

## A NOTE ON COMMUTATIVE GELFAND THEORY FOR REAL BANACH ALGEBRAS

Dedicated to Professor Saburo Saitoh on his 60th birthday (Kanreki)

SIN-EI TAKAHASI, TAKESHI MIURA AND OSAMU HATORI

ABSTRACT. Pfaffenberger and Phillips [2] consider a real and unital case of the classical commutative Gelfand theorem and obtain two representation theorems. One is to represent a unital real commutative Banach algebra  $A$  as an algebra of continuous functions on the unital homomorphism space  $\Phi_A$ . The other is to represent  $A$  as an algebra of continuous sections on the maximal ideal space  $M_A$ . In this note, we point out that similar theorems for non-unital case hold and show that two representation theorems are essentially identical.

### 1. PRELIMINARY AND RESULTS

Let  $B$  be a real commutative Banach algebra and  $\Phi_B$  the set of non-zero  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\varphi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then we have  $\|\varphi\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} |\varphi(a)| \leq 1$  for each  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$ . Actually, suppose that there exists an  $a \in B$  such that  $\|a\| < 1$  and  $|\varphi(a)| = 1$ . Set  $\theta = -\arg \varphi(a)$  and then  $e^{i\theta} \varphi(a) = 1$ . Also set

$$b = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n \cos n\theta \quad \text{and} \quad c = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n \sin n\theta.$$

Elementary trigonometric identities lead to

$$b = a \cos \theta + ab \cos \theta - ac \sin \theta \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = a \sin \theta + ab \sin \theta - c + ac \cos \theta.$$

Apply  $\varphi$  to these equations, multiply the resulting second equation by  $i$  and add it to the resulting first equation then we obtain

$$\varphi(b) = \varphi(a)e^{i\theta} + \varphi(a)\varphi(b)e^{i\theta} - i\varphi(c) + i\varphi(a)\varphi(c)e^{i\theta},$$

so that  $1 = 0$ , a contradiction (we referred the proof of [2, Proposition 1.1, (b)]). Let  $B_c$  be the complexification of  $B$ . Then  $\Phi_B$  is a subset of the closed unit ball of the dual space  $B_c^*$  and hence we can give  $\Phi_B$  the relative topology of  $B_c^*$  with the weak\*-topology. Therefore, as well-known,  $\Phi_B$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space. We denote by  $C(\Phi_B)$  the algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on  $\Phi_B$  and set  $C_0(\Phi_B) = \{f \in C(\Phi_B) : f \text{ vanishes at infinity}\}$ . Then  $C_0(\Phi_B)$  is a real commutative  $C^*$ -algebra with

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46J25; secondary: 46M20.

*Key words and phrases.* real commutative Banach algebras, real algebra homomorphisms, commutative Gelfand theory.

supremum norm and a standard method leads to the following representation theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 1.4]).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $B$  be a real commutative Banach algebra.*

- (1) *The mapping  $\Lambda_\Phi: B \rightarrow C_0(\Phi_B)$  given by  $\Lambda_\Phi(b)(\varphi) = \varphi(b)$  ( $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{b}(\varphi)$ ) for each  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$  and each  $b \in B$  is a norm-decreasing real algebra homomorphism which is one-to-one if and only if  $B$  is semisimple.*
- (2) *If  $B$  is unital, then  $\text{Sp}_B(b) = \hat{b}(\Phi_B)$  for each  $b \in B$ . Also, if  $B$  is non-unital, then  $\text{Sp}_B(b) = \hat{b}(\Phi_B) \cup \{0\}$  for each  $b \in B$ .*

We next provide a Gelfand theorem for real commutative Banach algebras using the maximal regular ideal space  $M_B$  as a common domain of an algebra of functions.

In the next section, we see that  $\text{Ker } \varphi$  is a maximal regular ideal of  $B$  for each  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$ . Following [2], we give  $M_B$  the quotient topology arising from the map  $\epsilon: \Phi_B \rightarrow M_B$  defined by  $\epsilon(\varphi) = \text{Ker } \varphi$ ,  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$ . That is,  $M_B$  has the strongest topology which makes the map  $\epsilon$  continuous. Let  $\sigma: \Phi_B \rightarrow \Phi_B$  be the homeomorphism  $\sigma(\varphi) = \bar{\varphi}$ . Then  $\sigma^2 = e$ , the identity, and we have an action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{e, \sigma\}$  on  $\Phi_B$ . Let  $\beta: \Phi_B/\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow M_B$  be the bijection  $\beta(\mathbb{Z}_2\varphi) = \text{Ker } \varphi$  and then  $\epsilon = \beta \circ \pi$ , where  $\pi: \Phi_B \rightarrow \Phi_B/\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the natural map. Then we have the following result from Lemmas 1 and 2 in the next section.

**Proposition 1.** *The space  $M_B$  is locally compact and Hausdorff, and the map  $\epsilon$  is both open and closed. Moreover,  $\beta: \Phi_B/\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow M_B$  is a homeomorphism.*

From the above proposition, we know that  $M_B$  is just the quotient of  $\Phi_B$  under the (not necessarily free) action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  on  $\Phi_B$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_B^{\mathbb{R}} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_B : \varphi(B) = \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}} = \{\varphi \in \Phi_B : \varphi(B) = \mathbb{C}\}, \\ M_B^{\mathbb{R}} &= \{I \in M_B : B/I \cong \mathbb{R}\} \text{ and } M_B^{\mathbb{C}} = \{I \in M_B : B/I \cong \mathbb{C}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\Phi_B^{\mathbb{R}}$  is a closed subset of  $\Phi_B$  and so  $\Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}}$  is an open subset of  $\Phi_B$ . Also, in the next section, we see that

$$M_B^{\mathbb{R}} = \epsilon(\Phi_B^{\mathbb{R}}), \quad M_B^{\mathbb{C}} = \epsilon(\Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}}), \quad \Phi_B = \Phi_B^{\mathbb{R}} \cup \Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}} \text{ and } M_B = M_B^{\mathbb{R}} \cup M_B^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Then  $M_B^{\mathbb{R}}$  is closed and  $M_B^{\mathbb{C}}$  is open. Also  $B$  is called almost complex if  $\Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}} = \Phi_B$  (cf. [1, 2]).

Now as usual in representing algebras as sections we form the set

$$E_B = \bigcup_{I \in M_B} B/I,$$

where  $\cup$  denotes disjoint union. Of course, each  $B/I$  is a field and an algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and we have an obvious map  $p: E_B \rightarrow M_B$ . That is,  $p(I, b + I) = I$ ,  $(I \in M_B, b \in B)$ , where  $E_B \cong \{(I, b + I) : I \in M_B, b \in B\}$ .

The problem is to topologize  $E_B$  in a reasonable way such that  $I \mapsto b + I$  is a continuous section for each  $b \in B$ . Following [2], let  $(\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B)' = (\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}}) \cup (\mathbb{R} \times \Phi_B^{\mathbb{R}})$  endowed with the relative topology in  $\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B$ . We consider the map  $g: (\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B)' \rightarrow E_B$  defined by

$$g(z, \varphi) = (\text{Ker } \varphi, b + \text{Ker } \varphi),$$

where  $b$  is chosen such that  $\varphi(b) = z$ . Of course, this map is well-defined and surjective. We give  $E_B$  the quotient topology induced by the map  $g$  and denote by  $\Gamma(E_B)$  the set of all continuous sections on  $M_B$ . Moreover, we set

$$\Gamma^b(E_B) = \{s \in \Gamma(E_B) : \|s\| = \sup_{I \in M_B} |s(I)| < \infty\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_0(E_B) = \{s \in \Gamma(E_B) : s \text{ vanishes at infinity, that is } \lim_{I \rightarrow \infty} |s(I)| = 0\},$$

where  $|s(I)| = |\varphi(b)|$ ,  $s(I) = (I, b + I)$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $I = \text{Ker } \varphi = \text{Ker } \bar{\varphi}$ . Then we have the following representation theorem in a way similar to the proof of [2, Theorem 3.5].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $B$  be a real commutative Banach algebra and let  $p: E_B \rightarrow M_B$  be the associated bundle of real fields. Then*

- (1)  $\Gamma^b(E_B)$  is a real commutative Banach algebra given the supremum norm and  $\Gamma_0(E_B)$  is a closed subalgebra of it.
- (2)  $\Lambda_M: B \rightarrow \Gamma_0(E_B)$  defined by  $\Lambda_M(b)(I) = (I, b + I)$ ,  $I \in M_B$  is a norm-decreasing algebra homomorphism with kernel,  $\text{Rad } B$ .
- (3) For  $b \in B$ ,  $\|\Lambda_M(b)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b^n\|^{1/n}$ .

**Remark 1.** *Theorems 1 and 2 are non-unital versions of [2, Theorem 1.4 and 3.5].*

We next see that these representation theorems are essentially identical. To do this, set

$$C_h(\Phi_B) = \{f \in C(\Phi_B) : f(\bar{\varphi}) = \overline{f(\varphi)} \text{ for all } \varphi \in \Phi_B\},$$

$$C_h^b(\Phi_B) = \{f \in C_h(\Phi_B) : \|f\| < \infty\},$$

and

$$C_{h,0}(\Phi_B) = \{f \in C_h(\Phi_B) : f \text{ vanishes at infinity}\}.$$

Then we can easily see that  $C_h^b(\Phi_B)$  is a unital real commutative Banach algebra given the supremum norm and  $C_{h,0}(\Phi_B)$  is a closed subalgebra of it. Also  $\Lambda_{\Phi}(B) \subset C_{h,0}(\Phi_B)$  clearly holds.

Now for each  $f \in C_h(\Phi_B)$  we define  $f^*(\varphi) = \overline{f(\varphi)}$ , ( $\varphi \in \Phi_B$ ). Then  $C_h^b(\Phi_B)$  becomes a unital real commutative  $C^*$ -algebra under this involution and  $C_{h,0}(\Phi_B)$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C_h^b(\Phi_B)$ . Also let  $s \in \Gamma(E_B)$  and  $I \in M_B$ . Then  $s(I) = (I, b+I)$  for some  $b \in B$ . Choose  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$  with  $I = \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Then there exists an element  $\bar{b} \in B$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{b}) = \overline{\varphi(b)}$ . Set  $s^*(I) = (I, \bar{b}+I)$ . This is clearly well-defined and we see later that  $s^* \in \Gamma(E_B)$  (Lemma 5). Therefore  $\Gamma^b(E_B)$  becomes a unital real commutative  $C^*$ -algebra under this involution and  $\Gamma_0(E_B)$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\Gamma^b(E_B)$ .

In this setting, we have the following:

**Theorem 3.** *There is an isometric real algebra  $*$ -isomorphism  $\rho$  of  $\Gamma^b(E_B)$  onto  $C_h^b(\Phi_B)$  such that  $\rho(\Gamma_0(E_B)) = C_{h,0}(\Phi_B)$  and  $\rho \circ \Lambda_M = \Lambda_\Phi$ .*

We know that the Gelfand representation theorems 1 and 2 are essentially identical by the above theorem.

Combining [2, Theorem 5.3] and Theorem 3, we have the following:

**Corollary 1.** *If  $B$  is a unital commutative almost complex  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\Lambda_\Phi: B \rightarrow C_h(\Phi_B)$  is an isometric  $*$ -isomorphism.*

## 2. KNOWN RESULTS AND LEMMAS

We will remind the reader of the following well-known results since they are basic to all that we do.

Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$ . Since  $\text{range } \varphi$  is a non-zero real subalgebra of  $\mathbb{C}$ , it must be either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . In fact, let  $A = \text{range } \varphi$  and then  $A$  is a non-zero linear subspace of  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\dim A = 1$  or  $2$ . If  $\dim A = 2$ , then  $A = \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\dim A = 1$ , then  $A = \mathbb{R}a$  for some non-zero complex number  $a \in A$ . Since  $A$  is an algebra, it follows that  $a^2 = ra$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and then  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $A$  must be  $\mathbb{R}$ . We thus obtain that  $\text{range } \varphi = \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi$  has codimension 1 in  $B$  and  $\text{range } \varphi = \mathbb{C} \Leftrightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi$  has codimension 2 in  $B$ . Moreover,  $\text{Ker } \varphi$  is a maximal regular ideal of  $B$ . Actually, choose  $e \in B$  with  $\varphi(e) = 1$  and hence  $B(1-e) \subset \text{Ker } \varphi$ , namely,  $\text{Ker } \varphi$  is regular. Now let  $I$  be an ideal of  $B$  with  $\text{Ker } \varphi \subsetneq I \subset B$ . Then  $I/\text{Ker } \varphi$  is a non-zero real subalgebra of  $B/\text{Ker } \varphi$ . Take  $e \in B$  and  $u \in I$  with  $\varphi(e) = 1$  and  $u \notin \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Since  $B/\text{Ker } \varphi$  is a field and  $e + \text{Ker } \varphi$  is the identity of  $B/\text{Ker } \varphi$ , we can find  $v \in B$  with  $(u + \text{Ker } \varphi)(v + \text{Ker } \varphi) = e + \text{Ker } \varphi$  and hence  $uv - e \in \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Then  $e = uv + e - uv \in I + \text{Ker } \varphi = I$ , so that  $b = be + b(1-e) \in I + \text{Ker } \varphi = I$  for each  $b \in B$ . That is, we have  $B = I$  and so  $\text{Ker } \varphi$  is maximal. Let  $I$  be a maximal regular ideal of  $B$ . Since  $B/I$  is a real commutative normed division algebra, it follows from the Gelfand-Mazur theorem that  $B/I \cong \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and then  $I$  has codimension 1 or 2. In case of  $\text{codim } I = 1$ , we have that  $B/I \cong \mathbb{R}$  as an algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  and this isomorphism is unique since  $\mathbb{R}$  has no non-trivial  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra automorphisms. Thus the composition  $\varphi: B \rightarrow B/I \cong \mathbb{R}$

is the unique element of  $\Phi_B$  with kernel  $I$ . Moreover we have  $\text{range } \varphi = \mathbb{R}$ . In case of  $\text{codim } I = 2$ , we have that  $B/I \cong \mathbb{C}$  as an algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  and since  $\mathbb{C}$  has exactly one non-trivial  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra automorphism given by conjugation, we see that there are exactly two elements  $\varphi, \bar{\varphi} \in \Phi_B$  with kernel  $I$ . Moreover we have  $\text{range } \varphi = \text{range } \bar{\varphi} = \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group acting on a topological space  $Y$  and let  $X = Y/G$  endowed with the quotient topology. Let  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  be the natural map. Then*

- (1) *The map  $p$  is both open and closed.*
- (2) *For each compact subset  $K$  in  $X$ ,  $p^{-1}(K)$  is also compact in  $Y$ .*
- (3) *If  $Y$  is locally compact, so is  $X$ .*
- (4) *If  $Y$  is Hausdorff, so is  $X$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $U$  be an open (closed) subset of  $Y$ . Then the saturation  $GU = \cup_{g \in G} g(U)$  of  $U$  is clearly open (closed). Also since  $GU = p^{-1}(p(U))$ , it follows that  $p$  is open (closed).

(2) Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $X$  and  $\{y_\lambda\}$  a net in  $p^{-1}(K)$ . Then  $\{p(y_\lambda)\}$  is a net in  $K$  and so there is a subnet  $\{y_{\lambda'}\}$  of  $\{y_\lambda\}$  such that  $\{p(y_{\lambda'})\}$  converges to some point of  $K$ , say  $Gy$ . Let  $Gy = \{y, g_1(y), \dots, g_{n-1}(y)\}$ , where  $G = \{e, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}\}$ . Then we have  $Gy \subset p^{-1}(K)$ . Now, we assert that a certain subnet of  $\{y_{\lambda'}\}$  converges to one of  $y, g_1(y), \dots, g_{n-1}(y)$ . Suppose contrary. Then we can easily find an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  and a subnet  $\{y_{\lambda''}\}$  of  $\{y_{\lambda'}\}$  such that every  $y_{\lambda''}$  does not belong to  $U \cup g_1(U) \cup \dots \cup g_{n-1}(U)$ . Set  $V = U \cup g_1(U) \cup \dots \cup g_{n-1}(U)$  and so  $V = GV$ . Also since  $V$  is an open neighborhood of  $y$  and  $p$  is open,  $p(V)$  must be an open neighborhood of  $Gy$ . Hence there exists a point  $p(y_{\lambda_0''})$  which belongs to  $p(V)$ . Therefore  $y_{\lambda_0''}$  must be in the saturation of  $V$  namely  $GV$ . However since  $V = GV$  and every  $y_{\lambda''}$  does not belong to  $GV$ , this is a contradiction. We thus obtain that any net in  $p^{-1}(K)$  has a subnet which converges to some point in  $p^{-1}(K)$ , that is  $p^{-1}(K)$  is compact.

(3) Since  $p$  is both open and closed by (1),  $X$  must be locally compact from a standard topological argument.

(4) Suppose that  $Y$  is Hausdorff and let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Let  $y_1 \in p^{-1}(x_1)$  and  $y_2 \in p^{-1}(x_2)$ , and then  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . Since  $G$  is finite, we can find an open neighborhood  $U_1$  of  $y_1$  and an open neighborhood  $U_2$  of  $y_2$  such that  $g(U_1) \cap h(U_2) = \emptyset$  for all  $g, h \in G$ . Since  $p$  is open,  $p(U_1)$  and  $p(U_2)$  are disjoint open neighborhoods of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , respectively. Consequently  $X$  is also Hausdorff. □

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be two sets with surjections  $\pi_Y: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\pi_Z: X \rightarrow Z$ . We give  $Y$  and  $Z$  the quotient topologies induced by  $\pi_Y$  and  $\pi_Z$ , respectively. Moreover, assume that  $\pi_Y$*

is both open and closed, and there is a bijection  $\theta: Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\pi_Z = \theta \circ \pi_Y$ . Then  $\pi_Z$  is also both open and closed, and  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_Z^{-1}(\pi_Z(U)) &= \pi_Y^{-1}(\theta^{-1}(\pi_Z(U))) \\ &= \pi_Y^{-1}(\theta^{-1}(\theta(\pi_Y(U)))) \\ &= \pi_Y^{-1}(\pi_Y(U)) \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\pi_Z^{-1}(\pi_Z(U))$  is open since  $\pi_Y$  is continuous and open. Therefore  $\pi_Z$  is open by definition of the quotient topology. Similarly, we can prove the closedness of  $\pi_Z$ . Now note that  $\pi_Z(\pi_Y^{-1}(K)) = \theta(K)$  for each subset  $K$  of  $Y$ . This implies that  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

Now, following [2], we give  $E_B$  the quotient topology induced by the map  $g$ . There is also a natural action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{e, \sigma\}$  on  $(\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B)'$ , namely:  $\sigma(z, \varphi) = (\bar{z}, \bar{\varphi})$ . The following is a just [2, Lemma 3.2].

**Lemma 3.** *There is a natural homeomorphism  $\theta: (\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B)'/\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow E_B$  such that  $g = \theta \circ \pi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ , where  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  is the canonical map of  $(\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B)'$  onto  $(\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B)'/\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus  $g$  is both open and closed.*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $Y$  be a topological space and  $X$  a set. Let  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  be a surjection. We give  $X$  the quotient topology induced by  $p$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $y \in p^{-1}(x)$ . If  $p$  is open and  $\{V_\alpha\}$  is a base of neighborhoods of  $y$ , then  $\{p(V_\alpha)\}$  is a base of neighborhoods of  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be any neighborhood of  $x$ . Then  $p^{-1}(U)$  is a neighborhood of  $y$  and hence  $V_\alpha \subset p^{-1}(U)$  for some  $V_\alpha$ . Therefore we have  $p(V_\alpha) \subset p(p^{-1}(U)) = U$  and so  $\{p(V_\alpha)\}$  is a base of neighborhoods of  $x$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *If  $s \in \Gamma(E_B)$ , then  $s^* \in \Gamma(E_B)$ , where  $s^*$  is a section for  $p: E_B \rightarrow M_B$  defined in the preceding section.*

*Proof.* Let  $s \in \Gamma(E_B)$ . We show that  $s^*$  is continuous. To do this, let  $I_0 \in M_B$  be arbitrary and take an element  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_B$  with  $I_0 = \text{Ker } \varphi_0$ . Also take an element  $b_0 \in B$  with  $s(I_0) = (I_0, \overline{b_0 + I_0})$  and set  $z_0 = \overline{\varphi_0(b_0)}$ . By definition of  $s^*$ , we have that  $s^*(I_0) = (I_0, \overline{b_0 + I_0})$  and  $\overline{z_0} = \overline{\varphi_0(b_0)} = \overline{\varphi_0(\overline{b_0})}$ . Then  $g(\overline{z_0}, \varphi_0) = s^*(I_0) \in E_B$ . By Lemma 4, a basic neighborhood of  $s^*(I_0)$  in  $E_B$  is of the form  $g((O_\varepsilon(\overline{z_0}) \times N)')$ , where  $O_\varepsilon(\overline{z_0})$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $\overline{z_0}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $N$  is a neighborhood of  $\varphi_0$  in  $\Phi_B$  and  $(O_\varepsilon(\overline{z_0}) \times N)' = (O_\varepsilon(\overline{z_0}) \times N) \cap (\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B)'$ . Also we have

$$g((O_\varepsilon(\overline{z_0}) \times N)') = \{(\text{Ker } \varphi, b + \text{Ker } \varphi) : \varphi \in N \text{ and } \varphi(b) \in O_\varepsilon(\overline{z_0})\}.$$

Let  $O_\varepsilon(z_0)$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $z_0$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and then

$$g((O_\varepsilon(z_0) \times N)') = \{(\text{Ker } \varphi, b + \text{Ker } \varphi) : \varphi \in N \text{ and } \varphi(b) \in O_\varepsilon(z_0)\}$$

is a neighborhood of  $s(I_0)$  because  $g(z_0, \varphi_0) = s(I_0) \in E_B$ . Also since  $s$  is continuous, we can find a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $I_0$  in  $M_B$  such that  $s(V_0) \subset g((O_\varepsilon(z_0) \times N)')$ . In this case, we have  $s^*(V_0) \subset g((O_\varepsilon(z_0) \times N)')$ . In fact, let  $I \in V_0$  and take an element  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$  with  $I = \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Since  $s(I) = (I, b + I)$  for some  $b \in B$ , it follows from definition of  $s^*$  that  $s^*(I) = (I, \bar{b} + I)$  and  $\varphi(\bar{b}) = \overline{\varphi(b)}$  for some  $\bar{b} \in B$ . Also since  $(\text{Ker } \varphi, b + \text{Ker } \varphi) \in g((O_\varepsilon(z_0) \times N)')$ , it follows that  $\varphi \in N$  and  $|\varphi(b) - z_0| < \varepsilon$ , and hence  $|\varphi(\bar{b}) - \bar{z}_0| = |\varphi(b) - z_0| < \varepsilon$ . Consequently,  $s^*(I) \in g((O_\varepsilon(\bar{z}_0) \times N)')$ . We thus see that  $s^*$  is continuous at each point in  $M_B$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Let  $s \in \Gamma(E_B)$  be arbitrary. For any  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$ , set  $I = \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Then  $s(I) = (I, b + I)$  for some  $b \in B$ . We define  $f_s(\varphi) = \varphi(b)$ . This is, of course, well-defined. Then we have that  $f_s(\bar{\varphi}) = \overline{f_s(\varphi)}$  for all  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$ . In fact, let  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$  and put  $I = \text{Ker } \varphi$  and then  $I = \text{Ker } \bar{\varphi}$ . Since  $s(I) = (I, b + I)$  for some  $b \in B$ , it follows from definition of  $f_s$  that  $f_s(\varphi) = \varphi(b)$  and  $f_s(\bar{\varphi}) = \bar{\varphi}(b)$ . Therefore we have  $f_s(\bar{\varphi}) = \bar{\varphi}(b) = \overline{\varphi(b)} = \overline{f_s(\varphi)}$ . We next claim that  $f_s$  is continuous on  $\Phi_B$ . Let  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_B$  and set  $I_0 = \text{Ker } \varphi_0$ . Then  $s(I_0) = (I_0, b_0 + I_0)$  for some  $b_0 \in B$ . Put  $z_0 = f_s(\varphi_0) (= \varphi_0(b_0))$ . Let  $U_\varepsilon$  be any  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $z_0$ . If  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_B^{\mathbb{R}}$ , we set  $N_0 = \Phi_B$ . If  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}}$ , then  $\varphi_0 \neq \bar{\varphi}_0$  and hence there is  $b_1 \in B$  such that  $\varphi_0(b_1) \neq \bar{\varphi}_0(b_1)$ , so we set  $N_0 = \{\varphi \in \Phi_B : |\varphi_0(b_1) - \varphi(b_1)| < \delta/2\}$ , where  $\delta = |\varphi_0(b_1) - \bar{\varphi}_0(b_1)| > 0$ . Then  $N_0$  is an open neighborhood of  $\varphi_0$ . In case of  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}}$ , we have that if  $\varphi \in N_0$  then  $\bar{\varphi} \notin N_0$ . In fact, assume that  $\varphi \in N_0$  and  $\bar{\varphi} \in N_0$ . Then  $|\varphi_0(b_1) - \varphi(b_1)| < \delta/2$  and  $|\varphi_0(b_1) - \bar{\varphi}(b_1)| < \delta/2$ , so we have  $|\varphi_0(b_1) - \bar{\varphi}_0(b_1)| < \delta/2 + \delta/2 = \delta$ , a contradiction. Now note from Lemma 3 that

$$g((U_\varepsilon \times N_0)') = \{(\text{Ker } \varphi, b + \text{Ker } \varphi) : \varphi \in N_0, \varphi(b) \in U_\varepsilon\}$$

is an open neighborhood of  $s(I_0)$  in  $E_B$ , where  $(U_\varepsilon \times N_0)' = (U_\varepsilon \times N_0) \cap (\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B)'$ . Since  $s$  is a continuous section, there exists a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $I_0$  such that  $s(V_0) \subset g((U_\varepsilon \times N_0)')$ . Set  $W_0 = \varepsilon^{-1}(V_0) \cap N_0$  and then it is a neighborhood of  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_B$ . We see that  $f_s(W_0) \subset U_\varepsilon$ . In fact, let  $\varphi \in W_0$  be arbitrary. Then  $\varphi \in \varepsilon^{-1}(V_0)$  and hence  $\text{Ker } \varphi \in V_0$ . Therefore

$$s(\text{Ker } \varphi) \in s(V_0) \subset g((U_\varepsilon \times N_0)') = \{(\text{Ker } \psi, b + \text{Ker } \psi) : \psi \in N_0, \psi(b) \in U_\varepsilon\}$$

and so  $s(\text{Ker } \varphi) = (\text{Ker } \psi, b + \text{Ker } \psi)$ , for some  $b \in B$  and  $\psi \in N_0$  with  $\psi(b) \in U_\varepsilon$ . Then  $\text{Ker } \varphi = \text{Ker } \psi$ ,  $f_s(\varphi) = \varphi(b)$  and  $f_s(\psi) = \psi(b)$ . If  $\psi = \varphi$ , then  $f_s(\varphi) = \varphi(b) = \psi(b) \in U_\varepsilon$ . If  $\psi \neq \varphi$ , then  $\psi = \bar{\varphi}$  and hence  $f_s(\varphi) = \varphi(b) = \overline{\psi(b)}$ . In case of  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_B^{\mathbb{R}}$ , we have  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and hence  $U_\varepsilon$  is conjugate invariant, so  $\overline{\psi(b)} \in U_\varepsilon$ , that is  $f_s(\varphi) \in U_\varepsilon$ . In case of  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}}$ , since  $\varphi \in N_0$ , we have  $\bar{\varphi} \notin N_0$ . However since  $\psi \in N_0$  and  $\psi = \bar{\varphi}$ , we have

a contradiction. Therefore we must conclude that  $f_s(W_0) \subset U_\varepsilon$ . We thus obtain a natural map  $s \mapsto f_s$  of  $\Gamma(E_B)$  into  $C_h(\Phi_B)$ .

We next show that this map is surjective. To do this, let  $f \in C_h(\Phi_B)$  be arbitrary. For any  $I \in M_B$ , choose  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$  with  $I = \text{Ker } \varphi$ . In this case, we can take  $b \in B$  with  $\varphi(b) = f(\varphi)$ . In fact, if  $\varphi \in \Phi_B^{\mathbb{R}}$ , then  $\varphi = \bar{\varphi}$  and hence  $f(\varphi) = f(\bar{\varphi}) = \overline{f(\varphi)}$ , so  $f(\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover,  $\varphi(B) = \mathbb{R}$  and so we can find such  $b \in B$ . If  $\varphi \in \Phi_B^{\mathbb{C}}$ , then  $\varphi(B) = \mathbb{C}$  and so there exists clearly such  $b \in B$ . Now we define  $s_f(I) = (I, b + I)$ . This is well-defined. In fact, let  $\psi \in \Phi_B, I = \text{Ker } \psi, c \in B$  and  $\psi(c) = f(\psi)$ . If  $\varphi \neq \psi$ , then  $\psi = \bar{\varphi}$  and so

$$\overline{\varphi(c)} = \bar{\varphi}(c) = \psi(c) = f(\psi) = f(\bar{\varphi}) = \overline{f(\varphi)} = \overline{\varphi(b)},$$

hence  $c - b \in \text{Ker } \varphi = I$ . Similarly, we can treat the case  $\varphi = \psi$ . This shows that our definition is well-defined. Now we claim that  $s_f$  is a continuous section for  $p: E_B \rightarrow M_B$ . It suffices to see that  $s_f$  is continuous. So let  $I_0 \in M_B$  be arbitrary. Choose  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_B$  with  $\text{Ker } \varphi_0 = I_0$  and take  $b_0 \in B$  with  $f(\varphi_0) = \varphi_0(b_0)$  (we can of course take such  $b_0 \in B$  as observed above). Then  $s_f(I_0) = (\text{Ker } \varphi_0, b_0 + \text{Ker } \varphi_0)$ . Let  $z_0 = \varphi_0(b_0)$ . Then we have  $f(\varphi_0) = z_0$  and  $g(z_0, \varphi_0) = s_f(I_0) \in E_B$ . By Lemma 4, a basic neighborhood of  $s_f(I_0)$  in  $E_B$  is of the form  $g((O_\varepsilon \times N)')$ , where  $O_\varepsilon$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $z_0$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $N$  is a neighborhood of  $\varphi_0$  in  $\Phi_B$  and  $(O_\varepsilon \times N)' = (O_\varepsilon \times N) \cap (\mathbb{C} \times \Phi_B)'$ . Also we have

$$g((O_\varepsilon \times N)') = \{(\text{Ker } \varphi, b + \text{Ker } \varphi) : \varphi \in N \text{ and } \varphi(b) \in O_\varepsilon\}.$$

Since  $f$  is continuous, we can find a neighborhood  $U_0$  of  $\varphi_0$  in  $\Phi_B$  so that  $f(U_0) \subset O_\varepsilon$  and  $U_0 \subset N$ . Set  $V_0 = \epsilon(U_0)$  and hence  $V_0$  is a neighborhood of  $I_0$  in  $M_B$  since  $\epsilon: \Phi_B \rightarrow M_B$  is open from Proposition 1. We assert that  $s_f(V_0) \subset g((O_\varepsilon \times N)')$ . In fact, if  $I \in V_0$ , then there exists  $\varphi \in U_0$  with  $I = \epsilon(\varphi) = \text{Ker } \varphi$  and so  $\varphi \in N$  and  $f(\varphi) \in O_\varepsilon$ . Now take  $b \in B$  with  $f(\varphi) = \varphi(b)$ . Then  $s_f(I) = (I, b + I) = (\text{Ker } \varphi, b + \text{Ker } \varphi)$  and hence  $s_f(I) \in g((O_\varepsilon \times N)')$ . Thus we have our assertion  $s_f(V_0) \subset g((O_\varepsilon \times N)')$ . Now to see  $f_{s_f} = f$ , let  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$  and take  $b \in B$  with  $f(\varphi) = \varphi(b)$ . By definition of the natural map, we have  $f_{s_f}(\varphi) = \varphi(b)$  and so  $f_{s_f}(\varphi) = f(\varphi)$ . Consequently,  $f_{s_f} = f$  and so we have the natural map is surjective.

Now let  $s \in \Gamma^b(E_B)$ . For any  $\varphi \in \Phi_B$ , set  $I = \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Then  $s(I) = (I, b + I)$  and  $s^*(I) = (I, \bar{b} + I)$  for some  $b, \bar{b} \in B$  such that  $\varphi(b) = \varphi(\bar{b})$ . Hence  $f_s(\varphi) = \varphi(b)$  and so  $|f_s(\varphi)| = |\varphi(b)| = |s(I)| \leq \|s\|$ . Therefore  $\|f_s\| \leq \|s\|$  and so  $f_s \in C_h^b(\Phi_B)$ . Similarly,  $\|s\| \leq \|f_s\|$  and hence the restriction of this natural map to  $\Gamma^b(E_B)$  is isometric. Moreover, since  $f_{s^*}(\varphi) = \varphi(\bar{b}) = \overline{f_s(\varphi)}$ , it follows that  $f_{s^*} = \overline{f_s}$  and so the natural map is  $*$ -preserving. Also, we can easily see that this natural map is a real algebra homomorphism.

Finally set  $\rho(s) = f_s$  for each  $s \in \Gamma^b(E_B)$ . Then we can easily see that



$\rho(\Gamma^b(E_B)) = C_h^b(\Phi_B)$  from the above observation. Moreover,  $\rho(\Gamma_0(E_B)) = C_{h,0}(\Phi_B)$ . To see this, let  $f \in C_{h,0}(\Phi_B)$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Set  $K_f = \{\varphi \in \Phi_B : |f(\varphi)| \geq \delta\}$  and then  $K_f$  is compact. Set  $T_f = \epsilon(K_f)$  and so  $T_f$  is also compact. Then we have  $T_f = \{I \in M_B : |s_f(I)| \geq \delta\}$ . In fact, let  $\varphi \in K_f$  be arbitrary and set  $I = \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Take  $b \in B$  with  $\varphi(b) = f(\varphi)$  and then  $s_f(I) = (I, b + I)$ . By definition of  $|s_f(I)|$ , we have  $|s_f(I)| = |\varphi(b)|$  and so  $|s_f(I)| \geq \delta$  since  $\varphi \in K_f$ . That is  $T_f \subset \{I \in M_B : |s_f(I)| \geq \delta\}$ . Conversely, let  $I \in M_B$  with  $|s_f(I)| \geq \delta$ . Choose  $\psi \in \Phi_B$  with  $I = \text{Ker } \psi$  and take  $c \in B$  with  $\psi(c) = f(\psi)$ . Then we have  $|f(\psi)| = |\psi(c)| = |s_f(I)| \geq \delta$  and so  $\psi \in K_f$ , namely  $I \in T_f$ . Therefore we obtain the inverse inclusion. We thus obtain that  $s_f \in \Gamma_0(E_B)$  and hence  $\rho(\Gamma_0(E_B)) \supset C_{h,0}(\Phi_B)$ . Now let  $s \in \Gamma_0(E_B)$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Set  $T_s = \{I \in M_B : |s(I)| \geq \delta\}$  and then  $T_s$  is compact. Set  $K_s = \{\varphi \in \Phi_B : |f_s(\varphi)| \geq \delta\}$  and then we have  $K_s = \epsilon^{-1}(T_s)$ , similarly. Therefore we see from Lemma 1-(2) that  $K_s$  is compact and hence  $\rho(\Gamma_0(E_B)) \subset C_{h,0}(\Phi_B)$ . This completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. All the authors are partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. INGELSTAM, *Real Banach algebras*, Ark. Mat. **5**(1964), 239–270.
- [2] W. E. PFAFFENBERGER AND J. PHILLIPS, *Commutative Gelfand theory for real Banach algebras: Representations as sections of bundles*, Can. J. Math. **44**(1992), 342–256.

SIN-EI TAKAHASI

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC TECHNOLOGY  
APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS  
YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY

YONEZAWA 992-8510, JAPAN

*e-mail address:* sin-ei@emperor.yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp

TAKESHI MIURA

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC TECHNOLOGY  
APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS  
YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY

YONEZAWA 992-8510, JAPAN

*e-mail address:* miura@yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp

OSAMU HATORI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
NIIGATA UNIVERSITY

NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN

*e-mail address:* hatori@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

(Received May 12, 2003)

(Revised October 29, 2003)