## A CHARACTERIZATION OF $\delta$ -QUASI-BAER RINGS

EBRAHIM HASHEMI

ABSTRACT. Let  $\delta$  be a derivation on R. A ring R is called  $\delta$ -quasi-Baer (resp. quasi-Baer) if the right annihilator of every  $\delta$ -ideal (resp. ideal) of R is generated by an idempotent of R. In this note first we give a positive answer to the question posed in Han et al. [7], then we show that R is  $\delta$ -quasi-Baer iff the differential polynomial ring  $S = R[x; \delta]$  is quasi-Baer iff S is  $\overline{\delta}$ -quasi-Baer for every extended derivation  $\overline{\delta}$  on S of  $\delta$ . This results is a generalization of Han et al. [7], to the case where Ris not assumed to be  $\delta$ -semiprime.

Throughout this note R denotes an associative ring with unity,  $\delta : R \to R$ is derivation of R, that is,  $\delta$  is an additive map such that  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in R$ . We denote  $R[x; \delta]$  the skew polynomial ring whose elements are the polynomials  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} r_i x^i \in R$ ,  $r_i \in R$ , where the addition is defined as usual and the multiplication by  $xb = bx + \delta(b)$  for any  $b \in R$ . For a nonempty subset X of a ring R, we write  $r_R(X) = \{c \in R | dc = 0 \text{ for any } d \in X\}$  which is called the *right annihilator* of X in R.

Recall from [9] that R is a *Baer* ring if the right annihilator of every nonempty subset of R is generated by an idempotent. In [9] Kaplansky introduced Baer rings to abstract various properties of von Neumann algebras and complete \*-regular rings. The class of Baer rings includes the von Neumann algebras. In [6] Clark defines a ring to be quasi-Baer if the right annihilator of every ideal is generated, as a right ideal, by an idempotent. Moreover, he shows the left-right symmetry of this condition by proving that R is quasi-Baer if and only if the left annihilator of every left ideal is generated, as a left ideal, by an idempotent. He then uses the quasi-Baer concept to characterize when a finite-dimensional algebra with unity over an algebraically closed field is isomorphic to a twisted matrix units semigroup algebra. Further work on quasi-Baer rings appears in [3, 4, 5, 10, 11]. An ideal I of R is called  $\delta$ -ideal if  $\delta(I) \subset I$ . R is called  $\delta$ -quasi-Baer if the right annihilator of every  $\delta$ -ideal of R is generated by an idempotent of R. Clearly each quasi-Baer ring is  $\delta$ -quasi-Baer. But the converse is not true (see [7]) Example). R is said to be *reduced* if R has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Note that in a reduced ring R, R is Baer if and only if R is quasi-Baer.

In [1], Armendariz has shown that if R is reduced, then R is Baer if and only if the polynomial ring R[x] is a Baer ring. Han et al. [7], have generalized this result by showing that if R is  $\delta$ -semiprime (i.e., for any  $\delta$ -ideal Iof R,  $I^2 = 0$  implies I = 0), then R is a  $\delta$ -quasi-Baer ring if and only if the Ore extension  $R[x; \delta]$  is a quasi-Baer ring.

Han et al. (2000) posed this question: If  $e(x) \in R[x; \delta]$  is a left semicentral idempotent, then does there exists a left semicentral idempotent  $e_0 \in R$  such that  $e(x)R[x;\delta] = e_0R[x;\delta]$ ? In this note first we give a positive answer to this question, then we show that R is  $\delta$ -quasi-Baer if and only if the differential polynomial ring  $S = R[x;\delta]$  is quasi-Baer if and only if S is  $\overline{\delta}$ -quasi-Baer for every extended derivation  $\overline{\delta}$  on S of  $\delta$ . This results is a generalization of Han et al. [7], to the case where R is not assumed to be  $\delta$ -semiprime.

For a ring R with a derivation  $\delta$ , there exists a derivation on  $S = R[x; \delta]$ which extends  $\delta$ . For example given in [7], consider an inner derivation  $\overline{\delta}$  on S by x defined by  $\overline{\delta}(f(x)) = xf(x) - f(x)x$  for all  $f(x) \in S$ . Then  $\overline{\delta}(f(x)) =$  $\delta(a_0) + \cdots + \delta(a_n)x^n$  for all  $f(x) = a_0 + \cdots + a_nx^n \in S$  and  $\overline{\delta}(r) = \delta(r)$  for all  $r \in R$ , which means that  $\overline{\delta}$  is an extension of  $\delta$ . We call such a derivation  $\overline{\delta}$  on S an extended derivation of  $\delta$ . For each  $a \in R$  and nonnegative integer n, there exist  $t_0, \cdots, t_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x^n a = \sum_{i=0}^n t_i \delta^{n-i}(a)x^i$ .

**Lemma 1.** (Han et al. Lemma 1) Let R be a ring with a derivation  $\delta$  and  $\overline{\delta}$  be an extended derivation of  $\delta$  on  $S = R[x; \delta]$ . If I is a  $\delta$ -ideal of R, then  $I[x; \delta]$  is  $\overline{\delta}$ -ideal of S.

*Proof.* By ([8], Lemma 1.3),  $I[x;\delta]$  is an ideal of S. Let  $f(x) = a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n \in I[x;\delta]$ . For each  $i, \overline{\delta}(a_i x^i) = \overline{\delta}(a_i) x^i + a_i \overline{\delta}(x^i) = \delta(a_i) x^i + a_i \overline{\delta}(x^i) \in I[x;\delta]$ . Hence  $I[x;\delta]$  is a  $\overline{\delta}$ -ideal of S.

Now we give a positive answer to the question posed in Han et al. [7].

**Theorem 2.** Let I be a  $\delta$ -ideal of R and  $S = R[x; \delta]$ . If  $r_S(I[x; \delta]) = e(x)S$ for some idempotent  $e(x) = e_0 + e_1x + \dots + e_nx^n \in S$ , then  $r_S(I[x; \delta]) = e_0S$ .

Proof. Since Ie(x) = 0, we have  $Ie_i = 0$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Hence  $0 = \delta(Ie_i) = \delta(I)e_i + I\delta(e_i)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Since I is  $\delta$ -ideal and  $Ie_i = 0$ , so  $I\delta(e_i) = 0$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . By a similar argument we can show that  $I\delta^k(e_i) = 0$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, n$  and  $k \ge 0$ . Hence  $\delta^k(e_i) \in r_S(I[x;\delta])$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, n$  and  $k \ge 0$ . Hence  $\delta^k(e_i) = e(x)\delta^k(e_i)$  and that  $e_n\delta^k(e_i) = 0$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, n$  and  $k \ge 0$ . Hence  $\delta^k(e_i) = (e_0 + e_1x + \dots + e_{n-1}x^{n-1})\delta^k(e_i)$  and that  $e_{n-1}\delta^k(e_i) = 0$  for each  $i \ge 0, k \ge 0$ . Continuing in this way, we have  $e_j\delta^k(e_i) = 0$  for each  $i \ge 0, k \ge 0$ . Therefore  $e(x) = e_0e(x)$  and that  $r_S(I[x;\delta]) = e(x)S \subseteq e_0S$ . Since  $\delta^k(e_0) \in r_R(I)$ , so  $e_0 \in r_S(I[x;\delta])$  and that  $e_0S \subseteq r_S(I[x;\delta])$ . Therefore  $r_S(I[x;\delta]) = e_0S$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let R be a  $\delta$ -quasi-Baer ring. Then  $S = R[x; \delta]$  is a quasi-Baer ring.

198

*Proof.* Let J be an arbitrary ideal of S. Consider the set  $J_0$  of leading coefficients of polynomials in J. Then  $J_0$  is a  $\delta$ -ideal of R. Since R is  $\delta$ quasi-Baer,  $r_R(J_0) = eR$  for some idempotent  $e \in R$ . Since  $J_0e = 0$  and  $J_0$  is  $\delta$ -ideal of R, we have  $J_0\delta^k(e) = 0$  for each  $k \ge 0$ . Hence  $\delta^k(e) = e\delta^k(e)$  and  $eS \subseteq r_S(J_0[x;\delta])$ . Clearly  $r_S(J_0[x;\delta]) \subseteq eS$ . Thus  $r_S(J_0[x;\delta]) = eS$ . We claim that  $r_S(J) = eS$ . Let  $f(x) = a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n \in J$ . Then  $a_n \in J_0$  and that  $a_n \delta^k(e) = 0$  for each  $k \ge 0$ . Hence  $f(x)e = (a_0 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1})e =$  $\dots + a_{n-1}ex^{n-1}$ . Thus  $a_{n-1}e \in J_0$ , and  $a_{n-1}\delta^k(e) = a_{n-1}e\delta^k(e) = 0$  for each  $k \geq 0$ . Hence  $a_{n-1}x^{n-1}e = 0$ . Continuing in this way, we can show that  $a_i x^i e = 0$ , for each  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Hence f(x) e = 0 and so  $eS \subseteq r_S(J)$ . Now, let  $g(x) = b_0 + \cdots + b_m x^m \in r_S(J)$  and  $f(x) = a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n \in J$ . First, we will show that  $a_i x^i b_j x^j = 0$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, n, j = 0, \dots, m$ . Since f(x)g(x) =0, we have  $a_n b_m = 0$ . Hence  $b_m \in r_R(J_0)$ . Since  $J_0$  is  $\delta$ -ideal of R,  $\delta^k(b_m) \in J_0$  for each  $k \geq 0$  and that  $b_m \in r_S(J_0[x; \delta])$ . Thus  $b_m = eb_m$  and  $a_n x^n b_m x^m = 0.$  Since  $f(x)e = (a_0 + \dots + a_n x^n)e = (a_0 + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1})e,$ we have  $a_{n-1}e \in J_0$  and  $a_{n-1}\delta^k(e) = a_{n-1}e\delta^k(e) = 0$ , for each  $k \geq 0$ . There exist  $t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that,  $a_{n-1}x^{n-1}b_m x^m = a_{n-1}x^{n-1}eb_m x^m = a_{n-1}(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_j \delta^{n-1-j}(e)x^j)b_m x^m = (\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_j a_{n-1} \delta^{n-1-j}(e)x^j)b_m x^m$ . Hence  $a_{n-1}x^{n-1}b_mx^m = 0$ . Continuing in this way, we have  $a_ix^ib_jx^j = 0$  for each i, j. Therefore  $b_j \in r_S(J_0[x; \delta]) = eS$ , for each  $j \ge 0$ . Consequently, g(x) = eg(x) and  $r_S(J) = eS$ . Therefore S is a quasi-Baer ring.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.** Let R be a ring and  $S = R[x; \delta]$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is  $\delta$ -quasi-Baer;
- (2) S is quasi-Baer;
- (3) S is  $\overline{\delta}$ -quasi-Baer for every extended derivation  $\overline{\delta}$  on S of  $\delta$ .

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . It follows from Proposition 3.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . It is clear.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Suppose that R is  $\overline{\delta}$ -quasi-Baer for every extended derivation  $\overline{\delta}$ on S of  $\delta$ . Let I be any  $\delta$ -ideal of R. Then by Lemma 1,  $I[x; \delta]$  is  $\overline{\delta}$ -ideal of S. Since S is  $\overline{\delta}$ -quasi-Baer,  $r_S(I[x; \delta]) = e(x)S$  for some idempotent  $e(x) \in S$ . Hence  $r_S(I[x; \delta]) = e_0S$  for some idempotent  $e_0 \in R$ , by Theorem 2. Since  $r_R(I) = r_S(I[x; \delta]) \cap R = e_0R$ , R is  $\delta$ -quasi-Baer.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgement. The author thank the referee for his/her helpful suggestions.

## References

 E.P. Armendariz, A note on extensions of Baer and p.p. rings, Australian Math. Soc. 18 (1974), 470-473.

## E. HASHEMI

- [2] E.P. Armendariz, H.K. Koo and J.K. Park, Isomorphic Ore extensions, Comm. in Algebra 15(12) (1987), 2633-2652.
- [3] G.F. Birkenmeier, Idempotents and completely semiprime ideals, Comm. in Algebra 11 (1983), 567-580.
- [4] G.F. Birkenmeier, Decompositions of Baer-like rings, Acta Math. Hung. 59 (1992), 319-326.
- [5] G.F. Birkenmeier, Baer rings and quasi-continuous rings have a MSDN, *Pacific J. Math.* 97 (1981), 283-292.
- [6] W.E. Clark, Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **34** (1967), 417-424.
- [7] J. Han, Y. Hirano and H. Kim, Semiprime Ore extensions, Comm. in Algebra 28(8) (2000), 3795-3801.
- [8] K.A. Jordan, Noetherian Ore extensions and Jacobson rings, J. Londan Math. Soc. 10(2) (1075), 281-291.
- [9] I. Kaplansky, *Pacific J. Math.* (1965), Rings of operators, Math. Lecture Notes Series, Benjamin, New York.
- [10] A. Moussavi and E. Hashemi, Semiprime skew polynomial rings, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae 19 (2005), 405-409.
- [11] P. Pollingher and A. Zaks, On Baer and quasi-Baer rings, Duke Math. J. 37 (1970), 127-138.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,

SHAHROOD UNIVERSITY OF THECHNOLOGY,

Shahrood, Iran, P.O.Box: 316-3619995161

 $e\text{-mail}\ address:\ eb\_hashemi@yahoo.com or\ eb\_hashemi@shahrood.ac.ir$ 

(Received July 15, 2006)