

ENDOMORPHISMS OF MODULES OVER MAXIMAL ORDERS

KANZO MASAIKE

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper R will represent a right order in a right Artinian ring Q (cf. [4]). Let M be a right R -module, $T = \text{End}(M_R)$, and $M^* = \text{Hom}(M_R, R_R)$. Then there exists a derived Morita context; $(,) : M^* \otimes {}_T M \longrightarrow R$ and $[,] : M \otimes_R M^* \longrightarrow T$ such that $f[m, f'] = (f, m)f'$ and $m(f, m') = [m, f]m'$ for all $m, m' \in M$, and $f, f' \in M^*$ (see [1] and [8]). The images (M^*, M) and $[M, M^*]$ are denoted by I and K , respectively. Let \mathfrak{D}_I (resp. \mathfrak{D}_K) be the smallest Gabriel filter (additive topology [11]) of R (resp. T) which contains I (resp. K). Then, B. Müller [8] showed that there exists an equivalence between quotient categories determined by \mathfrak{D}_I and \mathfrak{D}_K . One of the purposes of this paper is to apply the above result of Müller to endomorphism rings of modules over orders in Artinian rings.

Assume that R is a maximal two-sided order in a semi-simple ring Q and M is a finite dimensional torsionless faithful right R -module. Then, J. H. Cozzens has proved in [2, Theorem 2. 8] that (a) \implies (b) \iff (c), where

- (a) M_R is reflexive,
- (b) T is a maximal two-sided order, and
- (c) $T = \text{End}({}_R M^*)$.

He has claimed also that (b) \implies (a) does not hold in general, but does provided M_R is a generator.

In this paper we shall try to generalize the above result to a maximal one-sided order R in a quasi-Frobenius ring Q , where $M \otimes_R Q$ is Q -projective. In Theorem 2, by making use of a hereditary torsion theory induced by \mathfrak{D}_I [11] and the notion of \mathcal{E}_R (see below), we shall give necessary and sufficient conditions (weaker than reflexivity) for T to be a maximal right order.

A right R -module X is *torsion free in the sense of Levy* [5], if no non-zero element of X is annihilated by a regular element of R . Here there exists a right Q -module MQ ($\simeq M \otimes_R Q$) which contains M as an R -submodule. Let \mathcal{E}_R be the class $\{X_R; X \text{ is embedded in a right } R\text{-module } W \text{ such that } W \text{ is a direct product of copies of } R_R \text{ and } W/X \text{ is torsion free in the sense of Levy}\}$. We shall prove that if Q and S are Morita equivalent right Artinian rings and if R is a maximal right

order in Q , then we can pick up a maximal right order T in S such that there exists a category equivalence between \mathcal{E}_R and \mathcal{E}_T . Furthermore, when Q is quasi-Frobenius, a necessary and sufficient condition for T to be a maximal right order whose classical right quotient ring is Morita equivalent to Q will be given in Theorem 1.

2. In this paper every ring has an identity, and every homomorphism of modules will be written on the opposite side of scalars. A right R -module M will be said to *satisfy the condition (A)*, if M is isomorphic to a direct summand of a right R -module U such that $U \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R$ and $UQ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q$. If Q is semi-simple, every finite dimensional torsionless right R -module satisfies (A) (see the proof of (ii) \implies (i) of Theorem 1). In [6], it is proved that if M satisfies (A), then $\text{End}(M_R)$ is a right order in $\text{End}(MQ_Q)$. Furthermore, M^* is canonically embedded in $(MQ_Q)^* = \text{Hom}(MQ_Q, Q_Q)$ as an R - T -bimodule.

Lemma 1. *Let $T = \text{End}(M_R)$, and $S = \text{End}(MQ_Q)$, where M satisfies (A). Then there hold the following :*

(1) $M^*S = (MQ_Q)^*$, where $M^*S = \{\sum f_i s_i; f_i \in M^*, s_i \in S\}$.

(2) *The trace ideal I of M_R contains a regular element if and only if MQ_Q is a generator.*

Proof. Set $T_0 = \text{End}(U_R)$, and $S_0 = \text{End}(UQ_Q)$, where U is as above. Let u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n be the canonical free Q -basis of UQ . There exists a right R -module N such that $U = M \oplus N$ and hence $UQ = MQ \oplus NQ$. Therefore, $(MQ_Q)^*$ is canonically embedded in $(UQ_Q)^*$. Let $\phi \in (MQ_Q)^*$, and put $\phi(u_i) = q_i$. Then we can choose a regular element $d \in R$ such that $u_i d \in U$ and $q_i d \in R$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Define $t \in S_0$ by $t(\sum u_i p_i) = \sum u_i d p_i$, where $p_i \in Q$. Since $\sum u_i p_i \in U$ implies $p_i \in R$, t is contained in T_0 . Clearly, t is a regular element of T_0 . On the other hand, $\phi \cdot t \in U^* = \text{Hom}(U_R, R_R)$, since $\phi \cdot t(\sum u_i p_i) = \sum q_i d p_i$. It follows that $T = \{s \in T_0; \phi \cdot s \in U^*\}$ is a right ideal of T_0 containing a regular element. Now, let $e \in T_0$ be the projection $M \oplus N \longrightarrow M$. Since T_0 is a right order in a right Artinian ring S_0 and e is an idempotent element, the proof of [9, Lemma 6] enables us to see that eLe contains a regular element of $eT_0e = T$. On the other hand, it is evident that $\phi \cdot eLe \subset M^*$ and then we have $M^*S \supset (MQ_Q)^*$, proving (1).

We consider here the Morita context $(,): (MQ_Q)^* \otimes_R MQ \longrightarrow Q$ and $[,]: MQ \otimes_R (MQ_Q)^* \longrightarrow S$. Then, $IQ = (M^*, M)Q = (M^*, SMQ) =$

$(M^*S, MQ) = ((MQ_Q)^*, MQ)$. Hence I contains a regular element if and only if MQ_Q is a generator.

Lemma 2. *Let R be a maximal right order in Q . If $M \in \mathcal{C}_R$ satisfies (A) and I contains a regular element, then $T = \text{End}(M_R)$ is a maximal right order in $S = \text{End}(MQ_Q)$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a ring T_1 such that $T \subset T_1 \subset S$ and $t_1 T_1 t_2 \subset T$ for some units $t_1, t_2 \in S$. Then it follows that $t_1[M, M^*]T_1[M, M^*]t_2 \subset T$. Hence, $(M^*t_1, M)(M^*T_1, M)(M^*t_2, M) = (M^*t_1[M, M^*]T_1[M, M^*]t_2, M) \subset R$. Since t_i is invertible, there holds $t_iMQ = MQ$, so that $(M^*t_i, M)Q = (M^*S, MQ) = Q$ by Lemma 1. Thus, (M^*t_i, M) contains a regular element of Q . Put $R_0 = \{q \in Q; q(M^*T_1, M) \subset (M^*T_1, M)\}$. Then, $(M^*t_1, M)R_0(M^*T_1, M)(M^*t_2, M) \subset R$. Now, R is a maximal right order contained in R_0 , and hence $R = R_0$. It follows then $(M^*T_1, M) \subset R$. On the other hand, $M \in \mathcal{C}_R$ implies that there exists an R -monomorphism $f: M \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in A} R^{(\lambda)}$, where $R^{(\lambda)}$ is a copy of R_R , such that $(\text{Im } f)Q \cap \prod_{\lambda \in A} R^{(\lambda)} = \text{Im } f$. Then f can be extended to a Q -monomorphism $\bar{f}: MQ \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in A} Q^{(\lambda)}$, since M_R is torsion free in the sense of Levy. Let $s \in T_1$, and $m \in M$. Each projection $\bar{f}_\lambda: MQ \rightarrow Q^{(\lambda)}$ can be regarded as an element of M^* . Then, $\bar{f}_\lambda(s(m)) \in (M^*T_1, M) \subset R$ and $\bar{f}(s(m)) \in f(M)$. Thus, $s(m) \in M$ and $T_1 \subset T$. Hence T is a maximal right order.

In what follows, let us denote by $L_I(\)$ the *quotient functor (localization functor)* with respect to \mathfrak{D}_I .

Lemma 3. *Assume that R is a maximal right order in Q , M is a torsionless right R -module with trace ideal I containing a regular element, and that $\text{End}(MQ_Q)$ is a classical right quotient ring of $\text{End}(M_R)$ as a canonical extension. If $Y \in \mathcal{C}_R$, then $L_I(Y) = Y$ and $\text{Hom}(M_R, Y_R) \in \mathcal{C}_T$.*

Proof. Let Y be a submodule of $\prod_{\lambda \in A} R^{(\lambda)}$ such that $YQ \cap \prod_{\lambda \in A} R^{(\lambda)} = Y$. Suppose $L_I(Y) \neq Y$, and let $E(Y)$ be the injective hull of Y . Then $E(Y)/Y$ is not \mathfrak{D}_I -torsion free (cf. [11]). Hence, there exists $x \in E(Y)$ such that $x \notin Y$ and $xI \subset Y$. Since I contains a regular element, we obtain $x \in YQ \subset \prod_{\lambda \in A} Q^{(\lambda)}$. Let x_λ be the projection of x into $Q^{(\lambda)}$. Since $x_\lambda I \subset R$, there holds $x_\lambda M^* \subset M^*$ and then $x_\lambda I \subset I$. Since R is a maximal right order, it follows $x_\lambda \in R$. This implies that $x \in YQ \cap \prod_{\lambda \in A} R^{(\lambda)} = Y$, a contradiction. This proves that $L_I(Y) = Y$.

Put $A = \text{Hom}(MQ_Q, YQ_Q)$. Since $Mq \neq 0$ for every non-zero $q \in Q$, the right S -module A is embedded in $\prod_{(m,\lambda) \in M \times A} S^{(m,\lambda)}$ by the map $\delta : A \longrightarrow \prod S^{(m,\lambda)}$ such that $[\pi_{(m,\kappa)} \delta(a)](x) = m(\pi_\kappa a(x))$, where $x \in MQ$, $a \in A$, $(m, \kappa) \in M \times A$, and $\pi_{(m,\kappa)}$ and π_κ are projections $\prod S^{(m,\lambda)} \longrightarrow S^{(m,\kappa)}$ and $\prod Q^{(\lambda)} \longrightarrow Q^{(\kappa)}$, respectively. Put $B = \text{Hom}(M_R, Y_R)$. Then B is a T -submodule of A and $\delta(B) \subset \prod T^{(m,\lambda)}$. Now, let $b_0 \in A$ such that $\delta(b_0) \in \delta(B)S \cap \prod T^{(m,\lambda)}$. Since $\pi_{(m,\lambda)} \delta(b_0) \in T$ for every $(m, \lambda) \in M \times A$, it follows $m\pi_\lambda b_0(M) \subset M$. Therefore, $I\pi_\lambda b_0(M) \subset R$ and $\pi_\lambda b_0(M) \subset R$. Consequently, $b_0(M) \subset YQ \cap \prod R^{(\lambda)} = Y$ and $\delta(b_0) \in \delta(B)$. This implies $B \in \mathcal{E}_T$.

Proposition 1. *If Q and S are Morita equivalent right Artinian rings and R is a maximal right order in Q , then there exists a maximal right order T in S such that there exists a category equivalence between \mathcal{E}_R and \mathcal{E}_T .*

Proof. There exists a right Q -module P and P' such that $P \oplus P' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q$ and $S = \text{End}(P_Q)$, where P_Q is a generator (cf. [1], [7]). Put $M = P \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R$, and $M' = P' \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R$. Evidently $M \in \mathcal{E}_R$. Since $(M \oplus M')Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q$, M_R satisfies (A) and I contains a regular element. Hence, $T = \text{End}(M_R)$ is a maximal right order in $S = \text{End}(MQ_Q)$ (Lemma 2), and M_R and M_T^* are faithful and torsionless. Then, M_R is canonically embedded in $\text{Hom}(M_T^*, T_T)$, and $\text{Hom}(M_T^*, T_T)I \subset M$. Since $L_I(M) = M$ by Lemma 3, we have $M = \text{Hom}(M_T^*, T_T)$, whence it follows that $K = [M, M^*]$ is the trace ideal of M_T^* . From $KS = [M, M^*S] = [M, Q(M^*S)] = S$, we see that K contains a regular element of T . Obviously, $\text{End}(M_T^*) (\supset R)$ is a right order in $\text{End}(M^*S_S) = \text{End}((MQ_Q)^*S) = Q$. If we put $R_1 = \{q \in Q; qM^* \subset M^*\}$, we can say $\text{End}(M_T^*) = R_1$. Since $R_1 I \subset R$, we have $R = \text{End}(M_T^*)$. As was mentioned in the introduction there exists a category equivalence between quotient categories with respect to \mathfrak{D}_I and \mathfrak{D}_K via the functor $\text{Hom}(M_R,) : \text{Mod-}R \longrightarrow \text{Mod-}T$ and $\text{Hom}(M_T^*,) : \text{Mod-}T \longrightarrow \text{Mod-}R$. The consequence is immediate by Lemma 3, since \mathcal{E}_R and \mathcal{E}_T are full subcategories of these quotient categories, respectively.

Theorem 1. *If Q is a quasi-Frobenius ring, then the following conditions on a ring T are equivalent :*

(i) *T is a maximal right order whose classical right quotient ring is Morita equivalent to Q .*

(ii) *There exists a maximal right order R in Q and a finite dimensional faithful right R -module M in \mathcal{E}_R such that $M \otimes_R Q$ is Q -projective and $T = \text{End}(M_R)$.*

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). There exists a ring S such that $Q = \text{End}(V_S)$ and T is a maximal right order in S , where V_S is a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S$ and is a generator. As in the proof of Proposition 1, putting $N_T = V \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^n T$ and $R = \text{End}(N_T)$, we have $T = \text{End}(N_R^*)$, where $N^* = \text{Hom}(N_T, T_T)$. Since T is in \mathcal{E}_T , the consequence is immediate by Lemma 3.

(ii) \implies (i). M_R is a submodule of $\prod_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}} R^{(\lambda)} (\subset \prod Q^{(\lambda)})$ such that $MQ \cap \prod R^{(\lambda)} = M$. Since M_R is faithful and Q is quasi-Frobenius, MQ_Q is a generator. Clearly, MQ_Q is a finite direct sum of injective indecomposable Q -modules, since MQ_Q is finite dimensional. Thus, MQ_Q is finitely generated and hence Artinian. Therefore, we can choose a finite subset F of \mathcal{A} so that $\bigcap_{f \in F} \text{Ker } \pi_f = 0$, where π_f is the projection $MQ \longrightarrow Q^{(f)}$. Now, M_R is embedded in $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in F} R$ and MQ_Q is a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in F} Q$, it is easy to see that M_R satisfies (A) and T is a maximal right order in $S = \text{End}(MQ_Q)$ by Lemma 2.

Every prime Goldie maximal right order need not be Morita equivalent to a right Ore domain (see [10]). However, from Theorem 1 we have the following

Corollary. *A ring T is a prime Goldie maximal right order, if and only if there exists a maximal right order R in a division ring and a finite dimensional right R -module M in \mathcal{E}_R such that $T \simeq \text{End}(M_R)$.*

Proposition 2. *If M is a reflexive right R -module, then $M \in \mathcal{E}_R$. The converse is true, provided R is a maximal two-sided order in a quasi-Frobenius ring Q and M is a finite dimensional faithful module such that $M \otimes_R Q$ is Q -projective.*

Proof. Since M is R -reflexive, we can say that there exists a left R -module N such that $M = \text{Hom}({}_R N, {}_R R)$. Define $\theta : M_R \longrightarrow \prod_{n \in N} R^{(n)}$ by $\theta(v) = \{(n)v\}_{n \in N} \in \prod R^{(n)}$, $v \in M$. This is a monomorphism. Now, let $y = \{r_n\}_{n \in N} \in \prod R^{(n)}$ be such that $yd \in \text{Im } \theta$ for some regular $d \in R$. Let $v_0 \in M$ be such that $(n)v_0 = r_n d$, $n \in N$, and define $v_0 \cdot d^{-1} \in M$ by $(n)v_0 \cdot d^{-1} = r_n$, $n \in N$. Then, $\theta(v_0 \cdot d^{-1}) = y$. Hence $\prod R^{(n)} / \text{Im } \theta$ is R -torsion free in the sense of Levy.

Next, we shall prove the latter assertion. Assume that $M \in \mathcal{C}_R$. By [6] and Theorem 1, $T = \text{End}(M_R)$ is a maximal two-sided order. Now, ${}_T M$ is embedded in a direct product of ${}_T T$ by the map $\alpha : {}_T M \longrightarrow \prod_{f \in M^*} T^{(f)}$ such that each projection of $(m)\alpha$ is $[m, f]$, $m \in M$. On the other hand, since M_R is torsionless, there is a canonical monomorphism $\sigma : {}_T M_R \longrightarrow {}_T C_R = \text{Hom}({}_R M^*, {}_R R)$. Evidently, $KC \subset \text{Im } \sigma$ and K contains a regular element (see the proof of Proposition 1). Then, since ${}_T M$ is torsionless and T is a left order in $\text{End}(MQ_Q)$, ${}_T C$ is embedded in $SM = [MQ, (MQ_Q)^*]M = MQ((MQ_Q)^*, M) = MQ$. Now, M_R is a submodule of $\Pi R^{(\Lambda)}$ such that $MQ \cap \Pi R^{(\Lambda)} = M$. If $z \in C \subset MQ$, then $M \supset Kz = M(M^*, z)$, and hence $(M^*, z) \subset R$. It follows $z \in \Pi R^{(\Lambda)}$, i. e., $z \in M$. M is therefore reflexive.

Remark. In virtue of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2, Proposition 2.9 of [2] remains true even if R is semi-prime, provided M_R is faithful.

A right R -module M is said to be \mathfrak{D}_T -quasi-injective if every $f \in \text{Hom}(J_R, M_R)$ can be extended to an element of $\text{End}(M_R)$, provided $J_R \subset M_R$ and M/J is a \mathfrak{D}_T -torsion module. If $L_l(M) = M$, then we can see that M is \mathfrak{D}_T -quasi-injective. Now, we go back to the result of [2, Theorem 2.8] mentioned in the introduction. When M_R is reflexive in this case, $L_l(M) = M \in \mathcal{C}_R$ by Lemma 3 and Proposition 2. Therefore, the following includes this theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a maximal right order in a quasi-Frobenius ring Q , and M a finite dimensional torsionless faithful right R -module such that $M \otimes_R Q$ is Q -projective. Then the following conditions are equivalent, where $T = \text{End}(M_R)$ and $S = \text{End}(MQ_Q)$.*

- (i) T is a maximal right order.
- (ii) M_R is \mathfrak{D}_T -quasi-injective and $L_l(M) \in \mathcal{C}_R$.
- (iii) $T = \{s \in S; M^*s \subset M^*\}$.

Proof. Put $T_0 = \{s \in S; M^*s \subset M^*\}$. Assume that T_1 is a subring of S such that $T_0 \subset T_1$ and $t_1 T_1 t_2 \subset T_0$ with some units $t_1, t_2 \in S$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 2, we obtain $(M^* T_1, M) \subset R$, and hence $T_1 = T_0$ is a maximal right order in S . Furthermore, T_0 and T are equivalent orders and $T_0 \supset T$. Therefore, the equivalence of (i) and (iii) is evident.

(i) \implies (ii). We can define a monomorphism $\theta : M_R \longrightarrow D_R = \text{Hom}(M_T^*, T_T)$ by $\theta(m)(f) = [m, f]$, $m \in M, f \in M^*$. Then, $DI \subset M$, and so $D \subset L_l(M)$. Since $T \in \mathcal{C}_T$, we have $L_l(M) = L_l(D) = D$ by Lemma 3. Assume that $J_R \subset M_R$ and M/J is \mathfrak{D}_T -torsion. Then, every

$f \in \text{Hom}(J_R, M_R)$ can be extended to an $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}(L_I(\overline{J})_R, L_I(M)_R) = \text{End}(L_I(M)_R)$. Since $L_I(M)$ is contained in the injective hull of M_R , i. e., $M \subset L_I(M) \subset MQ$, it follows that $T_1 = \text{End}(L_I(M)_R)$ coincides with $\{s \in S; sL_I(M) \subset L_I(M)\}$. Since $R \in \mathcal{C}_R$, we can easily see that $M^* = \text{Hom}(L_I(M)_R, R_R) = L_I(M)^*$. Then $[M, M^*]T_1 = [M, L_I(M)^*T_1] \subset T$. Since T is a maximal right order, we have $T_1 = T$. Thus, $\bar{f}(M) \subset M$, which proves (ii).

(ii) \implies (i). Let $h \in T_1 = \text{End}(L_I(M)_R)$, and set $J = \{x \in M; h(x) \in M\}$. Since M/J is \mathfrak{D}_I -torsion, the restriction $h|_J \in \text{Hom}(J_R, M_R)$ is extended uniquely to an $h' \in T$. Hence, $T_1 \simeq T$ by $h \longrightarrow h'$. Since $L_I(M) \in \mathcal{C}_R$ and $L_I(M)Q$ coincides with the Q -projective module MQ , $T (\simeq T_1)$ is a maximal right order by Theorem 2. This completes the proof.

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2, we notice that the proof of Proposition 1 enables us to see that $R = \text{End}(M^*_T)$. On the other hand, there exists a canonical ring monomorphism from T to $T_2 = \text{End}({}_R M^*)$. Let $\alpha \in T_2$, and $m \in M$. Define $\overline{\alpha \cdot m} : {}_R M^* \longrightarrow {}_R R$ by $(f)\overline{\alpha \cdot m} = ((f)\alpha)m, f \in M^*$. If M_R is reflexive, regarding $\overline{\alpha \cdot m}$ as an element of M , we obtain $\alpha \cdot [m, M^*] = [\overline{\alpha \cdot m}, M^*]$. Thus, $T_2[M, M^*] \subset T$. Since $[M, M^*]$ contains a regular element of T , T_2 is contained in the injective hull S of T_T , and hence $T = T_2$. Conversely, assume that T is canonically isomorphic to $\text{End}({}_R M^*)$. Let $\beta \in \text{Hom}({}_R M^*, {}_R R)$, and $g \in M^*$. Define $\overline{\beta \cdot g} \in T_2$ by $(f)\overline{\beta \cdot g} = ((f)\beta)g, f \in M^*$. Regarding this as an element of T , we obtain $\beta \cdot (g, M) = \text{Im } \overline{\beta \cdot g} \subset M$, i. e., $\text{Hom}({}_R M^*, {}_R R) \cdot I \subset M$. We have therefore seen that *if R is a maximal right order in a quasi-Frobenius ring Q and N is a finite dimensional torsionless faithful right R -module such that $M \otimes_R Q$ is Q -projective, then the following conditions are equivalent :*

- (i) M_R is reflexive.
- (ii) M^*_T satisfies the double centralizer property and $L_I(M) = M$.
- (iii) T is canonically isomorphic to $\text{End}({}_R M^*)$ and $L_I(M) = M$.

Example. There exists an R -module M satisfying the condition (ii) in Theorem 2 such that $L_I(M) \neq M$; Let Z and Q be integers and rationals, respectively. Obviously, $R = Z[X]$ is a maximal right order in $Q(X)$, the rational function field. Now, let $m \neq 1$ be a positive integer, and put $M = xR + mR$. Then, $M^* = R, I = M$, and $L_I(M) = R$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BASS: The Morita theorem, Lecture Notes University of Oregon, 1962.
- [2] J.H. COZZENS: Maximal orders and reflexive modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **219** (1976), 323—336.
- [3] J.H. COZZENS and C. FARTH: Simple Noetherian Rings, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **69**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1976.
- [4] N. JACOBSON: The Theory of Rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Math. Surveys **2**, Providence, 1943.
- [5] L. LEVY: Torsion free and divisible modules over non-integral domains, Canad. J. Math. **15** (1963), 132—151.
- [6] K. MASAIKE: Endomorphism rings of modules over orders in Artinian rings, Proc. Japan Acad. **46** (1970), 89—93.
- [7] K. MORITA: Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sec. A, **6** (1958), 83—142.
- [8] B.J. MÜLLER: The quotient category of Morita context, J. Algebra **28** (1974), 389—407.
- [9] L.W. SMALL: Orders in Artinian rings II, J. Algebra **9** (1968), 266—273.
- [10] T. STAFFORD: A simple Noetherian ring not Morita equivalent to a domain, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1978), 159—160.
- [11] B. STENSTRÖM: Rings of Quotients, Grundle. Math. Wiss. **217**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY

(Received November 24, 1978)