9], there exists an element  $u \in K$  such that  $K = \sum_{i=1}^s \oplus V_\kappa(L_1)u\tilde{l}_i$ , where  $l_i$ 's are suitable elements of  $L_1$ <sup>9)</sup>. Accordingly,  $\sum_{i=1}^s Lu\tilde{l}_i = \sum_{i=1}^s \oplus Lu\tilde{l}_i = \sum_{i=1}^s \oplus Lu\tilde{l}_i^{-1}$ , which gives a contradiction  $s \leq [LuL : L]_i \leq m$ . Hence,  $[L : C] < \infty$ . Accordingly  $V_\kappa(V_\kappa(L)) \cap V_\kappa(L) = L \cap V_\kappa(L) = L$ , whence  $V_\kappa(L)$  is algebraic and of bounded degree over its center  $L$ . [1, Theorem 7. 11. 1] proves therefore  $[V_\kappa(L) : L] < \infty$ . And we have eventually  $[K : L] = [K : V_\kappa(L)] [V_\kappa(L) : L] < \infty$ .

Now, we can prove a theorem which contains [1, Theorem 7. 11. 1] as a special case.

**Theorem 4.** *If  $K$  is Galois, left algebraic and of bounded degree over  $L$ , then  $[K : L] < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* In case  $[L : Z] = \infty$ , our assertion is contained in Lemma 3. Thus, in what follows, we shall restrict our proof to the case  $[L : Z] = q < \infty$ . Since  $L[V] = L \times_z V$ ,  $V$  is algebraic and of bounded degree over  $Z$ , accordingly so is the center  $C_0$  of  $V$ . Moreover,  $C_0$  is  $\mathfrak{G}$ -normal and  $\mathfrak{G}_{C_0}$  is the Galois group of  $C_0/Z$ . Hence,  $C_0$  being normal and separable over  $Z$ , we readily obtain  $[C_0 : Z] = \text{order of } \mathfrak{G}_{C_0} < \infty$ . Then, noting that the center  $C$  of  $K$  is a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -normal subfield of  $C_0$ , we obtain  $s = [C : L \cap C] = \text{order of } \mathfrak{G}_C \leq \text{order of } \mathfrak{G}_{C_0} < \infty$ . Now, let  $k$  be an arbitrary element of  $K$ . Then, one will easily see that  $L[k][C] = \sum_{i=1}^s L[k]c_i$  for a  $(L \cap C)$ -basis  $\{c_1, \dots, c_s\}$  of  $C$ , whence we obtain  $[Z[C][k] : Z[C]]_i \leq [L[C][k] : Z[C]]_i \leq [L[C][k] : Z]_i = [L[k][C] : L[k]]_i [L[k] : L]_i [L : Z] \leq smq$ , where  $m$  is an integer such that  $[L[a] : L]_i \leq m$  for all  $a \in K$ . We have proved therefore that  $K$  is left algebraic and of bounded degree over the field  $Z[C](\supset C)$ . Consequently, by Lemma 4, we obtain  $[K : Z[C]]_i < \infty$ . And so, we

9)  $\tilde{l}$  means the inner automorphism determined by  $l : \tilde{l} = l_l l_r^{-1}$ .

obtain our assertion  $[K:L]_t \leq [K:Z]_t \leq [K:Z[C]]_t [Z[C]:Z] < \infty$  since  $[Z[C]:Z] \leq [C:Z \cap C] = [C:L \cap C] = s < \infty$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. JACOBSON : Structure of rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., 37 (1956).
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_ : Lecture in abstract algebra II. (1951).
- [3] F. KASCH : Über den endomorphismenring eines Vektorraumes und den Satz von der Normalbasis, Math. Ann., 129 (1953), 447—463.
- [4] T. NAGAHARA and H. TOMINAGA : On Galois theory of division rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 6 (1956), 1—21.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_ : Some remarks on Galois extensions of division rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 9 (1959), 5—8.
- [6] T. NAGAHARA : On generating elements of Galois extensions of division rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 6 (1957), 181—190.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_ : On generating elements of Galois extensions of division rings III, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 7 (1957), 173—178.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_ : On generating elements of Galois extensions of division rings IV, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 8 (1958), 181—188.
- [9] N. NOBUSAWA : A note on Galois extensions of division rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 7 (1957), 179—183.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

*(Received June 20, 1960)*