

ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY OF THE REPRESENTING MEASURES OF THE TRANSMUTATION OPERATORS ATTACHED TO THE ROOT SYSTEM OF TYPE BC_2

KHALIFA TRIMÈCHE

ABSTRACT. We prove in this paper the absolute continuity of the representing measures of the transmutation operators $V_k, {}^tV_k$ and $V_k^W, {}^tV_k^W$ associated respectively to the Cherednik operators and the Heckman-Opdam theory attached to the root system of type BC_2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the differential-difference operators $T_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$, associated with a root system \mathcal{R} , a Weyl group W and a multiplicity function k , introduced by I. Cherednik in [2], and called the Cherednik operators in the literature. These operators are helpful for the extension and simplification of the theory of Heckman-Opdam, which is a generalization of the harmonic analysis on the symmetric spaces G/K (see [3, 4, 5, 7]).

The notion of transmutation operators called also the trigonometric Dunkl intertwining operators and their dual introduced in [8] are fundamental in the harmonic analysis associated to the Cherednik operators and the Heckman-Opdam theory. We have considered in [8, 9] the transmutation operators $V_k, {}^tV_k$ and $V_k^W, {}^tV_k^W$ associated respectively to the Cherednik operators and the Heckman-Opdam theory attached to the root system of type BC_2 , and we have proved that these operators are integral transforms, more precisely, for all function g in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (the space of C^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R}^2) we have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, V_k(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(y) d\mu_x(y), \quad (1.1)$$

where μ_x is a positive measure with compact support contained in the closed ball $\bar{B}(0, \|x\|)$ of center 0 and radius $\|x\|$, and of norm less than or equal to 1.

And for all function f in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (the space of C^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R}^2 , with compact support) we have

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, {}^tV_k(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) d\nu_y(x), \quad (1.2)$$

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 51F15; Secondary 33E30; 47B34.

Key words and phrases. Transmutation operators ; Absolute continuity of the representing measures ; Cherednik operators ; Heckman-Opdam theory.

where ν_y is a positive measure with support in the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; \|x\| \geq \|y\|\}$. From the previous results we have deduced that for all functions g in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)^W$ (the subspace of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of W -invariant functions) and f in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)^W$ (the subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of W -invariant functions) we have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, V_k^W(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(y) d\mu_x^W(y), \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, {}^tV_k(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) d\nu_y^W(x), \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\mu_x^W = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \mu_{wx} \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\nu_y^W = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \nu_{wy} \quad (1.6)$$

In this paper we prove that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$ (the regular part of \mathbb{R}^2) and $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the measures μ_x, μ_x^W and ν_y, ν_y^W are absolute continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 . More precisely there exist positive functions $\mathcal{K}(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{K}^W(x, y)$ such that

$$d\mu_x(y) = \mathcal{K}(x, y) dy, \quad (1.7)$$

$$d\mu_x^W(y) = \mathcal{K}^W(x, y) dy, \quad (1.8)$$

$$d\nu_y(x) = \mathcal{K}(x, y) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx, \quad (1.9)$$

$$d\nu_y^W(x) = \mathcal{K}^W(x, y) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx, \quad (1.10)$$

where \mathcal{A}_k is a weight function on \mathbb{R}^2 which will be given in the following section (see (2.7)).

The function $y \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(x, y)$ and $y \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^W(x, y)$ have their support contained in the closed ball $\bar{B}(0, \|x\|)$ and satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x, y) dy \leq 1, \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}^W(x, y) dy \leq 1. \quad (1.12)$$

As applications of the previous results, we prove that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2$ the Opdam-Cherednik kernel G_λ and the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function F_λ possess the following integral representations

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2, G_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x, y) e^{-i\langle \lambda, y \rangle} dy, \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2, F_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x, y)^W e^{-i\langle \lambda, y \rangle} dy. \quad (1.14)$$

2. THE CHEREDNIK OPERATORS ATTACHED TO THE ROOT SYSTEM OF TYPE BC_2

We consider \mathbb{R}^2 with the standard basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$, and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for which this basis is orthonormal. We extend this inner product to a complex bilinear form on \mathbb{C}^2 .

2.1. The root system of type BC_2 and the Cherednik operators on \mathbb{R}^2 . The root system of type BC_2 can be identified with the set \mathcal{R} given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm 2e_1, \pm 2e_2\} \cup \{\pm e_1 \pm e_2\}, \quad (2.1)$$

which can also be written in the form

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\pm \alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 6\},$$

with

$$\alpha_1 = e_1, \alpha_2 = e_2, \alpha_3 = 2e_1, \alpha_4 = 2e_2, \alpha_5 = (e_1 - e_2), \alpha_6 = (e_1 + e_2). \quad (2.2)$$

We denote by \mathcal{R}_+ the set of positive roots.

$$\mathcal{R}_+ = \{\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 6\}. \quad (2.3)$$

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$, we consider

$$r_\alpha(x) = x - \langle \check{\alpha}, x \rangle \alpha, \text{ with } \check{\alpha} = \frac{2\alpha}{\|\alpha\|^2}, \quad (2.4)$$

the reflection in the hyperplane $H_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ orthogonal to α .

The reflections $r_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{R}$, generate a finite group W called the Weyl group associated with \mathcal{R} . In this case W is isomorphic to the hyperoctahedral group which is generated by permutations and sign changes of the $e_i, i = 1, 2$.

The multiplicity function $k : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ can be written in the form $k = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ where k_1 and k_2 are the values on the roots α_1, α_2 , and α_3, α_4 respectively, and k_3 is the value on the roots α_5, α_6 .

The positive Weyl chamber denoted by \mathfrak{a}^+ is given by

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 ; \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+, \langle \alpha, x \rangle > 0\}. \quad (2.5)$$

it can also be written in the form

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 ; x_1 > x_2 > 0\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, let \mathcal{A}_k be the weight function

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{A}_k(x) = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+} \left| \sinh \left\langle \frac{\alpha}{2}, x \right\rangle \right|^{2k(\alpha)}. \quad (2.7)$$

The Cherednik operators $T_j, j = 1, 2$, are defined for functions f of class C^1 on \mathbb{R}^2 by

$$T_j f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+} \frac{k(\alpha) \langle \alpha, e_j \rangle}{1 - e^{-\langle \alpha, x \rangle}} \{f(x) - f(r_\alpha x)\} - \rho_j f(x), \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$\rho_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+} k(\alpha) \langle \alpha, e_j \rangle, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.9)$$

These operators can also be written in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 f(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(x) + k_1 \frac{\{f(x) - f(r_{\alpha_1} x)\}}{1 - e^{-\langle \alpha_1, x \rangle}} + 2k_2 \frac{\{f(x) - f(r_{\alpha_3} x)\}}{1 - e^{-\langle \alpha_3, x \rangle}} \\ &+ k_3 \left[\frac{f(x) - f(r_{\alpha_5} x)}{1 - e^{-\langle \alpha_5, x \rangle}} + \frac{f(x) - f(r_{\alpha_6} x)}{1 - e^{-\langle \alpha_6, x \rangle}} \right] - \left(\frac{1}{2} k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \right) f(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 f(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f(x) + \frac{\{f(x) - f(r_{\alpha_2} x)\}}{1 - e^{-\langle \alpha_2, x \rangle}} + 2k_2 \frac{\{f(x) - f(r_{\alpha_4} x)\}}{1 - e^{-\langle \alpha_4, x \rangle}} \\ &+ k_3 \left[- \left(\frac{f(x) - f(r_{\alpha_5} x)}{1 - e^{-\langle \alpha_5, x \rangle}} \right) + \left(\frac{f(x) - f(r_{\alpha_6} x)}{1 - e^{-\langle \alpha_6, x \rangle}} \right) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{2} k_1 + k_2 \right) f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

2.2. The Opdam-Cherednik kernel and the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function (see [3, 4, 5, 7]). We denote by $G_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the eigenfunction of the operators $T_j, j = 1, 2$. It is the unique analytic function on \mathbb{R}^2 which satisfies the differential-difference system

$$\begin{cases} T_j G_\lambda(x) = -i\lambda_j G_\lambda(x), j = 1, 2, x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ G_\lambda(0) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

It is called the Opdam-Cherednik kernel.

We consider the function $F_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2$, defined by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad F_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} G_\lambda(wx). \quad (2.13)$$

It is called the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function.

The functions G_λ and F_λ possess the following properties .

- i) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the function $\lambda \rightarrow G_\lambda(x)$ is entire on \mathbb{C}^2 .
- ii) We have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2, \quad |G_\lambda(x)| \leq G_{Im(\lambda)}(x). \quad (2.14)$$

- iii) We have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad |G_\lambda(x)| \leq 1. \quad (2.15)$$

(See [9]).

vi) The function $G_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2$, admits the following Laplace type representation

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, G_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\langle \lambda, y \rangle} d\mu_x(y), \quad (2.16)$$

where μ_x is the positive measure given by (1.1).

v) From (2.13), (2.16) we deduce that the function $F_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2$, possesses the Laplace type representation

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, F_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\langle \lambda, y \rangle} d\mu_x^W(y), \quad (2.17)$$

where μ_x^W is the measure given by (1.3).

3. THE TRANSMUTATION OPERATOR AND ITS DUAL ASSOCIATED WITH THE CHEREDNIK OPERATORS ATTACHED TO THE ROOT SYSTEM OF TYPE BC_2

Notations. We denote by

- $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the space of C^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R}^2 . Its topology is defined by the semi-norms

$$q_{n,K}(\varphi) = \sup_{\substack{|\mu| \leq n \\ x \in K}} |D^\mu \varphi(x)|.$$

where K is a compact of \mathbb{R}^2 , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$D^\mu = \frac{\partial^{|\mu|}}{\partial^{\mu_1} x_1 \partial^{\mu_2} x_2 \partial^{\mu_3} x_3}, \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3, |\mu| = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3.$$

- $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the space of C^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R}^2 with compact support. We have

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \cup_{a>0} \mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the space of C^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R}^2 with support in the closed ball $B(0, a)$ of center 0 and radius a . The topology of $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined by the semi-norms

$$P_n(\psi) = \sup_{\substack{|\mu| \leq n \\ x \in B(0,a)}} |D^\mu \psi(x)|, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is equipped with the inductive limit topology.

By using the measure μ_x given by (1.1) we define by applying the same method as in [8], the transmutation operator V_k called also the trigonometric Dunkl intertwining operator relating to the root system of type BC_2 by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad V_k(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(y) d\mu_x(y), \quad g \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (3.1)$$

The operator V_k is the unique linear topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto itself satisfying the transmutation relations

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, T_j V_k(g)(x) = V_k\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} g\right)(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (3.2)$$

and the condition

$$V_k(g)(0) = g(0). \quad (3.3)$$

The dual ${}^t V_k$ of the operator V_k is defined by the following duality relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} {}^t V_k(f)(y) g(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V_k(g)(x) f(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx, \quad (3.4)$$

with f in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and g in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

The operator ${}^t V_k$ is a linear topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto itself satisfying the transmutation relations

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, {}^t V_k((T_j + S_j)f)(y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} {}^t V_k(f)(y), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (3.5)$$

where S_j is the operator on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ given by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, S_j(h)(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+} k(\alpha) \langle \alpha, e_j \rangle h(r_\alpha x).$$

The operator ${}^t V_k$ is an integral transform, more precisely we have

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, {}^t V_k(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) d\nu_y(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad (3.6)$$

where ν_y is the measure given by (1.2).

Remark 3.1. *By using the measure μ_x given by (1.1) we have defined and studied in [8] the transmutation operator V_k^W on $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)^W$ relating to the root system of type BC_2 , and we have studied also its dual ${}^t V_k^W$ on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)^W$. We have given some properties of these operators and we have proved that they are positive integral transforms.*

Notation. We denote by $B(c, a)$ the open ball of \mathbb{R}^2 of center $c \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and radius $a > 0$, and by $\bar{B}(c, a)$ its closure.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $a > 0$. We consider the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$ of functions in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, positive, increasing such that :*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \text{supp } g_n \subset \bar{B}(y_0, a), \forall y \in B(y_0, a - \frac{1}{n}), g_n(y) = 1,$$

and

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g_n(y) = 1_{B(y_0, a)}(y),$$

where $1_{B(y_0, a)}$ is the characteristic function of the ball $B(y_0, a)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} V_k(g_n)(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g_n(y) d\mu_x(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 1_{B(y_0, a)}(y) d\mu_x(y). \end{aligned}$$

The function $x \rightarrow \mu_x(B(y_0, a)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 1_{B(y_0, a)}(y) d\mu_x(y)$, which can also be denoted by $V_k(1_{B(y_0, a)})(x)$ is defined almost every where on \mathbb{R}^2 (see [1, p. 17]), measurable and for all f in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mu_x(B(y_0, a)) f(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx = \int_{B(y_0, a)} {}^t V_k(f)(y) dy. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. For all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, the function $V_k(g_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then we obtain the results of this proposition from the continuity of the operator V_k from $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into itself, the monotonic convergence theorem and the relation (3.4). \square

Remark 3.3. *There exists a σ -algebra \mathfrak{m} in \mathbb{R}^2 which contains all Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then for all $E \in \mathfrak{m}$, the function $x \rightarrow \mu_x(E)$ is defined almost every where on \mathbb{R}^2 , measurable and we have the following relation*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mu_x(E) f(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx = \int_E {}^t V_k(f)(y) dy, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (3.8)$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $a > 0$. We consider the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$ of functions in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, positive, increasing such that :*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \text{supp } f_n \subset \bar{B}(x_0, a), \forall x \in B(x_0, a - \frac{1}{n}), f_n(x) = 1,$$

and

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(x) = 1_{B(x_0, a)}(x),$$

where $1_{B(x_0, a)}$ is the characteristic function of the ball $B(x_0, a)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} {}^t V_k(f_n)(y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_n(x) d\nu_y(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 1_{B(x_0, a)}(x) d\nu_y(x). \end{aligned}$$

The function $y \rightarrow \nu_y(B(x_0, a)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 1_{B(x_0, a)}(x) d\nu_y(x)$, which can also be denoted by ${}^t V_k(1_{B(x_0, a)})(y)$ is defined almost every where on \mathbb{R}^2 (see [1, p.

17]), measurable and for all g in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nu_y(B(x_0, a))g(y)dy = \int_{B(x_0, a)} V_k(g)(x)\mathcal{A}_k(x)dx. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. For all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, the function ${}^tV_k(f_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the continuity of the operator tV_k from $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into itself, the monotonic convergence theorem and the relation (3.4) imply the results of this proposition. \square

3.1. Absolute continuity of the measure ν_y . The purpose of this subsection is to prove that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the measure ν_y is absolute continuous will respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 . **Notation.** We denote by λ the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proposition 3.5. *For $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, there exists a unique positive function $\mathcal{K}(x, \cdot)$ integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ , and a positive measure μ_x^s on \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every Borel set E , we have*

$$\mu_x(E) = \int_E \mathcal{K}(x, y)dy + \mu_x^s(E). \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. We deduce (3.10) from (1.1) and Theorem 6.9 of [6, p.129-130] and Theorem 8.6 and its Corollary of [6, p. 166]. \square

Remark 3.6. i) *The supports of the function $y \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(x, y)$ and the measure μ_x^s are contained in the ball $\bar{B}(0, \|x\|)$.*
 ii) *The measures μ_x^s and the Lebesgue measure λ are mutually singular.*
 iii) *From Theorem 8.6, p. 166 and Definition 8.3, p.164, of [6], we have*

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu_x(B(y, a))}{\lambda(B(y, a))}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proposition 3.7. *We consider $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$ and a positive function f in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with support contained in the ball $\bar{B}(0, R)$, $R > 0$.*

i) *For all Borel set E , we have*

$$\int_E \mathcal{N}^f(y)dy = \int_{\bar{B}(0, R)} \mu_x^s(E)f(x)\mathcal{A}_k(x)dx, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}^f(y) = {}^tV_k(f)(y) - \int_{\bar{B}(0, R)} \mathcal{K}(x, y)f(x)\mathcal{A}_k(x)dx. \quad (3.13)$$

ii) *We have*

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{N}^f(y) \geq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. i) By using the relations (3.8), (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E {}^tV_k(f)(y)dy &= \int_{\bar{B}(0,R)} \mu_x(E) f(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\bar{B}(0,R)} \left[\int_E \mathcal{K}(x,y) dy + \mu_x^s(E) \right] f(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce (3.12) by applying Fubini-Tonelli's theorem to the second member.

ii) From the relation (3.12), the positivity of the measure μ_x^s implies that for all Borel set E , we have

$$\int_E \mathcal{N}^f(y) dy \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{N}^f(y) \geq 0.$$

□

Proposition 3.8. *The measure Λ^f on \mathbb{R}^2 , given for all Borel set E by*

$$\Lambda^f(E) = \int_E \mathcal{N}^f(y) dy, \quad (3.15)$$

is positive and bounded.

Proof. - The relation (3.14) gives the positivity of the measure Λ^f .

- From the relations (3.15), (3.12), for all Borel set E we have

$$\Lambda^f(E) \leq \int_{\bar{B}(0,R)} \|\mu_x^s\| f(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx. \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand by using (3.10), we obtain for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$,

$$\mu_x^s(E) \leq \mu_x(E),$$

thus

$$\|\mu_x^s\| \leq \|\mu_x\| \leq 1.$$

By using this result, the relation (3.16) implies that for all Borel set E , we have

$$\Lambda^f(E) \leq M_f,$$

where

$$M_f = \int_{\bar{B}(0,R)} f(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx.$$

Then the measure Λ^f is bounded. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$ and f the function given in Proposition 3.7.*

i) For all Borel set E we have

$$\Lambda^f(E) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

ii) For $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$${}^tV_k(f)(y) = \int_{\bar{B}(0,R)} \mathcal{K}(x,y)f(x)\mathcal{A}_k(x)dx. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. i) From the relations (3.15), (3.12), for all Borel set E the measure Λ^f possesses also the following form

$$\Lambda^f(E) = \int_{\bar{B}(0,R)} \mu_x^s(E)f(x)\mathcal{A}_k(x)dx. \quad (3.19)$$

On the other hand from Proposition 3.8 the measure Λ^f is absolute continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ and from Remark 3.6 ii) the measure $\mu_x^s, x \in \bar{B}(0,R)$, and the Lebesgue measure λ are mutually singular. Then from Proposition 6.8 (f), p.129, of [6], the measure Λ^f and $\mu_x^s, x \in \bar{B}(0,R)$, are mutually singular. By using the definition of measures mutually singular (see p. 128 of [6]), we deduce (3.17) from (3.19).

ii) By using the i) and (3.15), (3.13), we obtain (3.18). □

Theorem 3.10. For all f in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we have

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, {}^tV_k(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x,y)f(x)\mathcal{A}_k(x)dx. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. We obtain (3.20) by writing $f = f^+ - f^-$ and by using Proposition 3.9 ii). □

Remark 3.11. Theorem 3.10 shows that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the measure ν_y given by the relation (1.2), is absolute continuous with respect to the measure $\mathcal{A}_k(x)dx$. More precisely we have

$$d\nu_y(x) = \mathcal{K}(x,y)\mathcal{A}_k(x)dx. \quad (3.21)$$

3.2. Absolute continuity of the measure μ_x . The purpose of this subsection is to prove that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$ the measure μ_x is absolute continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Theorem 3.12. For all g in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, we have

$$V_k(g)(x_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x_0,y)g(y)dy. \quad (3.22)$$

Proof. By writing $g = g^+ - g^-$ it suffices to prove the theorem for g positive. From the relation (3.9) we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(B(x_0, a))} \int_{B(x_0, a)} V_k(g)(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(y) \frac{\nu_y(B(x_0, a))}{\lambda(B(x_0, a))} dy. \quad (3.23)$$

By using the relation (3.20), and by applying Fubini-Tonelli's theorem to the second member of (3.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda(B(x_0, a))} \int_{B(x_0, a)} V_k(g)(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx = \\ \frac{1}{\lambda(B(x_0, a))} \int_{B(x_0, a)} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x, y) g(y) dy \right] \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the relation (2) of [6, p.168], to the two members of this relation we get

$$\mathcal{A}_k(x_0) V_k(g)(x_0) = \mathcal{A}_k(x_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x_0, y) g(y) dy,$$

as

$$\mathcal{A}_k(x_0) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2,$$

thus for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, we have

$$V_k(g)(x_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x_0, y) g(y) dy.$$

□

Remark 3.13. From Theorem 3.12 and the relation (1.1) we deduce that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$ the measure μ_x is absolute continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 . More precisely we have

$$d\mu_x(y) = \mathcal{K}(x, y) dy. \quad (3.24)$$

Corollary 3.14. i) For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, we have

$$G_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x, y) e^{-i\langle \lambda, y \rangle} dy. \quad (3.25)$$

ii) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x, y) dy \leq 1. \quad (3.26)$$

iii) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, we have

$$\text{supp} \mathcal{K}(x, \cdot) \subset \bar{B}(0, \|x\|). \quad (3.27)$$

Proof. We deduce the results of this Corollary from (1.1), (2.17), and Theorem 3.12. □

Theorem 3.15. *We have*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2, \lim_{\|\lambda\| \rightarrow +\infty} G_\lambda(x) = 0. \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. From the relation (3.26) the function $\mathcal{K}(x, \cdot)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R}^2 with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 . Then we deduce (3.28) from the relation (3.25) and Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma for the usual Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^2 . \square

3.3. Absolute continuity of the measures ν_y^W and μ_x^W .

Theorem 3.16. *For all f in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)^W$, we have*

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^2, {}^tV_k^W(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}^W(x, y) f(x) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx, \quad (3.29)$$

where $\mathcal{K}^W(x, y)$ is the function given by

$$\mathcal{K}^W(x, y) = \frac{1}{|W|^2} \sum_{w, w' \in W} \mathcal{K}(wx, w'y). \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. The relations (1.4), (1.6) and Theorem 3.10 imply the relations (3.29), (3.30). \square

Theorem 3.17. *For all g in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)^W$, we have*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2, V_k^W(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}^W(x, y) g(y) dy, \quad (3.31)$$

where $\mathcal{K}^W(x, y)$ is the function given by the relation (3.30).

Proof. We deduce (3.31) from the relations (1.3), (1.5) and Theorem 3.12. \square

Remark 3.18. *Theorems 3.16, 3.17 show that the measures $\nu_y^W, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mu_x^W, x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, given respectively by the relations (1.4), (1.3), are absolute continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 . More precisely we have*

$$d\nu_y^W(x) = \mathcal{K}^W(x, y) \mathcal{A}_k(x) dx, \quad (3.32)$$

and

$$d\mu_x^W(y) = \mathcal{K}^W(x, y) dy. \quad (3.33)$$

Corollary 3.19. i) *For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, we have*

$$F_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}^W(x, y) e^{-i\langle \lambda, y \rangle} dy. \quad (3.34)$$

ii) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}^W(x, y) dy \leq 1. \quad (3.35)$$

iii) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2$, we have

$$\text{supp}\mathcal{K}^W(x, \cdot) \subset \bar{B}(0, \|x\|). \quad (3.36)$$

Proof. The relations (1.3), (2.18) and Theorem 3.17, imply the results of this Corollary. \square

Theorem 3.20. *We have*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{reg}^2, \lim_{\|\lambda\| \rightarrow +\infty} F_\lambda(x) = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

Proof. We deduce (3.37) from the relations (3.34), (3.35) and Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma for the usual Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^2 . \square

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to the referee for careful reading and useful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki. *Elements de Mathematiques Fascule XXI*. Intégration des mesures. Chapitre 5, Hermann, Paris, 1967.
- [2] I. Cherednik. *A unification of Knizhnik-Zamolodchnikov equations and Dunkl operators via affine Hecke algebras*. Invent.Math. **106**, (1991), pp. 411-432.
- [3] G.H.Heckman and E.M.Opdam. *Root systems and hypergeometric functions. I*. Compositio. Math. **64**, (1987), pp. 329-352.
- [4] G.J.Heckman and H. Schlichtkrull. *Harmonic analysis and special functions on symmetric spaces*. Academic Press 1994.
- [5] E.M.Opdam. *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*. Acta Math. **175**, (1995), pp. 75-121.
- [6] W. Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. Mc Graw Hill Inc. second edition. 1974.
- [7] B.Schapira. *Contributions to the hypergeometric function theory of Heckman and Opdam : sharp estimates, Schwartz space, heat kernel*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **18**, (2008), pp. 222-250.
- [8] K. Trimèche. *The positivity of the transmutation operators associated with the Cherednik operators for the root system of type BC_2* . Math. J. Okayama Univ. **58** (2016), 183–198.
- [9] K. Trimèche. *The positivity of the hypergeometric translation operators associated to the Cherednik operators and the Heckman-Opdam theory attached to the root system of type BC_2* . Int. J. Appl. Math. **29** (2016), 687–715.

KHALIFA TRIMÈCHE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF TUNIS
UNIVERSITY TUNIS EL-MANAR- TUNISIA
CAMPUS, 2092 TUNIS, TUNISIA
e-mail address: khlifa.trimeche@fst.rnu.tn
e-mail address: khalifa.trimeche@gmail.com

(Received July 22, 2014)
(Accepted February 17, 2015)