

# ON GENERATING ELEMENTS OF GALOIS EXTENSIONS OF DIVISION RINGS II

MIKAO MORIYA and TAKASI NAGAHARA

1. In his previous paper [4], the latter of the present authors proved that if  $K$  is a division ring which is Galois and finite over a division subring  $L$  then  $K = L[k, uku^{-1}]$  with some  $k, u \in K$ . In this note, we shall present several precisions of this fact. Our principal results are stated as follows: 1) If a division ring  $K$  is Galois and finite over  $L$ , then  $K = L[k, vkv^{-1}]$  for some  $k \in K, v \in V_K(L)$  (Theorem 1). 2) Moreover, if  $V_K(L)$  is commutative then  $K = L[k]$  for some  $k \in K$  (Theorem 3).

All the results in this note have been obtained originally by the latter and the appendix is added by the former of the present authors.

Finally as to notations and terminologies, we follow [4].

2. Throughout this note,  $K$  be a division ring which is Galois and finite over a division subring  $L$ , and  $C, Z$  and  $C_0$  be the centers of  $K, L$  and  $H = V_K(V_K(L))$  respectively. As we have already proved,  $V_K(H) = V_K(L)$  and the center of  $V_K(L)$  coincides with  $C_0$ . Moreover,  $H$  is outer Galois over  $L$ , further,  $C_0 \supset C \cup Z$  and  $C$  is finite and Galois over  $C \cap Z$ . In the following we shall say that  $K/L$  is *simple* if  $K = L[k]$  for some  $k$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $K = L[k, vkv^{-1}]$  for some  $k \in K, v \in V_K(L)$ . Particularly, in case  $K/L$  is not simple,  $K = L[k, vkv^{-1}]$  for some  $k \in K, v \in C_0$  if and only if  $L \not\subset C$ .

*Proof.* In case  $L \subset C, V_K(L) = V_K(C) = K$ . As  $K = L[k, uku^{-1}]$  for some  $k, u \in K$  by [4, Theorem 4], our assertion is clear. If  $K/L$  is simple then trivially  $K = L[k, vkv^{-1}]$  for a primitive element  $k$  of  $K/L$  and for  $v \in V_K(L)$ . We shall assume therefore that  $K/L$  is not simple and  $L \not\subset C$ . In this case,  $C_0 \cong Z[C]$  by [4, Theorem 2]. And  $C \cap Z$  is an infinite set, for, if not,  $C$  is finite and so  $V_K(L)$  is also finite, that is, the total Galois group of  $K/L$  is almost outer so that  $K/L$  is simple [3, Corollary 2]. Now set  $L_1 = L[C_0]$ . Then,  $L_1 = L \times_Z C_0$ . Therefore  $V_{L_1}(L_1) = C_0[Z] = C_0$ , and  $L \subset L_1 \subset H$  implies  $V_K(H) \subset V_K(L_1) \subset V_K(L)$  whence  $V_K(L_1) = V_K(L)$ . Since  $L_1 \not\subset C$  and  $V_{L_1}(L_1) = C_0 = V_{V_K(L_1)}(V_K(L_1))$  we obtain  $K = L_1[k] (= H[k])$  by [4, Theorem 2]. Further, we have  $C = V_K(H[k]) = V_K(H) \cap V_K(\{k\}) = V_K(L) \cap V_K(\{k\}) =$

$V_{\kappa}(L[k])$ . This shows that the total Galois group of  $K/L[k]$  is outer. Hence, there exists only a finite number of intermediate division subrings of  $K/L[k]$  different from  $K: K_1, \dots, K_n$  ( $n > 0$ ). As  $C_0/Z$  is Galois so that separable, we have  $C_0 = Z[v]$  for some  $v$ . Hence,  $K = L_1[k] = L[v, k]$ . If  $vk = kv$  then  $v \in C_0 \cap V_{\kappa}(\{k\}) \subset V_{\kappa}(H) \cap V_{\kappa}(\{k\}) = V_{\kappa}(H[k]) = V_{\kappa}(K) = C$  and hence,  $Z[C] = C_0$  which is contrary to our assumption  $C_0 \not\supseteq Z[C]$ . Since  $v \notin K_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), we obtain from [4, Lemma 1]:  $K = L[k, (v+c)k(v+c)^{-1}]$  with some  $c \in C \cap Z$ . (Note here that  $C \cap Z$  is an infinite set.) Finally, in case  $L \subset C$ ,  $C_0$  coincides with  $C$ . Accordingly our second assertion is clear from the above proof.

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $a \in K \setminus H$ , there exists some  $k$  with  $K = L[a, k]$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously, it suffices to prove the Lemma in case  $K/L$  is not simple. If  $L \subset C$  then  $L[a]$  is commutative, but not contained in  $C$ . For, if  $L[a] \subset C$ , then  $a \in C \subset C_0 = V_H(H) \subset H$ . Therefore, by [4, Lemma 7],  $K = L[a][k] = L[a, k]$  for some  $k$ . Accordingly, we should consider only the case  $L \not\subset C$ . Then, Theorem 1 proves  $K = L[k, vkv^{-1}] = L[k, v]$  for some  $k \in K$ ,  $v \in C_0$ . We note here that  $C \cap Z$  is infinite. Evidently  $C \subset V_{\kappa}(L[k]) = V_{\kappa}(L) \cap V_{\kappa}(\{k\}) \subset V_{\kappa}(L[k, v]) = V_{\kappa}(K)$ , that is, the total Galois group of  $K/L[k]$  is outer. Therefore, there exists only a finite number of intermediate division subrings  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n$  ( $n > 0$ ) of  $K/L[k]$  different from  $K$ .

Since  $V_{\kappa}(L)/Z$  is finite and Galois and  $C_0/Z$  is separable, we can readily see from the proof of [1, Satz 5] that, for some  $x, y \in V_{\kappa}(L)$ ,  $V_{\kappa}(L) = Z[x, yxy^{-1}] \supset Z[x] \supset C_0$ . Noting that  $Z[yxy^{-1}] = yZ[x]y^{-1} \supset C_0$  and  $H = V_{\kappa}(V_{\kappa}(L)) = V_{\kappa}(Z[x, yxy^{-1}])$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $ax \neq xa$ <sup>1)</sup>. Now,  $L[k, x] \supset Z[x] \supset C_0 \ni v$  so that  $K = L[k, v] = L[k, x]$ , whence  $x \notin K_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Therefore, we can choose by [4, Lemma 1] some  $c \in C \cap Z$  such that  $(x+c)a(x+c)^{-1} \notin K_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Hence  $K = L[k, (x+c)a(x+c)^{-1}] = L[(x+c)^{-1}k(x+c), a]$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $L \not\supseteq Z$  and some  $l \in L \setminus Z$  is algebraic over  $Z$  then  $K/L$  is simple.*

*Proof.* We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  the group of inner automorphisms of  $K$  which are generated by all non-zero elements in  $Z[l]$ . Clearly, the fixed subring of  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  in  $L$  is  $V_L(Z[l]) = V_L(\{l\})$  which will be denoted by  $L_0$ . Then, we have  $L_0 \subset J(\mathfrak{G}(L_0), K) \subset J(\{\mathfrak{G}(K/L) \cup \mathfrak{F}_0\}, K) =$

1) If  $a \in V_{\kappa}(\{x, yxy^{-1}\})$  then  $a \notin V_{\kappa}(Z)$ , and so  $az \neq za$  for some  $z \in Z$ . We can take here  $x+z$  instead of  $x$ .

$J(\mathfrak{G}(K/L), K) \cap J(\mathfrak{F}_0, K) = L \cap J(\mathfrak{F}_0, K) = J(\mathfrak{F}_0, L) = L_0$ . As  $1 < [Z[I] : Z] < \infty$ , we have  $1 < [L : L_0] < \infty$ . Hence  $K$  is Galois and finite over  $L_0$ . Let  $t$  be any element in  $L$  belonging to  $V_K(V_K(L_0))$ . Then  $t \in L \cap V_K(V_K(L_0)) \subset L \cap V_K(V_L(L_0)) = V_L(V_L(L_0)) = L_0$ . Therefore, if  $l' \in L \setminus L_0$ ,  $K = L_0[l', k] = L[k]$  for some  $k$  by Lemma 1.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $V_K(L)$  is commutative, then there exists an element  $k$  in  $K$  such that  $k$  and  $k^{\bar{v}}$  are linearly independent over  $H$  for all  $v \in V_K(L) \setminus C$  where  $\bar{v}$  is the inner automorphism of  $K$  generated by  $v$ .*

*Proof.* We shall denote by  $H_r$  the ring of all right multiplications generated by  $H$ , and by  $\widehat{V_K(L)}$  the group of inner automorphisms of  $K$  generated by all non-zero elements of  $V_K(L)$ . Consider the ring  $\mathfrak{K}$  of endomorphisms of  $K$  generated by  $\widehat{V_K(L)}$  and  $H_r$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{K} = \widehat{V_K(L)} \cdot H_r$  because  $V_K(L) = V_K(H)$ . Since  $V_K(L)$  is commutative,  $H = V_K(V_K(L)) \supset V_K(L)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}$  is  $\mathfrak{K}$ -isomorphic to  $K$  as a right  $\mathfrak{K}$ -module<sup>2)</sup>. We denote this isomorphism by  $\varphi$ , and let  $k$  be the image of the identity 1 of  $\mathfrak{K}$  by  $\varphi$ . Now we can choose an  $C$ -basis of  $V_K(L)$   $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  such that  $v_1 = 1, v_2 = v$ . Then, as is well-known,  $\{\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_n\}$  are  $H_r$ -independent. Since  $[K : H] = [V_K(L) : C]$ ,  $\{\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_n\}$  is an  $H_r$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{K}$  by [2, Satz 10]. Therefore,  $\{\varphi(\bar{v}_i) = \varphi(1 \cdot \bar{v}_i) = k^{\bar{v}_i}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  forms an  $H$ -basis of  $K$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $V_K(L)$  is commutative, then  $K$  is simple over  $L$ .*

*Proof.* If  $V_K(L) = C[Z]$ , then  $K$  is simple over  $L$  by [4, Corollary 4]. We may therefore consider only the case where  $V_K(L) \not\cong C[Z]$ . Accordingly  $L$  is infinite and  $K \cong H \cong L$ . By [3, Corollary 3],  $H = L[h]$  with some  $h \in H$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2, there exists an element  $k \in K$  such that  $k$  and  $k^{\bar{v}}$  are linearly independent over  $H$  (and so  $k \neq k^{\bar{v}}$ ) for all  $v \in V_K(L) \setminus C$ . This fact means evidently  $K = H[k]$ . Now we set  $\mathfrak{G} = \bigcup \mathfrak{G}_x$  where  $\mathfrak{G}_x = \mathfrak{G}(K/L[k(h+x)])$ . Noting that  $V_K(L[k(h+x)]) = \overset{x \in L}{V_{V_K(L)}}(\{k(h+x)\}) = V_{V_K(H)}(\{k(h+x)\}) = V_K(H[k(h+x)]) = V_K(K) = C$ , it follows that  $K$  is outer Galois over  $L[k(h+x)]$ , whence each  $\mathfrak{G}_x$  is a finite outer subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}(K/L)$ . Accordingly we have: order of  $\mathfrak{G}_x =$  order of  $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_x$  where  $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_x$  is the restriction of  $\mathfrak{G}_x$  to  $H$ . If  $x_1, x_2$  are different in  $L$  then  $L[k(h+x_1), k(h+x_2)] = K$ , consequently  $\mathfrak{G}_{x_1} \cap \mathfrak{G}_{x_2}$  is the identity group.

Now we shall prove that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is finite. Suppose, on the contrary, that

2) See [2, Satz 9].

$\mathfrak{H}$  is infinite. Then, from the preceding remarks, we can find such different  $x_1, x_2$  in  $L$  that  $\mathfrak{G}_{x_1}, \mathfrak{G}_{x_2}$  are both different from the identity group and  $\overline{\mathfrak{G}}_{x_1} = \overline{\mathfrak{G}}_{x_2}$  since the total Galois group of  $H/L$  has only a finite number of subgroups. If  $\mathfrak{G}_{x_1} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$  then  $\mathfrak{G}_{x_2} = \{\sigma_1 \bar{v}_1, \dots, \sigma_m \bar{v}_m\}$  with  $v_j$ 's in  $V_K(L)$ , where some of  $v_j$ 's, say  $v_1$ , is not in  $C$ . Since  $k(h + x_1) = k^{\sigma_1}(h + x_1)^{\sigma_1}$  and  $k(h + x_2) = k^{\sigma_1 \bar{v}_1}(h + x_2)^{\sigma_1 \bar{v}_1}$  (that is,  $k^{\bar{v}_1^{-1}}(h + x_2) = k^{\sigma_1}(h + x_2)^{\sigma_1}$ ), we obtain  $k(h + x_1) ((h + x_1)^{\sigma_1})^{-1} = k^{\sigma_1}$  and  $k^{\bar{v}_1^{-1}}(h + x_2) ((h + x_2)^{\sigma_1})^{-1} = k^{\sigma_1}$ . Hence we have  $k(h + x_1) ((h + x_1)^{\sigma_1})^{-1} - k^{\bar{v}_1^{-1}}(h + x_2) ((h + x_2)^{\sigma_1})^{-1} = 0$ . Recalling that  $H$  is normal over  $L$ ,  $(h + x_1) ((h + x_1)^{\sigma_1})^{-1}$  and  $(h + x_2) ((h + x_2)^{\sigma_1})^{-1}$  are both in  $H$ . Accordingly the last equation contradicts the fact that  $k$  and  $k^{\bar{v}_1^{-1}}$  are linearly independent over  $H$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a finite set. Since  $L$  is infinite and  $\mathfrak{G}_x \cap \mathfrak{G}_y$  is the identity group for any different  $x, y$  in  $L$ , there exists some  $x_0 \in L$  such that  $\mathfrak{G}_{x_0}$  itself is the identity group. Then evidently  $K = L[k(h \mp x_0)]$ .

### 3. Appendix

In the sequel, we wish to present an alternative proof of Theorem 3.

**Proposition 1.** *The group  $\mathfrak{F}$  of all the  $L$ -inner automorphisms of  $K$  is commutative if and only if  $V_K(L)$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* If  $V_K(L)$  is commutative then so is  $\mathfrak{F}$  evidently. Conversely suppose  $\mathfrak{F}$  is commutative. If there exist some  $a, b \in V_K(L)$  such that  $ab \neq ba$  then, as  $\bar{a} \bar{b} = \bar{b} \bar{a}$ ,  $ab = bac$  for some  $c (\neq 1)$  in  $C$ , that is,  $aba^{-1} = bc$ . Further, for any non-zero  $c^* \in C$ , there holds  $a(b + c^*)a^{-1} = (b + c^*)c'$  with some  $c' \in C$ . As  $a(b + c^*)a^{-1} = aba^{-1} + c^* = bc + c^*$  and  $a(b + c^*)a^{-1} = bc' + c^*c'$ , we obtain  $b(c - c') = c^*(c' - 1)$ . Noting that  $b \notin C$ , we have  $c = c' = 1$ . But this is a contradiction, and consequently  $V_K(L)$  must be commutative.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3$  be inner automorphisms of  $K$  and  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  be non-zero elements in  $C$ . If  $\iota_1 c_{1r} + \iota_2 c_{2r} + \iota_3 c_{3r} = 0$  then  $\iota_i$ 's are not all different.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_i$  be elements in  $K$  such that  $\iota_i = \bar{x}_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ . Then, from the assumption, we have  $x_{1l} + x_{2l} y_{2r} + x_{3l} y_{3r} = 0$ ,<sup>3)</sup> where  $y_i = x_i^{-1} c_i x_i c_i^{-1}$  ( $i = 2, 3$ ). Further, there holds  $x_{2l} (y_{2r} k_r - k_r y_{2r}) + x_{3l} (y_{3r} k_r$

3) For  $x \in K$ ,  $x_r$  and  $x_l$  mean the right- and left-multiplications by  $x$  respectively.

$-k_r y_{2r}) = 0$  for all  $k \in K$ . If  $x_{21}$  and  $x_{31}$  are  $K_r$ -independent then  $y_2 k - k y_2 = 0 = y_3 k - k y_3$ . Hence, in this case, both  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  are in  $C$ . As  $y_i = x_i^{-1} c_i x_i c_i^{-1}$  ( $i = 2, 3$ ), we have  $x_i^{-1} x_i \in C$ , whence  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3$ . On the other hand, if  $x_{21}$  and  $x_{31}$  are  $K_r$ -dependent then  $x_{21} + x_{31} y_r = 0$  for some  $y \in K$ , from which we readily see  $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3$ .

Now we shall prove the following theorem which is equivalent to Theorem 3.

**Theorem 3'.** *Let  $K$  be Galois and finite over  $L$ . If the group  $\mathfrak{S}$  of all the  $L$ -inner automorphisms in  $K$  is commutative then  $K/L$  is simple.*

*Proof.* Since  $V_K(L)$  ( $= V_K(H)$ ) is commutative by Proposition 1,  $H$  contains  $V_K(H)$ . And so [2, Satz 7] shows that  $K$  has a normal basis  $\{k^{\rho_i}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  over  $H$  where  $n = [V_K(L) : C]$  and  $\rho_i \in \mathfrak{S}$ . In case  $\mathfrak{G}(K/L)$  is almost outer, our theorem is obviously true. We shall therefore, in the rest, restrict our attention to the case where  $\mathfrak{G}(K/L)$  is not almost outer. Accordingly  $C \cap Z$  is an infinite field. Since  $H/L$  is outer Galois,  $H = L[h]$  for some  $h$ . Then we can prove that  $K = L[xh + k]$  with some  $x \in C \cap Z$ . This fact is obviously involved in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be commutative,  $H = L[h]$  and  $\{k^{\rho_i}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be an  $H$ -basis of  $K$  where  $\rho_i$ 's in  $\mathfrak{S}$ . If  $\{x_j; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is any infinite subset of  $C \cap Z$  then almost all  $L[x_j h + k]$  coincide with  $K$ .*

*Proof.* We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{G}_j$  the total group  $\mathfrak{G}(K/L[x_j h + k])$ .

i)  $\mathfrak{G}_j$  is outer. Let  $\iota$  be in  $\mathfrak{G}_j \cap \mathfrak{S}$ . Then  $x_j h + k^\iota = (x_j h + k)^\iota = x_j h + k$ , whence  $k^\iota = k$ . Hence  $\iota$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{G}(K/H[k]) = \mathfrak{G}(K/K)$ , that is,  $\iota$  is the identity.

Now suppose that the assertion of the lemma is not true. Then there exists an infinite number of  $\mathfrak{G}_j$ 's different from the identity group. Accordingly, without loss of generality, we may assume that all  $\mathfrak{G}_j$ 's are different from the identity group.

ii) *There is an infinite subset  $S$  of  $\{\mathfrak{G}_j; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that the restriction of each member of  $S$  to  $H$  is the same subgroup of the total group  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $H/L$ .* Since  $H$  is normal over  $L$ , and each  $\mathfrak{G}_j$  is outer by i), the restriction of  $\mathfrak{G}_j$  to  $H$  is a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{G}_j$ . As  $\mathfrak{G}$  is outer, it contains only a finite number of subgroups. Accordingly, there exists an infinite subset  $S$  of  $\{\mathfrak{G}_j; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that the restriction of each member of  $S$  to  $H$  is the same subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

We may assume therefore, without loss of generality, further that the restriction of each  $\mathfrak{G}_j$  to  $H$  is the same subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , which is evi-

dently different from the identity group. Then there exist  $\sigma_j$ 's in  $\mathfrak{G}_j$ 's such that  $h^{\sigma_j} = h^{\sigma_j} \neq h$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Hence  $(x_j h + k)^{\sigma_j} = x_j h + k$  implies  $(x_1 - x_j)(h^{\sigma_1} - h) + k^{\sigma_1} - k^{\sigma_j} = 0$  ( $j = 2, 3, 4$ ). As  $\sigma_j \sigma_1^{-1} = \tau_{j-1} \in \mathfrak{X}$ , we obtain

$$(*) \quad (x_1 - x_{j+1})(h - h^{\sigma_1^{-1}}) + k - k^{\tau_j} = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, 3).$$

Now, as is well-known, there exist non-zero  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in C \cap Z$  such that  $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^3 (x_1 - x_{j+1})c_j = 0$ . Then from (\*), we have  $\sum_{j=1}^3 k^{\tau_j} c_j = 0$ , which means that  $k \cdot (\sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_j c_{j_r}) = 0$ . Noting that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is commutative, we can easily see  $(\sum_{i=1}^n k^{\rho_i} h_i) \cdot (\sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_j c_{j_r}) = k \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i h_{i_r}) (\sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_j c_{j_r}) = (k \cdot \sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_j c_{j_r}) (\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i h_{i_r}) = 0$  for any  $h_i$ 's in  $H$ . As each element of  $K$  is of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n k^{\rho_i} h_i$  with  $h_i \in H$ , we have proved that  $\sum_{j=1}^3 \tau_j c_{j_r}$  is the zero-endomorphism of  $K$ . Accordingly, by Proposition 2, at least two of  $\tau_j$ 's, say  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , must coincide. Then, again from (\*), we obtain  $(x_3 - x_2)(h - h^{\sigma_1^{-1}}) = 0$ , which leads to a contradiction  $x_2 = x_3$ . This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. KASCH, Über den Satz vom primitiven Element bei Schiefkörper, J. reine und angew. Math., 180 (1951) 150–159.
- [2] ———, Über den Endomorphismenring eines Vektorraumes und den Satz von der Normalbasis, Math. Ann., 129 (1953) 447–463.
- [3] T. NAGAHARA, On primitive elements of Galois extensions of division rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 6 (1956) 23–28.
- [4] ———, On generating elements of Galois extensions of division rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 6 (1957) 181–190.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

(Received July 15, 1957)