

DEGREE EQUATIONS FOR p -BLOCKS OF FINITE GROUPS, II

KAZUOKI IKEDA

1. Introduction. M. Osima's Theorem [6] is famous and available as a sufficient condition for a set of irreducible ordinary characters to be a block. For a prime number p , let B be a p -block of a finite group G with the irreducible ordinary characters χ_1, \dots, χ_k . In the previous paper [5], we introduced a residue set $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ associated to B and proved that $\eta_B = \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i \chi_i$ vanishes on G^0 , where G^0 denotes the set of p -regular elements of G (see Section 2). Moreover, for a subset J of the index set $\{1, \dots, k\}$, we put $\{\chi_J\} = \{\chi_j \mid j \in J\}$ and making use of Osima's Theorem, we verified that if $\sum_{j \in J} \varepsilon_j \chi_j$ vanishes on G^0 for every residue set $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ associated to B , then $\{\chi_J\} = B$ or ϕ . Replacing the hypothesis with weaker one, we stated the following ;

Conjecture A. Let B be a p -block with the irreducible ordinary characters χ_1, \dots, χ_k . For $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$, assume that $\sum_{j \in J} \varepsilon_j \chi_j(1) = 0$ for every residue set $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ associated to B . Then $\{\chi_J\} = B$ or ϕ .

We also showed that Conjecture A is equivalent to K. Harada's Conjecture. Similarly, replacing the hypothesis with another one, we now state the following new conjecture ;

Conjecture B. Let B be a p -block with the irreducible ordinary characters χ_1, \dots, χ_k and $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ be a residue set associated to B . For $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$, assume that $\sum_{j \in J} \varepsilon_j \chi_j$ vanishes on G^0 . Then $\{\chi_J\} = B$ or ϕ .

In this paper, we prove the next results.

Theorem 1. *If a p -block B has cyclic defect groups, then Conjecture B holds.*

Theorem 2. *If $G = \text{PSL}(2, q^f)$ such that q is a prime and f is a positive integer, then Conjecture B holds.*

2. Preliminaries. Let the order of G be $p^a g$ such that $(p, g) = 1$. We denote the rational integer ring by \mathbf{Z} as usual. Then the following is proved.

Theorem 3. *Let B be a p -block of G with defect d which contains the irreducible ordinary characters χ_1, \dots, χ_k and the principal indecomposable characters Φ_1, \dots, Φ_t . Let D denote the decomposition matrix of B . Then the*

following assertions hold.

- (i) There exist $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) which satisfy $(m_1 \cdots m_k)D = (w_1, \dots, w_\ell)$, where $\Phi_s(1) = p^a u w_s$ ($s = 1, \dots, \ell$) with $\text{GCD}\{\Phi_s(1)\} = p^a u$.
- (ii) If we set $\chi_i(1) = p^a u m_i + p^{a-d} u \varepsilon_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$), then all ε_i are integers which satisfy $(\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_k)D = (0 \cdots 0)$ and $\eta_B = \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i \chi_i$ vanishes on G^0 . In particular, we have a degree equation $\eta_B(1) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i \chi_i(1) = 0$.

Proof. See [5] Theorem 1.

We call this $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ a residue set with $\{m_i\}$ associated to B or simply a residue set.

Now we suppose that the condition of Conjecture B holds, i.e. $\sum_{j \in J} \varepsilon_j \chi_j$ vanishes on G^0 for $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then by the linear independence of the irreducible Brauer characters, we have $\sum_{j \in J} \varepsilon_j d_{js} = 0$ for all $s = 1, \dots, \ell$, where $D = (d_{is})$. Since $\chi_j(1) = p^a u m_j + p^{a-d} u \varepsilon_j$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j \in J} \chi_j(1) d_{js} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a} \quad \text{for all } s = 1, \dots, \ell. \quad (1)$$

Since $\eta_B = \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i \chi_i$ vanishes on G^0 , for $J' = \{1, \dots, k\} - J$, similarly we have

$$\sum_{j \in J'} \chi_j(1) d_{js} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a} \quad \text{for all } s = 1, \dots, \ell. \quad (2)$$

Therefore the next is proved.

Lemma 4. *If (1) or (2) does not occur for a non-empty proper subset J , then Conjecture B holds.*

Lemma 5. *If $k = \ell + 1$, then Conjecture B holds.*

Proof. If a non-empty proper subset J of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ satisfies $\sum_{j \in J} \varepsilon_j d_{js} = 0$ for all s , then $J' = \{1, \dots, k\} - J$ also satisfies $\sum_{j \in J'} \varepsilon_j d_{js} = 0$ for all s . Hence the rank of D is less than $k - 1 = \ell$. This is a contradiction and the proof is complete by Lemma 4.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss, we may assume $d > 0$. We use Dade's Theorem on block structure with cyclic defect groups (see [2] or [3] for detail). The principal indecomposable character Φ_s of B has the form $\Phi_s = \chi_i + \sum_{\lambda \in A} \chi_\lambda$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) or $\Phi_s = \chi_i + \chi_j$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell$). Since $\Phi_s(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$ and $\chi_i(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$, we have $\nu(\chi_i(1)) = \nu(\sum_{\lambda \in A} \chi_\lambda(1))$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, where ν denotes the p -adic exponential valuation with $\nu(p) = 1$. Since

$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_\lambda(1) = |\Lambda| \chi_\lambda(1)$ and $|\Lambda| = (p^d - 1)/\ell$, we have $\nu(\chi_i(1)) = \nu(\chi_\lambda(1)) = a - d$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

If $\Phi_s = \chi_i + \chi_j$, then either $i, j \in J$ or $i, j \in J'$. Next assume $\Phi_s = \chi_i + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_\lambda$. We claim that $\{i\} \cup \Lambda \subseteq J$ or $\{i\} \cup \Lambda \subseteq J'$. If $i \notin J$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\sum_{j \in J} \chi_j(1) d_{js}) &= \nu(|J \cap \Lambda| \chi_i(1)) \leq \nu(|J \cap \Lambda|) + \nu(\chi_i(1)) \\ &< d + a - d = a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $J \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ by Lemma 4 and so $\{i\} \cup \Lambda \subseteq J'$. Consequently we obtain either $\{i\} \cup \Lambda \subseteq J$ or $\{i\} \cup \Lambda \subseteq J'$ as desired. By the definition of blocks, it is impossible to arrange rows and columns of D so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore we have $\{\chi_j\} = B$ or \emptyset . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. In the following cases the defect groups of each block are all cyclic.

$$G = \text{PSL}(2, q^f) \quad 2 \neq p | q^f - 1 \quad \text{or} \quad 2 \neq p | q^f + 1$$

Then Conjecture B holds for these cases by Theorem 1. Thus it suffices to show the following four cases.

- (I) $G = \text{PSL}(2, q^f) \quad p = 2 \quad q \neq 2 \quad q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,
- (II) $G = \text{PSL}(2, q^f) \quad p = 2 \quad q \neq 2 \quad q^f \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$,
- (III) $G = \text{PSL}(2, 2^f) \quad p = 2$,
- (IV) $G = \text{PSL}(2, p^f) \quad p \neq 2$.

The decomposition matrices which we use in this proof are determined by R. Burkhardt in [1].

(I) $G = \text{PSL}(2, q^f) \quad p = 2 \quad q \neq 2 \quad q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In this case the irreducible characters of G are $1, \alpha, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \zeta_i \ (1 \leq i \leq (q^f - 5)/4), \delta_i \ (1 \leq i \leq (q^f - 1)/4)$. Each degree is $\alpha(1) = q^f, \gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1) = (q^f + 1)/2, \zeta_i(1) = q^f + 1, \delta_i(1) = q^f - 1$. Let $P \times C$ be a cyclic subgroup of order $(q^f - 1)/2$, where P is a subgroup of order 2^{a-1} and C is a subgroup of order $c, 2 \nmid c$. Thus there are only one block of maximal defect and $(c-1)/2$ blocks of defect $a-1$ and the other blocks are all of defect 0.

The decomposition matrix of the principal block is

	1	φ_1	φ_2	degree
1	1	0	0	1
α	1	1	1	$2^a c + 1$
γ_1	1	1	0	$2^{a-1} c + 1$
γ_2	1	0	1	$2^{a-1} c + 1$
$2^{a-2} - 1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \zeta \\ \vdots \\ \zeta \end{array} \right.$	2	1	1	$2^a c + 2$
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
	2	1	1	$2^a c + 2$

Hence by Lemma 4, we have $\{\chi_j\} = B$ or ϕ .

The decomposition matrix of the block of defect $a-1$ is

	1	degree
$2^{a-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \zeta \\ \vdots \\ \zeta \end{array} \right.$	1	$2^a c + 2$
	\vdots	\vdots
	1	$2^a c + 2$

Thus clearly $\{\chi_j\} = B$ or ϕ .

(II) $G = \text{PSL}(2, q^f)$ $p = 2$ $q \neq 2$ $q^f \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. In this case there are irreducible characters $1, \alpha, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \zeta_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq (q^f - 3)/4$), δ_i ($1 \leq i \leq (q^f - 3)/4$) of G . Each degree is $\alpha(1) = q^f$, $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1) = (q^f - 1)/2$, $\zeta_i(1) = q^f + 1$, $\delta_i(1) = q^f - 1$. Let $P \times C$ be a cyclic subgroup of order $(q^f + 1)/2$, where P is a subgroup of order 2^{a-1} and C is a subgroup of order c , $2 \nmid c$. There are only one block of maximal defect and $(c-1)/2$ blocks of defect $a-1$. The other blocks are all of defect 0.

The decomposition matrix of the principal block is

	1	φ_1	φ_2	degree
1	1	0	0	1
α	1	1	1	$2^a c - 1$
γ_1	0	1	0	$2^{a-1} c - 1$
γ_2	0	0	1	$2^{a-1} c - 1$
$2^{a-2} - 1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta \\ \vdots \\ \delta \end{array} \right.$	0	1	1	$2^a c - 2$
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
	0	1	1	$2^a c - 2$

The same argument as in (I) yields the result.

The decomposition matrix of the block of defect $a-1$ is

$$2^{a-1} \begin{array}{c|cc} & 1 & \text{degree} \\ \hline \delta & 1 & 2^a c - 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta & 1 & 2^a c - 2 \end{array}$$

Thus the result is clear.

(III) $G = \text{PSL}(2, 2^f)$ $p = 2$. The irreducible characters are $1, \alpha, \zeta_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2^{f-1}-1$), γ_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2^{f-1}$) and their degrees are $\alpha(1) = 2^f$, $\zeta_i(1) = 2^f + 1$, $\gamma_i(1) = 2^f - 1$. Thus $\{\alpha\}$ is a block of defect 0 and only principal block is a block of positive defect. So we consider the principal block B . The number k of the irreducible ordinary characters of B is $1 + (2^{f-1}-1) + 2^{f-1} = 2^f$. It is known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible Brauer characters of B and the proper subsets of $\{1, \dots, f\}$. Thus the number ℓ of the irreducible Brauer characters of B is $2^f - 1$. Hence $k = \ell + 1$ and by Lemma 5 the result follows.

(IV) $G = \text{PSL}(2, p^f)$ $p \neq 2$. If $p^f \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the irreducible characters are $1, \zeta_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq (p^f - 5)/4$), δ_i ($1 \leq i \leq (p^f - 1)/4$), γ_1, γ_2 and their degrees are $\zeta_i(1) = p^f + 1$, $\delta_i(1) = p^f - 1$, $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1) = (p^f + 1)/2$. There is only one block B . Set $F = \{I = (i_1 \dots i_f) \mid 1 \leq i_r \leq p-1, \sum_{r=1}^f i_r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\} - \{(p-1 \dots p-1)\}$. Then it is known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible Brauer characters φ_I of B and the elements I of F . In particular, the principal Brauer character corresponds to $(0 \dots 0)$. Thus the number ℓ is equal to $|F| = (p^f - 1)/2$. Since $k = (p^f + 3)/2$, we have $k = \ell + 2$. A residue set $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ with $\{m_i\}$ associated to B is arranged as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} 1(1) &= p^f u m_0 + u \varepsilon_0 \\ \zeta_i(1) &= p^f u m_i + u \varepsilon_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq h) \\ \delta_i(1) &= p^f u m'_i + u \varepsilon'_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq h+1) \\ \gamma_i(1) &= p^f u m''_i + u \varepsilon''_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq 2) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $h = (p^f - 5)/4$. The rows and the columns of the decomposition matrix D of B are arranged as follows. The first row is 1, the second is ζ_1, \dots , the $(h+1)$ -st is ζ_h , the $(h+2)$ -nd is δ_1, \dots , the $(2h+2)$ -nd is δ_{h+1} , the $(2h+3)$ -rd is γ_1 and the last is γ_2 . The first column is $(0 \dots 0)$, from the second $I = (i_1 \dots i_f)$

$\neq (0 \cdots 0)$ such that no $i_r = p-1$, the next is $I = (i_1 \cdots i_f)$ such that exactly one $i_r = p-1, \cdots$ and the last is $I = (i_1 \cdots i_f)$ such that exactly $(f-1)$ $i_r = p-1$. Now we define for $I = (i_1 \cdots i_f)$,

$$\Sigma(I) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^f \tau_r (p-1-i_r) p^{r-1} \mid \tau_r \in \{1, -1\} \right\}.$$

If $\zeta_i = \sum_{I \in F} d_{iI} \varphi_I$ is the decomposition of ζ_i into the irreducible Brauer characters, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{iI} &= 1 \quad \text{for } \{i, \frac{1}{2}(p^f-1)-i\} \cap \Sigma(I) \neq \emptyset \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

If $\delta_i = \sum_{I \in F} d_{iI} \varphi_I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{iI} &= 1 \quad \text{for } \{i, \frac{1}{2}(p^f+1)-i\} \cap \Sigma(I) \neq \emptyset \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

If $\gamma_{1/2} = \sum_{I \in F} d_I \varphi_I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_I &= 1 \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{4}(p^f-1) \in \Sigma(I) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

If $I = (0 \cdots 0)$, then

$$|\{\zeta_i \mid d_{iI} = 1\}| + 1 = |\{\delta_i \mid d_{iI} = 1\}| = 2^{f-1}.$$

If $I = (i_1 \cdots i_f)$ such that exactly t $i_r = p-1$ and $(p^f-1)/4 \notin \Sigma(I)$, then

$$|\{\zeta_i \mid d_{iI} = 1\}| = |\{\delta_i \mid d_{iI} = 1\}| = 2^{f-t-1}.$$

If $I = (i_1 \cdots i_f)$ such that exactly t $i_r = p-1$ and $(p^f-1)/4 \in \Sigma(I)$, then

$$|\{\zeta_i \mid d_{iI} = 1\}| + 1 = |\{\delta_i \mid d_{iI} = 1\}| = 2^{f-t-1}.$$

Then the degrees of the principal indecomposable characters Φ_i are $p^f(2^f-1)$, $p^f 2^f, \dots, p^f 2^{f-1}, \dots, p^f 2, \dots$. Hence $(\cdots w_i \cdots) = (2^f-1) 2^f \cdots 2^{f-1} \cdots 2 \cdots$ and $u = 1$ where $\Phi_i(1) = p^f u w_i$.

Next we consider the linear homogeneous equation

$$(x_0 x_1 \cdots x_h x'_1 \cdots x'_{h+1} x''_1 x''_2) D = (0 \cdots 0). \quad (4)$$

Now

$$(1 \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_h \underbrace{-1 \cdots -1}_{h+1} 0 1) \quad \text{and} \quad (0 \cdots 0 \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{2h+2} -1)$$

are linearly independent solutions of (4). Furthermore

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_h \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{h+1}$

is a solution of the equation

$$(m_0 m_1 \cdots m_h m'_1 \cdots m'_{h+1} m''_1 m''_2) D = (\cdots w_l \cdots) \tag{5}$$

Since the rank of D is ℓ and $k = \ell + 2$, $(-1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 2 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 0 \ 0) + z_1(1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1 \ -1 \ \cdots \ -1 \ 0 \ 1) + z_2(0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 1 \ -1)$ ($z_1, z_2 \in Z$) are all of the solutions of (5). Hence $m_i = z_1$ ($1 \leq i \leq h$) and $m'_i = 2 - z_1$ ($1 \leq i \leq h + 1$). Therefore by (3) we have $\varepsilon_i = p^f(1 - z_1) + 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq h$) and $\varepsilon'_i = p^f(z_1 - 1) - 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq h + 1$). By Lemma 4, we may assume that $1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \notin \{\chi_j\}$. We claim that $\{\chi_j\} = \phi$. Suppose that $n_1 \zeta_i$'s and $n_2 \delta_i$'s are contained in $\{\chi_j\}$. Then by $\sum_{j \in J} \varepsilon_j \chi_j(1) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} n_1\{p^f(1 - z_1) + 1\}(p^f + 1) + n_2\{p^f(z_1 - 1) - 1\}(p^f - 1) &= 0, \\ 0 \leq n_1 \leq h, \quad 0 \leq n_2 \leq h + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $n_1 + n_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^f}$, $0 \leq n_1 + n_2 \leq 2h + 1 = (p^f - 3)/2$ and so $n_1 = n_2 = 0$. We obtain $\{\chi_j\} = \phi$ as required.

If $p^f \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then the same argument implies the result. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

[1] R. BURKHARDT : Die Zerlegungsmatrizen der Gruppen $PSL(2, p^f)$, J. Algebra 40 (1976), 75—96.
 [2] E. C. DADE : Blocks with cyclic defect groups, Ann. of Math. 84 (1966), 20—48.
 [3] L. DORNHOFF : Group Representation Theory, Part B, Marsel Dekker, New York, 1972.
 [4] W. FEIT : The Representation Theory of Finite Groups, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
 [5] K. IKEDA : Degree equations for p -blocks of finite groups, Arch. Math. 60 (1993), 401—406.
 [6] M. OSIMA : Notes on blocks of group characters, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 4 (1955), 175—188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 KYUSHU SANGYO UNIVERSITY
 FUKUOKA 813, JAPAN

(Received April 30, 1992)