## HIGHER DERIVATIVES AND FINITENESS IN RINGS

HOWARD E. BELL

ABSTRACT. Let n be a positive integer, R a prime ring, U a nonzero right ideal, and d a derivation on R. Under appropriate additional hypotheses, we prove that if  $d^n(U)$  is finite, then either R is finite or d is nilpotent. We also provide an extension to semiprime rings.

In [2] it is proved that if R is a prime ring and d is a derivation on R such that d(R) is finite, then either R is finite or d = 0. This result invites an investigation of prime rings with derivation such that  $d^n(U)$  is finite for some derivation d, some  $n \ge 1$ , and some ideal (or right ideal) U. If U is a nonzero ideal, or if U is a nonzero right ideal and R is suitably-restricted, we can show that either R is finite or d is nilpotent on R.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let R be a ring and S a nonempty subset of R, and let f be a mapping from R to R. We say that f is nilpotent on S if  $f^n(S) = \{0\}$  for some positive integer n; more generally, we call f periodic on S if there exist distinct positive integers m, n such that  $f^n(x) = f^m(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ . We denote the right annihilator of S by  $A_r(S)$ .

We begin by stating and proving a lemma from [1].

**Lemma 1.1.** An infinite prime ring contains no nonzero finite right ideal.

*Proof.* Let R be infinite and prime, and suppose H is a nonzero finite right ideal. Let  $H \setminus \{0\} = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ . For each i = 1, 2, ..., n, define  $f_i : R \to H$  by  $f_i(r) = x_i r$  for all  $r \in R$ . Then  $f_i(R)$  is finite, hence ker  $f_i = A_r(x_i)$  is a right ideal of R having finite index in R. Thus  $K = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker f_i$  is a right ideal of finite index, necessarily nonzero, such that  $HK = \{0\}$ . But this cannot happen in a prime ring.

It is well-known that if R is a ring of prime characteristic p and d is a derivation on R, then  $d^p$  is also a derivation. This observation is the key to the following lemma, which we shall use several times.

Supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Grant No. 3961.

### HOWARD E. BELL

**Lemma 1.2.** Let n be a fixed positive integer, and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a class of prime rings with the following property:

(\*) If  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  admits a nonzero derivation d such that d(U) is finite for some nonzero ideal (resp. right ideal) U, then R is finite.

Then for any  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  and any derivation d such that  $d^n(U)$  is finite for some nonzero ideal (resp. right ideal) U, either R is finite or d is nilpotent on R.

Proof. It will suffice to prove the right ideal version. Let  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  and Ua nonzero right ideal of R, and let d be a derivation on R such that  $d^n(U)$  is finite. If charR = 0, then  $d^n(U) = \{0\}$ ; and by a result of Chung and Luh [4], d is nilpotent on R. Thus, we assume that R has prime characteristic p. Let P be the smallest power of p which is at least n, and let  $\delta = d^P$ . Since  $\delta$  is a derivation and  $\delta(U)$  is finite, it follows from (\*) that either Ris finite or  $\delta = 0$ ; and the latter possibility implies that d is nilpotent on R.

## 2. The case of U an ideal

If U is assumed to be an ideal, then we can show that  $d^n(U)$  can be finite only in the obvious ways.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let n be a fixed positive integer. Let R be a prime ring and d a derivation on R such that  $d^n(U)$  is finite for some nonzero ideal U. Then either R is finite or d is nilpotent on R.

Proof. Let R be any prime ring, U any nonzero ideal and d a derivation on R such that d(U) is finite. Consider the map  $\Phi: U \to d(U)$  given by  $\Phi(x) = d(x)$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then ker  $\Phi = \{x \in U \mid d(x) = 0\}$  is a subring of U of finite index in U, so by a result of Lewin[5], ker  $\Phi$  contains an ideal H of U which has finite index in U. If  $H = \{0\}$ , then U is finite; and by Lemma 1.1, R is finite. Suppose, then, that  $H \neq \{0\}$ . For all  $x \in U$ and  $y \in H$ , we have 0 = d(yx) = yd(x) + d(y)x = yd(x); and therefore  $yUd(U) = \{0\}$ . But for  $y \in H \setminus \{0\}, yU$  is a nonzero right ideal of R, hence  $A_r(yU) = \{0\}$ . Thus  $d(U) = \{0\}$ , and it follows easily that d = 0. Our result now follows by Lemma 1.2.

# 3. The case of U a right ideal

Most of the proof of Theorem 2.1 works if U is assumed to be only a right ideal; the hypothesis that U is a two-sided ideal is used only in showing that  $y \in H \setminus \{0\}$  implies  $yU \neq \{0\}$ . Of course, if R is a domain, the same implication holds; hence, we have **Theorem 3.1.** Let R be a ring with no nonzero divisors of zero, and U a nonzero right ideal of R. If d is a derivation on R and  $d^n(U)$  is finite for some positive integer n, then either R is finite or d is nilpotent on R.

By combining Theorem 2.1 and a result in [3], we obtain

**Theorem 3.2.** Let R be a prime ring and U a nonzero right ideal of R. If d is a nonzero derivation and there exists a positive integer n for which  $d^n(U)$  is finite and central, then d is nilpotent on R.

*Proof.* Assume d is not nilpotent. Then by the final result in [3], R is commutative and hence U is an ideal. By Theorem 2.1, R is finite, hence a finite commutative domain - i.e. a finite field. But it is known that finite fields admit no nonzero derivations.  $\Box$ 

Whether we can always replace U in Theorem 2.1 by a right ideal is an open question; however, we do have an affirmative answer for PI-rings.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let R be a prime PI-ring, and let d be a derivation on R such that  $d^n(U)$  is finite for some nonzero right ideal U and some positive integer n. Then either R is finite or d is nilpotent on R.

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 1.2 and its proof, we may assume that d(U) is finite and R has prime characteristic p. It is well known that a prime PIring has nonzero center Z; and if  $z \in Z \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $d(z^p) = pz^{p-1}d(z) = 0$ , so R has nonzero central constants.

Suppose that  $d(U) \neq \{0\}$ , and let |d(U)| = k. Then for any nonconstant  $u \in U$  and nonzero central constant z, there exist distinct m,  $n \in \{1, 2, ..., k + 1\}$  such that  $d(z^m u) = d(z^n u)$  - i.e.  $(z^m - z^n)d(u) = 0$ ; and since Z has no elements which are zero divisors in R, we get  $z^m = z^n$ . It follows easily that there exist distinct integers M, N such that  $z^M = z^N$ for all central constants z, hence Z satisfies the identity  $z^{Mp} = z^{Np}$  and therefore Z is a finite field.

Since R is a prime PI-ring, its central localization  $R_Z$  is a primitive PI-ring [6, Theorem 6.1.30]. Moreover, since Z is a field,  $R \cong R_Z$  and hence R is primitive. By a classical result of Kaplansky, R is therefore finite-dimensional over Z; hence R is finite.

In the proof of this theorem, the right ideal property of U is used only twice: in the proof of Lemma 1.2, to show that d nilpotent on U implies d nilpotent on R, and in the argument above to guarantee that  $ZU \subseteq U$ . Thus, our methods yield

**Theorem 3.4.** Let R be a prime PI-ring and S an additive subgroup such that  $ZS \subseteq S$ . If R admits a derivation d such that  $d^n(S)$  is finite, then either R is finite or d is nilpotent on S.

### HOWARD E. BELL

## 4. A THEOREM ON SEMIPRIME RINGS

We conclude the paper with a theorem which replaces "nilpotent" by "periodic", and which is available in the setting of semiprime rings.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let R be a semiprime ring having no nonzero finite right ideals. If U is a nonzero right ideal of R and d is a derivation on R such that  $d^n(U)$  is finite for some positive integer n, then U contains a nonzero right ideal  $U_1$  of R such that d is periodic on  $U_1$ .

The proof uses a rather general lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let R be an arbitrary ring and S a nonempty subset of R. If  $f : R \to R$  is a mapping such that  $f(S) \subseteq S$  and  $f^n(S)$  is finite for some positive integer n, then f is periodic on S.

Proof. Since  $f(S) \subseteq S$ , for each positive integer k we have  $f^{k+1}(S) = f^k(f(S)) \subseteq f^k(S)$ . Thus, if  $f^n(S)$  is finite, the chain  $f^n(S) \supseteq f^{n+1}(S) \supseteq f^{n+2}(S) \supseteq \ldots$  must become stationary at some point, say at  $f^N(S) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}$ . Then for each  $u \ge 1$ , the ordered m-tuple  $(f^u(x_1), f^u(x_2), \ldots, f^u(x_m))$  is a permutation of  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ . Therefore there exist distinct  $u, v \ge 1$  such that  $f^u(x_i) = f^v(x_i)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ . Now for each  $x \in S$ ,  $f^N(x) = x_i$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ ; therefore  $f^{N+u}(x) = f^{N+v}(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let U be a nonzero right ideal with  $d^n(U)$  finite. Let T be the torsion ideal of R; and for each prime p, let  $T_p$  be the p-primary component of T. If  $T = \{0\}$ , then  $d^n(U) = 0$ , so clearly d is periodic on U. If  $T \neq \{0\}$  and  $U \cap T = \{0\}$ , then  $UT = \{0\}$ ; and it follows easily by semiprimeness that TU = 0 as well. It follows that  $Ud^m(U) = \{0\} = d^m(U)U$  for all  $m \ge n$ . By applying d to these equations repeatedly, we see that  $d^i(U)d^j(U) = \{0\}$  for all nonnegative i, j with  $i \ge n$  or  $j \ge n$ . By Leibniz' formula, we obtain  $d^{2n-1}(U^2) = \{0\}$ , hence d is periodic on  $U^2$ .

The remaining case is that of  $U \cap T \neq \{0\}$ , in which case  $U \cap T_p \neq \{0\}$ for some prime p. Now by semiprimeness of R,  $pT_p = \{0\}$ ; thus,  $V = U \cap T_p$ is a nonzero right ideal of R with  $pV = \{0\}$ . Moreover,  $d^P(V)$  is finite, where P is the smallest power of p which is at least n.

It remains only to prove that if V is any nonzero right ideal with  $pV = \{0\}$  and  $d^{p^{\alpha}}(V)$  finite for some  $\alpha$ , then d is periodic on some nonzero right ideal contained in V. We use induction on  $\left| d^{p^{\alpha}}(V) \right|$ . A crucial observation is that, by Leibniz' formula,

(1)

 $d^{p^{\alpha}}(xy) = d^{p^{\alpha}}(x)y + xd^{p^{\alpha}}(y) \text{ for } x, y \in R \text{ with at least one of } x, y \text{ in } V.$ If  $\left| d^{p^{\alpha}}(V) \right| = 1$ , then  $d^{p^{\alpha}}(V) = \{0\} = d^{p^{\alpha+1}}(V)$ , so d is obviously periodic on V. Now assume the result holds for nonzero right ideals  $\hat{V}$ with  $p\hat{V} = \{0\}$  and  $\left| d^{p^{\alpha}}(\hat{V}) \right| < k$ , and let V be a nonzero right ideal with  $pV = \{0\}$  and  $\left| d^{p^{\alpha}}(V) \right| = k$ . If V contains a nonzero right ideal I of R with  $\left| d^{p^{\alpha}}(I) \right| < k$ , the desired conclusion is immediate from the inductive hypothesis; hence we assume that for every nonzero right ideal I contained in  $V, d^{p^{\alpha}}(I) = d^{p^{\alpha}}(V)$ . Now since V is infinite and  $d^{p^{\alpha}}(V)$  is finite, V contains a nonzero subset S such that  $d^{p^{\alpha}}(S) = \{0\}$ ; and since Ris semiprime, for  $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$ , sR is a nonzero right ideal contained in V. Therefore, by (1) we get  $d^{p^{\alpha}}(V) = d^{p^{\alpha}}(sR) = sd^{p^{\alpha}}(R) \subseteq V$ ; hence  $d^{p^{\alpha}}$  is periodic on V by Lemma 4.2. Thus, d is periodic on V.

## References

- H. E. Bell and A. A. Klein, On finiteness, commutativity, and periodicity in rings, Math J. Okayama Univ. 35 (1993), 181-188.
- [2] H. E. Bell and A. A. Klein, Ideals contained in subrings, Houston J. Math. 24 (1998), 1-8.
- [3] H. E. Bell, A. A. Klein, and J. Lucier, Nilpotent derivations and commutativity, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 40 (1998), 1-6.
- [4] L. O. Chung and J. Luh, Nilpotency of derivatives on an ideal, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1984), 211-214.
- [5] J. Lewin, Subrings of finite index in finitely-generated rings, J. Algebra 5 (1967), 84-88.
- [6] L. H. Rowen, Ring Theory I and II, Academic Press 1988.

Howard E. Bell Department of Mathematics Brock University St. Catharines, Ontario Canada, L2S 3A1 (Received November 26, 1999)