

## ON MODEL STRUCTURE FOR COREFLECTIVE SUBCATEGORIES OF A MODEL CATEGORY

TADAYUKI HARAGUCHI

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a coreflective subcategory of a cofibrantly generated model category  $\mathbf{D}$ . In this paper we show that under suitable conditions  $\mathbf{C}$  admits a cofibrantly generated model structure which is left Quillen adjunct to the model structure on  $\mathbf{D}$ . As an application, we prove that well-known convenient categories of topological spaces, such as  $k$ -spaces, compactly generated spaces, and  $\Delta$ -generated spaces [3] (called numerically generated in [12]) admit a finitely generated model structure which is Quillen equivalent to the standard model structure on the category  $\mathbf{Top}$  of topological spaces.

### 2. COREFLECTIVE SUBCATEGORIES OF A MODEL CATEGORY

Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be a cofibrantly generated model category [7, 2.1.17] with generating cofibrations  $I$ , generating trivial cofibrations  $J$  and the class of weak equivalences  $W_{\mathbf{D}}$ . If the domains and codomains of  $I$  and  $J$  are finite relative to  $I$ -cell [7, 2.1.4], then  $\mathbf{D}$  is said to be finitely generated.

Recall that a subcategory  $\mathbf{C}$  of  $\mathbf{D}$  is said to be coreflective if the inclusion functor  $i: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  has a right adjoint  $G: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , so that there is a natural isomorphism  $\varphi: \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GY)$ . The counit of this adjunction  $\epsilon: GY \rightarrow Y$  ( $Y \in \mathbf{D}$ ) is called the coreflection arrow.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a coreflective subcategory of a cofibrantly generated model category  $\mathbf{D}$  which is complete and cocomplete. Suppose that the unit of the adjunction  $\eta: X \rightarrow GX$  is a natural isomorphism, and that the classes  $I$  and  $J$  of cofibrations and trivial cofibrations in  $\mathbf{D}$  are contained in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then  $\mathbf{C}$  has a cofibrantly generated model structure with  $I$  as the set of generating cofibrations,  $J$  as the set of generating trivial cofibrations, and  $W_{\mathbf{C}}$  as the class of weak equivalences, where  $W_{\mathbf{C}}$  is the class of all weak equivalences contained in  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $\mathbf{D}$  is finitely generated, then so is  $\mathbf{C}$ . Moreover, the adjunction  $(i, G, \varphi): \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  is a Quillen adjunction in the sense of [7, 1.3.1].*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies the six conditions of [7, 2.1.19] with respect to  $I$ ,  $J$  and  $W_{\mathbf{C}}$ . Clearly, the first condition holds because

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55U40; Secondary 55U35.

*Key words and phrases.* model category, Quillen equivalence, numerically generated space.

$W_{\mathbf{C}}$  satisfies the two out of three property and is closed under retracts. To see that the second and the third conditions hold, let  $I_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cell and  $J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cell be the collections of relative  $I$ -cell and  $J$ -cell complexes contained in  $\mathbf{C}$ , respectively. Since  $I_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cell and  $J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cell are subcollections of the collections of relative  $I$ -cell and  $J$ -cell complexes in  $\mathbf{D}$ , respectively, the domains of  $I$  and  $J$  are small relative to  $I_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cell and  $J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cell, respectively. The rest of the conditions are verified as follows. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Since  $\eta: X \rightarrow GX$  is isomorphic for  $X \in \mathbf{D}$ ,  $f$  is  $I$ -injective in  $\mathbf{C}$  if and only if it is  $I$ -injective in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Similarly,  $f$  is  $J$ -injective in  $\mathbf{C}$  if and only if it is  $J$ -injective in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Let  $f$  be an  $I$ -cofibration in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Then it has the left lifting property with respect to all  $I$ -injective maps in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Hence  $f$  is an  $I$ -cofibration in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Conversely, let  $f$  be an  $I$ -cofibration in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Suppose we are given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & A \\ f \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

where  $p$  is  $I$ -injective in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Then there is a relative  $I$ -cell complex  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  [7, 2.1.9] such that  $f$  is a retract of  $g$  by [7, 2.1.15]. Since  $g$  is an  $I$ -cofibration in  $\mathbf{D}$ , there is a lift  $Z \rightarrow A$  of  $g$  with respect to  $p$ . Then the composite  $Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow A$  is a lift of  $f$  with respect to  $p$ . Therefore  $f$  is an  $I$ -cofibration in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Similarly,  $f$  is a  $J$ -cofibration in  $\mathbf{C}$  if and only if it is a  $J$ -cofibration in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Thus we have the desired inclusions

- $J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cell  $\subseteq W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap I_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cof,
- $I_{\mathbf{C}}$ -inj  $\subseteq W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -inj, and
- either  $W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap I_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cof  $\subseteq J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cof or  $W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -inj  $\subseteq I_{\mathbf{C}}$ -inj.

Here  $I_{\mathbf{C}}$ -inj and  $I_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cof denote, respectively, the classes of  $I$ -injective maps and  $I$ -cofibrations in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and similarly for  $J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -inj and  $J_{\mathbf{C}}$ -cof. Therefore  $\mathbf{C}$  is a cofibrantly generated model category by [7, 2.1.19].

It is clear, by the definition, that  $\mathbf{C}$  is finitely generated if so is  $\mathbf{D}$ .

Finally, to prove that  $(i, G, \varphi)$  is a Quillen adjunction, it suffices to show that  $G: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a right Quillen functor, or equivalently,  $G$  preserves  $J$ -injective maps in  $\mathbf{D}$  by [7, 1.3.4] and [7, 2.1.17]. Let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $J$ -injective map in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Suppose there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & GX \\ f \downarrow & & Gp \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & GY \end{array}$$

where  $f \in J$ . Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & GX & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & X \\ f \downarrow & & & & p \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & GY & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & Y. \end{array}$$

Since  $p$  is  $J$ -injective in  $\mathbf{D}$ , there is a lift  $h: B \rightarrow X$  of  $f$ . Thus we have a lift  $Gh \circ \eta: B \cong GB \rightarrow GX$  of  $f$  with respect to  $Gp$ . Therefore  $Gp: GX \rightarrow GY$  is  $J$ -injective in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Similarly, we can show that  $G$  preserves  $I$ -injective maps in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and so  $G$  preserves trivial fibrations in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Hence  $(i, G, \varphi)$  is a Quillen adjunction.  $\square$

We turn to the case of pointed categories [7, p.4]. Let  $\mathbf{D}_*$  be the pointed category associated with  $\mathbf{D}$ , and let  $U: \mathbf{D}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  be the forgetful functor. We denote by  $I_+$  and  $J_+$  the classes of those maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{D}_*$  such that  $Uf: UX \rightarrow UY$  belongs to  $I$  and  $J$ , respectively. Then we have the following. (Compare [7, 1.1.8], [7, 1.3.5], and [7, 2.1.21].)

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be a cofibrantly (resp. finitely) generated model category, and let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a coreflective subcategory satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Then the pointed category  $\mathbf{C}_*$  has a cofibrantly (resp. finitely) generated model structure, with generating cofibrations  $I_+$  and generating trivial cofibrations  $J_+$ , such that the induced adjunction  $(i_*, G_*, \varphi_*): \mathbf{C}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_*$  is a Quillen adjunction.*

We also have the following Proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Suppose, further, that the coreflection arrow  $\epsilon: GY \rightarrow Y$  is a weak equivalence for any fibrant object  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Then the adjunctions  $(i, G, \varphi): \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  and  $(i_*, G_*, \varphi_*): \mathbf{C}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_*$  are Quillen equivalences.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a cofibrant object in  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $Y$  a fibrant object in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Then we have  $\varphi f = Gf \circ \eta: X \cong GX \rightarrow GY$ . Since  $f$  coincides with the composite  $X \xrightarrow{\varphi f} GY \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Y$  and  $\epsilon$  is a weak equivalence in  $\mathbf{D}$ ,  $\varphi f$  is a weak equivalence in  $\mathbf{C}$  if and only if  $f$  is a weak equivalence in  $\mathbf{D}$ . It follows by [7, 1.3.17] that the induced adjunction  $(i_*, G_*, \varphi_*)$  is a Quillen equivalence.  $\square$

### 3. ON A MODEL STRUCTURE OF THE CATEGORY $\mathbf{NG}$

In [12] we introduced the notion of numerically generated spaces which turns out to be the same notion as  $\Delta$ -generated spaces introduced by Jeff Smith (cf. [3]). Let  $X$  be a topological space. A subset  $U$  of  $X$  is numerically open if for every continuous map  $P: V \rightarrow X$ , where  $V$  is an open subset of

Euclidean space,  $P^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $V$ . Similarly,  $U$  is numerically closed if for every such map  $P$ ,  $P^{-1}(U)$  is closed in  $V$ . A space  $X$  is called a numerically generated space if every numerically open subset is open in  $X$ .

Let  $\mathbf{NG}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Top}$  consisting of numerically generated spaces. Then the category  $\mathbf{NG}$  is cartesian closed [12, 4.6]. To any  $X$  we can associate the numerically generated space topology, denoted  $\nu X$ , by letting  $U$  open in  $\nu X$  if and only if  $U$  is numerically open in  $X$ . Therefore we have a functor  $\nu: \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{NG}$  which takes  $X$  to  $\nu X$ . Clearly, the identity map  $\nu X \rightarrow X$  is continuous. By the results of [7, §3] the following holds.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The functor  $\nu: \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{NG}$  is a right adjoint to the inclusion functor  $i: \mathbf{NG} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ , so that  $\mathbf{NG}$  is a coreflective subcategory of  $\mathbf{Top}$ .*

A continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between topological spaces is called a weak homotopy equivalence in  $\mathbf{Top}$  if it induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups

$$f_*: \pi_n(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y, f(x))$$

for all  $n > 0$  and  $x \in X$ . Let  $I$  be the set of boundary inclusions  $S^{n-1} \rightarrow D^n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $J$  the set of inclusions  $D^n \times \{0\} \rightarrow D^n \times I$ , and  $W_{\mathbf{Top}}$  the class of weak homotopy equivalences. The standard model structure on  $\mathbf{Top}$  can be described as follows.

**Theorem 3.2** ([7, 2.4.19]). *There is a finitely generated model structure on  $\mathbf{Top}$  with  $I$  as the set of generating cofibrations,  $J$  as the set of generating trivial cofibrations, and  $W_{\mathbf{Top}}$  as the class of weak equivalences.*

The category  $\mathbf{NG}$  is complete and cocomplete by [12, 3.4]. A space  $X$  is numerically generated if and only if  $\nu X = X$  holds. Thus the unit of the adjunction  $\eta: X \rightarrow \nu X$  is a natural homeomorphism. Moreover, since CW-complexes are numerically generated spaces by [12, 4.4], the classes  $I$  and  $J$  are contained in  $\mathbf{NG}$ . Let  $W_{\mathbf{NG}}$  be the class of maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{NG}$  which is a weak equivalence in  $\mathbf{Top}$ . Since the coreflection arrow  $\nu Y \rightarrow Y$ , given by the identity of  $Y \in \mathbf{Top}$ , is a weak equivalence (cf. [12, 5.4]), we have the following by Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The category  $\mathbf{NG}$  has a finitely generated model structure with  $I$  as the set of generating cofibrations,  $J$  as the set of generating trivial cofibrations, and  $W_{\mathbf{NG}}$  as the class of weak equivalences. Moreover the adjunction  $(i, \nu, \varphi): \mathbf{NG} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$  is a Quillen equivalence.*

We turn to the case of pointed spaces. Let  $\mathbf{Top}_*$  be the category of pointed topological spaces. By [7, 2.4.20], there is a finitely generated model structure on the category  $\mathbf{Top}_*$ , with generating cofibrations  $I_+$  and generating

trivial cofibrations  $J_+$ . Then we have the following by Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.3.

**Corollary 3.4.** *There is a finitely generated model structure on the category  $\mathbf{NG}_*$  of pointed numerically generated spaces, with generating cofibrations  $I_+$  and generating trivial cofibrations  $J_+$ . Moreover, the inclusion functor  $i_*: \mathbf{NG}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}_*$  is a Quillen equivalence.*

*Remark.* (1) The argument of Theorem 3.3 can be applied to the subcategories  $\mathbf{K}$  of  $k$ -spaces and  $\mathbf{T}$  of compactly generated spaces. Similarly, the argument of Corollary 3.4 can be applied to the pointed categories  $\mathbf{K}_*$  and  $\mathbf{T}_*$ . Compare [2.4.28], [2.4.25], [2.4.26] of [7].

(2) Let  $\mathbf{Diff}$  be the category of diffeological spaces (cf. [8]). In [12] we introduced a pair of functors  $T: \mathbf{Diff} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$  and  $D: \mathbf{Diff} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ , where  $T$  is a left adjoint to  $D$ , and showed that the composite  $TD$  coincides with  $\nu: \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{NG}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{NG}$  can be embedded as a full subcategory into  $\mathbf{Diff}$ . It is natural to ask whether  $\mathbf{Diff}$  has a model category structure with respect to which the pair  $(T, D)$  gives a Quillen adjunction between  $\mathbf{Top}$  and  $\mathbf{Diff}$ .

Let  $I$  be the unit interval, and let  $\lambda: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow I$  be the smashing function, that is, a smooth function such that  $\lambda(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$  while  $\lambda(t) = 1$  for  $t \geq 1$ . Let  $\tilde{I}$  denote the unit interval equipped with the quotient diffeology  $\lambda_*(D_{\mathbf{R}})$ , where  $D_{\mathbf{R}}$  is the standard diffeology of  $\mathbf{R}$ . In [5] we introduce a finitely generated model category structure on  $\mathbf{Diff}$  with the boundary inclusions  $\partial\tilde{I}^{n-1} \rightarrow \tilde{I}^n$  as generating cofibrations, and with the inclusions  $\partial\tilde{I}^{n-1} \times \tilde{I} \cup \tilde{I}^n \times \{0\} \rightarrow \tilde{I}^n \times \tilde{I}$  as generating trivial cofibrations. Its class of weak equivalences consists of those smooth maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  inducing an isomorphism  $f_*: \pi_n(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y, f(x_0))$  for every  $n \geq 0$  and  $x_0 \in X$ . Here, the homotopy set  $\pi_n(X, x_0)$  is defined to be the set of smooth homotopy classes of smooth maps  $(\tilde{I}^n, \partial\tilde{I}^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ .

It is expected that with respect to the model structure on  $\mathbf{Diff}$  described above, the pair  $(T, D)$  induces a Quillen adjunction between  $\mathbf{Top}$  and  $\mathbf{Diff}$ .

*Acknowledgements.* I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Kazuhisa Shimakawa. He introduced me to the project of building a homotopy theory on the category  $\mathbf{NG}$ . This project was not completed without him. He carefully read this paper, helped me with the English and corrected many errors. In order that I might acquire a doctor's degree, he had supported me for a long time.

Next I would like to thank Referee. He proposed that there exists a general framework from proofs of Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4. As a result, we have Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2, and Proposition 2.3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Berger and I. Moerdijk. Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads. *Comment. Math. Helv.* , 78(4):805-831, 2003.
- [2] S. E. Crans. Quillen closed model structures for sheaves. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* , 101(1):35-57, 1995.
- [3] D. Dugger, Notes on Delta-generated spaces, available at <http://www.uoregon.edu/ddugger/delta.html>
- [4] W. G. Dwyer and J. Spalinski. Homotopy theories and model categories, *Handbook of Algebraic Topology*, Elsevier, 1995, 73-126.
- [5] T. Haraguchi and K. Shimakawa, A model structure on the category of diffeological spaces, arXiv:1311.5668v2
- [6] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 99, American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [7] M. Hovey, *Model categories*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 63, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [8] P. Iglesias-Zemmour, *Diffeology*, CNRS, Marseille, France, and The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel.
- [9] J. W. Milnor. *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*. Princeton Landmarks.
- [10] D. G. Quillen, *Homotopical Algebra*, SLNM 43, Springer, Berlin (1967).
- [11] D. G. Quillen, Rational homotopy theory, *Ann. of Math.* 90 (1969), 205-295.
- [12] K. Shimakawa, K. Yoshida, and T. Haraguchi, Homology and cohomology via enriched bifunctors, arXiv:1010.3336v1.
- [13] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1966).

TADAYUKI HARAGUCHI

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION

OITA NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY

1666, OAZAMAKI, OITA-SHI, OITA, 870-0152, JAPAN

*e-mail address:* t-haraguchi@oita-ct.ac.jp

*(Received November 2, 2012)*

*(Revised January 14, 2013)*