

F -rationality of rings of invariants

Mitsuyasu Hashimoto

Okayama University

November 21, 2014

Partially Joint with [P. Symonds](#)

Dedicated to Professor [K.-i. Watanabe](#)
on the occasion of his seventieth birthday

F -regularity and F -rationality of rings of invariants

Let $k = \bar{k}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $V = k^d$, and G be a finite subgroup of $GL(V) = GL_d$. We say that $g \in GL(V)$ is a **pseudo-reflection** if $\text{rank}(1_V - g) = 1$. Let $B = \text{Sym } V = k[v_1, \dots, v_d]$, where v_1, \dots, v_d is a basis of V , and $A = B^G$.

Question 1

Assume that G does not have a pseudo-reflection.

- 1 When is $A = B^G$ strongly F -regular?
- 2 When is $A = B^G$ F -rational?

Broer–Yasuda theorem

Theorem 2 (Broer, Yasuda)

Assume that G does not have a pseudo-reflection. The following are equivalent.

- 1 $A = B^G$ is strongly F -regular.
- 2 A is a direct summand subring of B .
- 3 p does not divide the order $\#G$ of G .

$2 \Rightarrow 1$ is simply because strong F -regularity is inherited by a direct summand. $1 \Rightarrow 2$ is because a weakly F -regular ring is a splinter (Hochster–Huneke). $3 \Rightarrow 2$ is by the existence of the Reynolds operator. Broer and Yasuda proved $2 \Rightarrow 3$.

Today we consider the problem for F -rationality.

Frobenius twist

For a k -scheme $h : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, the scheme Z with the new k -structure

$$Z \xrightarrow{h} \text{Spec } k \xrightarrow{F^e} \text{Spec } k$$

is denoted by ${}^e Z$. Note that the Frobenius map $F_Z^e : {}^e Z \rightarrow Z$ is a k -morphism for $e \geq 0$.

For a k -morphism $f : Z' \rightarrow Z$, the morphism $f : {}^e Z' \rightarrow {}^e Z$ is again a k -morphism. We denote this morphism ${}^e f$.

Frobenius twist of a group scheme

If H is a k -group scheme, then by the product

$${}^e H \times {}^e H \cong {}^e(H \times H) \xrightarrow{{}^e \mu} {}^e H,$$

${}^e H$ is a k -group scheme, where $\mu : H \times H \rightarrow H$ is the product.

The Frobenius map $F_H^e : {}^e H \rightarrow H$ is a **homomorphism** of k -group schemes. If H is étale over k , F^e is an isomorphism. In particular, $F_G^e : {}^e G \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism.

Frobenius twist of a vector space

For a k -vector space W and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, the additive group W with the new k -structure given by

$$\alpha \cdot w := \alpha^{p^e} w$$

is denoted by ${}^e W$, where the right-hand side is by the original k -action of W , and the left hand side is by the new k -action of ${}^e W$. The vector w , viewed as an element of ${}^e W$ is denoted by ${}^e w$. Thus we have

$${}^e w + {}^e w' = {}^e(w + w'), \quad \alpha({}^e w) = {}^e(\alpha^{p^e} w).$$

Frobenius twist of k -algebras

For a k -algebra A , the k -space ${}^e A$ with the ring structure of A is a k -algebra. That is,

$${}^e a {}^e b = {}^e(ab).$$

If A is commutative, then $\text{Spec } {}^e A$ is identified with ${}^e(\text{Spec } A)$, and the Frobenius map $F_A^e : A \rightarrow {}^e A$ is a k -algebra map.

Frobenius twist of a representation

Let H be a k -group scheme, and W an H -module. Then ${}^e W$ is an ${}^e H$ -module in a natural way by the action

$${}^e H \times {}^e W \cong {}^e(H \times W) \rightarrow {}^e W.$$

When H is étale, as $F^e : {}^e H \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism, ${}^e W$ is an H -module again.

Explicit description of Frobenius twist of representations

Let W be a G -module of dimension n and w_1, \dots, w_n be a k -basis of W . For $g \in G$, the representation matrix $\rho(g) = (a_{ij}(g))$ is given by

$$gw_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}(g)w_i.$$

Then the representation matrix of ${}^e W$ with respect to the basis ${}^e w_1, \dots, {}^e w_n$ is given by

$$g({}^e w_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}(g)^{p^{-e}} ({}^e w_i).$$

The Frobenius twists of H -algebras and equivariant modules

Let C be an H -algebra. Then ${}^e C$ is an ${}^e H$ -algebra.

Let M be an (H, C) -module. Then ${}^e M$ is an $({}^e H, {}^e C)$ -module.

If, moreover, H is étale, then ${}^e C$ is an H -algebra, and ${}^e M$ is an $(H, {}^e C)$ -module.

Review of the settings

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $V := k^d$, and G a finite subgroup of $GL(V)$. Let $B := \text{Sym } V = k[v_1, \dots, v_d]$ and $A := B^G$, where v_1, \dots, v_d is a basis of V .

Remarks

Remark 3

- 1 Let $B * G$ be the twisted group algebra. A (G, B) -module and a $B * G$ -module are one and the same thing.
- 2 If $N \in \text{Ref}(A)$, then $\text{rank}_A N = \text{rank}_B (B \otimes_A N)^{**}$. For any $M \in \text{Ref}(G, B)$, $\text{rank}_B M = \text{rank}_A M^G$.
- 3 For $M \in \text{Ref}(G, B)$, ${}^e M \in \text{Ref}(G, B)$, and obviously

$$({}^e M)^G \cong {}^e (M^G).$$

- 4 For a (G, B) -module M and a G -module W , $M \otimes_k W$ is a B -module by $b(m \otimes w) = bm \otimes w$, and is a G -module $g(m \otimes w) = gm \otimes gw$, and it is in fact a (G, B) -module.

Graded (G, B) -modules

A \mathbb{Z} -graded (G, B) -module M is nothing but a (\tilde{G}, B) -module, where $\tilde{G} = \text{Spec } k[t, t^{-1}] \times G$. So for $e \geq 0$, ${}^e M$ is a $({}^e \tilde{G}, B)$ -module. As ${}^e \tilde{G} \cong \text{Spec } k[t^{p^{-e}}, t^{-p^{-e}}] \times G$, it is a $p^{-e}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded (G, B) -module. Let \mathcal{M} be the category of $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -graded (G, B) -modules. Let \mathcal{F} be its full subcategory consisting of B -finite B -free objects. The Frobenius twist ${}^e(?)$ is an endofunctor of \mathcal{M} , and ${}^e \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$.

kG is selfinjective

Let Λ be a finite dimensional k -algebra.

- We say that Λ is **symmetric** if ${}_{\Lambda}\Lambda \cong {}_{\Lambda}D(\Lambda)_{\Lambda}$, where $D(\Lambda) = \Lambda^*$ is the k -dual of Λ .
- The following are equivalent.
 - ① ${}_{\Lambda}\Lambda$ is injective.
 - ② Λ_{Λ} is injective.
 - ③ Any projective (left) Λ -module is injective.
 - ④ Any injective (left) Λ -module is projective.

We say that Λ is **selfinjective** (or **quasi-Frobenius**) if these conditions are satisfied.

- If Λ is symmetric, then Λ is selfinjective.
- kG is symmetric, and hence is selfinjective.

\mathcal{F} is Frobenius

Lemma 4 (Symonds–H)

We have $\text{Hom}_B(B \otimes_k kG, B) \cong B \otimes kG$ in \mathcal{F} . The category \mathcal{F} is a Frobenius category. Its full subcategory of projective injective objects agrees with

$$\mathcal{P} := \text{add}\{(B \otimes_k kG)[\lambda] \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]\}.$$

Frobenius twists of objects of \mathcal{F}

Lemma 5 (Symonds–H)

There exists some $e_0 \geq 1$ such that for any $E \in \mathcal{F}$ of rank f , there exists a direct summand E_0 of ${}^{e_0}E$ in \mathcal{F} such that $E_0 \cong (B \otimes_k kG)^f$ as (G, B) -modules.

Lemma 6 (Symonds–H)

${}^e(B \otimes_k kG) \cong (B \otimes_k kG)^{p^{de}}$ as (G, B) -modules.

Asymptotic behavior of Frobenius twists

Theorem 7 (Symonds–H)

There exists some $c > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that for any $E \in \mathcal{F}$ of rank f and any $e \geq 1$, there exists some decomposition

$${}^e E \cong E_{0,e} \oplus E_{1,e}$$

in \mathcal{F} such that $E_{0,e}$ is a direct sum of copies of $B \otimes_k kG$ as a (G, B) -module, and $E_{1,e}$ is an object of \mathcal{F} whose rank less than or equal to $fcp^{de}\alpha^e$.

Some observations on $E_{0,e}$ and $E_{1,e}$

• $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{de}} \text{rank } E_{1,e} = 0$. Hence $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{de}} \text{rank } E_{0,e} = f$.

• Since $(B \otimes_k kG)^G \cong B$ as A -modules, we have

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{de}} \mu_{\hat{A}}(\hat{E}_{0,e}^G) = f \mu_{\hat{A}}(\hat{B}) / |G| = fe_{\text{HK}}(\hat{A}) \text{ (by}$$

Watanabe–Yoshida theorem, as $[Q(\hat{B}) : Q(\hat{A})] = |G|$), where \hat{A} and \hat{B} are the completions of A and B , respectively.

• As $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{de}} \mu_{\hat{A}}({}^e \hat{E}^G) = e_{\text{HK}}({}^e \hat{E}^G) = fe_{\text{HK}}(\hat{A})$, we have

Corollary 8 (Symonds–H)

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{de}} \mu_{\hat{A}}(\hat{E}_{1,e}^G) = 0.$$

Interpretation to A -modules

Let $k = V_0, V_1, \dots, V_n$ be the list of simple G -modules. Let P_i be the projective cover of V_i . Set $M_i := (B \otimes_k P_i)^G$.

Theorem 9 (Symonds–H)

There exists some sequence of non-negative integers $\{a_e\}$ such that

- 1 $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} a_e/p^{de} = 1/|G|$; and
- 2 For each B -finite B -free \mathbb{Z} -graded (G, B) -module E of rank f and $e \geq 1$, there is a decomposition

$${}^e E^G \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^n M_i^{\oplus f a_e \dim V_i} \oplus M_{E,e}$$

as an A -module such that $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\hat{A}}(\hat{M}_{E,e})/p^{de} = 0$.

Sannai's dual F -signature

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a d -dimensional reduced F -finite local ring of prime characteristic p with k perfect. For finite R -modules M and N , define

$$\text{surj}_R(M, N) := \max\{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \exists \text{ a surjection } M \rightarrow N^{\oplus r}\}.$$

We define

$$s(M) := \limsup_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{surj}_R({}^e M, M)}{p^{de}},$$

and call it the **dual F -signature** of M (Sannai). $s(R)$ is nothing but the **F -signature** of the ring R (defined by Huneke–Leuschke).

Characterizations of F -regularity and F -rationality

Theorem 10

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a reduced F -finite local ring with k perfect.

- 1 (Tucker) $s(R) := \limsup_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{surj}({}^e R, R)}{p^{de}} = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{surj}({}^e R, R)}{p^{de}}$.
- 2 (Aberbach–Leuschke) R is strongly F -regular if and only if $s(R) > 0$.
- 3 (Gabber) R is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring.
- 4 (Sannai) R is F -rational if and only if R is Cohen–Macaulay and $s(\omega_R) > 0$, where ω_R is the canonical module of R .

The group $[\mathcal{C}]$

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category. We define

$$[\mathcal{C}] := \left(\bigoplus_{M \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot M \right) / (M - M_1 - M_2 \mid M \cong M_1 \oplus M_2).$$

The class of M in the group $[\mathcal{C}]$ is denoted by $[M]$.

The vector space $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} [\mathcal{C}]$ is denoted by $[\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}}$. If \mathcal{C} is Krull–Schmidt and \mathcal{C}_0 is a complete set of representatives of $\text{Ind } \mathcal{C}$, then $\{[M] \mid M \in \mathcal{C}_0\}$ is an \mathbb{R} -basis of $[\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The metric of $[\text{mod}(R)]$

Let R be a Henselian local ring, and $\mathcal{C} := \text{mod}(R)$. For $\alpha \in [\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}}$, we can write

$$\alpha = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{C}_0} c_M [M].$$

We define $\|\alpha\| := \sum_M |c_M| \mu_R(M)$. Then $([\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space. So it is a metric space by the metric

$$d(\alpha, \beta) := \|\alpha - \beta\|.$$

The F -limit of a module

Let $\alpha = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{C}_0} c_M [M] \in [\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}} = [\text{mod}(R)]_{\mathbb{R}}$.

- Define $\mu_R : [\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\mu_R(\alpha) := \sum_M c_M \mu_R(M)$.
- For $N \in \mathcal{C}_0$, define $\text{sum}_N : [\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\text{sum}_N(\alpha) = c_N$.
- Assume further that R is of characteristic $p > 0$ and F -finite with a perfect residue field.
- Define ${}^e\alpha = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{C}_0} c_M [{}^eM]$.
- Define $FL(\alpha) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{de}} {}^e\alpha$ (if exists, the F -limit of α).
- Define $e_{\text{HK}}(\alpha) = \sum_M c_M e_{\text{HK}}(M)$.
- For $N \in \mathcal{C}_0$, define $FS_N(\alpha) = \sum_M c_M FS_N(M)$, where $FS_N(M) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^{de}} \text{sum}_N({}^eM)$ (the generalized F -signature).

The Hilbert–Kunz multiplicity and F -signature

Lemma 11

$\mu_R : [\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a short map. That is, $|\mu_R(\alpha) - \mu_R(\beta)| \leq \|\alpha - \beta\|$. Similarly for $\text{sum}_N : [\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $N \in \mathcal{C}_0$. In particular, they are uniformly continuous.

Corollary 12

Let $\alpha = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{C}_0} c_M[M] \in [\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $FL(\alpha)$ exists, then

$$\mu_R(FL(\alpha)) = e_{\text{HK}}(\alpha)$$

and

$$\text{sum}_N(FL(\alpha)) = FS_N(\alpha).$$

The dual F -signature

For $\alpha = \sum_M c_M [M] \in [\mathcal{C}]_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $M, N \in \text{mod } R$,

- Define $\langle \alpha \rangle := \sum_M \max\{0, \lfloor c_M \rfloor\} [M]$.
- Define

$$\text{asn}(\alpha, N) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \text{surj}(\langle t\alpha \rangle, N)$$

(the limit exists, the **asymptotic surjective number**).

- In general, $\text{surj}(M, N) \leq \text{asn}([M], N)$.
- $\text{asn}(\cdot, N)$ is a short map.
- We say that $\alpha \geq 0$ if $c_M \geq 0$ for any $M \in \mathcal{C}_0$.
- If $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, then $\text{asn}(\alpha + \beta, N) \geq \text{asn}(\alpha, N) + \text{asn}(\beta, N)$.
- If the F -limit of M exists, then $s(M) = \text{asn}(FL([M]), M)$.

The restatement of Theorem 9

Theorem 13 (Symonds–H)

For each B -finite B -free \mathbb{Z} -graded (G, B) -module E of rank f ,

$$FL([\hat{E}^G]) = \frac{f}{|G|} [\hat{B}] = \frac{f}{|G|} \bigoplus_{i=0}^n (\dim V_i) [\hat{M}_i]$$

in $[\text{mod } \hat{A}]_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $M_i = (B \otimes_k P_i)^G$.

Remark 14

The theorem for the case that p does not divide $|G|$ is due to Nakajima–H.

The free locus U of the action

Set

$$\varphi : X := \operatorname{Spec} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A =: Y$$

be the canonical map, where $A = B^G$. Set

$$U := X \setminus \left(\bigcup_{g \in G \setminus \{e\}} X_g \right) \subset X, \text{ where}$$

$$X_g = \{x \in X \mid gx = x\}.$$

We call U the **free locus** of the action.

From now, unless otherwise stated explicitly (in an example), assume that G has **no pseudo-reflection**.

Let $\varphi : X = \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A = Y$ be as above, and U the free locus, and $U' := \varphi(U)$. We get the diagram

$$X \xleftarrow{i} U \xrightarrow{\rho} U' \xrightarrow{j} Y,$$

where ρ is the restriction of φ .

Almost principal bundle

Lemma 15

- 1 Set $\tilde{G} := G \times \mathbb{G}_m$. Then $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a \tilde{G} -morphism.
- 2 (Since G does not have a pseudo-reflection) U is large in X . That is, $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U) \geq 2$.
- 3 U is a \tilde{G} -stable open subset of X , and agrees with the étale locus of φ .
- 4 $U' := \varphi(U)$ is a large \mathbb{G}_m -stable open subset of Y , and the restriction $\rho : U \rightarrow U'$ of φ is a principal G -bundle.
- 5 $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a \tilde{G} -enriched almost principal G -bundle with respect to U and U' .

The equivalences

Theorem 16

The functor $\gamma : i_* \rho^* j^* : \text{Ref}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Ref}(G, X)$ is an equivalence whose quasi-inverse is $\delta : (?)^G j_* \rho_* i^* : \text{Ref}(G, X) \rightarrow \text{Ref}(Y)$.

Corollary 17

The functor $(B \otimes_A ?)^{**} : \text{Ref}(A) \rightarrow \text{Ref}(G, B)$ is an equivalence whose quasi-inverse is $(?)^G : \text{Ref}(G, B) \rightarrow \text{Ref}(A)$.

Remark 18

Similarly, $(\hat{B} \otimes_{\hat{A}} ?)^{**} : \text{Ref}(\hat{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ref}(G, \hat{B})$ is an equivalence whose quasi-inverse is $(?)^G$.

The description of ω_A

Theorem 19 (Watanabe–Peskin–Broer–Braun)

Let $\det = \det_V$ denote the one-dimensional representation $\bigwedge^d V$ of G . Then

- ① $\omega_A \cong (B \otimes_k \det)^G$.
- ② Hence $B \otimes_k \det \cong (B \otimes_A \omega_A)^{**}$.
- ③ In particular, A is quasi-Gorenstein if and only if $\det \cong k$ as a G -module (or equivalently, $G \subset SL(V)$).

Reproving Watanabe–Yoshida theorem and Broer–Yasuda theorem

Note that each $\hat{M}_i = (\hat{B} \otimes_k P_i)^G$ is an indecomposable \hat{A} -module, and $\hat{M}_i \not\cong \hat{M}_j$ for $i \neq j$. Moreover, $\hat{M}_i \cong \hat{A}$ if and only if $P_i \cong k$. This is equivalent to say that $i = 0$ and p does not divide $|G|$.

Corollary 20 (Watanabe–Yoshida, Broer, Yasuda)

The F -signature $s(\hat{A})$ of \hat{A} is zero if p divides $|G|$, and is $1/|G|$ otherwise.

Proof.

$$s(\hat{A}) = FS_{\hat{A}}(\hat{A}) = |G|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^n (\dim V_i) \text{sum}_{\hat{A}}([\hat{M}_i]). \quad \square$$

A lemma

Lemma 21

Let Λ be a selfinjective finite dimensional k -algebra, S a simple Λ -module, and $h : P \rightarrow S$ its projective cover. Let M be an indecomposable Λ -module (in this talk, an indecomposable Λ -module means a finitely generated one). Then the following are equivalent.

- 1 $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(M, \text{rad } P) = 0$.
- 2 $h_* : \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, S)$ is surjective.
- 3 M is either projective, or $M/\text{rad } M$ does not contain S .

Representation theoretic characterization of

$$s(\omega_{\hat{A}}) > 0$$

Let ν be the number such that $V_\nu \cong \det$.

Theorem 22 (Main Theorem)

Assume that A is not strongly F -regular (or equivalently, p divides $|G|$). Then the following are equivalent.

- 1 $s(\omega_{\hat{A}}) > 0$;
- 2 The canonical map $M_\nu \rightarrow \omega_A$ is surjective.
- 3 $H^1(G, B \otimes_k \text{rad } P_\nu) = 0$.
- 4 For any non-projective indecomposable G -summand M of B , $\text{soc } M$ does not contain \det^{-1} (the k -dual of \det).

If these conditions hold, then $s(\omega_{\hat{A}}) \geq 1/|G|$.

The proof of $\mathbf{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{3}$

Let $B = \bigoplus_j M_j$ with M_j indecomposable. The map $M_\nu \rightarrow \omega_A$ in $\mathbf{2}$ is

$$(B \otimes P_\nu)^G \rightarrow (B \otimes \det)^G.$$

As $\text{Ext}_G^i(M_j^*, ?) \cong H^i(G, M_j \otimes ?)$, $\mathbf{2}$ is equivalent to say that

$$\text{Hom}_G(M_j^*, P_\nu) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(M_j^*, \det)$$

is surjective for each j .

On the other hand, $\mathbf{3}$ is equivalent to say that

$$\text{Ext}_G^1(M_j^*, \text{rad } P_\nu) \cong H^1(G, M_j \otimes \text{rad } P_\nu) = 0$$

for each j . The result follows from Lemma 21.

The proof of $\mathbf{3} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{4}$

Similarly, $\mathbf{4}$ is equivalent to say that each M_j^* is injective (or equivalently, projective, as kG is selfinjective) or $M_j^* / \text{rad } M_j^* \cong (\text{soc } M_j)^*$ does not contain det .
Again by Lemma 21, we have $\mathbf{3} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{4}$.

Theorem 13 for $E = B \otimes \det$

Let $k = V_0, V_1, \dots, V_n$ be the list of simple G -modules. Let P_i be the projective cover of V_i . Set $M_i := (B \otimes_k P_i)^G$.

Theorem 13 (Symonds–H)

$$FL([\omega_{\hat{\lambda}}]) = \frac{1}{|G|} [\hat{B}] = \frac{1}{|G|} \bigoplus_{i=0}^n (\dim V_i) [\hat{M}_i],$$

where $M_i = (B \otimes P_i)^G$.

The proof of $2 \Rightarrow 1$

As we assume that there is a surjection $M_\nu \rightarrow \omega_A$, $\text{surj}(\hat{M}_\nu, \omega_{\hat{A}}) \geq 1$.
By **Theorem 13** (applied to $E = B \otimes \det$),

$$\begin{aligned} s(\omega_{\hat{A}}) &= \text{asn}(FL([\omega_{\hat{A}}]), \omega_{\hat{A}}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \text{asn}([\hat{M}_\nu] + \sum_{i \neq \nu} (\dim V_i) [\hat{M}_i], \omega_{\hat{A}}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|G|} \text{asn}([\hat{M}_\nu], \omega_{\hat{A}}) \geq \frac{1}{|G|} \text{surj}(\hat{M}_\nu, \omega_{\hat{A}}) \geq \frac{1}{|G|} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of $1 \Rightarrow 2$ (1)

By **Theorem 13**, we have that $\text{asn}([\hat{B}], \omega_{\hat{A}}) > 0$. Or equivalently, there is a surjection $h : \hat{B}^r \rightarrow \omega_{\hat{A}}$ for $r \gg 0$. By the equivalence $\gamma = (\hat{B} \otimes_{\hat{A}} ?)^{**} : \text{Ref}(\hat{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ref}(G, \hat{B})$, there corresponds

$$\tilde{h} = \gamma(h) : (\hat{B} \otimes_k kG)^r \rightarrow \hat{B} \otimes_k \det.$$

As $\hat{B} \otimes_k kG$ is a projective object in the category of (G, B) -modules, \tilde{h} factors through the surjection

$$\hat{B} \otimes_k P_\nu \rightarrow \hat{B} \otimes_k \det.$$

The proof of $1 \Rightarrow 2$ (2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\hat{B} \otimes_k kG)^r & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \hat{B} \otimes_k \det \\
 \downarrow \text{dotted} & \nearrow & \\
 \hat{B} \otimes P_\nu & &
 \end{array}
 \Leftrightarrow
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{B}^r & \xrightarrow{h} & \omega_{\hat{A}} \\
 \downarrow \text{dotted} & \nearrow & \\
 \hat{M}_\nu & &
 \end{array}$$

Returning to the category $\text{Ref } \hat{A}$, the surjection $h : \hat{B}^r \rightarrow \omega_{\hat{A}}$ factors through

$$\hat{M}_\nu = (\hat{B} \otimes_{\hat{A}} P_\nu)^G \rightarrow \omega_{\hat{A}}.$$

So this map is also surjective, and **2** follows. □

A corollary

Corollary 23

Let \det^{-1} denote the dual representation of \det . Assume that p divides $|G|$. If $s(\omega_{\hat{\lambda}}) > 0$, then \det^{-1} is not a direct summand of B .

Proof.

Note that the one-dimensional representation \det^{-1} is not projective. Moreover, the socle of \det^{-1} is \det^{-1} , which contains \det^{-1} as a submodule. The result follows from **1** \Rightarrow **4** of the theorem. \square

A lemma

Lemma 24

Let M and N be in $\text{Ref}(G, B)$. There is a natural isomorphism

$$\gamma : \text{Hom}_A(M^G, N^G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(M, N)^G$$

Proof.

This is simply because $\gamma = (B \otimes_A ?)^{**} : \text{Ref}(A) \rightarrow \text{Ref}(G, B)$ is an equivalence, and $\text{Hom}_B(M, N)^G = \text{Hom}_{G, B}(M, N)$. □

Another criterion (1)

Theorem 25

A is F -rational if and only if the following three conditions hold.

- 1 A is Cohen–Macaulay.
- 2 $H^1(G, B) = 0$.
- 3 $(B \otimes_k (I/k))^G$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay A -module, where I is the injective hull of k .

Another criterion (2)

Proof.

Assume that A is F -rational. Then A is Cohen–Macaulay.

As $s(\omega_{\hat{A}}) > 0$, $H^1(B \otimes \text{rad } P_\nu)^G = 0$, and

$$0 \rightarrow (B \otimes \text{rad } P_\nu)^G \rightarrow (B \otimes P_\nu)^G \rightarrow (B \otimes \det)^G \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

is exact. As $(B \otimes P_\nu)^G$ is a direct summand of $(B \otimes kG)^G = B$, it is an MCM module. As $(B \otimes \det)^G = \omega_A$, it is an MCM module. So the canonical dual of the exact sequence is still exact.

Another criterion (3)

Proof (continued).

As

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A((B \otimes_k ?)^G, \omega_A) = \mathrm{Hom}_B(B \otimes_k ?, B \otimes_k \det)^G = (B \otimes_k ?^* \otimes_k \det)^G,$$

we get the exact sequence of MCM A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow (B \otimes P_\nu^* \otimes \det)^G \rightarrow (B \otimes (\mathrm{rad} P_\nu)^* \otimes \det)^G \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

As $(\mathrm{rad} P_\nu)^* \otimes \det \cong I/k$, $(B \otimes (I/k))^G$ is an MCM. As I is an injective G -module, $B \otimes I$ is also injective, and hence $H^1(G, B \otimes I) = 0$. By the long exact sequence of the cohomology, we get $H^1(G, B) = 0$.

The converse is similar. Dualizing (2), we have that (1) is exact. \square

A corollary

Corollary 26

If A is F -rational, then $H^1(G, k) = 0$.

Proof.

k is a direct summand of B , and $H^1(G, B) = 0$. □

Example 27

If $\text{char}(k) = 2$ and $G = S_2$ or S_3 , then $H^1(G, k) \neq 0$. So $A = B^G$ is not F -rational (provided G does not have a pseudo-reflection).

An example (1)

- Let p be an odd prime number.
- Let us identify $\text{Map}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)^\times$ with the symmetric group S_p .
- Let $Q := \mathbb{F}_p \subset S_p$, acting on \mathbb{F}_p by addition. Q is generated by the cyclic permutation $\sigma = (1+) = (0\ 1\ \cdots\ p-1) \in S_p$.
- Let $\Gamma := \mathbb{F}_p^\times \subset S_p$, acting on \mathbb{F}_p by multiplication. It is a cyclic group of order $p-1$ generated by $\tau = (\alpha \cdot) = (1\ \alpha\ \alpha^2\ \cdots\ \alpha^{p-2})$, where α is the primitive element.
- As $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^\alpha$, Γ normalizes Q . Set $G = Q\Gamma$. $C_G(Q) = Q$.
- $G = \{\phi \in S_p \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \exists b \in \mathbb{F}_p \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_p \phi(x) = ax + b\} \subset S_p$.
- $\#G = p(p-1)$.

An example (2)

- The only involution of Γ is $\tau^{(p-1)/2} = ((-1)\cdot) = (1 (p-1))(2 (p-2)) \cdots ((p-1)/2 (p+1)/2)$, which is a transposition if and only if $p = 3$.
- As Γ contains a Sylow 2-subgroup, a transposition of G , if any, is conjugate to an element, which must be a transposition, of Γ . So G has a transposition if and only if $p = 3$.

An example (3)

- $G \subset S_p$ acts on $P = k^p = \langle w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{p-1} \rangle$ by $\phi w_i = w_{\phi(i)}$ for $\phi \in G$ and $i \in \mathbb{F}_p$.
- Let $r \geq 1$, and set $V = P^{\oplus r}$.
- G acts on V by permutations of the obvious basis.
- A permutation in G is a pseudo-reflection on V if and only if it is a transposition (as a permutation on the basis of V).
- G has a pseudo-reflection on V if and only if $r = 1$ and $p = 3$.

An example (4)

- Let $S = \text{Sym } P$.
- Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^p$, and let $w^\lambda = w_0^{\lambda_0} \cdots w_{p-1}^{\lambda_{p-1}}$ be the corresponding monomial of S .
- Unless $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_{p-1}$, Q acts freely on the orbit Gw^λ . So kGw^λ is a kQ -free module.
- For a G -module M , we have $H^i(G, M) \cong H^i(Q, M)^\Gamma$ (since the order of Γ is coprime to p , the Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence collapses).
- So kGw^λ is G -projective in this case.
- If $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_{p-1}$, $kGw^\lambda \cong k$ is trivial.
- So S is a direct sum of projectives and copies of k .

An example (5)

- Now consider $V = P^{\oplus r}$ and $B := \text{Sym } V \cong S^{\otimes r}$.
- Let k^- be the sign representation of G . As $\tau \in G$ is an odd permutation, $k^- \not\cong k$.
- $\det_V = (\det P)^{\otimes r} = (k^-)^{\otimes r} \cong \det_V^{-1}$. This is k if r is even and k^- if r is odd.
- If M is a projective G -module and N a G -module, then $M \otimes N$ is projective. So $B = S^{\otimes r}$ is again a direct sum of projectives and copies of k .
- If $r = 1$ and $p = 3$, then $A := B^G = k[e_1, e_2, e_3]$, the polynomial ring generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials.
- Otherwise, G does not have a pseudo-reflection. $s(\omega_A) > 0$ if and only if r is odd.

Kemper's theorem

Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and G be a subgroup of the symmetric group of S_d acting on $B = k[v_1, \dots, v_d]$ by permutation. Let Q be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Assume that $|Q| = p$. Let $N = N_G(Q)$ be the normalizer. Let X_1, \dots, X_c be the Q -orbits of $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$. Set

$$H := \{\sigma \in N \mid \forall i \sigma(X_i) \subset X_i\}.$$

Then Q is a normal subgroup of H . Set $m := [H : C_H(Q)]$.

Theorem 28 (Kemper)

$$\text{depth } B^G = \min\{2m + c, d\}.$$

The depth of our example

- For our G , Q , and V , $H = N = G$. $C_H(Q) = Q$.
- So $m = p - 1$, and $c = r$.
- So $\text{depth } A = \min\{2p - 2 + r, rp\}$ and $\dim A = d = rp$.
- So A is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if $r \leq 2$.
- It follows that A is F -rational if and only if $r = 1$.

Conclusion

Theorem 29

Let $p \geq 3$, r , G , V , $B = \text{Sym } V$, and $A = B^G$ be as above.

- 1 $\#G = p(p-1)$.
- 2 If $p = 3$ and $r = 1$, then G is a reflection group and A is a polynomial ring. Otherwise, G does not have a pseudo-reflection, and A is not F -regular.
- 3 If $p \geq 5$ and $r = 1$, then A is F -rational but not F -regular.
- 4 If $r = 2$, then A is Gorenstein, but not F -rational.
- 5 If $r \geq 3$ and odd, then $s(\omega_A) > 0$ but A is not Cohen–Macaulay.
- 6 If $r \geq 4$ and even, then A is quasi-Gorenstein, but not Cohen–Macaulay.

Thank you

This slide will soon be available at

<http://www.math.okayama-u.ac.jp/~hashimoto/>